this document downloaded from

## vulcanhammer.net

Since 1997, your complete online resource for information geotecnical engineering and deep foundations:

The Wave Equation Page for Piling

Online books on all aspects of soil mechanics, foundations and marine construction

Free general engineering and geotechnical software

And much more...

#### **Terms and Conditions of Use:**

All of the information, data and computer software ("information") presented on this web site is for general information only. While every effort will be made to insure its accuracy, this information should not be used or relied on for any specific application without independent, competent professional examination and verification of its accuracy, suitability and applicability by a licensed professional. Anyone making use of this information does so at his or her own risk and assumes any and all liability resulting from such use. The entire risk as to quality or usability of the information contained within is with the reader. In no event will this web page or webmaster be held liable, nor does this web page or its webmaster provide insurance against liability, for any damages including lost profits, lost savings or any other incidental or consequential damages arising from the use or inability to use the information contained within.

> This site is not an official site of Prentice-Hall, Pile Buck, the University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, or Vulcan Foundation Equipment. All references to sources of software, equipment, parts, service or repairs do not constitute an endorsement.

Visit our companion site http://www.vulcanhammer.org

## FINITE ELEMENTS IN PLASTICITY:

## Theory and Practice

D. R. J. OWEN E. HINTON Department of Civil Engineering University College of Swansea, U.K.

Pineridge Press Limited Swansea, U.K. First published 1980 by

Pineridge Press Limited 91 West Cross Lane, West Cross, Swansea U.K.

ISBN 0-906674-05-2

Copyright © 1980 by Pineridge Press Limited.

OWEN, D. R. J. Finite Elements in Plasticity
1. Elasticity
2. Plasticity
3. Finite Element Method
I. Title II. HINTON, E.
620.1'123 TA418
ISBN 0-906674-05-2

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publishers.

Printed and bound in Great Britain by REDWOOD BURN LIMITED Trowbridge and Esher

## Contents

### PART I

1	Intro	luction	3
	1.1	Introductory remarks	3
	1.2	Aims and layout	3
	1.3	Program structure	8
	1.4	References	11
2	One-	limensional nonlinear problems	13
	2.1	Introduction	13
	2.2	Basic numerical solution processes for nonlinear problems	13
	2.3	Systems governed by a quasi-harmonic equation	22
	2.4	Nonlinear elastic problems	25
	2.5	Elasto-plastic problems in one dimension	26
	2.6	Problems	29
	2.7	References	31
2	Ctores	ture of computer programs for the solution of poplinger	
3	probl	ture of computer programs for the solution of nominear	22
		enis	33
	3.1	Introduction	33 33
	3.1 3.2	Introduction Input data subroutine, DATA	33 35
	3.1 3.2 3.3	Introduction Input data subroutine, DATA Subroutine NONAL	33 35 40
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	Introduction Input data subroutine, DATA Subroutine NONAL Subroutines for equation assembly and solution	33 35 40 42
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	Introduction Input data subroutine, DATA Subroutine NONAL Subroutines for equation assembly and solution Output of results	33 35 40 42 58
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	Introduction Input data subroutine, DATA Subroutine NONAL Subroutines for equation assembly and solution Output of results Subroutine INITAL	33 35 40 42 58 59
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Introduction Input data subroutine, DATA Subroutine NONAL Subroutines for equation assembly and solution Output of results Subroutine INITAL Load increment subroutine, INCLOD	33 33 35 40 42 58 59 60
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	Introduction Input data subroutine, DATA Subroutine NONAL Subroutines for equation assembly and solution Output of results Subroutine INITAL Load increment subroutine, INCLOD The master or controlling segment	33 33 35 40 42 58 59 60 61
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	Introduction Input data subroutine, DATA Subroutine NONAL Subroutines for equation assembly and solution Output of results Subroutine INITAL Load increment subroutine, INCLOD The master or controlling segment Program for the solution of one-dimensional quasi-	33 35 40 42 58 59 60 61
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	Introduction Input data subroutine, DATA Subroutine NONAL Subroutines for equation assembly and solution Output of results Subroutine INITAL Load increment subroutine, INCLOD The master or controlling segment Program for the solution of one-dimensional quasi- harmonic problems by direct iteration	33 33 35 40 42 58 59 60 61 63
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Introduction Input data subroutine, DATA Subroutine NONAL Subroutines for equation assembly and solution Output of results Subroutine INITAL Load increment subroutine, INCLOD The master or controlling segment Program for the solution of one-dimensional quasi- harmonic problems by direct iteration Program for the solution of one-dimensional quasi-	<ul> <li>33</li> <li>33</li> <li>35</li> <li>40</li> <li>42</li> <li>58</li> <li>59</li> <li>60</li> <li>61</li> <li>63</li> </ul>

#### CONTENTS

	3.11	Program for the solution of nonlinear elastic problems	74
	3.12	Program for the solution of elasto-plastic problems	78
	3.13	Problems	90
	3.14	References	94
4	Visco	plastic problems in one dimension	95
	4.1	Introduction	95
	4.2	Basic theory	95
	4.3	Numerical solution process	99
	4.4	Limiting time-step length	102
	4.5	Computational procedure	103
	4.6	Program structure	104
	4.7	Element stiffness subroutine STUNVP	106
	4.8	Subroutine INCVP for the evaluation of end of time-step	
		quantities and equilibrium correction terms	107
	4.9	Convergence monitoring subroutine, CONVP	109
	4.10	Subroutine INCLOD	110
	4.11	The main, master or controlling segment	111
	4.12	Numerical examples	113
	4.13	Problems	117
	4.14	References	119
5	Elast	o-plastic Timoshenko beam analysis	121
	5.1	Introduction	121
	5.2	The basic assumptions of Timoshenko beam theory	122
	5.3	Finite element idealisation for linear elastic Timoshenko	
		beams	125
	5.4	Elasto-plastic nonlayered Timoshenko beams	129
	5.5	Elasto-plastic layered Timoshenko beams	141
	5.6	Problems	148
	5.7	References	152

#### PART II

Preliminary theory and standard subroutines for two-dimensional elasto-plastic applications		
6.1	Introduction	157
6.2	Virtual work expression for various solid mechanics	137
	applications	162
6.3	Isoparametric finite element representation	169
	Preli elast 6.1 6.2 6.3	<ul> <li>Preliminary theory and standard subroutines for two-dimensional elasto-plastic applications</li> <li>6.1 Introduction</li> <li>6.2 Virtual work expression for various solid mechanics applications</li> <li>6.3 Isoparametric finite element representation</li> </ul>

	6.4	Standard subroutines for linear elastic finite element analysis	174
	6.5	Standard subroutines for elasto-plastic finite element	205
		analysis	205
	6.6	Problems	214
	6.7	References	214
7	Elast	o-plastic problems in two dimensions	215
	7.1	Introduction	215
	7.2	The mathematical theory of plasticity	215
	7.3	Matrix formulation	227
	7.4	Alternative form of the yield criteria for numerical compu-	220
	_	tation	229
	7.5	Basic expressions for two-dimensional problems	232
	7.6	Singular points on the yield surface	234
	7.7	Finite element expressions and program structure	235
	7.8	Additional program subroutines	237
	7.9	Numerical examples	262
	7.10	Problems	265
	7.11	References	268
8	Elast	o-viscoplastic problems in two dimensions	271
	8.1	Introduction	271
	8.2	Theory of elasto-viscoplastic solids	272
	8.3	Selection of the time-step length	276
	8.4	Computational procedure	278
	8.5	Evaluation of matrix H	279
	8.6	Program structure	281
	8.7	Formulation of the tangential stiffness matrix	283
	8.8	Subroutine STEPVP for the evaluation of end of time step	
		quantities and equilibrium correction terms	289
	8.9	Subroutine FLOWVP	294
	8.10	Subroutine STRESS	295
	8.11	Subroutine ZERO	297
	8.12	Subroutine STEADY for monitoring steady state con-	
		vergence	297
	8.13	The main, master or controlling segment	299
	8.14	General comparison of implicit and explicit time inte-	
		gration schemes	302
	8.15	The overlay method for improved material response	304
	8.16	Numerical examples	310
	8.17	Problems	315
	8.18	References	317

CON	TENTS
-----	-------

9	Elast	o-plastic Mindlin plate bending analysis	319
	9.1	Introduction	319
	9.2	Equilibrium equations	321
	9.3	Discretisation	324
	9.4	Solution of nonlinear equations	326
	9.5	Software for the nonlayered approach	331
	9.6	Software for the layered approach	355
	9.7	Examples	370
	9.8	Problems	372
	9.9	References	373
		PART III	
10	Expli	icit transient dynamic analysis	377
	10.1	Introduction	377
	10.2	Dynamic equilibrium equations	378
	10.3	Modelling of nonlinearities	381
	10.4	Explicit time integration scheme	388
	10.5	Critical time step	391
	10.6	Program DYNPAK	392
	10.7	Examples	420
	10.8	Problems	428
	10.9	References	429
11	Impli	cit-explicit transient dynamic analysis	431
	11.1	Introduction	431
	11.2	Implicit time integration	432
	11.3	Implicit-explicit algorithm	434
	11.4	Evaluation of the tangential stiffness matrix	439
	11.5	Program MIXDYN	440
	11.6	Examples	458
	11.7	Problems	462
	11.8	References	462
12	Alter	native formulations and further applications	465
	12.1	Introduction	465
	12.2	List of subroutines	466
	12.3	Alternative material models	476
	12.4	Further applications	480
	12.5	Equation solving techniques	490
	12.6	Other enhancements in elasto-plastic analysis	493
	12.7	Concluding remarks	495
	12.8	References	496

FINITE ELEMENTS IN PLASTICITY	ix
Appendix I Instructions for preparing input data for one-dimensional problems	503
Appendix II Instructions for preparing input data for plane, axisym- metric and plate bending problems	511
Appendix III Instructions for preparing input data for dynamic transient problems	521
Appendix IV Sample input data and line printer output for one- and two-dimensional applications	529
Author Index	585
Subject Index	589

## Preface

The purpose of this text is to present and demonstrate the use of finite element based methods for the solution of problems involving plasticity. As well as the conventional quasi-static incremental theory of plasticity, attention is given to the slow transient phenomenon of elasto-viscoplastic behaviour and also to dynamic transient problems. We make no pretence that the text provides a complete treatment of any of these topics but rather we see it as an attempt to present numerical solution techniques, which have been well tried and tested, for selected important areas of application.

In our earlier books on finite elements we have concentrated on linear applications. Here we attempt the much more daunting task of introducing, in detail, the use of finite elements for solving problems in which plasticity effects are present. To our knowledge it is the first such book. Our main idea is to present the theory and detailed algorithms in the form of modular routines written in FORTRAN which can be linked together to form 13 finite element plasticity programs.

Writing this book has been in itself, rather like solving a nonlinear finite element problem. We have gone through many iterations and we hope that we have now converged to a reasonable 'solution'. As in many real engineering situations our convergence criterion has been influenced by a deadline. In our case the deadline was largely self-imposed as we have already been engaged on this project for more than three years. We do not believe our solution to be unique or in any sense optimal. We merely offer it to fill a gap in the existing literature.

The text is arranged in three main parts. Part I is devoted to onedimensional problems. These relatively simple applications are possibly the most important in the book; since all the essential features of nonlinear finite element analysis are immediately recognisable without the distractions and complications that are present in general continuum problems. Part II deals with the two-dimensional applications of plane stress/strain and axisymmetric continua and plate bending problems. Finally in Part III we present some dynamic transient applications and briefly describe some further developments.

All of the programs presented in this text have been specially written by the authors. In the development of the subroutines for the solution algorithms described, a conflict inevitably arose between computational efficiency

#### PREFACE

and clarity of coding. Whatever sacrifices have been made have been biased towards satisfying the latter condition. However, we believe that the codes presented are both reasonably efficient and flexible and have potential usage in commercial as well as teaching and research environments. A total of 132 subroutines are presented which amount to more than 8,000 statements. The 13 assembled programs comprise approximately 20,000 statements. To aid readers wishing to implement the programs a magnetic tape of the computer codes together with the test input data listed in Appendix IV is available from the publishers. Although every attempt has been made to verify the programs, no responsibility can be accepted for their performance in practice.

A further feature of the book is that each chapter contains several exercises for further study.

We are indebted to many people for their direct or indirect assistance in the preparation of this text. This preface would not be complete without an acknowledgment of this debt and a record of our gratitude to the following: To Professor O. C. Zienkiewicz for his pioneering work and stimulating influence. To Professor G. C. Nayak whose work on numerical analysis of plasticity problems has significantly influenced the present text. To Dr. I. C. Cormeau whose thesis on viscoplasticity has been an invaluable source of information. To Professor K. J. Bathe for permission to use the profile equation solver included in Chapter 11. To N. Bicanic, D. K. Paul, H. H. Abdel Rahman and M. M. Huq for their generous assistance in the preparation of several chapters. To our colleagues and former research workers in the Department of Civil Engineering, University College of Swansea for helpful discussions and suggestions. To E. S. Caldis for his care in preparing annotated computer listings and, finally, to Mrs. M. J. Davies for her skill and patience in typing the manuscript.

> D. R. J. OWEN E. HINTON

Swansea, May 1980

# Part I

## Chapter 1 Introduction

#### **1.1 Introductory remarks**

The finite element method is now firmly accepted as a most powerful general technique for the numerical solution of a variety of problems encountered in engineering. Applications range from the stress analysis of solids to the solution of acoustical, neutron physics and fluid dynamics problems. Indeed the finite element process is now established as a general numerical method for the solution of partial differential equation systems, subject to known boundary and/or initial conditions.

For linear analysis, at least, the technique is widely employed as a design tool. Similar acceptance for nonlinear situations is dependent on two major factors. Firstly, in view of the increased numerical operations associated with nonlinear problems, considerable computing power is required. Developments in the last decade or so have ensured that high-speed digital computers which meet this need are now available and present indications are that reductions in unit computing costs will continue. Secondly, before the finite element method can be used in design, the accuracy of any proposed solution technique must be proven. The development of improved element characteristics and more efficient nonlinear solution algorithms and the experience gained in their application to engineering problems have ensured that nonlinear finite element analyses can now be performed with some confidence. Hence barriers to the common use of nonlinear finite element techniques are being rapidly removed and the process is already economically acceptable for selected industrial applications.

#### 1.2 Aims and layout

The object of this book is to describe in detail the application of the finite element method to the solution of materially nonlinear engineering analysis problems. Unlike other texts on linear and nonlinear finite element analysis<sup>(1-4)</sup> which have dealt predominantly with theoretical aspects, this book is intended to be more practical and therefore focuses attention on the *computer implementation* of nonlinear finite element schemes.

Nonlinearities arise in engineering situations from several sources. For example a nonlinear material response can result from elasto-plastic material behaviour or from hyperelastic effects of some form. Additionally nonlinear characteristics can be associated with temporal effects such as viscoplastic behaviour or dynamic transient phenomena. Each of these nonlinearities may occur in a variety of structural types such as two- or three-dimensional solids, frames, plates or shells. Therefore it becomes clear that a textbook dealing with nonlinear finite element programming must at least be restricted to selected topics. For this reason three classes of problems will be examined in depth in the three parts of this text.

- Part I: One-dimensional materially nonlinear problems. All the essential features of a nonlinear finite element solution can be described in relation to one-dimensional models. The applications considered are:
  - Nonlinear quasi-harmonic problems
  - Nonlinear elastic situations
  - Elasto-plastic behaviour of axial bar systems
  - Time dependent elasto-viscoplastic analysis of bar systems
  - Elasto-plastic beam bending
- Part II: Two-dimensional materially nonlinear problems. In this part the ideas developed in Part I are extended to continuum problems. The following applications are presented:
  - Elasto-plastic analysis of plane stress, plane strain and axisymmetric solids
  - Time dependent elasto-viscoplastic analysis of plane stress, plane strain and axisymmetric solids
  - Elasto-plastic plate bending problems
- Part III: Nonlinear transient dynamic problems. In this time-dependent class of problems inertia effects are included in the analysis. In this part, the following topics are considered:
  - Elasto-plastic and geometrically nonlinear material behaviour
  - Explicit and implicit time integration schemes
  - Combined explicit/implicit algorithms

It should be pointed out that several different programming options are open for solution of the above problems and the methods presented in this text are the ones which are physically the most clear and which experience indicates give reliable results for a wide range of applications. An important feature of this text is the step-by-step development of thirteen finite element programs to deal with the above problems.

For the one-dimensional applications considered in Part I, only a 2-node element with linear displacement variation between nodes is considered. This allows the basic steps of a nonlinear finite element analysis to be presented without unnecessary distractions. In Parts II and III of the text, where two-dimensional continuum and plate bending problems are considered, isoparametric elements are exclusively employed. In particular, a INTRODUCTION

4-node linear element and 8- and 9-node quadratic versions are used. These elements are illustrated in Fig. 1.1 and are extremely versatile, good performers which have been well tried and tested in both linear and nonlinear situations. A typical elasto-plastic application using 8-node isoparametric elements is shown in Fig. 1.2 where the incremental loading of a notched beam is illustrated. The progressive development of plastic zones with increasing load levels are compared for a Tresca and Von Mises yield criterion.



Fig. 1.1 The two-dimensional isoparametric elements employed in the text: (a) Linear 4-node; (b) Serendipity 8-node; (c) Lagrangian 9-node.

The layout of the book will now be briefly described. The remainder of Chapter 1 discusses the basic notation and style adopted in program presentation.

Chapter 2 discusses the general nonlinear problem and some solution techniques are outlined. For the one-dimensional applications to be considered, basic theoretical expressions are developed in a form suitable for numerical solution.

In Chapter 3, the solution techniques presented in Chapter 2 are programmed in FORTRAN and numerical examples are solved for each separate application.

Chapter 4 is devoted to one-dimensional elasto-viscoplastic problems. The basic theory for this time-dependent phenomenon is first presented. The process is then coded and the program used to solve some numerical examples.

In Chapter 5 elasto-plastic beam bending is considered. This topic forms a bridge between uniaxial and continuum applications since now more than one degree of freedom exists at each nodal point. Some measure of continuum behaviour is also introduced since a layered approach is used to trace the development of plasticity through the cross-section of the beam.



Fig. 1.2 Elasto-plastic analysis of a notched beam under bending showing plastic zone distributions for both a Von Mises and a Tresca yield criterion.

Chapter 6 forms an introduction to two-dimensional continuum problems. The basic theory for two-dimensional isoparametric elements is presented and some standard subroutines required for applications described in later chapters are listed. These include routines which perform some standard linear elastic operations, such as nodal load generation, equation solution, etc., as well as nonlinear subroutines common to more than one application.

Two-dimensional elasto-plastic problems are considered in Chapter 7. Basic theoretical expressions for a general continuum are first reviewed, and manipulated into forms convenient for numerical analysis. Particular expressions for plane stress/strain and axisymmetric situations are then developed and coded. Four different yield criteria are employed. The Tresca and Von Mises laws which closely approximate metal plasticity behaviour are considered and the Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager criteria, which are applicable to concrete, rocks and soil are presented.

Chapter 8 is concerned with the transient phenomenon of elastoviscoplasticity where again the situations of plane stress/strain and axial symmetry are considered. Both explicit and implicit time integration schemes are presented and the four yield criteria considered in Chapter 7 are employed. The FORTRAN program developed is illustrated by application to some numerical examples.

Elasto-plastic plate bending problems are discussed in Chapter 9. The basic theoretical expressions are presented in a form suitable for numerical analysis with both a layered and nonlayered approach to plastification through the plate thickness being considered. Treatment in this chapter is limited to the Tresca and Von Mises yield conditions.

Chapters 10 and 11 deal with the transient dynamic analysis of twodimensional continua. In this application inertia effects are included in the computation and problems such as blast loading and seismic phenomena are considered. Nonlinear effects due to both elasto-plastic material behaviour and gross geometric deformations are included. Both explicit and implicit techniques are employed for the time integration of the equations of motion as well as a combined implicit/explicit algorithm. The computer codes developed are applied to the solution of some practical problems.

Finally in Chapter 12 further aspects of nonlinear material behaviour are discussed. Alternative solution techniques and material models are referred to and some additional fields of application indicated.

Three appendices are included which contain user instructions for the computer programs described throughout the text. Appendices I and II provide user instructions for one-dimensional and two-dimensional continuum problems respectively. A user's guide for transient dynamic problems is provided in Appendix III. Finally in Appendix IV sample input data and lineprinter output are provided for both one- and two-dimensional applications.

#### 1.3 Program structure

#### 1.3.1 Introduction

This section describes the main features of the computer programs to be developed later in the book. A modular approach is adopted, in that separate subroutines are employed to perform the various operations required in a nonlinear finite element analysis. Generally each program consists of 9 modules, each with a distinct operational function. Each module in turn is composed of one or more subroutines relevant only to its own needs and, in some cases, of subroutines which are common to several modules. Control of the modules is held by the main or master segment.

The modules, shown schematically in Fig. 1.3, are described in relation to their general functions as follows:

- 1. *Initialisation or zeroing module*—this is the first module entered and its function is to initialise to zero various vectors and matrices at the beginning of the solution process.
- 2. Data input and checking module—this is the second module entered. It handles input data defining the geometry, boundary conditions and material properties. This data is checked using diagnostic routines and if errors occur they are flagged and the remainder of the input data is printed out before the program is terminated. For isoparametric elements, Gaussian integration constants and mid-side nodal coordinates for straight-sided elements are also evaluated in this section. Once used this module is not needed again.
- 3. Loading module—this module organises the calculation of nodal forces due to the various forms of loading for two-dimensional application. These include pressure, gravity and concentrated loadings.
- 4. Load incrementing module—Any materially nonlinear finite element solution must proceed on an incremental basis. Therefore the function of this section is to control the incrementing of the applied loads evaluated by the loading module. It also ensures that any specified displacement values are also incrementally applied.
- 5. Stiffness module—this is the next module entered and organises the evaluation of the stiffness matrix for each element. The stiffness matrices are stored on disc and ordered in the sequence required for equation assembly and reduction.
- 6. Solution module—the general purpose of this routine is to assemble, reduce and solve the governing set of simultaneous equations to give the nodal displacements and force reactions at restrained nodal points.
- 7. *Residual force module*—the function of this module is to calculate the residual or 'out of balance' nodal forces at each stage of the analysis.
- 8. Convergence module—in this module the convergence of the nonlinear solution is checked against criteria given in later chapters.

9. Output module—this module organises the output of the requested quantities.



Fig. 1.3 Program modules for nonlinear solution codes.

The main purpose of the main or master segment is to call the above modules and to control the load increments and iteration procedure according to the solution algorithm being employed and the convergence rate of the solution process.

#### **1.3.2** Programming notation

In the programs presented in this text an attempt has been made to name variables in a logical manner. By choosing descriptive names, the use of many of the variables becomes self-apparent, thus assisting the reader in the task of program assimilation. All variable names are chosen to be 5 characters in length; this occasionally causes a little difficulty in abbreviation but has an advantage with regard to neatness of program presentation. For example, the following names will be employed.

NMATS	The Number of different MATerialS
PROPS (	) The array of material PROPertieS
NEVAB	The Number of Element VAriaBles
NNODE	The Number of NODes per Element
NDOFN	The Number of Degrees Of Freedom per Node

Furthermore a 'common root' principle will be adopted; where a single basic variable name is employed with different prefixes depending on its usage in the program. In particular:

- i) Prefix I, J or L will be used to indicate a DO loop variable
- ii) Prefix K will indicate a counter
- iii) Prefix M will indicate a maximum value
- iv) Prefix N will indicate a given number

For example IPOIN, NPOIN, MPOIN will indicate respectively a particular nodal point, the number of nodal points in the problem and the maximum permissible number of nodal points in the program.

Similarly, any DO loop will be of the general form

KEVAB=0 DO 1 INODE=1, NNODE DO 1 IDOFN=1, NDOFN 1 KEVAB=KEVAB+1

which indicates that the outer and inner DO loop indices range respectively over the number of nodes per element and the number of degrees of freedom per node. The prefix K is employed in KEVAB to indicate a counter over the number of element variables, NEVAB.

All programming is undertaken in standard FORTRAN IV. A listing is presented for all subroutines described in this text and detailed notes on each group of statements are provided. Comment cards have also been used to assist in the understanding of the programs.

#### 1.4 References

- 1. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C. The Finite Element Method, McGraw-Hill, 1977.
- 2. ODEN, J. T., Finite Elements of Nonlinear Continua, McGraw-Hill, 1972.
- 3. DESAI, C. S. and ABEL, J. F., An Introduction to the Finite Element Method, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1972.
- 4. GALLAGHER, R. H., Finite Element Analysis-Fundamentals, Prentice Hall, 1975.
- 5. HINTON, E. and OWEN, D. R. J., *Finite Element Programming*, Academic Press, 1977.
- 6. HINTON, E. and OWEN, D. R. J., An Introduction to Finite Element Computations, Pineridge Press, Swansea, U.K., 1979.

## **Chapter 2 One-dimensional nonlinear problems**

#### 2.1 Introduction

Several classes of nonlinear problems of interest in many branches of science and engineering can be reduced to the solution of a system of simultaneous equations in which the equation coefficients are dependent on some function of the prime variables.<sup>(1)</sup> In this chapter some basic techniques for the numerical solution of such problems are examined. In order to introduce the essential details of the solution processes as simply as possible, the applications will be restricted to one-dimensional situations. In particular, elasto-plasticity, nonlinear elasticity problems and systems governed by a nonlinear quasi-harmonic equation will be considered. In each case a computer program will be developed and its use illustrated by application to simple problems. The aim of this chapter is to prepare the reader for the more comprehensive two-dimensional treatment of these topics which will be undertaken in Chapters 6-9. Indeed, all the essential features of nonlinear finite element analysis detailed in these later chapters will be recognisable from the simple treatment considered here. It should be emphasised that the subroutines developed in this chapter will not be used in the main finite element programs discussed in Parts II and III.

#### **2.2 Basic numerical solution processes for nonlinear problems**

The use of finite element discretisation in a large class of nonlinear problems results in a system of simultaneous equations of the form

$$H\varphi + f = 0, \qquad (2.1)$$

in which  $\varphi$  is the vector of the basic unknowns, f is the vector of applied 'loads' and H is the assembled 'stiffness' matrix. For structural applications, the terms 'load' and 'stiffness' are directly applicable, but for other situations the interpretation of these quantities varies according to the physical problem under consideration.

If the coefficients of the matrix H depend on the unknowns  $\varphi$  or their derivatives, the problem clearly becomes nonlinear. In this case, direct solution of equation system (2.1) is generally impossible and an iterative scheme must be adopted. Many options remain open for the iterative

sequence to be employed. Some of the most generally applicable methods available will now be outlined.

#### **2.2.1** Method of direct iteration (or successive approximations)

In this approach<sup>(2)</sup> successive solutions are performed, in each of which the previous solution for the unknowns  $\varphi$  is used to predict the current values of the coefficient matrix  $H(\varphi)$ . Rewriting (2.1) as

$$\varphi = -[H(\varphi)]^{-1}f, \qquad (2.2)$$

then the iterative process yields the (r+1)<sup>th</sup> approximation to be

$$\varphi^{r+1} = -[H(\varphi^r)]^{-1}f.$$
(2.3)

If the process is convergent then in the limit as r tends to infinity  $\varphi^r$  tends to the true solution.

It is seen from (2.3) that it is necessary to recalculate the 'stiffness' matrix H for each iteration. To commence the process, an initial guess for the unknown  $\varphi$  is required in order to calculate H. Generally a value of  $\varphi^0$  based on the solution for an average material property throughout the region is found to be satisfactory. If the nonlinearity of the material properties is very marked at certain values of  $\varphi$ , an approximate prescription of the field variable at all nodes may be necessary.

For practical purposes, the iterative process is deemed to have converged when some measure (usually a norm of the nodal unknowns) of the change in the unknown  $\varphi$  between successive iterations has become tolerably small. The process is illustrated diagrammatically for a single variable in Figs 2.1 and 2.2, in which case the matrix H and vector  $\varphi$  reduce to the scalar equivalents H and  $\phi$ . The assumed dependence of H on  $\phi$  is a basic problem function which must be prescribed before solution can commence. This material property is included in Figs 2.1 and 2.2 and, for convenience, the relationship between  $H(\phi)$ ,  $\phi$  and  $\phi$  is prescribed rather than the  $H(\phi) - \phi$ dependence. Figure 2.1 shows the convergence paths for initial trial values,  $\phi^0$ , which are below and above the true solution,  $\phi_T$ , and for a convex  $H-\phi$  relation. From the initial trial value,  $\phi^0$ , the corresponding value of H is immediately given from the prescribed  $H(\phi) \cdot \phi - \phi$  relationship, to be  $H^0$ . Equation (2.3) is then solved to give  $\phi^1$ . The value of H corresponding to  $\phi^1$  is then determined from the  $H(\phi).\phi-\phi$  relationship and (2.3) then resolved to obtain  $\phi^2$ . This cycling process is continued until  $\phi^{n-1}$  and  $\phi^n$ are deemed to be sufficiently close, indicating that convergence has occurred. The quantity H' is represented by the slope of the secant to the  $H-\phi$  curve and decreases with increasing values of  $\phi$ . Both the high and low initial trial solutions produce monotonic convergence paths. Figure 2.2 shows the unsuitability of the method for problems with a concave  $H-\phi$  relationship. Both low and high initial trial solutions produce convergence paths which oscillate around the true solution. Although the solution converges for the



Fig. 2.1 Direct iteration method for a single variable problem—convex  $H-\phi$  relation.

single variable case, in multi-degree of freedom problems the coupling of stiffness terms is likely to lead to instability of the iterative process. A disadvantage of the direct iteration method is that convergence of the solution scheme is not guaranteed and cannot be predicted at the initial solution stage.

#### 2.2.2 The Newton–Raphson method

During any step of an iterative process of solution, (2.1) will not be satisfied unless convergence has occurred. A system of *residual forces* can be assumed



Fig. 2.2 Direct iteration method for a single variable problem—concave  $H-\phi$  relation.

to exist, so that

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \boldsymbol{f} \neq \boldsymbol{0}. \tag{2.4}$$

These residual forces  $\psi$  can be interpreted as a measure of the departure of (2.1) from equilibrium. Since *H* is a function of  $\varphi$  and possibly its derivatives, then at any stage of the process,  $\psi = \psi(\varphi)$ .

If the true solution to the problem exists at  $\varphi^r + \Delta \varphi^r$  then the Newton-Raphson approximation<sup>(2)</sup> for the general term of the residual force vector,  $\psi^r$  corresponding to solution at  $\varphi^r$  is

$$\psi_i r = -\sum_{j=1}^N \Delta \phi_j r \left( \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial \phi_j} \right)^r, \qquad (2.5)$$

in which N is the total number of variables in the system and the superscript r denotes the  $r^{\text{th}}$  approximation to the true solution. Substituting for  $\psi_i$  from (2.4), the complete expression for all the residual components can be written in matrix form as

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^r) = -\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^r) \Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi}^r. \tag{2.6}$$

in which a typical term of the Jacobian matrix J is

$$J_{ij} = \left(\frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial \phi_j}\right)^r = h_{ij}r + \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial h_{ik}}{\partial \phi_j}\right)^r \phi_k r, \qquad (2.7)$$

where  $h_{ij}$  is the general term of matrix H. The last term in (2.7) gives rise to nonsymmetric terms in the Jacobian matrix. If these nonsymmetric terms are neglected in order to maintain symmetry, then substitution of (2.7) in (2.6) results in

$$H(\varphi^r) \cdot \Delta \varphi^r = -\psi(\varphi^r). \tag{2.8}$$

Or since

$$\Delta \varphi^r = \varphi^{r+1} - \varphi^r, \tag{2.9}$$

equation (2.8) reduces, on use of (2.4), to

$$H(\varphi^{r}) \cdot \varphi^{r+1} + f = 0.$$
 (2.10)

This equation is identical to equation (2.3), Section 2.2.1, which governs the method of direct iteration. Therefore in order to achieve the better convergence rate associated with the Newton-Raphson process it is essential that the unsymmetric terms in J be retained.

The explicit form of the nonlinear terms in (2.7) will clearly depend on the way in which the stiffness matrix coefficients,  $h_{ij}$ , depend on the unknowns,  $\varphi$ . The terms of the Jacobian matrix, given in (2.7), can be assembled to give the general expression

$$J(\varphi) = H(\varphi) + H'(\varphi), \qquad (2.11)$$

where the last term contains the unsymmetric terms only. The Newton-Raphson process can be finally written, using (2.6) and (2.11), in the form

$$\Delta \varphi^r = -[\boldsymbol{J}(\varphi^r)]^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}(\varphi^r) = -[\boldsymbol{H}(\varphi^r) + \boldsymbol{H}'(\varphi^r)]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\psi}(\varphi^r). \quad (2.12)$$

This allows the correction to the vector of unknowns  $\varphi$  to be obtained from the residual force vector  $\psi$  for any iteration. Again an iterative approach must be followed, with the vector of unknowns  $\varphi$  being corrected at each stage according to (2.12) until convergence of the process is deemed to have occurred. The technique is illustrated schematically in Figs 2.3 and 2.4 for



Fig. 2.3 The Newton-Raphson method for a single variable problem—convex  $H-\phi$  relation.



Fig. 2.4 The Newton-Raphson method for a single variable problem—concave  $H-\phi$  relation.

a single variable situation. Solution to the nonlinear problem will be achieved when the residual force  $\psi$  vanishes, since this term directly measures the lack of equilibrium of the governing equation as indicated in (2.4). A trial value  $\varphi^0$  of the basic unknown is assumed and the material stiffness associated with this value calculated according to the prescribed  $H-\varphi$  relationship. The residual force,  $\psi^0$  is then calculated from (2.4) and the Jacobian evaluated according to (2.7). The correction  $\Delta \varphi^0$  to the first approximation for the basic unknown, can finally be found from (2.12). Thus an improved approximation to the solution has been found, as  $\varphi^1 = \varphi^0 + \Delta \varphi^0$ . This process can then be continually repeated until the residual force,  $\psi^n$ , is sufficiently small; or equivalently that  $\varphi^{r-1}$  and  $\varphi^r$  are sufficiently close. The Newton-Raphson process generally gives a more rapid and stable convergence path than the direct iteration method.

#### 2.2.3 The tangential stiffness method

For structural applications the matrix H can be interpreted physically as the stiffness matrix of the structure. For nonlinear situations, in which the stiffness depends on the degree of displacement in some manner, H is equal to the local gradient of the force/displacement relationship of the structure at any point and is termed the tangential stiffness. The analysis of such problems must proceed in an incremental manner since the solution at any stage may not only depend on the current displacements of the structure, but also on the previous loading history. Consequently the problem can be linearised over any increment of load and therefore the matrix, which contains the nonlinear terms, can be discarded from (2.11) and (2.12). With this modification, the solution process is identical to that described in the previous section and for this reason the method is sometimes termed a generalised Newton-Raphson method.

The solution algorithm is illustrated in Fig. 2.5; again for a single variable situation. Solution is commenced from a trial value  $\varphi^0$  of the unknown (for structural problems the starting position of solution is almost invariably  $\varphi^0 = 0$ ). The tangential stiffness,  $H(\varphi^0)$ , corresponding to this displacement state is then determined and the residual force  $\psi^0$  calculated according to (2.4). The correction,  $\Delta \varphi^0$ , to the trial value is computed according to the linearised form of (2.12), which is

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{r} = -[\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{r})]^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{r})$$
(2.13)

An improved approximation to the unknown is then obtained as  $\varphi^1 = \varphi^0 + \Delta \varphi^0$ . This iterative process is then continued until the solution converges to the nonlinear solution which is indicated by the condition that  $\psi^r$  practically vanishes.

#### 2.2.4 The initial stiffness method

In the methods described in the three previous sections, the complete factorisation (or reduction) and solution of the full set of simultaneous equations describing the discretised structure is essential for each iteration. For the method of direct iteration the equation solution indicated by (2.3) is necessary, whilst the Newton-Raphson technique and tangential stiffness method demand the equation solutions indicated by (2.12) and (2.13)



Fig. 2.5 Tangential stiffness solution algorithm for a single variable situation.

respectively. If in (2.13) the tangential stiffness matrix is replaced, at all steps of the computation, by the stiffness corresponding to the initial trial value of  $\varphi$  a complete factorisation, or reduction, of the assembled equations can be avoided.<sup>(3)</sup> In this case a complete equation solution need only be performed for the first iteration and subsequent approximations to the nonlinear solution performed, via the expression

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{r} = -[\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{0})]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{r}). \tag{2.14}$$

Since the same stiffness matrix  $H(\varphi^0)$  is employed at each stage, the reduced equations can be stored in their reduced or factored form and a second or subsequent solution merely necessitates the reduction of the right-hand side  $(\psi(\varphi^r))$  terms, together with a backsubstitution. This has the immediate advantage of significantly reducing the computing cost per iteration but reduces the convergence rate as can be seen from Fig. 2.6 where the scheme is schematically illustrated. The iterative algorithm is identical to that described in the preceding section. This method can be shown to be unconditionally convergent<sup>(4)</sup> and can even be employed in situations where the material exhibits negative stiffness. The relative economies of the initial stiffness and tangential stiffness methods depend to a large extent on the degree of nonlinearity inherent in the problem under consideration. The optimum algorithm is generally provided by an amalgamation of both processes, in which the stiffnesses are changed at selected iterative intervals only.



Fig. 2.6 Initial stiffness solution algorithm for a single variable situation.

#### 2.3 Systems governed by a quasi-harmonic equation

Many physical situations in engineering science are governed by a quasiharmonic equation containing coefficients which are dependent on the unknown variable or its derivatives according to some prescribed law. The most common problem of this type occurs in heat conduction under steadystate conditions when the material conductivity is itself a function of temperature. This phenomenon also arises in diffusion problems where the diffusivity of the medium often varies with the concentration of the diffusing matter. Further physical examples are provided in Ref. (5).

For a one-dimensional situation the governing equation to be considered is

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(K\frac{d\phi}{dx}\right) + Q = 0, \qquad (2.15)$$

in which  $\phi$  is the unknown function and the terms K and Q may be functions of the position coordinate, x. The problem becomes nonlinear if K and/or Q are also functions of the unknown  $\phi$  or its derivatives, according to some prescribed function.

Two types of boundary condition will be considered:

(a) The value of the unknown specified on the boundary

$$\phi = \phi_B. \tag{2.16}$$

(b) The gradient of the unknown at the boundary specified to be zero

$$\frac{d\phi}{dn} = \frac{d\phi}{dx} = 0.$$
 (2.17)

(A more general form of this latter boundary condition is considered in Ref. 6.)

Equation (2.15) can be transformed to finite element form by suitable discretisation and use of the Galerkin weighted residual process.<sup>(5,6)</sup> The scalar product of equation (2.15) with any arbitrary weighting function, W, must be zero if  $\phi$  satisfies (2.15) throughout any region  $\Gamma$ , so that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \left( K \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right) + Q \right) W \, dx = 0.$$
 (2.18)

Integrating the first term by parts results in

$$\left[WK\frac{d\phi}{dx}\right]_{x_1}^{x_2} - \int_{\Gamma} \left(K\frac{dW}{dx}\frac{d\phi}{dx} - QW\right)dx = 0, \qquad (2.19)$$

where the limits of integration in the first term are the end points of the region  $\Gamma$ . The unknown function  $\phi$  may be approximated as

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i \phi_i, \qquad (2.20)$$

in which *n* is the total number of nodes in the finite element idealisation and  $N_i$  are the global shape functions. In the Galerkin process the number of weighting functions must equal the total number of unknown nodal values. The weighting function  $W_i$  corresponding to node *i* can then be conveniently chosen such that  $W_i = N_i$ . It should be noted that at nodes where the values of  $\phi$  are prescribed, there is no associated unknown and consequently the weighting function for such nodes is zero. Therefore the first term in (2.19) always vanishes since at the two end points of the interval either  $\phi$  is prescribed according to (2.16), in which case the weighting function for that point is zero, or  $d\phi/dx$  is specified as zero according to (2.17). Substituting for  $\phi$  and W in (2.19) and assembling all element contributions in the usual manner results in

$$H\varphi + f = 0, \qquad (2.21)$$

in which typical element components are

$$h_{ij}^{(e)} = \int_{\Gamma}^{(e)} K \frac{dN_i^{(e)}}{dx} \frac{dN_j^{(e)}}{dx} dx, \qquad (2.22)$$

$$f_i^{(e)} = \int_{\Gamma}^{(e)} Q N_i^{(e)} dx, \qquad (2.23)$$

where  $N_i^{(e)}$  are the *element* shape functions specifying the distribution of the unknown,  $\phi$ , over the element. For the specific case of a two-noded element with a linear variation in  $\phi$  as shown in Fig. 2.7, the shape functions are simply

$$N_1^{(e)} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{x}{L}, \qquad N_2^{(e)} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{x}{L},$$
 (2.24)

where L is the length of the element.



Fig. 2.7 One-dimensional two-noded element with linear variation of the unknown,  $\phi$ , showing element shape functions.

Substituting in (2.22) and (2.23), and assuming no variation of K with position in the element, gives

$$H^{(e)} = \frac{K}{L} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
 (2.25)

and

$$f_1^{(e)} = f_2^{(e)} = \frac{QL}{2}.$$
 (2.26)

Provided that the variation of K with  $\phi$  or its derivatives is specified, the problem falls into the category discussed in the previous section and can be solved by either the method of direct iteration or the Newton-Raphson approach.

In the numerical examples considered later in this chapter a specific form of nonlinearity will be considered, namely

$$K = K_0(a+b\phi), \qquad (2.27)$$

in which  $K_0$  is a reference value and *a* and *b* are known constants. For solution by the Newton-Raphson process the Jacobian matrix can be considered to be the sum of symmetric and nonsymmetric components as indicated in (2.11). The symmetric part has already been calculated in (2.25) and the nonsymmetric contribution must now be calculated according to the last

term in (2.7). From (2.7), (2.22) and (2.27) the general term is given as

$$h_{ij'} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \left( \frac{\partial h_{ik}}{\partial \phi_j} \right) \phi_k = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \left\{ \phi_k K_0 \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_j} \left[ a + b\phi \right] \frac{dN_i^{(e)}}{dx} \frac{dN_k^{(e)}}{dx} dx \right\}. \quad (2.28)$$

Noting that  $\phi$  is given by (2.20) and that the shape functions are given by (2.24), the evaluation of (2.28) results in

$$H^{\prime(e)} = \frac{K_0 b}{2L} (\phi_1 - \phi_2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2.29)

As expected, it is seen that the derivative matrix  $H'^{(e)}$  is unsymmetric.

#### 2.4 Nonlinear elastic problems

The simplest case of nonlinear behaviour in structural problems arises from nonlinear elastic material action. The stress/strain relationship of the material is nonlinear but the material behaviour is elastic with all deformations and displacements recoverable on unloading. For example, this type of behaviour arises in *hyperelastic* problems<sup>(7)</sup> where the stresses are functions of a strain dependent material modulus.

The nonlinear constitutive relation may be specified, for a one-dimensional situation, as

$$\sigma = \frac{dW}{d\epsilon} = E_0 \cdot g(\epsilon) \tag{2.30}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the stress,  $\epsilon$  the strain and  $E_0$  some reference value of the material modulus. The material performance will be nonlinear according to the form of the specified strain energy function,  $W(\epsilon)$ .



Fig. 2.8 Forces and displacements for a two-node element.

The simplest form of one-dimensional finite element is the constant stress element shown in Fig. 2.8 in which a linear displacement variation is assumed between nodes 1 and 2. The force in the element is given, from (2.30), by

$$F = E_0 Ag(\delta/L), \qquad (2.31)$$

where A is the element cross-sectional area and  $\delta$  the element extension. The tangential stiffness for the material is then

$$K_T = \frac{dF}{d\delta} = \frac{E_0 A}{L} \frac{dg}{d\epsilon} = \frac{E_0 A}{L} g'(\epsilon).$$
(2.32)

Or, in particular, the element tangential stiffness matrix is given by

$$\mathbf{K}_{T}^{(e)} = \frac{E_0 A}{L} g'(\epsilon) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2.33)

Provided that  $g'(\epsilon)$  is positive for all strain values, the tangential stiffness method of solution described in Section 2.2.3 can be employed in solution with  $K_T^{(e)}$  being directly equivalent to  $H(\varphi^r)$ . If the tangential stiffness matrix becomes zero, the assembled stiffness equations will become singular and the inversion process required by (2.13) cannot be undertaken. Solution for situations in which the material tangential stiffness becomes non-positive can be performed by use of the initial stiffness method described in Section 2.2.4. Since the initial material stiffness is employed throughout this latter process, the assembled stiffness matrix will remain positive definite throughout the computation.

#### 2.5 Elasto-plastic problems in one dimension

In this section the essential features of elasto-plastic material behaviour are introduced, and the basic expressions are developed in a form suitable for numerical solution by some of the methods described in the previous sections.

Elasto-plastic behaviour is characterised by an initial elastic material response on to which a plastic deformation is superimposed after a certain level of stress has been reached.<sup>(8)</sup> Plastic deformation is essentially irreversible on unloading and is incompressible in nature. The onset of plastic deformation (or yielding) is governed by a *yield criterion* and post-yield deformation generally occurs at a greatly reduced material stiffness. Basic theoretical expressions for a general continuum are provided in Chapter 7.

For one-dimensional situations, the material parameters required to completely define elasto-plastic behaviour are most conveniently obtained from a uniaxial tension test. Figure 2.9 shows an idealised stress-strain curve for a material and identical behaviour is assumed in tension and compression. The material initially deforms according to the elastic modulus, E, until the stress level reaches a value  $\sigma_Y$  designated the *uniaxial yield stress*. On increasing the load further, the material is assumed to exhibit linear strain-hardening, characterised by the *tangential modulus*,  $E_T$ .

At some stage after initial yielding, consider a further load application resulting in an incremental increase of stress,  $d\sigma$ , accompanied by a change of strain,  $d\epsilon$ . Assuming that the strain can be separated into elastic and plastic


Fig. 2.9 Elastic, linear strain-hardening stress-strain behaviour for the uniaxial case.

components, so that

$$d\epsilon = d\epsilon_e + d\epsilon_p, \tag{2.34}$$

we define a strain-hardening parameter, H', as

$$H' = \frac{d\sigma}{d\epsilon_p}.$$
 (2.35)

This can be interpreted as the slope of the strain-hardening portion of the stress-strain curve after removal of the elastic strain component. Thus

$$H' = \frac{d\sigma}{d\epsilon - d\epsilon_e} = \frac{E_T}{1 - E_T/E}.$$
(2.36)

With reference to Fig. 2.8, consider the behaviour of a linear displacement element, which has a cross-sectional area A, when it is subjected to a gradually increasing axial force, F, which results in an extension,  $\delta$ . Provided that F/A is less than or equal to the uniaxial yield stress,  $\sigma_Y$ , the material behaviour will be elastic, exhibiting a stiffness of

$$K_e = \frac{F}{\delta} = \frac{EA}{L},\tag{2.37}$$

then the element stiffness matrix is simply

$$K_{e}^{(e)} = \frac{EA}{L} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2.38)

Suppose F is increased until the material has yielded. Consider a further incremental increase in load dF which causes an additional element extension,  $d\delta$ . Then

$$d\delta = (d\epsilon_e + d\epsilon_p)L, \qquad (2.39)$$

where L is the element length. Also, on use of (2.35)

$$dF = d\sigma A = AH' d\epsilon_p. \tag{2.40}$$

The tangential stiffness for the material is then

$$K_{ep} = \frac{dF}{d\delta} = \frac{AH' \, d\epsilon_p}{L(d\sigma/E + d\epsilon_p)}.$$
(2.41)

Or, using (2.35) and rearranging

$$K_{ep} = \frac{EA}{L} \left( 1 - \frac{E}{E + H'} \right). \tag{2.42}$$

Finally, the element stiffness for elasto-plastic material behaviour is given by\*

$$K_{ep}^{(e)} = \frac{EA}{L} \left( 1 - \frac{E}{E + H'} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2.43)

In (2.42) it can be seen that the first term represents the elastic stiffness, as given by (2.38). The second term accounts for the reduction in stiffness from the elastic value due to yielding.

\* The element stiffness matrix can be written in the standard finite element form

$$K_e^{(e)} = \int_V B^T DB \, dV = A \, \int_0^L B^T DB \, dx,$$

where integration is made over the volume of the element. For this one-dimensional application, D = E and

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dN_1^{(e)}}{dx}, & \frac{dN_2^{(e)}}{dx} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{L}, & \frac{1}{L} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $N_1^{(e)}$  and  $N_2^{(e)}$  are given by (2.24). The tangential stiffness matrix for elastoplastic material behaviour is obtained by replacing **D** by

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{ep} = E\left(1 - \frac{E}{E + H'}\right).$$

For a perfectly plastic material behaviour, after initial yielding equation (2.36) implies that H' = 0 and it is then evident from (2.43) that  $K_{ep}^{(e)} = 0$ . This implies that the tangential (elasto-plastic) stiffness matrix for such a material is singular and the tangential stiffness method cannot generally be employed in solution. If a significant number of elements in the structure has yielded, the assembled tangential stiffness matrix will be singular, and the inversion or reduction demanded by (2.13) cannot be performed. This difficulty can be avoided by use of the initial stiffness method in which the elastic element stiffnesses are employed at every stage of the computation, thereby ensuring a positive definite assembled stiffness matrix.

### 2.6 Problems

In this section some tasks are set for the reader which illustrate some further points in connection with the topics discussed in the chapter.

- 2.1 Use the direct iteration method to solve the following one degree of freedom problem,  $H\phi + f = 0$  where f = 10 and H depends on  $\phi$  according to  $H = 10(1 + e^{3\phi})$ .
- 2.2 Repeat Problem 2.1 using the Newton-Raphson method. Compare the solutions and the computational effort required in each.
- 2.3 Solve the following one degree of freedom problem by both the tangential stiffness and initial stiffness method. Apply the total load f as two equal increments

$$H\phi + f = 0, f = 10, H = 20(1-\phi).$$

- 2.4 The more general form of the boundary condition (2.17) in Section 2.3 is  $d\phi/dx+q+\alpha.\phi = 0$ , where q and a are constants and  $\phi$  is the undetermined value of the unknown at the boundary point. Repeat the Galerkin process of Section 2.3 to include these additional terms. In particular, determine the additional nodal force contribution and the discrete 'external' nodal stiffness which arise.
- 2.5 For the two-noded element with linear variation in  $\phi$  with shape functions as given by (2.24), evaluate the element stiffness matrix when K is a function of x. Assume that the spatial variation of K within the element is linear and obtained by interpolation of the specified nodal values by use of the element shape functions.
- 2.6 Suppose that a heat loss also occurs by convection from the surface area of an element, which is given by  $h.\phi$  where h is the convection coefficient. If C is the circumference of the element, determine the additional contribution to  $H^{(e)}$  resulting from this.<sup>(9)</sup>
- 2.7 Determine the nonlinear portion,  $H'^{(e)}$ , of the Jacobian matrix for a material dependence  $K = K_0(1 + e^{b\phi})$ . Assume a two-noded linear element.
- 2.8 Evaluate the stiffness matrix  $H^{(e)}$  for a three-noded element for a heat conduction problem. Assume that the element has shape functions

$$N_{1}^{(e)} = -\frac{2x}{L^{2}} \left(\frac{L}{2} - x\right), \quad N_{2}^{(e)} = \frac{4}{L^{2}} \left(\frac{L}{2} - x\right) \left(\frac{L}{2} + x\right),$$
$$N_{3}^{(e)} = \frac{2x}{L^{2}} \left(\frac{L}{2} + x\right),$$

and also that  $K = K_0(a+b\phi)$  where  $K_0$ , a and b are constants.

- 2.9 Repeat.Problem 2.8 for the case where  $K_0$  is additionally a function of x. Assume that the nodal values of  $K_0$  are given.
- 2.10 Solve the nonlinear elastic problem of Fig. 2.10 by hand calculation. Use the tangential stiffness method and assume the total load to be applied in two equal increments.



Fig. 2.10 Nonlinear elastic example—Problem 2.10.

- 2.11 Solve Problem 2.10 if the structure is loaded by incrementally increasing the prescribed value of displacement at node 2. Increase the applied displacement in two equal increments up to a maximum value of  $\phi_2 = 3.0$ . Since the element stiffnesses become negative at the higher increment, use the initial stiffness method.
- 2.12 A locking material is one in which the stiffness increases with increasing strains. For example, if  $g(\epsilon) = \epsilon^2$  can both the tangential stiffness and the initial stiffness methods be used to solve such material problems?



Fig. 2.11 Elasto-plastic example—Problem 2.13.

2.13 Determine the nodal displacement of node 2 of the structure shown in Fig. 2.11 as the applied load is increased to 10 units in two equal increments. Assume elasto-plastic material behaviour and use the tangential stiffness approach for solution.



Fig. 2.12 Bimaterial elasto-plastic example—Problem 2.14.

2.14 Determine the displacement of node 2 of the elasto-plastic structure shown in Fig. 2.12. Assume the load to be applied in two equal increments. What happens if  $H_{I}' = 200$ ,  $H_{II}' = -200$ ?

### 2.7 References

- 1. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., The Finite Element Method, McGraw-Hill, London, 1977.
- 2. BOOTH, A. D., Numerical Methods, Butterworth, London, 1966.
- 3. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., VALLIAPPAN, S. and KING, I. P., Elasto-plastic solutions of engineering problems. Initial stress, finite element approach, *Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng.*, **1**, 75–100 (1969).
- 4. ARGYRIS, J. H. and SCHARPF, D. W., Methods of elasto-plastic analysis, *ISD*, *ISSC Symp. on Finite Element Tech.*, Stuttgart (1969).
- 5. LYNESS, J. F., OWEN, D. R. J. and ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., The finite element analysis of engineering systems governed by a nonlinear quasi-harmonic equation, *Computers and Structures*, 5, 65–79 (1975).
- 6. HINTON, E. and OWEN, D. R. J., An Introduction to Finite Element Computations, Pineridge Press, Swansea, U.K., 1979.
- 7. ODEN, J. T., Finite Elements of Nonlinear Continua, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1972.
- 8. HILL, R., The Mathematical Theory of Plasticity, Oxford University Press, 1950.
- 9. SEEGERLIND, L. J., Applied Finite Element Analysis, John Wiley, New York, 1976.

# Chapter 3 Solution of nonlinear problems

### 3.1 Introduction

A modular approach is adopted for the programs presented in this text, with the various main finite element operations being performed by separate subroutines. Any nonlinear finite element program must essentially contain all the subroutines necessary for elastic analysis. Briefly these consist of a subroutine to accept the input data, a subroutine for element stiffness formulation, subroutines for equation assembly and solution and a subroutine for output of the final results.

In order to implement the solution algorithms described in Section 2.2, additional subroutines are clearly necessary. In particular two primary DO LOOPS are necessary to iterate the solution until convergence of the solution occurs and to increment the applied loading, if appropriate. Subroutines must be included to evaluate the residual forces and also to monitor convergence of the solution. Figure 3.1 shows the organisation of the programs presented in this chapter, particularly the sequence in which the subroutines are accessed. Four separate programs are developed to solve the following specific situations.

- Solution of nonlinear quasi-harmonic situations by direct iteration.
- Solution of nonlinear quasi-harmonic situations by the Newton-Raphson method.
- Solution of nonlinear elastic problems by either the tangential stiffness or the initial stiffness method or a combination of both.
- Solution of elasto-plastic problems by either the tangential stiffness or the initial stiffness method or a combination of both approaches.

With reference to Fig. 3.1, most of the subroutines are common to all four programs presented; the only exceptions being the subroutines necessary for stiffness matrix generation, residual force calculation and solution convergence checking. The element stiffness formulation subroutines for quasi-harmonic direct interation, quasi-harmonic Newton-Raphson, nonlinear elastic situations and elasto-plastic problems are respectively named STIFF1, ASTIF1, STIFF2 and STIFF3. The evaluation of residual forces is not required in the direct iteration method and the appropriate subroutines for the quasi-harmonic Newton-Raphson, nonlinear elastic and elasto-plastic



Fig. 3.1 Program organisation for one-dimensional nonlinear applications.

situations are named respectively REFOR1, REFOR2 and REFOR3. Finally, since the basis of solution convergence differs for the direct iteration method from that of the other procedures, it requires a separate convergence checking subroutine, termed MONITR. The equivalent subroutine for all other applications is named CONUND.

The programs presented in this chapter also form the basis of an elastoviscoplastic program for one-dimensional applications developed in Chapter 4 and an elasto-plastic beam bending program considered in Chapter 5. In order to allow several of the subroutines developed in this chapter to be used for beam bending applications it will be necessary to permit the number of degrees of freedom per nodal point to be variable and to dimension some arrays to accommodate additional quantities.

Sections 3.2 to 3.8 are devoted to the development of the subroutines which are common to the four programs presented.

### 3.2 Input data subroutine, DATA

For any finite element analysis the input data can be subdivided into three main classifications. Firstly the data required to define the geometry of the structure and the support conditions must be supplied. Secondly the material properties of the constituent materials must be supplied and finally the applied loading must be furnished.

To allow a subroutine to be employed in more than one application, several control parameters must be supplied as input data. For example, the number of properties required to define the behaviour of a material will differ between quasi-harmonic problems and elasto-plastic situations. The use of variables in place of specific numerical values also generally aids program clarity.

A list of control parameters required as input is now presented:

- NPOIN Total number of nodal points in the structure.
- NELEM Total number of elements in the structure.
- NBOUN Total number of boundary points, i.e. nodal points at which the value of the unknown is prescribed. In this context an internal node can be a boundary node.
- NMATS Total number of different materials in the structure.
- **NPROP** The number of material parameters required to define the characteristics of a material completely:

4—For elasto-plastic problems,

2—For all other applications.

- NNODE Number of nodes per element. For linear displacement onedimensional elements this equals 2.
- NINCS The number of increments in which the total loading is to be applied.
- NALGO Indicator used to identify the type of solution algorithm to be employed:

1—Direct iteration.

- 2—Newton-Raphson method for quasi-harmonic problems. Tangential stiffness method for structural problems (nonlinear elastic and elasto-plastic situations).
- 3—Initial stiffness method.
- 4—Combination of the initial and tangential stiffness methods, where the stiffnesses are recalculated on the first iteration of a load increment only.
- 5-Combination of the initial and tangential stiffness methods, where the stiffnesses are recalculated on the second iteration of a load increment only. This can aid the rate of convergence considerably, if on the application of an increment of load there is substantial further yielding. When calculating the element stiffnesses the total plastic strains evaluated during the previous iteration are used to indicate whether the element has yielded or not. If the element stiffnesses are recalculated on the first iteration, the elements which have now yielded may have been elastic at the end of the previous load increment and consequently the reformulated stiffness will be based on elastic behaviour. This can reduce the convergence rate of the process since generally  $H' \simeq 0.1E$ . From (2.42) the elasto-plastic stiffness is proportional to  $E(1-E/(E+H')) \simeq E/11$ , whereas the elastic stiffness depends linearly on E. Hence the tangential stiffness calculated grossly overestimates the true material response. This problem can be alleviated by reformulating the element stiffnesses during the second iteration of a load increment rather than the first, since the plastic strain evaluated on the first iteration will indicate yielding to have initiated.
- NDOFN The number of degrees of freedom per nodal point:
  - 1-For uniaxial problems.
  - 2-For beam bending problems (considered in Chapter 5).

The geometry of the structure is completely defined on prescription of the nodal point coordinates and the element nodal connections. The coordinate of each nodal point must be defined with reference to a global coordinate system. For the one-dimensional situation being currently considered, the position of each nodal point is completely defined by a single coordinate whose value will be stored in the array

### COORD (IPOIN)

where IPOIN corresponds to the number of the nodal point.

The origin of the coordinate system can be arbitrarily chosen. The geometry of each individual element must be specified by listing in a systematic way the numbers of the nodal points which define its outline. For the two-noded linear displacement element the nodal numbers can obviously be read in any order. The element topology is read into the array

### LNODS (NUMEL, INODE)

where NUMEL corresponds to the number of the element under consideration and subscript INODE ranges from 1 to NNODE. Since each element may conceivably be assigned different material properties, a material property identification number is also allocated to each element and stored in the array

### MATNO (NUMEL)

This implies that element number NUMEL has material properties of type MATNO (NUMEL).

The material properties required for solution will differ for the various applications considered, but the same array will be employed for storage of this information. Namely

### PROPS (NUMAT, IPROP)

where NUMAT denotes the material identification number and the subscript IPROP the individual property. Each element is associated with a particular material type through the previously mentioned identification array MATNO (NUMEL). The relevant material properties associated with the different problem types considered here are listed below.

(a) Quasi-harmonic problems

**PROPS** (NUMAT, 1)—The reference value  $K_0$  of the coefficient K in equation (2.27).

**PROPS** (NUMAT, 2)—The constant b in equation (2.27) for a linear 'stiffness' variation.

(b) Nonlinear elastic problems

**PROPS** (NUMAT, 1)—The reference value  $E_0$  in (2.30).

**PROPS** (NUMAT, 2)—The cross-sectional area *A*, of the element. Each element with a different cross-sectional area must be assigned a different material property number.

(c) Elasto-plastic problems

**PROPS** (NUMAT, 1)—The elastic modulus, *E*, of the material.

**PROPS** (NUMAT, 2)—The cross-sectional area, A, of the element.

PROPS (NUMAT, 3)—The uniaxial yield stress of the material.

**PROPS** (NUMAT, 4)—The linear strain hardening parameter, H', for the material (equation (2.35)).

It should be mentioned here that the specific form of dependence of material stiffness on the unknown function for cases (a) and (b) will be directly incorporated into the program by use of a FORTRAN FUNCTION statement.

Any nodal points at which a degree of freedom has a prescribed value must be identified by the temporary variable NODFX. To determine which degrees of freedom are to be prescribed at this node, the entries in the array

### ICODE (IDOFN)

are set to either 0 or 1. (Variable IDOFN ranges over the number of degrees of freedom per node NDOFN. In the present case NDOFN=1, but later in Chapter 5, NDOFN has the value 2.) If ICODE (IDOFN) is equal to 1, then degree of freedom IDOFN at node NODFX has a prescribed value. If NCODE (IDOFN) is equal to 0 then degree of freedom IDOFN at node NODFX is a free variable.

The value for a prescribed degree of freedom is given by

### VALUE (IDOFN)

It should be noted that if ICODE (IDOFN)=0, then VALUE (IDOFN) is ignored.

In order to simplify the solution process, the information stored in arrays ICODE and VALUE is transferred to much larger arrays IFPRE (NPOSN) and PEFIX (NPOSN) respectively, where NPOSN ranges over all the degrees of freedom for the whole finite element mesh. Both IFPRE and PEFIX are initially set equal to zero and as data for each restrained boundary node is read, they are modified if necessary. Unit entries in IFPRE indicate that the associated variable is prescribed. The prescribed value is obtained from the corresponding position in PEFIX.

Finally, the loads applied to the structure must be specified. For the *frontal method of equation solution* employed in later chapters it is convenient to associate the applied loads with the elements on which they act. Thus for each element the nodal loads acting on the two nodes associated with the element must be input and these are stored in the array

### RLOAD (IELEM, IEVAB)

where IELEM indicates the element number and IEVAB relates to the degrees of freedom of the element (IEVAB ranges from 1 to NEVAB, the number of element variables, which is equal to 2 in the present case but which equals 4 in the applications described in Chapter 5). It should be noted that a nodal load may be arbitrarily assigned to any one of the elements connected to that node, since before eventual solution all element contributions are assembled to form a global load vector. Before entering the solution routines the loads are transferred to an array ELOAD (IELEM, IEVAB) as described later in Section 3.7.

Subroutine DATA is now presented and should be largely self-explanatory. Descriptive comments are provided immediately after the FORTRAN listing of the subroutine.

		SUBROUTINE DATA	DATA	1
<b>;</b> *	****	***************************************	DATA	2
	***	INPUTS DATA DEETNING GEOMETRY LOADING BOUNDARY CONDITIONSETC.	DATA	2 4
		IN OID DATA DE INING GEORETRI, BORDING, BOORDING CONDITIONOTOLIOT	DATA	5
Č,	{***	***************************************	DATA	б
		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	DATA	7
		KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	DATA	0 Q
	. '	COMMON/IINTM2/PROPS(5.4), COORD(26), LNODS(25.2), IFPRE(52),	DATA	10
		FIXED(52), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4),	DATA	11
		MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	DATA	12
		TDISP(26,2), TREAC(26,2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52),	DATA	13
		$ \begin{array}{c} \text{REACT}(52), \text{FRESV}(1352), \text{PEFIX}(52), \text{ESIIF}(4,4) \\ \text{DIMENSION TODE}(2), \text{VALUE}(2), \text{TITLE}(18) \end{array} $	DATA	14
		READ (5.965)TITLE	DATA	16
		WRITE(6,965)TITLE	DATA	17
	965	FORMAT(18A4)	DATA	18
		READ(5,900) NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NMATS, NPROP, NNODE, NINCS, NALGO, NDOFN	DATA	20
	900	WRITE(6,905)NPOIN.NELEM.NBOUN.NMATS.NPROP.NNODE,NINCS.NALGO,NDOFN	DATA	21
	905	FORMAT(//1X, 'NPOIN =', 15, 3X, 'NELEM =', 15, 3X, 'NBOUN =', 15, 3X,	DATA	22
		'NMATS =', 15//1X, 'NPROP =', 15, 3X, 'NNODE =', 15, 3X,	DATA	23
		. 'NINCS =',15,3X, 'NALGO =',15//1X, 'NDOFN =',15/	DATA	24 25
		NEVAB=NDOFN*NNODE NSVAB=NDOFN*NPOTN	DATA	26
		WRITE(6,910)	DATA	27
	910	FORMAT(1H0,5X, 'MATERIAL PROPERTIES')	DATA	28
	`	DO 10 IMATS=1,NMATS READ (5 015) IMATS (PROPS(IMATS TPROP) TPROP-1 NPROP)	DATA	29 30
	10	WRITE(6,915) JMATS, (PROPS(JMATS, IPROP), IPROP=1, NPROP)	DATA	31
	915	FORMAT(110,4F15.5)	DATA	32
		WRITE(6,920)	DATA	33
	920	FORMAT(1HO,3X, 'EL NODES MAI.')	DATA	24 25
		READ (5.925) JELEM. (LNODS(JELEM. INODE). INODE=1.NNODE). MATNO(JELEM)	)DATA	36
	20	WRITE(6,925) JELEM, (LNODS(JELEM, INODE), INODE=1, NNODE), MATNO(JELEM)	)DATA	37
	925	FORMAT(415)	DATA	38
	020	WRITE( $6,930$ )	DATA	39 40
	930	DO 30 TPOIN=1.NPOIN	DATA	41
		READ (5,935) JPOIN, COORD(JPOIN)	DATA	42
	30	WRITE(6,935) JPOIN, COORD(JPOIN)	DATA	43
	935	FORMAT(I10,F15.5)	DATA DATA	44
		IFPRE(ISVAB)=0	DATA	46
	40	PEFIX(ISVAB)=0.0	DATA	47
	0.110	IF(NDOFN.EQ.1) WRITE(6,940)	DATA	48
	940	FORMAT(1HO,1X, 'RES.NODE',2X, 'CODE',3X, 'PRES.VALUES')	DATA	49 50
	945	FORMAT(1H0,1X,'RES.NODE',2X,'CODE',3X,'PRES.VALUES',2X,	DATA	51
		<pre>. 'CODE', 3X, 'PRES.VALUES')</pre>	DATA	52
		DO 50 IBOUN=1,NBOUN	DATA	53
		READ (5,950) NODEX, (ICODE(IDOEN), VALUE(IDOEN), IDOEN=1, NDOEN) WRITE(6,950) NODEX (ICODE(IDOEN) VALUE(IDOEN) IDOEN-1, NDOEN)	DATA	54
	950	FORMAT(110,2(15,F15.5))	DATA	56
		NPOSN=(NODFX-1)*NDOFN	DATA	57
		DO 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN	DATA	58
		IFPRE(NPOSN) = TCODE(TDOEN)	DATA	59 60
	50	PEFIX(NPOSN)=VALUE(IDOFN)	DATA	61
	0	WRITE(6,955)	DATA	62
	955	FORMAT(1H0,2X,'ELEMENT',10X,'NODAL LOADS')	DATA	63
		DO OU TELEMEI, NELEM	DATA	64

	DO 60 IEVAB=1.NEVAB	DATA	65
60	RLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0	DATA	66
70	READ (5,960) JELEM, (RLOAD (JELEM, IEVAB), IEVAB=1, NEVAB)	DATA	67
	IF(JELEM.NE.NELEM) GO TO 70	DATA	68
	DO 80 IELEM=1,NELEM	DATA	69
80	WRITE(6,960) IELEM, (RLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB), IEVAB=1, NEVAB)	DATA	70
960	FORMAT(110,5F15.5)	DATA	71
	RETURN	DATA	72
	END	DATA	73

- DATA 16–18 Read and write the problem title.
- DATA 19-24 Read and write the control parameters for the problem.
- DATA 27-32 Read and write the material properties for each individual material.
- DATA 33–38 Read and write the nodal connection numbers and material identification number of each element.
- DATA 39-47 Read and write the coordinate of each nodal point. Also initialise the arrays for locating and recording prescribed values of the unknown.
- DATA 48-61 Read and write the node number and prescribed value for each degree of freedom for each boundary node and store in the global arrays IFPRE and PEFIX.
- DATA 62-71 Read and write the nodal loads for each element.

### 3.3 Subroutine NONAL

The main function of this subroutine is to control the solution process according to the value of the solution algorithm parameter, NALGO, input in subroutine DATA. The subroutine sets the value of indicator KRESL to either 1 or 2 according to NALGO and the current value of the iteration number IITER and increment number IINCS. A value of KRESL=1 indicates that the stiffnesses are to be reformulated and consequently a full system of simultaneous equations must be subsequently solved. If KRESL=2 the stiffnesses are not to be redefined and therefore only equation resolution need be undertaken. In this the reduced equations from the previous solution are stored and only the terms associated with the new loading need be reduced in the solution process. This results in a considerable saving in computation time with equation resolution generally requiring only 20% of the time required for complete analysis. For the algorithm options contained in the four programs presented, the value of KRESL is preset as follows.

- (a) Direct iteration. For this case the stiffnesses must be reformulated, according to (2.3), for every iteration. Consequently KRESL=1 at all stages.
- (b) Newton-Raphson method for quasi-harmonic problems and tangential stiffness method for structural problems. Again the stiffnesses must be reformulated for every iteration according to (2.12) for quasi-harmonic situations and (2.13) for structural applications. Therefore KRESL=1 at all stages.

- (c) Initial stiffness method. In this approach the stiffnesses are calculated once and for all at the beginning of the computation, according to (2.14) and this value is then used throughout. Consequently KRESL=1 for the first iteration of the first load increment and is set equal to 2 thereafter.
- (d) Combination of initial and tangential stiffness methods. In this algorithm the stiffnesses are recalculated only for the first iteration of any load increment and kept constant thereafter until convergence of solution under that particular loading is achieved. Therefore KRESL=1 for the first iteration of any load increment and is set to 2 at all other times. (Alternatively the element stiffnesses may be recomputed at the beginning of the second iteration as described in Section 3.2.)

The final role of subroutine NONAL is to set the vector of prescribed unknowns to the correct values. For the method of direct iteration the problem is completely reanalysed for every iteration and therefore the vector of prescribed unknowns must be introduced unchanged into the solution subroutines at each stage. However, for the three other solution algorithms considered, the processes are essentially accumulative with the value of the unknowns being totalled from the incremental values obtained for each iteration. Therefore, in order to maintain the fixed unknowns at their prescribed values, it is necessary to input the prescribed values into the solution routines for the first iteration of a load increment and then prescribe zero values for all subsequent iterations. In this way the final displacements will equal the prescribed values on convergence of the solution. If the structure is to be loaded by prescribing values of the unknowns then an incremental procedure may be adopted with factored values of the prescribed unknowns being applied sequentially. The prescribed displacements are factored by use of the variable FACTO, whose role is explained in terms of applied loads in Section 3.7. The prescribed values of the unknowns have been permanently stored in array PEFIX in subroutine DATA. These prescribed values, or zero values, required as described above, are transferred to the equation solution subroutines via the array FIXED.

Subroutine NONAL is now presented and explanatory notes provided.

SUBROUTINE	NONAL.				NONL	1
************	***********	*****	*****	******************	NONI.	2
					NONL	3
* SETS INDICAT	FOR TO IDENTIA	TY TYPE OF SO	LUTION ALGOR	ТТНМ	NONL	- 4
					NONL	5
**********	************	**********	*********	****************	FNONL	6
COMMON/UNIM1	1/NPOIN, NELEM	NBOUN, NLOAD,	NPROP, NNODE,	IINCS, IITER,	NONL	7
• .	KRESL, NCHEK	TOLER NALGO.	NSVAB.NDOFN.	NINCS.NEVAB.	NONL	- 8
•	NITER NOUTP	FACTO, PVALU	,,		NONL	9
COMMON/UNIM2	2/PROPS(5,4),	COORD(26),LNO	DS(25,2),IFP	RE(52),	NONL	10
•	FIXED(52).TI	LOAD(25.4).RL	DAD(25.4).EL	OAD(25,4),	NONL	11
•	MATNO(25),S	RES(25,2),PL	AST(25),XDIS	P(52),	NONL	12
	SUBROUTINE	SUBROUTINE NONAL SUBROUTINE NONAL SETS INDICATOR TO IDENTIA COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM NITER,NOUTP COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),( FIXED(52),TI MATNO(25),SI	SUBROUTINE NONAL SUBROUTINE NONAL SETS INDICATOR TO IDENTIFY TYPE OF SOM COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM,NBOUN,NLOAD, KRESL,NCHEK,TOLER,NALGO, NITER,NOUTP,FACTO,PVALU COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),COORD(26),LNOD FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLO MATNO(25),STRES(25,2),PL	SUBROUTINE NONAL SUBROUTINE NONAL SETS INDICATOR TO IDENTIFY TYPE OF SOLUTION ALGOR COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM,NBOUN,NLOAD,NPROP,NNODE, KRESL,NCHEK,TOLER,NALGO,NSVAB,NDOFN,I NITER,NOUTP,FACTO,PVALU COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFP FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLOAD(25,4),ELA MATNO(25),STRES(25,2),PLAST(25),XDIS	SUBROUTINE NONAL SUBROUTINE NONAL SETS INDICATOR TO IDENTIFY TYPE OF SOLUTION ALGORITHM COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM,NBOUN,NLOAD,NPROP,NNODE,IINCS,IITER, KRESL,NCHEK,TOLER,NALGO,NSVAB,NDOFN,NINCS,NEVAB, NITER,NOUTP,FACTO,PVALU COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52), FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLOAD(25,4),ELOAD(25,4), 	SUBROUTINE NONALNONLSUBROUTINE NONALNONLNONLNONLNONLNONLNONLNONL** SETS INDICATOR TO IDENTIFY TYPE OF SOLUTION ALGORITHMNONLNONLNONLCOMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM,NBOUN,NLOAD,NPROP,NNODE,IINCS,IITER,NONL.KRESL,NCHEK,TOLER,NALGO,NSVAB,NDOFN,NINCS,NEVAB,NONL.NITER,NOUTP,FACTO,PVALUNONLCOMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52),NONL.FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLOAD(25,4),ELOAD(25,4),NONL.MATNO(25),STRES(25,2),PLAST(25),XDISP(52),NONL

NONL NONL	13 14
NONL	15
NONL	16
NONL	17
NONL	18
NONL	19
NONL NONL	20 21
NONL	22
NONL	23
NONL	24
NONL	25
NONL	26
NONL NONL	27
NONL	29
	NONL NONL NONL NONL NONL NONL NONL NONL

NONL 15 Preset KRESL to the condition of equation resolution.

- NONL 16 For the *direct iteration method* set KRESL=1 for recomputation of the stiffnesses at all stages.
- NONL 17 For the Newton-Raphson method for quasi-harmonic problems or the tangential stiffness method for structural problems, recompute the stiffnesses at all stages.
- NONL 18 For the *initial stiffness method* for structural problems, compute the stiffnesses only at the beginning of the computation procedure.
- NONL 19 For the *combined initial and tangential stiffness approach* and NALGO=4, recompute the stiffnesses at the first iteration of each load increment only.
- NONL 20-21 For the initial/tangential approach with the option NALGO =5 (Section 3.2), the stiffnesses are recalculated on the 2nd iteration of any load increment. However, at the start of the computation the stiffnesses must be evaluated.
- NONL 22 For all stages of the direct iteration method or the first iteration of the other techniques, go to 20 to set the unknowns equal to the prescribed values.
- NONL 23-25 Set the vector of prescribed unknowns to zero and return.
- NONL 26–27 Set the vector of prescribed unknowns equal to the input prescribed values multiplied by a specified factor.

### 3.4 Subroutines for equation assembly and solution

For finite element analysis by the displacement process, the stiffness and load contributions of each element must be assembled into the global stiffness matrix and load vector respectively. The resulting set of simultaneous equations must then be solved to give the unknown nodal values. These aspects have been dealt with in detail elsewhere<sup>(1-3)</sup> and only the essential steps of the process will be reproduced here.

#### 3.4.1 Numerical example of equation assembly and solution

In order to introduce the global stiffness matrix assembly and equation solution process we consider the example of a simple axial load structure shown in Fig. 3.2. The structure is subdivided into four elements in each of which a linear displacement variation is assumed. At each node i of the element there is an axial displacement degree of freedom,  $\phi_i$ .



Fig. 3.2 Structural example for illustration of equation solution process.

The stiffness matrix for this element has already been derived in Section 2.5 and is given, for elastic material behaviour, by equation (2.38). The element stiffness matrices can be written as

$$K_{\rm I} = k_{\rm I} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_{\rm II} = k_{\rm II} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$K_{\rm III} = k_{\rm III} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_{\rm IV} = k_{\rm IV} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$k_{\rm I} = \frac{E^{({\rm I})} A^{({\rm I})}}{L^{({\rm I})}}, \,\,{\rm etc.},$$
 (3.2)

in which  $E^{(I)}$ ,  $A^{(I)}$  and  $L^{(I)}$  are respectively the elastic modulus, crosssectional area and length of element I. The vector of applied nodal forces for each element is

$$f_{\mathrm{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathrm{I}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f_{\mathrm{II}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f_{\mathrm{III}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f_{\mathrm{IV}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (3.3)

The vectors of the unknown nodal displacements for the elements are

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{II}} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{III}} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_3 \\ \phi_4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{IV}} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_4 \\ \phi_5 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

We also assume the following prescribed displacement values

$$\phi_2 = \phi_p, \quad \phi_5 = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

The Theorem of Minimum Total Potential Energy will now be used to derive the stiffness equations for this problem. The total potential energy for each element may be calculated separately. For example, the total potential energy of element I can be expressed as

$$\pi_{\rm I} = \frac{1}{2} [\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\rm I}]^T \boldsymbol{K}_{\rm I} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\rm I} - [\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\rm I}]^T \boldsymbol{f}_{\rm I} = \frac{k_{\rm I}}{2} (\phi_1 - \phi_3)^2 - P_1 \phi_1. \tag{3.6}$$

The augmented total potential energy of the assemblage is given by the sum of the individual element potentials plus extra terms to account for the prescribed values

$$\pi = \pi_{\rm I} + \pi_{\rm II} + \pi_{\rm III} + \pi_{\rm IV} - R_2(\phi_2 - \phi_p) - R_5(\phi_5 - 0) \tag{3.7}$$

Note that  $R_2$  and  $R_5$  are the associated nodal reactions.

Using the principle of minimum potential energy, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \phi_1} = k_{\rm I}(\phi_1 - \phi_3) - P_1 = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \phi_2} = k_{\rm II}(\phi_2 - \phi_3) = R_2,$$

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \phi_3} = k_{\rm I}(\phi_3 - \phi_1) + k_{\rm II}(\phi_3 - \phi_2) + k_{\rm III}(\phi_3 - \phi_4) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \phi_4} = k_{\rm III}(\phi_4 - \phi_3) + k_{\rm IV}(\phi_4 - \phi_5) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \phi_5} = k_{\rm IV}(\phi_5 - \phi_4) = R_5.$$
(3.8)

These equilibrium equations for the assembled elements of the structure can be expressed in matrix form as

The assembly process can be clearly appreciated by comparing the individual stiffness matrices (3.1), and load vectors (3.3), with the final assemblage. Obviously, the individual element contributions can be added directly into the overall stiffness matrix of the structure in positions appropriate to the element nodal connection numbers.

It is noted that the global stiffness matrix is both symmetric and banded. By banded we mean that all the non-zero stiffness coefficients lie within a band adjacent to the leading diagonal. Banding of the stiffness equations is a direct consequence of the order in which the nodal points are numbered.

In the equation solution subroutines presented later in Sections 3.4.2–3.4.5 no advantage will be taken of the banded symmetric form of the stiffness equations.

Some elementary concepts of equation solution are now introduced. In particular we describe the Gaussian direct elimination process which will be used in a more efficient form in the main solution routine described later in Chapter 6.

# **3.4.1.1** Gaussian direct elimination method for the solution of simultaneous equation systems

Formulation of the global stiffness matrix resulted in equation system (3.9) which is of the general form

$$k_{11}\phi_{1} + k_{12}\phi_{2} + k_{13}\phi_{3} + \dots + k_{1n}\phi_{n} = f_{1}$$

$$k_{21}\phi_{1} + k_{22}\phi_{2} + k_{23}\phi_{3} + \dots + k_{2n}\phi_{n} = f_{2}$$

$$\dots + k_{n1}\phi_{1} + k_{n2}\phi_{2} + k_{n3}\phi_{3} + \dots + k_{nn}\phi_{n} = f_{n}.$$
(3.10)

The Gaussian direct elimination method seeks to reduce equation system (3.10) to the following triangular form<sup>(4)</sup>

$$k_{11}'\phi_{1}+k_{12}'\phi_{2}+k_{13}'\phi_{3}+\ldots k'_{1,n-1}\phi_{n-1}+k'_{1n}\phi_{n} = f_{1}'$$

$$0 + k_{22}'\phi_{2}+k_{23}'\phi_{3}+\ldots k'_{2,n-1}\phi_{n-1}+k'_{2n}\phi_{n} = f_{2}'$$

$$0 + 0 + k_{33}'\phi_{3}+\ldots k'_{3,n-1}\phi_{n-1}+k'_{3n}\phi_{n} = f_{3}'$$

$$\dots$$

$$k'_{n-1,n-1}\phi_{n-1}+k'_{n-1,n}\phi_{n} = f'_{n-1}$$

$$k'_{nn}\phi_{n} = f_{n}'.$$
(3.11)

Then all the unknowns can be systematically determined by taking these *reduced* equations in reverse order, since each new equation, proceeding in an upward direction, only introduces one additional unknown value. The last equation is solved for  $\phi_n$ , then  $\phi_{n-1}$  can be recovered from the next equation and so on. This phase of the solution scheme is termed *back-substitution*.

### **3.4.1.2** The equation reduction or elimination phase

Reduction of system (3.10) to the form (3.11) can be accomplished by employing the *i*<sup>th</sup> equation to eliminate  $\phi_i$  from all equations below, i.e. from equations *i*+1 to *n*. Formally this can be done by subtracting from the *r*<sup>th</sup> equation (*i* < *r*  $\leq$  *n*), the *i*<sup>th</sup> equation factored by  $k_{ri}^{(i)}/k_{ii}^{(i)}$ , where the superscript *i* indicates that these coefficients have been already modified (i-1) times prior to the elimination of the *i*<sup>th</sup> degree of freedom. For example, the first equation is used to eliminate  $\phi_1$  from equations 2 to *n* as follows:

$$k_{11}\phi_{1} + k_{12}\phi_{2} + k_{13}\phi_{3} + \dots + k_{1n}\phi_{n} = f_{1}$$

$$0.\phi_{1} + \left(k_{22} - k_{12}\frac{k_{21}}{k_{11}}\right)\phi_{2} + \left(k_{23} - k_{13}\frac{k_{21}}{k_{11}}\right)\phi_{3} + \dots + \left(k_{2n} - k_{1n}\frac{k_{21}}{k_{11}}\right)\phi_{n} = f_{2} - f_{1}\frac{k_{21}}{k_{11}}$$

$$0.\phi_{1} + \left(k_{n2} - k_{12}\frac{k_{n1}}{k_{11}}\right)\phi_{2} + \left(k_{n3} - k_{13}\frac{k_{n1}}{k_{11}}\right)\phi_{3} + \dots + \left(k_{nn} - k_{1n}\frac{k_{n1}}{k_{11}}\right)\phi_{n} = f_{n} - f_{1}\frac{k_{n1}}{k_{11}}.$$

$$(3.12)$$

Then the second equation is used to eliminate  $\phi_2$  from equations 3 to *n* and so on. Note that the modified terms in the equation system are still symmetric.

### 3.4.1.3 The case of a prescribed displacement

If a displacement is prescribed its value is known. Therefore the nodal force necessary to maintain the specified displacement becomes the unknown value associated with the node. Suppose for example that  $\phi_2$  is prescribed to be some given value  $\phi_p$ , in which case  $f_2$  is the reaction value. In this case the elimination of  $\phi_2$  is trivial and all that need be done is to substitute  $\phi_2 = \phi_p$  in equations 3 to *n* and transfer the now known quantity

$$k_{r2}'\phi_p \quad (3 \leqslant r \leqslant n)$$

to the right-hand side of each equation. This is illustrated below

$$k_{11}\phi_{1} + k_{12}\phi_{2} + k_{13}\phi_{3} + \dots + k_{1n}\phi_{n} = f_{1}$$

$$0.\phi_{1} + k_{22}'\phi_{2} + k_{23}'\phi_{3} + \dots + k_{2n}'\phi_{n} = f_{2}$$

$$0.\phi_{1} + 0.\phi_{2} + k_{33}'\phi_{3} + \dots + k_{3n}'\phi_{n} = f_{3} - k_{32}'\phi_{p}$$

$$\dots$$

$$0.\phi_{1} + 0.\phi_{2} + k_{n3}'\phi_{3} + \dots + k_{nn}'\phi_{n} = f_{n} - k_{n2}'\phi_{p}.$$
(3.13)

For the particular case of a zero prescribed displacement value due to a pinned support, an alternative approach is to delete the row and column corresponding to the zero displacement from the equation system. The column can be deleted since it always multiplies a zero quantity and the row is removed since it only relates to equilibrium at the supported node. However this means that if the support reaction is required, it must be computed separately from the element forces meeting at the pinned node.

The complete solution process is best illustrated by application to a particular problem. We will now substitute explicit values for the terms contained in (3.9) in order to permit numerical solution. Assume that

$$k_{\rm I} = 1, \quad k_{\rm II} = 2, \quad k_{\rm III} = 3, \quad k_{\rm IV} = 4, \quad P_1 = 10, \quad \phi_p = 2,$$
 (3.14)

then equations (3.9) can be written as

$$\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 - \phi_3 + 0.\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = 10 \tag{3.15a}$$

$$0.\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 - 2\phi_3 + 0.\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = R_2; \quad \phi_2 = 2 \quad (3.15b)$$

$$-\phi_1 - 2\phi_2 + 6\phi_3 - 3\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = 0 \tag{3.15c}$$

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 - 3\phi_3 + 7\phi_4 - 4\phi_5 = 0 \tag{3.15d}$$

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 + 0.\phi_3 - 4\phi_4 + 4\phi_5 = R_5; \quad \phi_5 = 0. \quad (3.15e)$$

where  $R_2$  and  $R_5$  are the nodal reactions associated with the displacement values prescribed at nodes 2 and 5. For example,  $R_2$  must balance the sum of the elastic forces provided by all the elements meeting at node 2. We also imply by the notation adopted that  $\phi_2 = 2$ .

To solve these equations by the Gaussian reduction process we first eliminate  $\phi_1$  from all equations, except (3.15a). Then we eliminate  $\phi_2$  from all equations below (3.15b), then  $\phi_3$  is eliminated from all equations below (3.15c) and so on. Therefore, we eliminate a particular variable only below the current or active equation. (If we are eliminating  $\phi_r$ , the  $r^{\text{th}}$  equation is active.)

We commence the process by eliminating  $\phi_1$  from equations (3.15b)– (3.15e) by using (3.15a). In fact, we need only operate on (3.15c) since  $\phi_1$ does not appear in the other equations. Thus we eliminate  $\phi_1$  from (3.15c) by adding (3.15a) to (3.15c). This gives the first reduced set of equations as

$$\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 - \phi_3 + 0.\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = 10 \tag{3.16a}$$

$$0.\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 - 2\phi_3 + 0.\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = R_2; \phi_2 = 2$$
 (3.16b)

$$0.\phi_1 - 2\phi_2 + 5\phi_3 - 3\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = 10 \qquad (3.16c)$$

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 - 3\phi_3 + 7\phi_4 - 4\phi_5 = 0 \tag{3.16d}$$

$$0.\phi_1+0.\phi_2+0.\phi_3-4\phi_4+4\phi_5=R_5; \phi_5=0.$$
 (3.16e)

Next we eliminate  $\phi_2$  from (3.16c)–(3.16e) by using (3.16b). In fact, since  $\phi_2$  is prescribed to be 2, all we need do is substitute  $\phi_2 = 2$  directly into the remaining equations. We also do this for (3.16b) in this case.

$$\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 - \phi_3 + 0.\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = 10 \tag{3.17a}$$

$$\begin{array}{rl} 0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 - & 2\phi_3 + 0.\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = & -4 + R_2; \\ & \phi_2 = 2 \end{array} \quad (3.17b)$$

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 + 5\phi_3 - 3\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = 14$$
 (3.17c)

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 - 3\phi_3 + 7\phi_4 - 4\phi_5 = 0 \tag{3.17d}$$

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 + 0.\phi_3 - 4\phi_4 + 4\phi_5 = R_5; \quad \phi_5 = 0.$$
 (3.17e)

We then use (3.17c) to eliminate  $\phi_3$  from (3.17d) and (3.17e). We need only operate on (3.17d), since  $\phi_3$  does not appear in (3.17e), and in particular we add (3.17d) to 3/5 of (3.17c).

$$\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 - \phi_3 + 0.\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = 10 \tag{3.18a}$$

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 - 2\phi_3 + 0.\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = -4 + R_2; \phi_2 = 2$$
 (3.18b)

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 + 5\phi_3 - 3\phi_4 + \phi_5 = 14$$
(3.18c)

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 + 0.\phi_3 + \frac{26}{5}\phi_4 - 4\phi_5 = \frac{42}{5}$$
(3.18d)

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 + 0.\phi_3 - 4\phi_4 + 4\phi_5 = R_5; \quad \phi_5 = 0.$$
 (3.18e)

To complete the *elimination* process, we eliminate  $\phi_4$  from (3.18e) by adding (3.18e) to 20/26 of (3.18d).

$$\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 - \phi_3 + 0.\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = 10 \tag{3.19a}$$

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 - 2\phi_3 + 0.\phi_4 + 0.\phi_5 = -4 + R_2; \quad \phi_2 = 2 \quad (3.19b)$$

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 + 5\phi_3 - 3\phi_4 + \phi_5 = 14$$
(3.19c)

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 + 0.\phi_3 + \frac{26}{5}\phi_4 - 4\phi_5 = \frac{42}{5}$$
(3.19d)

$$0.\phi_1 + 0.\phi_2 + 0.\phi_3 + 0.\phi_4 + \frac{12}{13}\phi_5 = \frac{84}{13} + R_5; \quad \phi_5 = 0. \quad (3.19e)$$

We now have a set of equations which can be solved directly if we take them in reverse order. Starting with (3.19e) we have  $R_5 = -84/13$ , since  $\phi_5 = 0$ . Knowing  $\phi_5$  then (3.19d) gives  $\phi_4 = 21/13$ . Having obtained  $\phi_4$  and  $\phi_5$ equation (3.19c) gives  $\phi_3 = 49/13$ . Then knowing  $\phi_3$ ,  $\phi_4$ ,  $\phi_5$  and with  $\phi_2$ prescribed, (3.19b) gives  $R_2 = -46/13$  immediately. Finally we complete the *back substitution* process by determining  $\phi_1$  from (3.19a) since  $\phi_2$ ,  $\phi_3$ ,  $\phi_4$ are known at this stage. This gives  $\phi_1 = 179/13$ . Since the above procedure is quite systematic it can be readily programmed.

The global stiffness matrix must be assembled and the stiffness equations reduced only if the element stiffnesses have been changed for the current iteration. The full assembly and reduction process must be followed if KRESL = 1, but only the global load vector need be formed and reduced if KRESL = 2. In this way a considerable number of arithmetic operations are avoided if only equation resolution is to be undertaken. This facility is incorporated in the equation solution subroutines presented in the following sections.

The principles discussed in this section can now be repeated as a FORTRAN operation. Four subroutines are presented which undertake the respective tasks of equation assembly, equation reduction by Gaussian direct elimination, the back substitution process and reduction of subsequent load vectors for equation resolution.

### 3.4.2 Subroutine ASSEMB

This subroutine assembles the element nodal loads to form the global load vector. Also, the contributions of individual elements are assembled to form the global stiffness matrix. The variables employed in the subroutine are listed below and descriptive notes are again provided immediately after the FORTRAN listing.

ASLOD (MSVAB)	ASsembled LOaD vector
ASTIF (MSVAB, MSVAB)	Assembled global STIFfness matrix
RLOAD (MEVAB)	Element load vector
ESTIF (MEVAB, MEVAB)	Element STIFfness matrix
IELEM, NELEM, MELEM	Index, Number, Maximum of
	ELEMents
IFILE	Input FILE
IDOFN, JDOFN, NODFN	Index, Index, Number of Degrees Of
	Freedom per Node
INODE, JNODE, NNODE,	Index, Index, Number, Maximum of
MNODE	NODes per Element
ISVAB, JSVAB, MSVAB,	Index, Index, Maximum, Number of
NSVAB	global Structural VAriaBles
JFILE	Output file
KRESL	Equation resolution index
LNODS (MELEM, MNODE)	ELement NODe numberS listed for
	each element
NODEI	NODE I
NODEJ	NODE J
NCOLS	Number of the COLumn in the global
	Structural stiffness matrix
NROWS ·	Number of the ROW in the global
	Structural stiffness matrix and load
	vector

Dictionary of variable names (with dimensions)

NCOLE

### NROWE

**MEVAB** 

Number of the COLumn in the Element stiffness matrix Number of the ROW in the Element stiffness matrix and load vector Maximum of Element VAriaBles

		SUBROUTINE ASSEMB	ASEM	1
С*	***	***************************************	*ASEM	2
С			ASEM	3
С	***	ELEMENT ASSEMBLY ROUTINE	ASEM	4
С.			ASEM	5
C*	***	***************************************	*ASEM	6
		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	ASEM	7
		. KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	ASEM	ğ
		. NITER, NUTP, FACTO, PVALU	ASEM	10
		COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4), COURD(20), LNODS(25,2), LPPRE(52), EXERCISE (52), TROAD(26, 4), ELOAD(26,	ASEM	10
		MATNO(25) STRES(25,2) PLAST(25,4), ELOAD(25,4), MATNO(25) STRES(25,2) PLAST(25) STRES(52)	ASEM	12
		TDISP(26, 2) TREAC(26, 2) ASTIF(52, 52) ASIAD(52)	ASEM	13
		$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}$	ASEM	14
С			ASEM	15
Ċ		ELEMENT ASSEMBLY ROUTINE	ASEM	16
С			ASEM	17
		REWIND 1	ASEM	18
		DO 10 ISVAB=1,NSVAB	ASEM	19
	10	ASLOD(ISVAB)=0.0	ASEM	20
		IF (KRESL.EQ.2) GO TO 30	ASEM	21
		DO 20 ISVAB=1,NSVAB	ASEM	22
	20	DU ZU JSVAB=I,NSVAB ASTIE(ISVAP ISVAP)-0.0	ASEM	23
	20	CONTINUE	ASEM	24
C	0	CONTINUE	ASEM	26
č		ASSEMBLE THE ELEMENT LOADS	ASEM	27
č			ASEM	28
		DO 50 IELEM=1.NELEM	ASEM	29
		READ(1) ESTIF	ASEM	30
		DO 40 INODE=1,NNODE	ASEM	31
		NODEI=LNODS(IELEM, INODE)	ASEM	32
		DO 40 IDOFN=1,NDOFN	ASEM	33
		NROWS=(NODEL-1)*NDOFN + 1DOFN	ASEM	34
		NROWE=(1NODE-1)*NDOFN + IDOFN	ASEM	35
c		ASLOD(NROWS)=ASLOD(NROWS) + ELOAD(IELEM, NROWE)	ASEM	30
ĉ		ASSEMBLE THE ELEMENT STIERNESS MATDICES	ASEM	31
č		ASSEMBLE THE ELEMENT SITEPHESS MAINICES	ASEM	
•		TE(KRESL, FO. 2) GO TO 40	ASEM	20
		DO 40 JNODE = $1.$ NNODE	ASEM	40
		NODEJ=LNODS(IELEM, JNODE)	ASEM	42
		DO 40 JDOFN =1, NDOFN	ASEM	43
		NCOLS=(NODEJ-1)*NDOFN + JDOFN	ASEM	44
		NCOLE=(JNODE-1)*NDOFN + JDOFN	ASEM	45
	1	ASTIF(NROWS, NCOLS) = ASTIF(NROWS, NCOLS) + ESTIF(NROWE, NCOLE)	ASEM	46
	40	CONTINUE	ASEM	47
	50		ASEM	48
			ASEM	49
			ASEM	50

ASEM 18 Rewind file ready for reading the individual element stiffness matrices.

ASEM 19-20 Set the global load vector, ASLOD, to zero.

- ASEM 21–25 If only equation resolution is to be performed during this iteration, do not set the global stiffness coefficients to zero.
- ASEM 29 Loop for each element.
- ASEM 30 Read ESTIF for the current element.
- ASEM 31 Loop for each node 'INODE' of current element.
- ASEM 32 From LNODS array identify node number of current node 'INODE'.
- ASEM 33 Loop for each degree of freedom of the current node 'INODE'.
- ASEM 34 Establish the row position in the global stiffness matrix and load vector.
- ASEM 35 Establish the row position in the element stiffness matrix and load vector.
- ASEM 36 Add the contribution to the global load vector from the element load vector.
- ASEM 40 If equation resolution is to be performed, avoid assembling the global stiffness matrix.
- ASEM 41 Loop for each node 'JNODE' of the current element.
- ASEM 42 From LNODS array identify node number of current node 'JNODE'.
- ASEM 43 Loop for each degree of freedom of the current node 'JNODE'.
- ASEM 44 Establish the column position in the global stiffness matrix.
- ASEM 45 Establish the column position in the element stiffness matrix.
- ASEM 46 Add the contribution to the global stiffness matrix from the element stiffness matrix.
- ASEM 48 End element loop.

For the problem described in Section 3.4.1, the main variables have the following values

NNODE = 2, NELEM = 4, NDOFN = 1, NSVAB = 5,

LNODS =  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$  — Element II - Element III - Element IV.

### **3.4.3** Subroutine GREDUC

This subroutine undertakes the equation elimination process for equation solution by Gaussian reduction as outlined in Section 3.4.1. The additional variable names employed are defined below.

Dictionary of variable names

ASLOD (MEQNS)	ASembled LOaD vector.
ASTIF (MEQNS, MEQNS)	Assembled global STIFfness matrix.

IEQNS, NEQNS, MEQNS	Index, Number, Maximum of EQuatioNS.
IFPRE (MEQNS)	Vector of parameters defining the fixity of a node. $0 - $ free; $1 - $ fixed.
FIXED (MEQNS)	Vector of prescribed displacements (zero if not prescribed).
ICOLS	Index COLumn of Structural stiffness matrix.
IROWS	Index ROW of Structural stiffness matrix.
FACTR	Gaussian reduction FACToR.
FRESV ()	Stored Gaussian reduction factors.
PIVOT	Diagonal term of variable which is cur- rently being eliminated.

_ **		SUBROUTINE GREDUC	GRED	1
C*	***	***************************************	*GRED	2
C C	***	CAUSSIAN DEDUCTION DOUTINE	CPED	2
C C		GAUSSIAN REDUCTION ROUTINE	CRED	4 5
C*	***	**************	*CRFD	6
0.		COMMON/UNTM1/NPOTN NELEM NROUN NLOAD NPROP NNODE TINCS TITER	GRED	7
		VREST NCHER TOLER NALCO NEVAR NDOEN NINCS, NEVAR	GRED	Ŕ
		NITER NOUTP FACTO PVALU	GRED	q
		COMMON/UNTM2/PROPS(5.4), COORD(26), LNODS(25.2), TEPRE(52),	GRED	10
		= FIXED(52), TLOAD(25, 4), RLOAD(25, 4), FLOAD(25, 4).	GRED	11
		MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	GRED	12
		TDISP(26.2), TREAC(26.2), ASTIF(52.52), ASLOD(52).	GRED	13
		REACT(52), $FRESV(1352)$ , $PEFIX(52)$ , $ESTIF(4.4)$	GRED	14
С			GRED	15
С		GAUSSIAN REDUCTION ROUTINE	GRED	16
С			GRED	17
		KOUNT=0	GRED	18
		NEQNS=NSVAB	GRED	19
		DO 70 IEQNS=1, NEQNS	GRED	20
		IF(IFPRE(IEQNS).EQ.1) GO TO 40	GRED	21
С			GRED	22
ç		REDUCE EQUATIONS	GRED	23
C			GRED	24
		PIVUT=ASTIF(IEQNS, IEQNS)	GRED	25
		IF (ABS(PIVOT), LT. 1.0E-10) GO TO 60	GRED	26
		IF(IEQNS.EQ.NEQNS) GO IO (O IFON1_IFONS.1	GRED	21
		TEQNIELEQNO+1	CRED	20
		KOINT-KOINT-1	CRED	29
		FACTR-ASTIF(IROWS IFONS)/PIVOT	GRED	21
		FRESV(KOUNT)-FACTR	GRED	32
		IF(FACTR.EQ.0.0) GO TO 30	GRED	22
		DO 10 ICOLS=IEQNS, NEQNS	GRED	34
	10	ASTIF(IROWS, ICOLS) = ASTIF(IROWS, ICOLS) = FACTR*ASTIF(IEQNS, ICOLS) CONTINUE	GRED GRED	35
		ASLOD(IROWS)=ASLOD(IROWS)-FACTR*ASLOD(IEONS)	GRED	37
	30	CONTINUE	GRED	38
	-	GO TO 70	GRED	39
С			GRED	40
С		ADJUST RHS(LOADS) FOR PRESCRIBED DISPLACEMENTS	GRED	41

•	С		GRED	42
	<b>~</b> 4	O DO 50 IROWS=IEQNS, NEQNS	GRED	43
		ASLOD(IROWS)=ASLOD(IROWS)-ASTIF(IROWS, IEQNS)*FIXED(IEQNS)	GRED	44
	5	O CONTINUE	GRED	45
		GO TO 70	GRED	46
	6	50 WRITE(6,900)	GRED	-47
	90	O FORMAT(5X, 15HINCORRECT PIVOT)	GRED	48
	•	STOP	GRED	-49
	7	70 CONTINUE	GRED	50
		RETURN	GRED	51
		END	GRED	52

- **GRED 18** Set the counter over the Gaussian reduction factorisation terms to zero.
- GRED 19 Set the number of equations to be solved equal to the total number of variables in the structure, NSVAB.
- GRED 20 Loop for each equation—this equation is associated with the variable about to be eliminated.
- **GRED 21** If this variable is fixed, skip to 40.
- **GRED 25** Extract PIVOT—the leading diagonal term.
- GRED 26 Check for zero PIVOT in which case write a message and stop the program.
- GRED 27-38 Alter equations below equation 'IEQNS', not those above, according to (3.12). Note that the Gaussian factorisation terms are stored for use during equation resolution.
- GRED 43-45 For prescribed variables adjust the R.H.S. (or load) terms according to (3.13).
- GRED 47-49 For an invalid pivot value, write a message and terminate execution of the program.

For the problem considered in Section 3.4.1 the main variables have the following values:

NEQNS = 5, ASLOD = 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 10\\0\\0\\0\\0\end{bmatrix}$$
, modified ASLOD =  $\begin{bmatrix} 10\\-4\\14\\42/5\\84/13\end{bmatrix}$ 

$$ASTIF = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 6 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 7 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, ASTIF = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 26/5 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12/13 \end{bmatrix}$$

53

$$IFPRE = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0\\1\end{bmatrix}, FIXED = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\2\\0\\0\\0\\0\end{bmatrix}.$$

The computational effort in this reduction process is proportional to  $n^3$ . This can be approximately halved if we take advantage of the symmetry of the stiffness matrices.

### 3.4.4 Subroutine BAKSUB

The object of this subroutine is to perform the back substitution process required after equation elimination by Gaussian reduction. This results in sequential solution for all the unknowns and reactions at nodal points at which values of the unknown have been prescribed. In the nonlinear solution processes described in Chapter 2, the values of the unknown determined during any iteration may or may not be the total values depending on the solution algorithm being employed. If the method of direct iteration is being used, then, according to equation (2.3), the value of  $\varphi$  determined during any iteration is the total value. For all other solution techniques considered the total values of the unknown are accumulated according to the corrections determined during each iteration, as indicated for example by (2.12).

Therefore, for the direct iteration process, it is simply necessary to transfer the calculated values of the unknowns and the reactions to the arrays TDISP (ISVAB, IDOFN) and TREAC (ISVAB, IDOFN) for output later. This transfer is only necessary to allow the same subroutine to be employed for output of results for all four programs.

Subroutine BAKSUB will now be presented in a form suitable for nonlinear solution dy direct iteration.

ASLOD (MEQNS)	Reduced load vector.
ASTIF (MEQNS, MEQNS)	Reduced global stiffness matrix.
IEQNS, NEQNS, MEQNS	Index, Number, Maximum of EQatioNS.
IFPRE (MEQNS)	Vector of parameters defining the fixing of a node. $0 - $ free; $1 - $ fixed.
FIXED (MEQNS)	Vector of prescribed displacements (zero if not prescribed).
PIVOT	Diagonal term of variable currently being evaluated.
REACT (MEQNS)	REACTions at nodes with prescribed displacements.
XDISP (MEQNS)	Displacement at nodes.

Dictionary of variable names

		SUBROUTINE BAKSUB	BAKS	1
പ	***	***************************************	<b>BAKS</b>	2
č			BAKS	3
č	***	BACK-SUBSTITUTION ROUTINE	BAKS	4
ř			BAKS	5
č	***	***************************************	<b>BAKS</b>	6
Č		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	BAKS	7
	•	KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB, NTTER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU	BAKS BAKS	8 9
	•	COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4).COORD(26).LNODS(25,2).IFPRE(52).	BAKS	10
		FIXED(52), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4),	BAKS	11
		MATNO(25).STRES(25.2).PLAST(25).XDISP(52).	BAKS	12
	-	TDISP(26,2), TREAC(26,2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52),	BAKS	13
	•	RFACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4)	BAKS	14
c			BAKS	15
č		BACK-SUBSTITUTION ROUTINE	BAKS	16
č			BAKS	17
v		NEONS=NSVAB	BAKS	18
		DO 10 IEQNS=1.NEQNS	BAKS	19
		REACT(IEQNS)=0.0	BAKS	20
	10	CONTINUE	BAKS	21
		NEQN1=NEQNS+1	BAKS	22
		DO 40 IEQNS=1.NEQNS	BAKS	23
		NBACK=NEQN1-IEQNS	BAKS	24
		PIVOT=ASTIF(NBACK,NBACK)	BAKS	25
		RESID=ASLOD(NBACK)	BAKS	26
		IF(NBACK.EQ.NEQNS) GO TO 30	BAKS	27
		NBAC1=NBACK+1	BAKS	28
		DO 20 ICOLS=NBAC1, NEQNS	BAKS	29
		RESID=RESID-ASTIF(NBACK, ICOLS)*XDISP(ICOLS)	BAKS	30
	20	CONTINUE	BAKS	31
	30	IF(IFPRE(NBACK).EQ.0) XDISP(NBACK)=RESID/PIVOT	BAKS	32
		IF(IFPRE(NBACK).EQ.1) XDISP(NBACK)=FIXED(NBACK)	BAKS	- 33
		IF(IFPRE(NBACK).EQ.1) REACT(NBACK)=-RESID	BAKS	34
	40	CONTINUE	BAKS	35
		KOUNT=0	BAKS	36
		DO 50 IPOIN=1,NPOIN	BAKS	- 37
		DO 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN	BAKS	- 38
		KOUNT=KOUNT+1	BAKS	- 39
		TDISP(IPOIN, IDOFN) = XDISP(KOUNT)	BAKS	40
	50	TREAC(IPOIN, IDOFN) = REACT(KOUNT)	BAKS	41
		RETURN	BAKS	42
		END	BAKS	43

- BAKS 19–21 Zero space for reactions.
- BAKS 22-24 Loop backwards over each equation.
- **BAKS 25** Use the same PIVOT as in subroutine GREDUC.
- **BAKS 27** For the last equation (the first to be solved) we do not have any other variables to substitute (i.e. bypass the loop).
- BAKS 28-31 Evaluate RESID from previously calculated variables.
- **BAKS 32** If the variable is not prescribed evaluate the variable.
- BAKS 34 If the variable is prescribed evaluate the R.H.S. reaction.
- BAKS 36-41 Store the solved variables and reactions in new arrays for output.

For the problem described in Section 3.4.1, the arrays employed in addition to those utilised in Subroutine GREDUC have the following values:

TDISP = XDISP =	ר 179/13 <sub>ב</sub> ,	TREAC = REACT =	ך 0 ך
	2		-46/13
	49/13		0
	21/13		0
	0		84/13 ] .

It should be noted that nonzero reactions are obtained only for nodal positions at which the value of the unknown has been prescribed. For the Newton-Raphson, Tangential Stiffness and Initial Stiffness methods, the calculated unknowns and reactions must be accumulated from the values obtained during each iteration. Therefore, for these applications, statements BAKS 36-41 in the above listing must be replaced by

	KOUNT=0	BAKS	36
	DO 50 IPOIN=1,NPOIN	BAKS	37
	DO 50 IDOFN=1.NDOFN	BAKS	- 38
	KOUNT=KOUNT+1	BAKS	- 39
	TDISP(IPOIN, IDOFN) TDISP(IPOIN, IDOFN) + XDISP(KOUNT)	BAKS	40
50	<pre>TREAC(IPOIN, IDOFN) = TREAC(IPOIN, IDOFN) + REACT(KOUNT)</pre>	BAKS	41

with the arrays TDISP and TREAC being initially set to zero at the beginning of the program.

For these three solution algorithms a final further programming addition must be made. When determining the residual forces according to (2.4), the contribution to f of the reactions at nodal points at which the value of the unknown is prescribed must be accounted for, since any reactions can be interpreted as additional applied loads necessary to maintain the prescribed value of the unknown. Therefore, the evaluated reactions must be added into the vector of applied nodal loads at every iteration. This task can be accomplished by the following coding inserted immediately before the **RETURN** statement:

	DO 90 IPOIN=1,NPOIN	BAKS	42
	DO 60 IELEM=1, NELEM	BAKS	43
	DO 60 INODE=1,NNODE	BAKS	44
	NLOCA=LNODS(IELEM, INODE)	BAKS	45
60	IF(IPOIN.EQ.NLOCA) GO TO 70	BAKS	46
70	DO 80 IDOFN=1,NDOFN	BAKS	47
	NPOSN=(IPOIN-1)*NDOFN+IDOFN	BAKS	48
	IEVAB=(INODE_1)*NDOFN+IDOFN	BAKS	49
80	TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)+REACT(NPOSN)	BAKS	50
90	CONTINUE	BAKS	51

BAKS 42 Loop over each nodal point.

BAKS 43-46 Search through the element nodal connections until one is found corresponding to the nodal point currently under consideration. As soon as one is found, abandon the search. Note that it is immaterial in which element the node is found since all element contributions will be finally assembled. BAKS 47-50 Add the nodal reaction into the appropriate position in the array of applied element loads.

# 3.4.5 Subroutine RESOLV

As stated in Section 3.4.1, for equation resolution (indicated by KRESL = 2) only the global load vector need be formed and reduced. Subroutine **RESOLV** merely reduces the R.H.S. (or load) terms by standard Gaussian elimination using the same operations as employed in Subroutine GREDUC, Section 3.4.3. The Gaussian factorisation terms were evaluated and stored in GREDUC and are now utilised in this subroutine. The programming logic follows that of Subroutine GREDUC and can be readily understood by reference to Section 3.4.3.

		SUBROUTINE RESOLV	RSLV	1
C*	***1	***************************************	RSLV RSLV	2
č	***	RESOLVING GAUSSIAN REDUCTION ROUTINE	RSLV	4
č			RSLV	5
Č*	***1	***************************************	RSLV	6
-		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM.NBOUN.NLOAD.NPROP.NNODE.IINCS.IITER.	RSLV	7
		KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	RSLV	8
		NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU	RSLV	9
		COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4), COORD(26), LNODS(25,2), IFPRE(52),	RSLV	10
		FIXED(52), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4),	RSLV	11
		MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	RSLV	12
		TDISP(26,2),TREAC(26,2),ASTIF(52,52),ASLOD(52),	RSLV	13
		REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4)	RSLV	14
		KOUNT=0	RSLV	15
		NEQNS=NSVAB	RSLV	16
		DO 40 IEQNS=1, NEQNS	RSLV	17
_		IF(IFPRE(IEQNS).EQ.1) GO TO 20	RSLV	18
C			RSLV	19
Ç		REDUCE RHS	RSLV	20
C			RSLV	21
		IF(IEQNS.EQ.NEQNS) GO TO 40	RSLV	22
		IEQN1=IEQNS+1	RSLV	-23
		DU 10 IROWS=LEQNT, NEQNS	RSLV	24
			RSLV	25
		FACTR=FRESV(KOUNT)	RSLV	26
		ASIOD(TROLE) ASIOD(TROLE) FACTORACIOD(TROLE)	RSLV	2(
	10	CONTINUE	RSLV	28
	10	GO TO 40	RSLV	29
С			NJGN	- <u>5</u> 0
С		ADJUST RHS TO DRESORTED DISDLACENENTS	NOLV	21
č		INCOM WIN TO FRENCHIDED DISPLACEMENTS	ROLV	<u>3</u> 2
-	20	DO 30 TROWS-TEONS NEONS	NDCIN	22
		ASLOD(TROWS)-ASLOD(TROWS) ASTTE(TROWS TEONS) SETVED(TEONS)	NOLV	24
	30	CONTINUE	ROLV	35
	40	CONTINUE	RSLV	27
		RETURN	RSLV	28
		END	RSLV	39

# 3.4.6 Improved numerical algorithm for equation solution

Substantial economies can be achieved in both core storage requirements and execution times if advantage is taken of the banded symmetric form of the global stiffness matrix. Since:

- By recognising that the global stiffness matrix is symmetric, it is necessary only to store the upper (or lower) triangular part of the stiffness matrix.
- By noting that all the non-zero coefficients in the global stiffness matrix occur in a band adjacent to the leading diagonal, further reductions in the core storage requirements can be made, as well as a significant reduction in the number of arithmetic operations undertaken in the equation reduction and backsubstitution phases.

In order to introduce these enhancements it is convenient to store the global stiffness matrix as a one-dimensional array. The necessary programming changes required to the subroutines presented in Sections 3.4.2-3.4.5 are fully documented in Ref. 5.

# 3.5 Output of results

The next subroutine common to all four programs presented is subroutine RESULT whose function is to output the results at a frequency governed by a parameter input in Subroutine INCLOD described in Section 3.7. In order to make the subroutine applicable to all four cases, quantities will be output for some situations which are physically meaningless. In particular for quasi-harmonic problems, output items termed stress and plastic or non-linear strain are output as zero values for this reason. For nonlinear elastic problems the latter term is the total strain,  $\epsilon$ , defined in Section 2.4 and for elasto-plastic situations it is the plastic strain component,  $\epsilon_p$ , defined in Section 2.5. For both cases the stress quantity output is the axial stress existing in each constant stress element employed.

Subroutine RESULT will now be listed.

			0.01 m	4
		SUBROUTINE RESULT	42L1	1
C*	****	***************************************	RSLT	2
С		I	RSLT	3
С	***	OUTPUTS DISPLACEMENT , REACTIONS AND STRESSES	RSLT	4
С		I	RSLT	- 5
C*	****	`*************************************	RSLT	6
		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	RSLT	7
	•	KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	RSLT	8
		NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU	RSLT	9
		COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52),	RSLT	10
		FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLOAD(25,4),ELOAD(25,4),	RSLT	11
	•	MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	RSLT	12
	•	TDISP(26,2),TREAC(26.2),ASTIF(52,52),ASLOD(52),	RSLT	13
		REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4)	RSLT	14
		IF(NDOFN.EQ.1) WRITE(6.900)	RSLT	15

900	FORMAT(1H0,5X,'NODE',4X,'DISPL.',12X,'REACTIONS')	RSLT	16
-	IF(NDOFN.EQ.2) WRITE(6,910)	RSLT	- 17
910	FORMAT(1H0,5X, 'NODE',4X, 'DISPL.',12X, 'REACTION',	RSLT	18
	7X. 'DISPL.'. 12X. 'REACTION')	RSLT	19
	DO 10 TPOIN=1.NPOIN	RSLT	20
10	WRITE(6,920) IPOIN, (TDISP(IPOIN, IDOFN), TREAC(IPOIN, IDOFN),	RSLT	21
	.IDOFN=1,NDOFN)	RSLT	22
920	FORMAT(110.2(E14.6.5X.E14.6))	RSLT	23
)	IF(NDOFN.EQ.2) WRITE(6.930)	RSLT	24
930	FORMAT(1H0,2X,'ELEMENT',12X,'STRESSES',12X,'PL.STRAIN')	RSLT	25
	IF(NDOFN.EQ.1) WRITE(6,940)	RSLT	26
940	FORMAT(1H0,2X,'ELEMENT',5X,'STRESSES',5X,'PL.STRAIN')	RSLT	27
	DO 20 IELEM=1, NELEM	RSLT	28
20	WRITE(6,950) IELEM,(STRES(IELEM,IDOFN),IDOFN=1,NDOFN),	RSLT	29
	. PLAST(IELEM)	RSLT	- 30
950	FORMAT(I10,3E14.6)	RSLT	31
	RETURN	RSLT	32
	END	RSLT	- 33

- RSLT 15-23 Write titles and output the calculated unknown and reaction at each nodal point. Non-zero reactions are only obtained for nodal points at which the value of the unknown is prescribed.
- RSLT 24-31 Write titles and output the stress and plastic or nonlinear elastic strain for each element.

Note that provision is made for output of results for the beam bending application of Chapter 5.

## **3.6 Subroutine INITAL**

The function of this subroutine is to initialise to zero some arrays used by other subroutines.

		SUBRCUTINE INITAL	INTL	1
C#3	***	******************	INTL	2
Č			INTL	3
C 3	ŧ**	INITIALIZES TO ZERO ALL ACCUMULATIVE ARRAYS	INTL	4
С			INTL	5
C*3	***	***************************************	INTL	6
		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	INTL	7
		• KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	INTL	- 8
		• NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU	INTL	9
		COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4), COORD(26), LNODS(25,2), IFPRE(52),	INTL	10
		• FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLOAD(25,4),ELOAD(25,4),	INTL	11
		• MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	INTL	12
		• TDISP(26,2), TREAC(26,2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52),	INTL	13
		• REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4)	INTL	14
		DO 20 IELEM=1.NELEM	INTL	15
		PLAST(IELEM)=0.0	INTL	16
		DO 10 IDOFN=1, NDOFN	INTL	17

10	STRES(IELEM.IDOFN)=0.0	INTL	18
	DO 20 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	INTL	19
	ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0	INTL	20
20	TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0	INTL	21
	DO 30 IPOIN=1,NPOIN	INTL	22
	DO 30 IDOFN=1.NDOFN	INTL	23
	TDISP(IPOIN, IDOFN)=0.0	INTL	24
30	TREAC(IPOIN, IDOFN)=0.0	INTL	25
50	RETURN	INTL	26
	END	INTL	27

- INTL 15–18 Initialise to zero the plastic or nonlinear strain vector and the stress vector.
- INTL 20 Initialise the array, ELOAD, which will contain the out of balance loading to be applied in solution for any iteration. For techniques other than the direct iteration method, this vector will contain the residual nodal forces and thus differs from the vector of applied loads.
- INTL 21 Initialise the vector of applied loads.
- INTL 22-25 Initialise the vector of total unknowns and total reactions to zero.

## 3.7 Load increment subroutine, INCLOD

This subroutine controls the incrementing of the applied loads. For each increment of load, data is input to this segment to control the upper limit to the number of iterations, the output frequency, the size of load increment and the convergence tolerance limit. These quantities are specifically input as:

- NITER Maximum permissible number of iterations. This is a safety measure to cover situations where the solution process does not converge. After performing NITER iteration cycles the program will then stop.
- NOUTP This parameter controls the frequency of output of results. In order to examine the iterative procedure the user may wish to obtain results at stages other than the converged solution.
  - 0 Print the results on convergence to the nonlinear solution only, for each load increment.
  - 1 Print the results after the first iteration and after convergence for each load increment.
  - 2 Print the results after every iteration for each load increment.
- **FACTO** This quantity controls the magnitude of any load increment. The applied loading is input in subroutine DATA into the array RLOAD as described in Section 3.2. The size of any load increment is then defined to be FACTO\*RLOAD

(IELEM, INODE) with the increment size factor, FACTO, being input for each increment. This permits unequal load increments to be taken. It should be noted that the applied loading at any instant is accumulative. Therefore, if FACTO is input for the first three increments as respectively 0.5, 0.3 and 0.1, the total loading applied to the structure during the third increment is 0.9 times the loading input in subroutine DATA. The above also holds for loading by incremental prescribed displacements.

**TOLER** This item of data controls the tolerance permitted on the convergence process. Its use will be described in detail in Sections 3.9.2 and 3.9.3.

Subroutine INCLOD is now presented and described:

	SUBROUTINE INCLOD	INCL	1
C****	***************************************	*INCL	2
C ***	INPUTS DATA FOR CURRENT INCREMENT AND UPDATES LOAD VECTOR	INCL	2 4
C	*************	*TNCL	2 6
<b>C</b>	COMMON/UNITM1/NPOIN NELEM NBOUN NLOAD NPROP NNODE TINCS TITER.	INCL	7
•	KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB, NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU	INCL INCL	8 9
	COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4), COORD(26), LNODS(25,2), IFPRE(52),	INCL	10
•	FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLOAD(25,4),ELOAD(25,4), MATNO(25),STRES(25,2),PLAST(25),XDISP(52),	INCL INCL	11 12
	TDISP(26,2),TREAC(26,2),ASTIF(52,52),ASLOD(52),	INCL	13
	REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4)	INCL	14
	READ (5,900) NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, TOLER	INCL	15
900	FORMAT(215,2F15.5)	INCL	16
	WRITE(6,905) IINCS, NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, TOLER	INCL	- 17
905	FORMAT(1H0,5X,'IINCS =', 15,3X,'NITER =', 15,3X, 'NOUTP =', 15,	INCL	18
	• 3X, 'FACTO =', E14.6, 3X, 'TOLER =', E14.6)	INCL	19
	DO 10 IELEM=1,NELEM DO 10 IEVAB=1,NEVAB	INCL INCL	20 21
	ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)+RLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)*FACTO	INCL	22
10	TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)+RLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)*FACTO CONTINUE RETURN	INCL INCL INCL	23 24 25
	END	INCL	26

- INCL 15–19 Read and write the input data required for each load increment as described previously in this section.
- INCL 20–24 Add the current increment of load into the out of balance load array ELOAD and the total applied load vector TLOAD.

# 3.8 The master or controlling segment

The final portion of the program which will be common to all four programs (subject to the minor differences indicated in Fig. 3.1) is the master segment which controls the calling, in order, of the other subroutines. This program segment also controls the iterative process and also the incrementing of the applied loads, where appropriate. The following channel numbers are employed by the programs: 5 (card reader), 6 (line printer), 1 (scratch file).

The MASTER segment will now be presented in the form required in the next section for the solution of one-dimensional quasi-harmonic problems by direct iteration. For other applications it is only necessary to arrange for the calling of appropriate subroutines as indicated in Fig. 3.1.

		MASTER UNIDIM	QUIT	1
C1	****	***************************************	*QUIT	2
С			QUIT	- 3
С	***	PROGRAM FOR THE 1-D SOLUTION OF NONLINEAR PROBLEMS	QUIT	4
C.			QUIT	5
C,	****	***************************************	*QUIT	6
		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM,NBOUN,NLOAD,NPROP,NNODE,IINCS,IITER,	QUIT	7
		. KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	QUIT	8
		. NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU	QUIT	9
		COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52),	QUIT	10
		• FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLOAD(25,4),ELOAD(25,4),	QUIT	11
		. MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	QUIT	12
		. TDISP(26,2),TREAC(26,2),ASTIF(52,52),ASLOD(52),	QUIT	13
		. REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4)	QUIT	14
		CALL DATA	QUIT	15
		CALL INITAL	QUIT	16
		DO 30 IINCS=1,NINCS	QUIT	- 17
		CALL INCLOD	QUIT	18
		DO 10 IITER=1,NITER	QUIT	19
		CALL NONAL	QUIT	20
		IF(KRESL.EQ.1) CALL STIFF1	QUIT	21
		CALL ASSEMB	QUIT	22
		IF(KRESL.EQ.1) CALL GREDUC	QUIT	23
		IF(KRESL.EQ.2) CALL RESOLV	QUIT	24
		CALL BAKSUB	QUIT	25
		CALL MONITR (RINTL)	QUIT	26
		IF (NCHEK.EQ.0) GO TO 20	QUIT	27
		IF(IITER.EQ.1.AND.NOUTP.EQ.1) CALL RESULT	QUIT	28
	10	IF (NOUTP.EQ.2) CALL RESULT	QUIT	29
	10	CONTINUE	QUIT	30
	000	WRITE(6,900)	QUIT	31
	900	FORMAT(1E0,5X, 'SOLUTION NOT CONVERGED')	QUIT	32
	~~	STUP	QUIT	- 33
	20	CALL RESULT	QUIT	34
	20		QUIT	35
		SIUP	QUIT	36
		LND	QUIT	37

- QUIT 15 Call the subroutine which reads the input data as described in Section 3.2.
- QUIT 16 Call the subroutine which initialises various arrays to zero.
- QUIT 17 Enter the DO LOOP over the number of load increments.
- QUIT 18 Call the subroutine which increments the applied loads.
- QUIT 19 Enter the DO LOOP over the maximum permissible number of iterations.
- QUIT 20 Call the subroutine which controls the solution process as described in Section 3.3.
- QUIT 21 If the element stiffnesses are to be reformulated, call the appropriate subroutine.
- QUIT 22-25 Call the subroutines which assemble the element stiffnesses and solve for the unknowns and reactions.
- QUIT 26 Call the subroutine which monitors the convergence process. This subroutine differs for the direct iteration method from that for the three other cases.
- QUIT 27 If the solution has converged, abandon the iterative process.
- QUIT 28-29 Output the results according to the display code, NOUTP, supplied as input for this particular load increment.
- QUIT 31-33 If the solution procedure reaches the maximum number of iterations permitted without convergence occurring, write a message and stop the program.
- QUIT 34 Otherwise output the converged results.
- QUIT 35 Return to process the next increment of load.

# **3.9 Program for the solution of one-dimensional quasi-harmonic problems** by direct iteration

We now assemble a computer program which permits the solution of onedimensional problems governed by a nonlinear quasi-harmonic equation. The behaviour of several physical situations can be described by such a model and some numerical examples will be provided at the end of this section.

Most of the subroutines required for this program have been already described in the preceding sections of this chapter and, in particular, the master segment which controls the entire numerical process was described in Section 3.8. The additional subroutines, pertinent only to this application which must be developed, are the element stiffness generation subroutine, STIFF1, and the solution convergence monitoring subroutine, MONITR. Detailed 'user instructions', listing the required input data, are included in Appendix I.

#### **3.9.1** Element stiffness subroutine, STIFF1

The purpose of this subroutine is to formulate the stiffness matrix for each element in turn and store this data on a disc file. For solution by the method of direct iteration, the stiffness matrix for a one-dimensional element with a linear variation of the unknown is given by equation (2.25). The term K is, however, a specified function of the unknown or its derivatives which must be accounted for when formulating the element stiffnesses for each iteration of the solution sequence. In particular, K is assumed to vary according to

$$K = K_0 f\left(\phi, \quad \frac{d\phi}{dx}\right), \tag{3.20}$$

where  $K_0$  is a reference value of K and is specified as material property **PROPS** (NUMAT, 1) in subroutine DATA. The function  $f(\phi, d\phi/dx)$  is

defined by means of a FORTRAN FUNCTION statement and must be appropriately specified for each application.

Subroutine STIFFI is now presented and descriptive notes provided.

C ************************************	**STF1 STF1 STF1 STF1	2 3 4
C	STF1 STF1 STF1	З Ц
	STF1	Ц
C *** CALCULATES ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRICES	STF1	
C		5
C*************************************	***STF1	6
COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	STF1	7
. KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	STF1	8
. NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU	STF1	9
COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52),	STF1	10
FIXED(52), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4),	STF1	11
. MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	STF1	12
. TDISP(26,2), TREAC(26,2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52),	STF1	13
• REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4)	STF1	- 14
REWIND 1	STF1	15
DO 10 IELEM=1,NELEM	STF1	16
LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	STF1	17
STERM=PROPS(LPROP, 1)	STF1	18
NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 1)	STF1	19
NODE2=LNODS(IELEM.2)	STF1	20
ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))	STF1	21
AVERG=(TDISP(NODE1,1)+TDISP(NODE2,1))/2.0	STF1	22
FMULT=STERM*VARIA(AVERG)/ELENG	STF1	23
ESTIF(1,1)=FMULT	STF1	24
ESTIF(1,2) = -FMULT	STF1	25
ESTIF(2,1)=-FMULT	STF1	26
ESTIF(2,2)=FMULT	STF1	27
WRITE(1) ESTIF	STF1	- 28
10 CONTINUE	STF1	29
RETURN	STF1	30
END	STF1	31

- STF1 15 Rewind the file on which the stiffness matrix for each element will be stored in sequence.
- STF1 16 Loop over each element.
- STF1 17 Identify the material property of each element.
- STF1 18 Set STERM equal to  $K_0$ .
- STF1 19–20 Identify the node numbers of the element.
- STF1 21 Calculate the element length.
- STF1 22 Calculate the element temperature as the average of the nodal values.
- STF1 23 Calculate the temperature gradient.
- STF1 24-27 Compute the components of the element stiffness matrix according to (2.25) with the function  $f(\phi, d\phi/dx)$  being VARIA (AVERG).
- STF1 28 Write the element stiffness matrix on to disc file.
- STF1 29 Termination of DO LOOP over each element.

The function  $f(\phi, d\phi/dx)$  must be defined for each application. Below we show, for example, the appropriate function for the variation  $K = K_0(1+10\phi)$ .

C**** C C****	FUNCTION VARIA(AVERG)	STF1	32
	MULTIPLYING FUNCTION FOR QUASI-HARMONIC STIFFNESS VARIATION	STF1 3	34 35
	VARIA=1.0+10.0*AVERG RETURN END	STF1 STF1 STF1	35 36 37 38

# 3.9.2 Solution convergence monitoring subroutine, MONITR

Convergence of the numerical process to the nonlinear solution must be monitored by comparing, in some way, the values of the unknowns  $\varphi$  determined during each iteration. One possible method is to compare each individual nodal value with the corresponding value obtained on the previous iteration. Then, provided that this change is negligibly small for all nodal points, convergence can be deemed to have occurred. In this chapter we will employ a *global* convergence check rather than such a *local* one. We will assume that the numerical process has converged if

$$\frac{\left|\sqrt{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\phi_{i}^{r})^{2}\right]} - \sqrt{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\phi_{i}^{r-1})^{2}\right]}\right|}{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\phi_{i}^{1})^{2}\right]}} \times 100 \leq \text{TOLER}, \quad (3.21)$$

where N denotes the total number of nodal points in the problem and r-1and r denote successive iterations. It is assumed that the positive root is always considered and || signifies the absolute value of the numerator. The multiplication factor of 100 on the left-hand side allows the specified tolerance factor TOLER to be considered as a percentage term. Equation (3.21) states that convergence is assumed to have occurred if the difference in the norm of the unknowns between two successive iterations is less than or equal to TOLER times the norm of the unknowns on the first iteration. In practical situations a value of TOLER = 1.0 (i.e., 1%) is found to be adequate for the majority of applications. Convergence of the solution is indicated by the parameter NCHEK. A value of NCHEK = 1 indicates that convergence has not yet occurred, whereas NCHEK = 0, denotes a converged solution. Subroutine MONITR is now presented and descriptive notes provided.

	SUBROUTINE MONITR (RINTL)	MNTR	1
C**	***************************************	***MNTR	2
С		MNTR	3
C *	** CHECKS FOR SOLUTION CONVERGENCE	MNTR	4
C		MNTR	5
C##	***************************************	***MNTR	6
	COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	MNTR	7
	<ul> <li>KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,</li> </ul>	MNTR	8
	<ul> <li>NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU</li> </ul>	MNTR	9
	COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52),	MNTR	10
	• FIXED(52), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4),	MNTR	11

	MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	MNTR	12
	TDISP(26,2),TREAC(26,2),ASTIF(52,52),ASLOD(52),	MNTR	-13
	REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4)	MNTR	14
	NCHEK=0	MNTR	15
	RCURR=0.0	MNTR	16
	DO 10 IPOIN=1.NPOIN	MNTR	17
10	RCURR=RCURR+TDISP(IPOIN, 1)*TDISP(IPOIN, 1)	MNTR	18
	IF(IITER.EQ.1) RINTL=RCURR	MNTR	19
	IF(IITER.EQ.1) NCHEK=1	MNTR	20
	IF(IITER.EQ.1) GO TO 20	MNTR	21
	RATIO=100.0*SQRT(ABS(RCURR-PVALU))/SQRT(RINTL)	MNTR	22
	IF(RATIO.GT.TOLER) NCHEK=1	MNTR	23
20	PVALU=RCURR	MNTR	24
	WRITE(6,900) NCHEK, RATIO	MNTR	25
900	FORMAT(1H0,5X,18HCONVERGENCE CODE =, I4, 3X, 28HNORM OF RESIDUAL SUM	MNTR	26
	.RATIO =,E14.6)	MNTR	27
	RETURN	MNTR	28
	END	MNTR	29

- MNTR 15 Set the indicator monitoring convergence to zero. If convergence has not yet occurred this will be set to 1 later in the subroutine.
- MNTR 16-18 Compute the norm of the unknowns

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i^{2},$$

for the current iteration.

- MNTR 19 For the first iteration only compute the denominator of (3.21).
- MNTR 20-21 Convergence cannot possibly have occurred on the first iteration, therefore set NCHEK = 1 and skip the remainder of the checking procedure by going to 20.
- MNTR 22 Compute the left-hand side of (3.21).
- MNTR 23 If (3.21) is not satisfied (i.e., convergence not taken place), set NCHEK = 1.
- MNTR 24 Store the current value of the norm of the unknowns for use as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\phi_i^{r-1})^2$$

during the next iteration.

MNTR 25-27 Output the value of NCHEK and the left-hand side of (3.21).

# 3.9.3 Numerical examples

The first numerical example considered is illustrated in Fig. 3.3. The situation shown could physically represent the diffusion of a gas through a membrane in which case  $\phi$  is the gas concentration and K is the diffusivity of the membrane. Alternatively, the problem also represents the conduction of heat through a one-dimensional solid in which case  $\phi$  is the temperature and K the thermal conductivity. The boundary conditions assumed are





specified values of the unknown at the two boundaries. The term K is assumed to vary with the unknown  $\phi$  according to

$$K = K_0(1+10\phi) = K_0(1+g(\phi)). \tag{3.22}$$

An analytical solution<sup>(6)</sup> exists for this problem which enables  $\phi$  to be determined from

$$\frac{\phi_A + F(\phi_A) - \phi - F(\phi)}{\phi_A + F(\phi_A) - \phi_B - F(\phi_B)} = \frac{x}{L},$$
(3.23)

where

$$F(\phi) = \int_0^{\phi} g(\phi') d\phi'. \qquad (3.24)$$

In the present case,  $g(\phi) = 10\phi$  which gives on substitution in (3.24) and then in (3.23)

$$\frac{6-\phi-5\phi^2}{6} = \frac{x}{10},\tag{3.25}$$

which allows  $\phi$  to be determined for any value of x and is shown as the full line in Fig. 3.3. The initial finite element solution (i.e., after the first iteration) is shown in Fig. 3.3 as the broken line and, as expected, is linear. The results upon convergence, after 10 iterations, of the process are then included as circles and it is seen that the numerical solution coincides with the theoretical values. For example, for x = 6, the theoretical solution is  $\phi = 0.6$ , whilst the finite element analysis yieds  $\phi = 0.599999$  (see Appendix IV).

The second example considered includes the effect of the term Q in (2.15). For thermal problems this can be physically interpreted as a heat generation/ unit length and must be specified as a loading, according to (2.26), in subroutine DATA. Figure 3.4 shows the problem to be considered. A bar with its surface insulated generates heat internally and the temperature at its ends is maintained at zero value. Due to symmetry only one half of the problem is analysed with the symmetry condition  $d\phi/dx = 0$  at the centreline being invoked. The initial solution corresponding to  $K = K_0$  is shown and is practically identical to the theoretical value. The process converged to the nonlinear solution after 12 iterations with the temperature being markedly reduced. The reduction is greater in regions of higher initial temperature due to the comparatively greater increase in material 'stiffness' in these areas.

# 3.10 Program for the solution of one-dimensional quasi-harmonic problems by the Newton-Raphson method

As seen in Section 2.3, use of this method results in the assembled stiffness equations being nonsymmetric. The equation assembly and solution routines developed in Section 3.4 made no use of the symmetry properties of the



stiffness matrices. They are therefore applicable to this method of analysis without modification.

Three additional subroutines need to be developed. These are the element stiffness subroutine ASTIF1 and, since solution convergence is now based on the elimination of the residual forces, subroutine REFOR1 must be formed to calculate these forces and subroutine CONVER to monitor their convergence to zero. The master segment controlling the solution process is again that developed in Section 3.8 and the remaining subroutines accessed by this segment have also been described previously.

#### 3.10.1 Element stiffness formulation subroutine, ASTIF1

For solution by the Newton-Raphson process, the 'stiffness' equations which require solution are summarised in (2.12) where it is seen that the total stiffness is the sum of symmetric, H, and nonsymmetric, H', contributions. The symmetric stiffness matrix is given by (2.25) and the nonsymmetric terms depend on the particular form of material nonlinearity. For a material nonlinearity of the form (2.27), the nonsymmetric portion of the stiffness matrix is given by (2.29). The subroutine which evaluates and sums these separate contributions is now presented below.

		SUBROUTINE ASTIF1	ASTF	1
C*:	****	ŧ#####################################	ASTF	2
С			ASTF	- 3
C 4	¥**	CALCULATES ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRICES	ASTF	- 4
С			ASTF	5
C*:	****	***************************************	ASTF	6
		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM,NBOUN,NLOAD,NPROP,NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	ASTF	7
		KRESL.NCHEK.TOLER.NALGO.NSVAB.NDOFN.NINCS.NEVAB.	ASTF	8
		NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU	ASTF	9
		COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4), COORD(26), LNODS(25,2), IFPRE(52),	ASTF	10
		FIXED(52).TLOAD(25.4).RLOAD(25.4).ELOAD(25.4).	ASTF	11
		MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	ASTF	12
		TDISP(26,2), TREAC(26.2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52),	ASTF	13
		REACT(52).FRESV(1352).PEFIX(52).ESTIF(4.4)	ASTF	- 14
		REWIND 1	ASTF	15
		DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM	ASTF	16
		LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	ASTF	17
		STERM=PROPS(LPROP, 1)	ASTF	18
		GRADU=PROPS(LPROP,2)	ASTF	19
		NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 1)	ASTF	20
		NODE2=LNODS(IELEM,2)	ASTF	21
		ELENG=ABS(COCRD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))	ASTF	22
		AVERG=(TDISP(NODE1,1)+TDISP(NODE2,1))/2.0	ASTF	23
		FMULT=STERM*VARIA(AVERG)/ELENG	ASTF	24
		DIFFR=TDISP(NODE1.1)-TDISP(NODE2,1)	ASTF	25
		COEFF=STERM#GRADU#DIFFR/(2.0*ELENG)	ASTF	26
		ESTIF(1,1)=FMULT+COEFF	ASTF	27
		ESTIF(1,2)==FMULT+COEFF	ASTF	28
		ESTIF(2,1)=-FMULT-COEFF	ASTF	29
		ESTIF(2,2)=FMULT-COEFF	ASTF	-30
		WRITE(1) ESTIF	ASTF	-31
	10	CONTINUE	ASTF	32
		RETURN	ASTF	33
		END	ASTF	34

- **ASTF 15** Rewind the file on which the stiffness matrix of each element will be stored.
- **ASTF 16** Loop over each element.
- **ASTF** 17 Identify the material property of each element.
- **ASTF** 18 Set STERM equal to  $K_0$  in (2.27).
- **ASTF 19** Set GRADU equal to b in (2.27).
- ASTF 20-21 Identify the node numbers of the element.
- ASTF 22 Calculate the element length.
- ASTF 23 Calculate the element temperature as the average of the nodal values.
- **ASTF 24** Calculate the multiplying term in (2.25) by use of FUNCTION statement VARIA.
- ASTF 25–26 Evaluate the multiplying term in (2.29).
- ASTF 27-30 Compute the components of the total stiffness matrix.
- **ASTF 31** Write the element stiffness matrix on to disc file.
- ASTF 32 Termination of DO LOOP over each element.

### 3.10.2 Residual force calculation subroutine REFOR1

The residual forces after any step of the process are obtained from (2.4). The applied nodal forces, f, are known and it only remains to evaluate the 'equivalent nodal forces',  $H\varphi$ , which are the nodal forces consistent with the unknowns,  $\varphi$ . It should be noted that H is the linear symmetric matrix defined in (2.25). The equivalent nodal forces at the nodes 1 and 2 of the linear element can be explicitly written, using (2.25), as

$$f_{1} = \frac{K}{L}(\phi_{1} - \phi_{2}),$$

$$f_{2} = -\frac{K}{L}(\phi_{1} - \phi_{2}).$$
(3.26)

The subroutine which evaluates these forces for each element is now presented.

	SUBROUTINE REFOR1	RFR1	1
C**** C	***************************************	*RFR1 RFR1	23
C ***	CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES	RFR1	4
C	****	RFR1	- 5
C===	***************************************	*RFR1	6
	COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM,NBOUN,NLOAD,NPROP,NNODE.IINCS,IITER,	RFR1	- 7
	<ul> <li>KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,</li> </ul>	RFR1	8
	<ul> <li>NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU</li> </ul>	RFR1	ò
	COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5.4),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52),	RFR1	10
	• FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLOAD(25,4),ELOAD(25,4),	RFR1	11
	• . MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	RFR1	12
	• TDISP(26.2), TREAC(26.2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52),	RFR1	13
	• REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4.4)	RFR1	14
	DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM	RFR1	15
	DO 10 IEVAB=1,NEVAB	RFR1	16

10 ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0 RFR DO 20 TELEM=1.NELEM RFR	1 17 1 18
LPROP=MATNO(IELEM) RFR	1 19
STERM=PROPS(LPROP, 1) RFR	1 20
NODE1=LNODS(IELEM,1) RFR	1 21
NODE2=LNODS(IELEM, 2) RFR	1 22
ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2)) RFR	1 23
AVERG=(TDISP(NODE1.1)+TDISP(NODE2,1))/2.0 RFR	1 24
STIFF=STERM*VARIA(AVERG)/ELENG RFR	1 25
ELOAD(IELEM.1) = STIFF*(TDISP(NODE1.1)-TDISP(NODE2.1)) RFR	1 26
20 ELOAD(IELEM, 2) = - STIFF*(TDISP(NODE1, 1) - TDISP(NODE2, 1)) RFR	1 27
RETURN	1 28
END RFR	1 29

- RFR1 15-17 Initialise to zero the array in which the equivalent nodal forces for each element will be stored.
- **RFR1** 18 Loop over each element.
- **RFR1 19** Identify the material property of each element.
- **RFR1 20** Set STERM equal to  $K_0$  in (2.27).
- RFR1 21-22 Identify the node numbers of the element.
- **RFR1 23** Calculate the element length.
- **RFR1 24** Calculate the element temperature as the average of the nodal values.
- **RFR1 25** Calculate the multiplying term in (2.25).
- RFR1 26–27 Compute the equivalent nodal forces according to (3.26).

#### 3.10.3 Solution convergence monitoring subroutine, CONUND

This subroutine must essentially differ from subroutine MONITR described in Section 3.9.2 since convergence is now based on the residual force values rather than values of the unknowns. The convergence criterion employed is similar to that described in (3.21) and is

$$\frac{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\psi_{i}^{r})^{2}\right]}}{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (f_{i}^{r})^{2}\right]}} \times 100 \leq \text{TOLER}, \qquad (3.27)$$

where N is the total number of nodal points in the problem and r denotes the iteration number. This criterion states that convergence occurs if the norm of the residual forces becomes less than TOLER times the norm of the total applied forces. Again the parameter NCHEK is used to indicate whether or not convergence has occurred. Three values of NCHEK are utilised:

NCHEK = 0 Solution has converged.

- = 1 Solution converging, with the norm of the residual forces being less for the  $r^{\text{th}}$  iteration than the  $(r-1)^{\text{th}}$  iteration.
- = 999 Solution diverging. The norm of the residual forces is greater for the  $r^{\text{th}}$  iteration than the  $(r-1)^{\text{th}}$  iteration.

Subroutine CONUND is now listed and descriptive notes provided.

	SUBROUTINE CONUND	COND	1
C****	***************************************	*COND	2
С		COND	3
C ***	CHECKS FOR SOLUTION CONVERGENCE	COND	4
C		COND	5
C****		*COND	0
	COMMON/UNINI/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NEUAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IIIER,	COND	(
	. KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	COND	0
	• NITER, NUMER, PACIO, PVALO COMMON/UNITY2/DDODS(E_U) COODD(26) INODS(25 2) TEDDE(52)	COND	9 10
	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^$	COND	11
	MATNO(25), STRES(25, 2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52), MATNO(25), STRES(25, 2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52), MATNO(25), STRES(25, 2), PLAST(25), STRES(25, 2), STRES(25,	COND	12
	TDISP(26,2), TREAC(26,2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52),	COND	13
	REACT(52).FRESV(1352).PEFIX(52).ESTIF(4,4)	COND	14
	DIMENSION STFOR(52), TOFOR(52)	COND	15
	NCHEK=0	COND	16
	RESID=0.0	COND	17
	RETOT=0.0	COND	18
	DO 10 ISVAB=1,NSVAB	COND	19
	STFOR(ISVAB)=0.0	COND	20
10	TOFOR(ISVAB)=0.0	COND	21
	DU ZU IELENEI, NELEN	COND	22
	LEVADEU DO 20 INODE-1 NNODE	COND	20 24
	NODNO-LNODS(TELEM INODE)	COND	25
	DO 20 IDOFN=1.NDOFN	COND	26
	IEVAB=IEVAB+1	COND	27
	NPOSN=(NCDNO-1)*NDOFN+IDOFN	COND	28
	STFOR(NPOSN)=STFOR(NPOSN)+ELOAD(IELEM,IEVAB)	COND	29
20	TOFOR(NPOSN)=TOFOR(NPOSN)+TLOAD(IELEM,IEVAB)	COND	30
	DO 30 ISVAB=1,NSVAB	COND	31
	REFOR=TOFOR(ISVAB)-STFOR(ISVAB)	COND	32
20		COND	33
20	DO NO TELEM 1 NELEM	COND	25
	DO 40 TELENET, NELEN	COND	- 30
40	ELOAD(TELEM, TEVAB)=TLOAD(TELEM, TEVAB)=FLOAD(TELEM, TEVAB)	COND	37
	RATIO=100.0*SORT(RESID/RETOT)	COND	38
	IF(RATIO.GT.TOLER) NCHEK=1	COND	39
	IF(IITER.EQ.1) GO TO 50	COND	40
	IF(RATIO.GT.PVALU) NCHEK=999	COND	41
50	PVALU=RATIO	COND	42
000	WRITE(6,900) IITER, NCHEK, RATIO	COND	-43
900	FORMAT(1H0,5X, 'ITERATION NUMBER =', 15/	COND	44
	• IHU,5X, 'CONVERGENCE CODE =', I4,3X,	COND	45
	• 'NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM KAILU =',E14.0) RETIIDN	COND	40
	FND		- 4( ДЯ
		COND	40

- COND 16 Initialise the convergence indicator to zero. If convergence has not occurred during this iteration this value will be reset later in the subroutine. **COND** 17
- Initialise to zero the norm of the residual forces.
- COND 18 Initialise to zero the norm of the total applied loads.
- COND 19-21 Initialise the arrays which will contain the equivalent nodal forces and the applied loads for each nodal point.

- COND 22-30 Assemble the equivalent nodal forces and applied load contributions of each *element* to give the total *nodal* values, as required for use in (3.27). This manipulation is necessary as we have decided to associate loads with an element rather than nodal points.
- COND 32 Calculate the nodal residual force according to (2.4).
- COND 33 Evaluate the norm of the residual forces.
- COND 34 Evaluate the norm of the total applied forces.
- COND 35-37 Calculate the residual nodal forces for each element, for application as forces for the next iteration according to (2.12).
- COND 38 Compute the left-hand side of (3.27)—the residual sum ratio.
- COND 39 If (3.27) is not satisfied reset NCHEK = 1 to indicate that convergence has not yet occurred.
- COND 40-41 For second and subsequent iterations check to see if the residual sum ratio has decreased from the previous iteration. If not, set NCHEK = 999.
- COND 42 Store the residual sum ratio, in order to perform the check indicated in COND 41 during the next iteration.
- COND 43-46 Write the convergence code and the residual sum ratio.

# 3.10.4 Numerical examples

The numerical example considered in Section 3.9.3 and illustrated in Fig. 3.3, was reanalysed using the Newton-Raphson approach. The process converged to the nonlinear solution in 5 iterations compared to the 10 cycles required for the direct iteration method. The reduction in the number of iterations must, however, be balanced against the increased computing effort required for the solution of nonsymmetric equations. This remark is applicable only when advantage of the symmetric property of the equations is taken in solution as is the case in the more sophisticated equation solver described later in Chapter 6. The numerical results are practically identical to those obtained by the method of direct iteration and consequently both solutions are represented by the full circles in Fig. 3.3. The problem of Fig. 3.4 was also reanalysed and a similar improvement in convergence behaviour was obtained with only 7 iterations being required in place of the 12 necessitated by direct iteration.

# 3.11 **Program for the solution of nonlinear elastic problems**

In this section a program is developed which permits the solution of nonlinear elastic problems by either the tangential stiffness or the initial stiffness approach or by a combination of both methods. The options open are controlled by the parameter NALGO, the possible values of which are described in Section 3.2. The structure of this program is identical to that described in Section 3.10 and it is only necessary to develop appropriate subroutines for element stiffness formulation, STIFF2, and residual force evaluation, REFOR2.

### 3.11.1 Element stiffness subroutine, STIFF2

For any value of the total strain,  $\epsilon$ , in an element, the tangential stiffness matrix is explicitly given by (2.33). It is seen from this expression that the first derivative of the strain function must be known. For the calculation of the residual forces, the strain function itself must be input. Since the computer cannot perform even the simplest differentiation it is necessary to supply both quantities in the form of FUNCTION statements. As an example, the strain function will be assumed to be of the form

$$g(\epsilon) = \epsilon - 5\epsilon^2, \qquad (3.28)$$

in which case

$$g'(\epsilon) = 1 - 10\epsilon. \tag{3.29}$$

Subroutine STIFF2 is now listed below.

		SUBROUTINE STIFF2	STF2	1
C*	***	\$*************************************	STF2	2
С			STF2	3
Č	***	CALCULATES ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRICES	STF2	4
Ċ			STF2	5
Ċ*	****	***********************	STF2	6
•		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM.NBOUN.NLOAD.NPROP.NNODE.IINCS.IITER,	STF2	7
		KRESL.NCHEK.TOLER.NALGO.NSVAB.NDOFN.NINCS.NEVAB.	STF2	8
		NITER.NOUTP.FACTO.PVALU	STF2	9
		COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5.4), COORD(26), LNODS(25,2), IFPRE(52),	STF2	10
		FIXED(52), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4),	STF2	11
		MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	STF2	12
		TDISP(26.2), TREAC(26.2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52),	STF2	13
		REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4)	STF2	14
		REVIND 1	STF2	15
		DO 10 IELEM=1.NELEN	STF2	16
		LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	STF2	17
		YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 1)	STF2	18
		XAREA=PROPS(LPROP, 2)	STF2	19
		NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 1)	STF2	20
		NODE2=LNODS(IELEH, 2)	STF2	21
		ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))	STF2	22
		PTRAN=PLAST(IELEM)	STF2	23
		COEFF=YOUNG*XAREA/ELENG	STF2	24
		FMULT=COEFF*STDIV(PTRAN)	STF2	25
		ESTIF(1,1)=FMULT	STF2	26
		ESTIF(1,2) = -FMULT	STF2	27
		ESTIF(2,1)=-FMULT	STF2	28
		ESTIF(2,2)=FMULT	STF2	29
		WRITE(1) ESTIF	STF2	30
	10	CONTINUE	STF2	31
		RETURN	STF2	32
		END	STF2	-33

76	FINITE ELEMENTS IN PLASTICITY
STF2 15	Rewind the file on which the stiffness matrix of each element will be stored.
STF2 16	Loop over each element.
STF2 17	Identify the material property of each element.
STF2 18	Set YOUNG equal to the reference value of the material modulus, $E_0$ .
STF2 19	Set XAREA equal to the cross-sectional area.
STF2 20-21	Identify the node numbers of the element.
STF2 22	Calculate the element length.
STF2 23	Set PTRAN equal to the total strain, $\epsilon$ .
STF2 24–25	Compute the multiplying term in (2.33) with $g'(\epsilon)$ given by STDIV (PTRAN).
STF2 26-29	Compute the components of the stiffness matrix.
STF2 30	Write the element stiffness matrix on to disc file.
STF2 31	Termination of DO LOOP over each element.
For a stra	ain derivative function as defined by (3.29), the appropriate

For a strain derivative function as defined by (3.29), the appropriate function statement is provided below.

~~~~	FUNCTION STDIV(PTRAN)	STF2	34
C##### C C#####	STRAIN DERIVATIVE FUNCTION	STF2 STF2	35
	STDIV=1.0-10.0*PTRAN RETURN	STF2 STF2 STF2	38 39
	END	STF2	40

# 3.11.2 Residual force calculation subroutine REFOR2

The residual forces existing at the end of any iteration must be calculated according to (2.4). The first step in this calculation entails the evaluation of the equivalent nodal forces, which are the forces required to produce the total displacements existing in the element. The element strain is simply

$$\epsilon_E = \begin{cases} (\phi_2 - \phi_1)/L & \text{for } x_2 > x_1 \\ (\phi_1 - \phi_2)/L & \text{for } x_2 < x_1, \end{cases}$$
(3.30)

where  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  denote the coordinates of the element nodes. This notation is required to ensure that tensile strains are positive and enables the nodal connections to be assigned in any order.

Then from (2.30) the stress in the element is given by

$$\sigma_E = E_0 g(\epsilon_E), \tag{3.31}$$

and the equivalent nodal forces are

$$f_1 = -f_2 = \begin{cases} -\sigma_E A & \text{for } x_2 > x_1 \\ \sigma_E A & \text{for } x_2 < x_1. \end{cases}$$
(3.32)

Subroutine	REFOR2 is now listed and described.		
SUBROUTI	VE REFOR2	RFR2	1
C#####################################	***************************************	*RFR2	2
	S INTERNAL FOUTVALENT NODAL FORCES	RFR2	5 1
C C	S INTERNAL EQUIVALENT RODAL FOROLS	RFR2	5
C#####################################	***************************************	*RFR2	6
COMMON/U	VIM1/NPOIN, NELEH, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	RFR2	7
•	KKESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,		0
COMMON/U	VI12R, NOOIF, FACTO, FVALOVIM2/PROPS(5.4), COORD(26), LNODS(25,2), IFPRE(52),	RFR2	10
•	FIXED(52), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4),	RFR2	11
•	MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	RFR2	12
•	$\frac{1}{20,2}, \frac{1}{20,2}, \frac{1}$	RFR2	14
DO 10 IEI	LEM=1, NELEM	RFR2	15
DO 10 IE	VAB=1,NEVAB	RFR2	16
10 ELOAD(IE	_EI4, IEVAB)=0.0	RFR2	17
LPROP=MA	LEHET, NELEN	RFR2	19
YOUNG=PRO	DPS(LPROP, 1)	RFR2	50
XAREA=PRO	DPS(LPROP, 2)	RFR2	21
NODE I = LNO	DDS(IELEM, I) DDS(IFLEM, 2)	RFR2	22
ELENG=AB	S(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))	RFR2	24
IF(COORD	(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1)	)RFR2	25
. / ELENG	(NODE2) IT COOPD(NODE1)) STRAN_(VDISD(NODE1) VDISD(NODE2)	KFK2	20
- /ELENG	(NODE2).LI.COORD(NODET)) SIRAN=(ADISF(NODET)=ADISF(NODE2)	RFR2	28
PLAST(IE	LEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+STRAN	RFR2	29
PTRAN=PL	AST(IELEM)	RFR2	30
IF(COORD	(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 20	RFR2	32
ELOAD(IE	LEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA	RFR2	33
ELOAD(IE	LEM,2)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA	RFR2	34
20 FLOAD(IF	FM 1)STRES(TELEM 1)*XAREA	RFR2	30 36
ELOAD (IE	LEM, 2) = STRES(IELEM, 1) *XAREA	RFR2	37
30 CONTINUE		RFR2	38
RETURN FND		RFR2	39 40
<b>RFR2</b> 15–17	Initialise to zero the array in which the equivalent nod	al forc	ces
	for each element will be stored.		
<b>RFR2</b> 18	Loop over each element		
<b>REP2</b> 10	Identify the material property of each element		
DED 2 20	Set VOLNC are al to the reference value of the	motor	:
<b>KFKZ 20</b>	Set IOUNG equal to the reference value of the	mater	lai
	modulus, $E_0$ .		
RFR2 21	Set XAREA equal to the cross-sectional area.		
<b>RFR2 22–23</b>	Identify the node numbers of the element.		
<b>RFR2 24</b>	Calculate the element length.		
<b>RFR2 25–28</b>	Calculate the increase in element strain which occurred	d duri	ng
	the current iteration according to $(3.30)$ (since XDISP r	neasur	res
	the displacement change only)		
RFR2 20	Compute the total strain		
DED 20 21	Compute the element stress according to $(2, 21)$		
NFNZ 30-31	Compute the element stress according to (3.51).		
KFK2 32-37	Compute the equivalent nodal forces according to (3.3	)2).	
<b>KFR2 38</b>	Termination of DO LOOP over the elements.		

For calculation of the element stress in steps RFR2 30-31 (equation (3.31)) the strain function  $g(\epsilon)$  must be defined. The FUNCTION statement appropriate to the variation indicated in (3.28) is provided below.

RFR2	41
RFR2	42
RFR2	43
RFR2	44
RFR2	45
RFR2	46
RFR2	47
	RFR2 RFR2 RFR2 RFR2 RFR2 RFR2 RFR2 RFR2

The equivalent nodal forces evaluated here are converted into residual forces  $\psi$  in subroutine CONUND as described in Section 3.10.3.

## 3.11.3 Numerical examples

The first example considered is the uniaxial loading of a two-element system. The stress/strain relationship is assumed to be defined in terms of the nonlinear expression (3.28). The applied load is incrementally increased and the combined tangential/initial stiffness solution algorithm, NALGO = 4, is employed. Figure 3.5 shows the solution behaviour during iteration to the nonlinear solution. The element stiffnesses are initially assembled at the beginning of a load increment and then kept constant during iteration to the nonlinear solution. The convergence path is plotted and it is seen that the process converges within 7 iterations for the first load increment. For the second load increment the process requires 9 iterations before convergence takes place. The process diverged rapidly on further increase of load to a total value of 11; which is expected since no solution can exist for this load value.

As an illustration of the application of the initial stiffness method to strain-softening problems, the above problem was reanalysed with the structure being loaded by prescribing an increasing value of displacement to node 3, rather than incrementing an applied load. For strain values at and beyond the peak load, the structural stiffness is either zero or negative and an initial stiffness approach must be employed. Figure 3.6 shows the results when the structure is strained beyond the peak load value.

# 3.12 Program for the solution of elasto-plastic problems

A computer program is now developed for the solution of one-dimensional elasto-plastic problems. Once again a tangential stiffness, initial stiffness or combined approach is permitted for solution. The program differs only from that described in the previous section in the explicit form of the element stiffness and residual force subroutines.

### 3.12.1 Element stiffness subroutine, STIFF3

Before yielding, the stiffness matrix of an element with linear displacement variation is given by (2.38). After the onset of plastic deformation, as



Fig. 3.5 Load/extension response of a nonlinear elastic bar under applied axial loading.

governed by the uniaxial yield stress  $\sigma_Y$ , the material stiffness is reduced and the elasto-plastic stiffness matrix is explicitly given by (2.43). Thus when forming the stiffness matrix for each element, it is first necessary to check whether the element behaviour is elastic or elasto-plastic. This can best be monitored by recording the plastic strain component,  $\epsilon_p$ , for each element and noting that this will be zero for a completely elastic material response.



Fig. 3.6 Solution for a nonlinear elastic bar by initial stiffness, incremented prescribed displacement approach.

Subroutine STIFF3 can now be presented.

SUBROUTINE STIFF3	STF3	1
C*************************************	STF3	2
C	STF3	3
C *** CALCULATES ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRICES	STF3	4
C	STF3	5
C*************************************	STF3	6

COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	STF3	7
KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	STF3	8
NITER.NOUTP.FACTO.PVALU	STF3	9
COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5.4).COORD(26).LNODS(25.2).IFPRE(52).	STF3	10
FIXED(52), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4),	STF3	11
MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	STF3	12
TDISP(26.2), TREAC(26.2), ASTIF(52.52), ASLOD(52),	STF3	13
REACT(52).FRESV(1352).PEFIX(52).ESTIF(4.4)	STF3	14
REWIND 1	STF3	15
DO 10 IELEM=1.NELEM	STF3	16
LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	STF3	17
YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP.1)	STF3	18
XAREA=PROPS(LPROP.2)	STF3	19
HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 4)	STF3	20
NODE1=LNODS(IELEM.1)	STF3	21
NODE2=LNODS(IELEM, 2)	STF3	22
ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))	STF3	23
FMULT=YOUNG*XAREA/ELENG	STF3	24
IF(PLAST(IELEM).GT.0.0) FMULT=FMULT*(1.0-YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))	STF3	25
ESTIF(1,1)=FMULT	STF3	26
ESTIF(1,2)=-FMULT	STF3	27
ESTIF(2,1)=-FMULT	STF3	28
ESTIF(2,2)=FMULT	STF3	29
WRITE(1) ESTIF	STF3	30
CONTINUE	STF3	- 31
RETURN	STF3	32
END	STF3	- 33
	COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER, KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB, NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4), COORD(26), LNODS(25,2), IFPRE(52), FIXED(52), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4), MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52), TDISP(26,2), TREAC(26,2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52), REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4) REWIND 1 DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM LPROP=MATNO(IELEM) YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP,1) XAREA=PROPS(LPROP,2) HARDS=PROPS(LPROP,4) NODE1=LNODS(IELEM,1) NODE2=LNODS(IELEM,2) ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2)) FMULT=YOUNG*XAREA/ELENG IF(PLAST(IELEM).GT.O.O) FMULT=FMULT*(1.0-YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)) ESTIF(1,1)=FMULT ESTIF(2,2)=FMULT ESTIF(2,2)=FMULT WRITE(1) ESTIF CONTINUE RETURN END	COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,STF3KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,STF3NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALUSTF3COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4), COORD(26), LNODS(25,2), IFPRE(52),STF3MATNO(25), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4),STF3MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),STF3REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4)STF3DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEMSTF3JOO 10 IELEM=1, NELEMSTF3YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP,1)STF3XAREA-PROPS(LPROP,2)STF3HARDS=PROPS(LPROP,4)STF3NODE1=LNODS(IELEM,1)STF3NODE2=LNODS(IELEM,2)STF3FMULT=YOUNG*XAREA/ELENGSTF3IF(PLAST(IELEM), GT.0.0) FMULT=FMULT*(1.0-YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))STF3ESTIF(1,1)=FMULTSTF3ESTIF(2,1)=-FMULTSTF3WRITE(1) ESTIFSTF3CONTINUESTF3ENDSTF3ENDSTF3

STF3 15	Rewind the file on which the stiffness matrix of each element
	will be stored.

- STF3 16 Loop over each element.
- STF3 17 Identify the material property of each element.
- STF3 18 Set YOUNG equal to the material elastic modulus.
- STF3 19 Set XAREA equal to the cross-sectional area.
- STF3 20 Set HARDS equal to the strain hardening parameter, H'.
- STF3 21–22 Identify the node numbers of the element.
- STF3 23 Calculate the element length.
- STF3 24 Compute the multiplying term in (2.38) as FMULT.
- STF3 25 Check if the element has yielded. If yes, compute FMULT as the multiplying term in (2.43).
- STF3 26–29 Compute the components of the stiffness matrix.
- STF3 30 Write the element stiffness matrix on to disc file.
- STF3 31 Termination of DO LOOP over each element.

# 3.12.2 Residual force subroutine, REFOR3

The purpose of this subroutine is to calculate the equivalent nodal forces from which the residual nodal forces will be evaluated in subroutine CONUND. In view of the essentially incremental nature of the equations of plasticity, the subroutine is somewhat more intricate than the residual force subroutines developed to date. All stress and strain components must be accumulated from the values obtained during each iteration. The situation is further complicated by the fact that an element may yield when the residual forces are applied as loads for any iteration. The precise load at which yielding begins will generally lie somewhere between the total load corresponding to the previous iteration and the total load for the present cycle. Consequently the yield load must be determined and the plastic strain computed for only the post yield portion of the load. The general procedure adopted is to determine the stress in each element so that the yield criterion is satisfied. If the actual stress in any element is greater than this permissible value, then the additional part is removed but is included in the residual force vector to maintain equilibrium.

Consider the situation existing for the  $r^{\text{th}}$  iteration of any particular load increment. The solution algorithm employed is presented below.

- Step a The applied loads for the  $r^{\text{th}}$  iteration are the residual forces  $\psi^{r-1}$  calculated at the end of the  $(r-1)^{\text{th}}$  iteration according to (2.4). These applied loads give rise to displacement increments,  $\Delta \varphi^r$ , according to (2.12). Hence calculate the corresponding increment of strain  $\Delta \epsilon^r$ . For the general element denote this value by  $\Delta \epsilon^r$  and it is shown in Fig. 3.7.
- Step b Compute the incremental stress change assuming linear elastic behaviour. This will introduce errors if the element has yielded and the material is behaving elasto-plastically. However, we will correct any discrepancy when the residual forces are calculated. Therefore we calculate the stress change according to  $\Delta \sigma_e^r = E \Delta \epsilon^r$ , where the subscript *e* is used to denote that this stress is based on elastic behaviour.
- Step c Accumulate the total stress for each element as  $\sigma_e^r = \sigma^{r-1} + \Delta \sigma_e^r$ . The stress  $\sigma^{r-1}$  will have been determined to satisfy the yield condition during the  $(r-1)^{\text{th}}$  iteration. Consequently, the error in the stress  $\sigma_e^r$  is limited to  $\Delta \sigma_e^r$ . Again the subscript *e* denotes that  $\sigma_e^r$  is based on an elastic behaviour.
- Step d The next step in the process depends on whether or not the element had previously yielded on the  $(r-1)^{\text{th}}$  iteration. This can be checked from the known value of the yield stress for the  $(r-1)^{\text{th}}$  iteration. The stress limit for this cycle is given from Fig. 2.9 as

$$\sigma_Y^{r-1} = \sigma_Y + H' \epsilon_p^{r-1}.$$

Since the plastic strain  $\epsilon_p$  will differ from element to element, each element will generally have a different permissible stress level.



Fig. 3.7 Incremental stress and strain changes in a one-dimensional elasto-plastic material. (a) Initial yielding of material. (b) Material previously yielded.

#### Therefore we check if $\sigma^{r-1} > \sigma_Y + H' \epsilon_p^{r-1}$ . If the answer is:

#### YES

which implies that the element had already yielded during the previous iteration, then check to see if  $\sigma_e^r > \sigma^{r-1}$ . If the answer is:

#### NO

which implies that the element had not previously yielded. We now check to see if  $\sigma_e^r > \sigma_Y$ . If the answer is:

NO	YES	NO	YES
The element is unloading which according to plasticity theory must take place elastically, and no further action need be taken. Go directly to Step g.	The element had reached the threshold stress during the previous iteration and the stress is still increasing. There- fore all the excess stress $\sigma_e^r - \sigma^{r-1}$ must be reduced to the yield value as indicated in Fig. 3.7(b). There- fore the factor, <i>R</i> , which defines the portion of the stress which must be modified to satisfy the yield condition, is equal to 1 in this case as shown in Fig. 3.7(b).	The element is still elastic and no further action need be taken. Go directly to Step g.	The element has yielded during the application of load corresponding to this iteration as illustrated in Fig. 3.7(a). Therefore the portion of the stress greater than the yield value must be reduced to the elasto-plastic line. The removed por- tion will be included in the residual force vector. The re- duction factor, <i>R</i> , is found, with refer- ence to Fig. 3.7(a) to be $R = \frac{AB}{AC}$ $= \frac{\sigma_e{}^r - \sigma_Y}{\sigma_e{}^r - \sigma_Y}$
			$\sigma_{e}r - \sigma^{r-1}$

Step e For yielded elements only, calculate the increment of stress  $\Delta \sigma_{ep}^k$ , which is the portion after yielding, permitted by elasto-plastic theory. This stress value is shown in Fig. 3.7 for the two cases when (a) yielding has commenced during this iteration and (b) when the element had previously yielded. Using (2.4) we have

$$\Delta \sigma_{ep}^{r} = E \left( 1 - \frac{E}{E + H'} \right) \Delta \epsilon_{ep}^{r}, \qquad (3.33)$$

where the subscript *ep* denotes elasto-plastic behaviour. For the above to be generally true we must restrict ourselves to small increments of stress and strain. For the situation of Fig. 3.7(a), noting that triangles ADC and AEB are similar, we have

$$\Delta \epsilon_{ep}{}^r = R \Delta \epsilon^r. \tag{3.34}$$

Defining R = 1 for the situation of Fig. 3.7(b), then (3.34) is still correct. Therefore

$$\Delta \sigma_{ep}^{r} = E \left( 1 - \frac{E}{E + H'} \right) R \Delta \epsilon^{r}.$$
 (3.35)

The total current stress is given by

$$\sigma^{r} = \sigma^{r-1} + (1-R)\Delta\sigma_{e}^{r} + \Delta\sigma_{ep}^{r}, \qquad (3.36)$$

where the second term accounts for the elastic portion of the stress increment occurring before the onset of yielding.

Step f For yielded elements only, evaluate the total plastic strain for the element as  $\epsilon_p^r = \epsilon_p^{r-1} + \Delta \epsilon_p^r$  where the plastic strain increment for the iteration is calculated as follows. For the elastic component of strain,  $\Delta \epsilon_e^r$ , we have

$$\Delta \epsilon_e^r = \frac{\Delta \sigma^r}{E}.$$
(3.37)

Substituting for  $\Delta \sigma^r$  from the linearised form of (2.35) into (3.37) and then using (2.34) we obtain

$$\Delta \epsilon_p r = \frac{\Delta \epsilon^r}{1 + H'/E}.$$
(3.38)

Since the plastic strain component must be calculated for the part of the strain after the element yields, then, with reference to Fig. 3.7,  $\Delta \epsilon^r$  must be replaced by  $\Delta \epsilon_{ep}^r$ . Or, using (3.34), we have

$$\Delta \epsilon_p r = \frac{R \Delta \epsilon^r}{1 + H'/E}.$$
(3.39)

Then the total current plastic strain for the element is

$$\epsilon_p r = \epsilon_p r^{-1} + \frac{R\Delta\epsilon^r}{1 + H'/E}.$$
(3.40)

Step g For elastic elements only, store the correct current stress as

$$\sigma^r = \sigma^{r-1} + \Delta \sigma_e^r. \tag{3.41}$$

(This in fact repeats Step c.)

Step h Finally, calculate the equivalent nodal forces from the element stress according to

$$f_1 = -f_2 = \begin{cases} -\sigma^r A & \text{for } x_2 > x_1 \\ \sigma^r A & \text{for } x_2 < x_1. \end{cases}$$
(3.42)

Subroutine REFOR3 is now presented below and explanatory notes provided.

C ++ CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES RFR3 3 C ++ CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES RFR3 4 C MONAVULINT/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER, RFR3 7 C KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVBA, NODEN, NINCS, NEVAB, RFR3 8 NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU RFR3 (10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,			SUBROUTINE REFOR3	RFR3	1
C *** CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES RFR3 4 CC RFR3 5 CC *** CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES RFR3 4 CC *** CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES RFR3 7 CC *** CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES RFR3 7 CC *** CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES RFR3 7 CC *** CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES, NODE, ITNCS, INTER, RFR3 7 CC *** CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NALCO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB, RFR3 7 CC *** CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NALCO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB, RFR3 7 CC *** CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NALCO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB, RFR3 7 CC *** CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NALCO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB, RFR3 7 CC *** CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NALCO ENTENT NALCO ENTERS 20 FORCES FORCE CARON (NODE 1) -COORD (NODE 2) STRAN= (XDISP (NODE 1) -XDISP (NODE 2) NFR3 20 FIF (SAUGAL MADES ESTEN EQUIVALENT NALCO EQUIVALENT NALCO ENTENT NALCO EQUIVALENT NALCO ENTERS 20 FIF (SAUGAL MADES ESTEN ESTENT NALCO ENTERS 100 FOR 30 FIF (SAUGAL MADES (STLIN ) FOR EDUICAL ONERSALES (FLEM, 1) STRAN (XDISP (NODE 1) -XDISP (NODE 2) NFR3 30 FIF (SAUGAL MADES (STLIN ) FIF (ADSISTES (IELEM, 1) STRAN (XDISP (NODE 1) -XDISP (NODE 2) NFR3 30 FIF (SAUGAL MADES (STLIN ) FIF (ADSISTES (IELEM,	C#	***	***************************************	RFR3	2
C *** CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES RFR3 5 C	С			RFR3	3
C RFR3 5 COMMON/UNIM1/NPDIN,NELEM,NBOUM,NLOAD,NPROP,NNODE, LINCS, IITER, RFR3 6 COMMON/UNIM1/NPDIN,NELEM,NBOUM,NLOAD,NPROP,NNDCS, ITTER, RFR3 7 . KRESL,NCHEK,TOLER,NALGO,NSVAB,NDOFN,NINCS,NEVAB, RFR3 8 . NITER,NOUTP,FACTO,PVALU RFR3 9 COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),COCND(26,4),LNDDS(25,2), IFPRE(52), RFR3 11 . MATNO(25),STRES(25,2),PLAST(25),XDISP(52), RFR3 11 . MATNO(25),STRES(25,2),PLAST(25),XDISP(52), RFR3 13 . TDISP(26,2),TREAC(26,2),ASTIF(52,2),ASLO(52), RFR3 13 . REACT(52),FRESV(1352),PEFIX(52),ESTIF(4,4) RFR3 14 DO 10 IELEM=1,NELPM RFR3 15 DO 10 IELEM=1,NELPM RFR3 16 10 ELOAD(IELEM,IEVAB)=0.0 RFR3 17 LPROP=MATNO(IELEM) RFR3 18 LPROP=MATNO(IELEM) RFR3 18 LPROP=MATNO(IELEM) RFR3 20 XAREA=PROPS(LPROP,1) RFR3 22 YIELD=PROPS(LPROP,1) RFR3 22 MODE:LINDDS(IELEM,1) NODE(1=LNDDS(IELEM,1) NODE:LINDDS(IELEM,1) RFR3 22 IF(COORD(NODE2),LT.COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 22 IF(COORD(NODE2),LT.COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 23 NODE:LINDDS(IELEM,1)-STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE1))RFR3 26 IF(COORD(NODE2),LT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE1))RFR3 27 . /ELENG STLIN=YOUNG*STRAN RFR3 30 STLIN=YOUNG*STRAN RFR3 30 STLIN=YOUNG*STRAN RFR3 30 STLIN=YOUNG*STRAN RFR3 32 PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM) RFR3 33 IF(ABS(STCUR)-PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 33 IF(ABS(STCUR)-PREYS) RFR3 33 IF(CSUR_ABS(STCUR)-PREYS) RFR3 33 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GE.PREYS) GO TO 40 RFR3 33 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GE.PREYS) GO TO 40 RFR3 33 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 33 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 33 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 33 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 34 ESCUR-ABS(STCUR)-PREYS RFR3 35 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 35 IF(SSUR_ABS(STLIN) RFR3 34 ESCUR-ABS(STCUR)-PREYS RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1).CT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40 RFR3 34 ESCUR-ABS(STCUR)-PREYS RFR3 45 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 35 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 35 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 35 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 35 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 35 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1).STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 45 RFR3 45 FOD MD MD RFR3 45 FOD MD	С	***	CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES	RFR3	4
C*************************************	С			RFR3	5
COMMON/UNIT/NPOLN,MELEM, MBOUN, MLOAD, NPKOP, NNODE, LINCS, LINES, LINE, HFR3 6 NTTER, NOUTP, FACTO, FVALU NTTER, NOUTP, FACTO, FVALU NTTER, NOUTP, FACTO, FVALU NTTER, NOUTP, FACTO, FVALU NEFR3 10 COMMON/UNITA/PROS(5, 4), COORD(25), LNODS(25, 2), FFPRE(52), RFR3 11 NTTER, NOUTP, FACTO, 2), ADST(52), S21, S21, S21, S21, S21, S21, S21, S21	C,	***		RFR3	6
. KRESL, KCHEK, TOLEK, NALCO, NSVAB, NDOF N, NINCS, NEVAB, MFR3 9 . NINCS, NEVAB, MFR3 9 COMMON/UNIIM2/PROPS(5, 4), COORD(26), LNODS(25, 2), JFFRE(52), RFR3 11 . FIXED(52), TREAC(26, 2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52), RFR3 12 . TDISP(26, 2), TREAC(26, 2), ASTIF(52, 52), ASLOD(52), RFR3 13 . REACT(52), FRES(25, 2), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4, 4) RFR3 14 DO 10 IELDM-1, NELEM DO 10 IELDM-1, NELEM DO 10 IELDM-1, NELEM NEVAB, NEVAB . RFR3 16 10 ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = 0.0 RFR3 17 DO 70 IELEM=1, NEVAB . RFR3 16 10 ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = 0.0 RFR3 17 DO 70 IELEM=1, NELEM . RFR3 18 . RFR3 21 . YIELD=FNOPS(LFROP, 2) RFR3 22 . KAREA-PROPS(LFROP, 2) RFR3 22 . KAREA-RADS(CONCINDE1)-COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 22 . KAREA-SAS(CONCINDE1)-COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 28 . IF(COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 28 . IF(COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1))RFR3 27 . / ELENG RFR3 31 . STCUR-STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLIN RFR3 33 . STCUR-STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLIN RFR3 33 . STCUR-STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLIN RFR3 33 . FCASTESS(IELEM, 1)+STLIN RFR3 33 . FCASTESS(IELEM, 1)+STLIN RFR3 33 . FCASTESS(IELEM, 1)-STRESS(GO TO 20 RFR3 33 . FGASTESS(IELEM, 1)-STRESS(IELEM, 1)+STRAN RFR3 36 . AFACT-ESCUR/ABS(STLIN) RFR3 37 . YOUNC/(YOUNG+HARDS) *STRAN RFR3 36 . FFAST-ESCUR/ABS(STLIN) RFR3 37 . YOUNC/(YOUNG+HARDS) *STRAN RFR3 44 . YOUNC/(YOUNG+HARDS) *STRAN RFR3 47 . YOUNC/(YO			COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, 11NCS, 11TER,	RFR3	1
. MITEX, MOUTP, FACTO, FVALU COMMON/UNINE/PROPS(5, 4), COORD(26), LNODS(25, 2), FFPRE(52), RFR3 10 . FIXED(52), TLOAD(25, 4), ELOAD(25, 4), ELOAD(25, 4), RFR3 11 . MATNO(25), STRES(25, 2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52), RFR3 13 . REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4, 4) RFR3 15 DO 10 IELEME1, NEVAB RFR3 16 10 ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = 0.0 RFR3 17 DO 10 IELEME1, NEVAB RFR3 16 LPROP-MATNO(IELEM) RFR3 18 LPROP-MATNO(IELEM) RFR3 18 LPROP-MATNO(IELEM) RFR3 22 XAREA-PROPS(LPROP, 1) RFR3 22 YIELD=PROPS(LPROP, 2) RFR3 22 HANDS-PROPS(LPROP, 3) RFR3 22 HANDS-PROPS(LPROP, 3) RFR3 22 LERG_ARBS(COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE2))RFR3 23 If (COORD(NODE2).CT.COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 23 IF (COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 23 STLIN=YOUNC#STRAN RFR3 31 STLUM=STRES(IELEM, 1) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE2))RFR3 23 IF (COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE2))RFR3 23 IF (COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE2))RFR3 23 IF (COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE2))RFR3 23 IF (ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 33 STLUM=STRES(IELEM, 1).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 33 IF (ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 33 IF (ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 33 IF (STRES(IELEM, 1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 36 IF (ESCUR.LE.O.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 36 IF (STRES(IELEM, 1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 36 IF (STRES(IELEM, 1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 36 20 IF (STRES(IELEM, 1).STRES(IELEM, 1).STRAN YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS) RFR3 44 40 STRES(IELEM, 1).STRES(IELEM, 1).STRAN (YOUNG/(1.0- RFR3 44 40 STRES(IELEM, 1).STRES(IELEM, 1).STRAN YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS) RFR3 45 ELOAD(IELEM, 2).STRES(IELEM, 1).STRAN YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS) RFR3 45 FO DO TO 70 RFR3 45 ELOAD(IELEM, 2).STRES(IELEM, 1).STRAN YOUNG/(YOUN			KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	KFR3	8
COMMON/UNI2/PROFS(3,4), CLOAD(25), LNUDS(25,2), J, ELCAD(25,4), RFR3 11 . MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), JDISP(52), RFR3 13 . TDISP(26,2), TREAC(26,2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLO(52), RFR3 13 . REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4) RFR3 14 DO 10 IELD#-1, NELPM RFR3 15 DO 10 IEVAB-1, NEVAB RFR3 17 DO 70 IELEW-1, NELAM RFR3 17 DO 70 IELEW-1, NELAM RFR3 18 LPROP-MATNO(IELEM) RFR3 19 YOUNG-PROPS(LPROP,1) RFR3 22 YIELD-PROPS(LPROP,2) RFR3 22 YIELD-PROPS(LPROP,2) RFR3 22 NODE1=LNODS(IELEM,1) NODE2-LNODS(IELEM,1) RFR3 22 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 23 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 22 IF(COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 23 STCUR-STRES(IELEM,1) +STLIN RFR3 33 STCUR-STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 33 IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 33 IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 33 IF(CSUR)-ABS(COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE2))RFR3 32 IF(CSOUR)-RFR3 24 RFR3 36 STCUR-STRES(IELEM,1),STLIN RFR3 33 IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1),STLIN RFR3 33 IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)),STLIN.LE.0.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 33 IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 34 ESCUR-ABS(COUR)-PREYS IF(CSOUR,LE,0.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 35 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STLIN.LE.0.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 36 RFACT=4.0.0 RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 37 FGCSTS(IELEM,1).STLIN.LE.0.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 39 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.0.AND.STLIN.LE.0.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 39 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.0.AND.STLIN.HERACT*YOUNG*(1.0- RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 34 ESCUR-ABS(STCUR)-PREYS AFACT=4.0.0 RFR3 37 GO TO 50 RFR3 34 ESCUR-ABS(STELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 37 FGCSTES(IELEM,1).STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 36 FGR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 45 FGR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 45 FGR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 55 ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 55 ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 55 ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 55 END RFR3 55 END			NITER, NOUTP, FACTO, PVALU	RFR3	19
. FIADUS27, IDADI25, 4, RUDAUC5, 47, RUDAUC5, 47, RUDAUC5, 47, RRT3 12 . TDISP(26, 2), STRES(25, 2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52), RFT3 13 . REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), SSIF(4, 4) RFT3 14 DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM RFT3 14 DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM RFT3 16 DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM RFT3 16 IELEM=1, NELEM RFT3 17 DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM RFT3 18 LPROP-MATNO(IELEM, 1, NELEM RFT3 18 LPROP-MATNO(IELEM, 1, NELEM RFT3 19 YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 2) RFT3 22 HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 2) RFT3 22 HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 3) RFT3 22 HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 4) RFT3 23 NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 1) RFT3 24 NODE2=LNODS(IELEM, 2) IF(COORD(NODE2), GT.COORD(NODE2)) RFT3 27 . /ELENG RFT3 28 IF(COORD(NODE2), COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE1)) RFT3 27 . /ELENG RFT3 31 STCUR=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLIN RFT3 33 STLIN=YOUNG*STRAN RFT3 32 IF(CSORD(NODE2), LT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE2)) RFT3 33 IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFT3 33 IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFT3 33 IF(SSUR_ABS(STLIN) RFT3 33 IF(SSUR_ABS(STLIN) RFT3 33 IF(SSUR_ABS(STLIN) RFT3 33 IF(SSUR_ABS(STLIN) RFT3 33 IF(SSUR_ABS(STLIN) RFT3 33 IF(SSUR_ABS(STLIN) RFT3 34 RFT3 36 20 IF(COORD(ABS(STLIN) RFT3 37 GO TO 30 RFT3 34 21 IF(CSUR_ABS(STLIN) RFT3 37 GO TO 30 RFT3 34 23 RFES(IELEM, 1).STRAN RFT3 35 IF(SSUR_ABS(STLIN) RFT3 37 GO TO 30 RFT3 34 24 STRES(IELEM, 1).STRAN RFT3 35 IF(SSUR_ABS(STLIN) RFT3 37 GO TO 30 RFT3 34 25 IF(CSUR_ABS(STLIN) RFT3 37 GO TO 30 RFT3 34 26 IF(COORD(ABS))*STRAN RFT3 35 IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).STRAS(IELEM, 1).RFTACT*STRAN*YOUNG*(100M=HARDS) RFT3 34 40 STRES(IELEM, 1).STRES(IELEM, 1).STRAN RFT3 35 IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).STRES(IELEM, 1).STRAN RFT3 37 GO TO 50 RFT3 34 40 STRES(IELEM, 1).STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREA RFT3 36 ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREA RFT3 56 ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREA RFT3 57 70 CONTINUE RFT3 FTTNES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREA RFT3 57 FTTNN RFT3 55 ELOAD RFT3 55 ELOAD RFT3 55 ELOAD RFT3 55 END RFT3 55 FTTNN RFT3 55 FTTNN RFT3 55 FTTNN RFT3 55 FTTNN RFT3 55 FTTNN RF			$\frac{\text{COMMON/UNIM2/PROPO(5,4), COURD(20), LNUDS(25,2), LPPRE(52),}{ETVED(52), TLOAD(26,4), ELOAD(26,4), ELO$	Nr KJ DEDO	10
. MAIROUSD, SILES 22, PERSIGE 22, ASTIF (4,4) RFR3 12 . REACT (52), FRESU (1352), PEFIX (52), ASD (52), RFR3 13 DO 10 IELEM-1, NELEM RFR3 16 10 ELOAD (IELEM, INLEM RFR3 16 10 ELOAD (IELEM, INLEM RFR3 17 DO 70 IELEM-1, NELEM RFR3 18 LPROP-MATNO(IELEM) RFR3 19 YOUNG-PROPS (LPROP, 1) RFR3 20 XAREA-PROPS (LPROP, 2) RFR3 21 YIELD-PROPS (LPROP, 2) RFR3 22 HARDS-PROPS (LPROP, 3) RFR3 22 HARDS-PROPS (LPROP, 3) RFR3 22 HARDS-PROPS (LPROP, 4) RFR3 22 HARDS-PROPS (LPROP, 4) RFR3 22 HARDS-PROPS (LPROP, 4) RFR3 22 IF (COORD (NODE 2).COORD (NODE 1)) STRAN= (XDISP (NODE 2)-XDISP (NODE 1)) RFR3 27 . /ELENG RFR3 28 IF (COORD (NODE 2).LT.COORD (NODE 1)) STRAN= (XDISP (NODE 1)-XDISP (NODE 2)) RFR3 28 IF (COORD (NODE 2).LT.COORD (NODE 1)) STRAN= (XDISP (NODE 1)-XDISP (NODE 2)) RFR3 29 . /ELENG RFR3 30 STLIN-YOUNG*STRAN RFR3 32 PREYS-YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST (IELEM)) RFR3 32 IF (ESCUR-ABS(STCUR)-PREYS GO TO 20 RFR3 34 ESCUR-ABS(STCUR)-PREYS IF (ESCUR.LE.0.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 33 IF (ABS(STRES (IELEM, 1)).STRAN= (XDISP (NODE 1)-XDISP (NODE 2)) RFR3 33 IF (ABS(STRES (IELEM, 1),+STLIN RFR3 33 STCUR-STRES (IELEM, 1).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 34 ESCUR-ABS(STCUR)-PREYS IF (SCUR.LE.0.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 33 IF (ABS(STRES (IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 34 ESCUR-ABS(STCUR)-PREYS IF (STRES (IELEM, 1).GT.0.0.AND.STLIN.GT.0.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 39 IF (STRES (IELEM, 1).GT.0.0.AND.STLIN.GT.0.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 34 20 IF (STRES (IELEM, 1).T.0.0.AND.STLIN.GT.0.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 44 30 REDUC-1.0.FFACT RFR4 T 30 REDUC-1.0.FFACT RFR4 T 30 REDUC-1.0.FFACT RFR5 (IELEM, 1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0- RFR3 44 31 RFACT=1.0 RFR4 AT 31 RFCURLEM-1)=STRES (IELEM, 1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0- RFR3 44 31 RFACT=1.0 RFR4 T 32 REDUC-1.0.GFACT RFR5 45 33 IF (COORD(NDDE2).GT.COORD(NDDE1)) GO TO 60 RFR3 45 34 GO TO 50 RFR4 T 35 RFR5 (IELEM, 1)=STRES (IELEM, 1)+XAREA RFR3 55 RFN 45 CO TO 70 RFR4 T 40 STRES (IELEM, 1)=STRES (IELEM, 1)+XAREA RFR3 55 RFN 45 CO TO 70 RFR4 T RFR3 55 RFN 45 RFN 45 RFN 45 RFN 45 RFN 45 RFN 45 RFN			$ = \frac{1}{2} \frac$	DED3	10
.         Indication			$\frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} $	REB3	12
DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM DO 10 IEVAB=1, NELAM DO 10 IEVAB=1, NELAM PRR3 15 DO 10 IEVAB=1, NELAM LPROP=MATNO(IELEM) NODE1=LNODS(IEROP, 1) XAREA=PROPS(LPROP, 2) YIELD=PROPS(LPROP, 3) HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 4) NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 1) NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 2) ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2)) IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1))RFR3 27 //LENG STLIN=YOUNGSSTRAN STCUR=STRESS(IELEM, 1)-STLIN PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM)) IF(ABS(STRESS(IELEM, 1).STLIN PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM)) RFR3 32 PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM)) RFR3 33 IF(CSUR=LESC(IELEM, 1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40 RFR3 36 RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN) GO TO 30 RFR3 40 RFR3 40 RFR3 40 RFR3 40 RFR3 40 RFR3 40 RFR3 44 STRESS(IELEM, 1).STRAM STRESS(IELEM, 1).STRAM STRESS(IELEM, 1).STRAM STRESS(IELEM, 1).STLIN RFR3 33 IF(SCUR=LSCUR/ABS(STLIN) GO TO 30 RFR3 44 STRESS(IELEM, 1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40 RFR3 40 RFR3 44 STRESS(IELEM, 1).STRAM RFACT=1.0 RFR3 44 STRESS(IELEM, 1).STRAM RFACT=1.0 RFR3 44 STRESS(IELEM, 1).STRESS(IELEM, 1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0- RFR3 44 STRESS(IELEM, 1).STRESS(IELEM, 1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0- RFR3 44 STRESS(IELEM, 1).STRESS(IELEM, 1)+RFACT*STRAM RFACT=1.0 RFR3 47 STRESS(IELEM, 1).STRESS(IELEM, 1)+RFACT*STRAM*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)) RFR3 47 STRESS(IELEM, 1).STRESS(IELEM, 1)+RFACT*STRAM*YOUNG*(1.0- RFR3 47 STRESS(IELEM, 1).STRESS(IELEM, 1)+RFACT*STRAM*YOUNG*(1.0- RFR3 47 STRESS(IELEM, 1).STRESS(IELEM, 1)*XAREA RFR3 47 SO IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60 RFR3 47 STRESS(IELEM, 1)=STRESS(IELEM, 1)*XAREA RFR3 47 SO IF(CONDL(MODE2).STRESS(IELEM, 1)*XAREA RFR3 55 RCONTINUE RFR3 55 RCON RFR3 55 RCONTINUE RFR3 55 RCONTINUE RFR3 55		•	RFACT(52) FRFSV(1352) PFFTV(52) FSTTF(4 4)	RFR3	14
DO         10         IEVAB-1, NEVAB         RFR3         16           10         ELOAD (IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0         RFR3         17           DO         TO         DO         RFR3         17           DO         TO         DO         RFR3         17           DO         TO         DO         RFR3         17           DO         TO         RFR3         19           YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 2)         RFR3         21           YIELD=PROPS(LPROP, 2)         RFR3         22           HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 4)         RFR3         22           MODE1=LNDOS (IELEM, 1)         RFR3         25           ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))         RFR3         26           IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1))         STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE2))         RFR3           STLIN=YOUNG#STRAN         RFR3         31           STCUR=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLIN         RFR3         33           STCUR=ABS(STUR)-PREYS         GO         TO         RFR3           IF(CSCUR.LE.0.0)         GO         TO         RFR3         36           RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)         GFR3         36         RFR3         37           IF (STRES(IELEM, 1).GT0.0.AND.STLIN.LE.0.0)		•	DO 10 TELEM-1. NFLEM	RFR3	15
10 ELOAD(IELEM, IÉVAB)=0.0       RFR3       17         DO 70 IELEM=1, NELEM       RFR3       18         LPROP+MATNO(IELEM)       RFR3       19         YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 1)       RFR3       20         XAREA=RPOPS(LPROP, 2)       RFR3       22         HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 3)       RFR3       22         HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 4)       RFR3       23         NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 1)       RFR3       24         NODE2=LNODS(IELEM, 1)       RFR3       24         NODE2=LNODS(IELEM, 1)       RFR3       25         ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))       RFR3       25         rf(COORD(NODE2).CT.COORD(NODE1))       STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1))       RFR3         sTLIN=YOUNG*STRAN       RFR3       30         STLIN=YOUNG*STRAN       RFR3       31         STCUR=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLIN       RFR3       33         IF(CSCURLE.0.0)       GO TO 40       RFR3       34         PREVS:YIELD-HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM))       RFR3       36         RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)       RFR3       37       37         GO TO 30       RFR3       37       37         20 IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).CT.0.0.AND.STLIN.LE.0.0) GO TO 40       RFR3       40			DO 10 IEVAB=1.NEVAB	RFR3	16
DO 70 IELEM=1,NELEM         RFR3 18           LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)         RFR3 19           YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP,1)         RFR3 21           XAREA=PROPS(LPROP,2)         RFR3 21           YIELD=PROPS(LPROP,3)         RFR3 23           NODE1=LNODS(IELEM,1)         RFR3 24           NODE1=LNODS(IELEM,1)         RFR3 24           NODE2=LNODS(IELEM,2)         RFR3 26           IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1))         STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE1))RFR3 27           . /ELENG         RFR3 26           IF(COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1))         STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE1))RFR3 27           . /ELENG         RFR3 30           STLIN=YOUNG*STRAN         RFR3 31           STCUR=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN         RFR3 33           IF(CAORB(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1))         STCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS           PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM))         RFR3 33           IF(ABS(STES(IELEM,1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20         RFR3 33           IF(CSCUR.LE.O.O) GO TO 40         RFR3 36           RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)         RFR3 36           CO TO 30         GO TO 30         RFR3 44           YOUNC/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN         RFR3 43           YOUNC/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN         RFR3 44           PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+REACT*STAN*YOUNG*(1.0-		10	ELOAD(IELEM.IEVAB)=0.0	RFR3	17
LPRÒP=MATNO(IÉLEM) RFR3 19 YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP,1) RFR3 20 XAREA_PROPS(LPROP,2) RFR3 21 YIELD=PROPS(LPROP,3) RFR3 22 HARDS=PROPS(LPROP,4) RFR3 23 NODE1=LNODS(IELEM,1) RFR3 24 NODE2=LNODS(IELEM,1) RFR3 25 ELENG=ABS(COCRD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 25 FLENG=ABS(COCRD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 27 . /ELENG RFR3 28 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE2))RFR3 27 . /ELENG RFR3 28 STLIN=YOUNG*STRAN RFR3 31 STCUR=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 32 PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM)) RFR3 33 IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 32 PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM)) RFR3 33 IF(ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS RFR3 35 IF(ESCUR_LE.O.O) GO TO 40 RFR3 36 OT 0 30 RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0- RFR3 41 30 REDUC=1.0-RFACT RFR4CT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS) RFR3 43 . YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN RFR3 47 40 STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+REFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS) RFR3 47 FLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+XAREA RFR3 47 FLOAD(IELEM,2)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 47 FLOAD(IELEM,2)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 51 CO CONTINUE RFR3 47 RFR3 47 PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 57 RFR3 46 RFR3 47 PLOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 57 RFR3 47 RFR3 47 PLOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 57 RFR3 46 RFR3 47 RFR3 47 RFR3 47 RFR3 47 RFR3 47 RFR3 47 RFR3 47 RFR3 47 RFR3 46 RFR3 45 RFR3 55 RD			DO 70 IELEM=1.NELEM	RFR3	18
YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP,1) RFR3 20 XAREA=PROPS(LPROP,2) RFR3 21 YIELD=PROPS(LPROP,3) RFR3 23 NODE1=LNODS(IELEM,1) RFR3 23 NODE1=LNODS(IELEM,2) RFR3 25 ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 25 ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 26 IF(COORD(NODE2).CT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1))NFR3 27 ./ELENG RFR3 28 IF(COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE2))RFR3 29 ./ELENG RFR3 31 STCUR=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 31 STCUR=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 32 PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM)) RFR3 32 IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 34 ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS RFR3 35 IF(ESCUR.LE.O.0) CO TO 40 RFR3 35 IF(ESCUR.LE.O.0) CO TO 40 RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1).STLIN.LE.0.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 44 RFACT=1.0 RFR4 STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 45 IF(CORD(NODE2).STRAN RFR3 45 IF(CORD(NODE2).STRAN RFR3 45 IF(STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN.GT.O.0) GO TO 40 RFR3 40 RFACT=1.0 RFR4 40 STRES(IELEM,1).STRAN RFR3 45 IF(STRES(IELEM,1)-STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0- RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS) RFR3 45 IF(COORD(NODE2).CT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60 RFR3 45 ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 45 IF(COORD(NODE2).STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 49 ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 55 RFN3 45 IELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 55 END RFR3 45 RFR3 45 RFR3 45 IF(CONTINUE RFR3 45 IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 55 END RFR3 45 RFR3 45			LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	RFR3	19
XAREA=PROPS(LPROP,2) RFR3 21 YIELD=PROPS(LPROP,3) RFR3 22 HARDS=PROPS(LPROP,4) RFR3 23 MODE1=LNODS(IELEM,1) RFR3 24 MODE2=LNODS(IELEM,2) RFR3 25 ELENGABS(CORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2)) RFR3 25 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1))RFR3 27 . /ELENG RFR3 30 STLIN=YOUNG*STRAN RFR3 32 STCUR=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 33 IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 33 IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 34 ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS RFR3 35 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 37 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40 RFR3 39 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.GT.O.O) GO TO 40 RFR3 34 25 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.FFACT*YOUNG*(1.0- RFR3 44 YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN RFR2T=LO.RFRACT RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS) RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 GO TO 50 RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS) RFR3 45 GO TO 50 RFR3 46 40 STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 FLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 GO TO 50 RFR3 46 40 STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 FLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 FLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 FLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 FLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 FLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 FFR3 46 40 STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 50 GO TO 70 RFR3 48 ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 55 END RFR3 55 END			YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 1)	RFR3	20
YIELD=PROPS(LPROP,3)RFR322HARDS=PROPS(LPROP,4)RFR323NODE1=LNODS(IELEM,1)RFR325ELENG=ABS(COCRD(NODE1)=COORD(NODE2))RFR325IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1))STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)=XDISP(NODE1))RFR326IF(COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1))STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)=XDISP(NODE2))RFR330STLIN=YOUNC*STRANRFR331STCUR=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLINRFR332PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM))RFR333IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20RFR334ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)=PREYSGO TO 20RFR33536RFR335IF(ESCUR,LE.0.0) GO TO 40RFR336878737GO TO 30RFR336RFR3373737GO TO 30RFR33436874130REDUC=1.0-RFACTRFR3368787STRESC(IELEM,1)_LT.0.0.AND.STLIN.LE.0.0) GO TO 40RFR349RFACT=1.0RFR3444130STRESC(IELEM,1)_STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-RFR343STRESC(IELEM,1)_STRES(IELEM,1)+STLINRFR344VOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRANRFR344PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM,1)+STLINRFR345GO TO 50RFR34440STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STRES(YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR341STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR35060ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR			XAREA=PROPS(LPROP, 2)	RFR3	21
HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 4)RFR323NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 1)RFR325ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))RFR325ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE2).CT.COORD(NODE1))STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1))RFR326IF(COORD(NODE2).CT.COORD(NODE1))STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE1))RFR328IF(COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1))STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE2))RFR329./ELENGRFR330STLIN=YOUNG*STRANRFR331STCUR=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR332PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM))RFR333IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20RFR334ESCUR-ABS(STCUR)-PREYSRFR336RFR4_TESCUR-ABS(STLIN)RFR337GO TO 30RFR33620IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40RFR3RFR340RFR440RFR4_10RFR34430REDUC=1.0-RFACTRFR3YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRANRFR34540STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR341OT STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR34742STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR35260TO 50RFR348440STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR35260COORD(NODE2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR35260GO TO 70RFR35260CONTINUERFR35370			YIELD=PROPS(LPROP, 3)	RFR3	22
NODE 1=LNDDS(IELEM, 1)RFR3 NODE2=LNODS(IELEM, 2)RFR3 RFR3 26ELENG-ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))RFR3 RFR3 27./ELENGRFR3 RFR3 28IF(COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1))STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1))RFR3 RFR3 29./ELENGRFR3 RFR3 30STLIN-YOUNC*STRANRFR3 RFR3 31STCUR=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR3 RFR3 31IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS)GO TO 20RFR3 BS(STLIN)-PREYSRFR3 RFR3 35IF(ESCUR.LE.O.O)GO TO 40RFR3 RFACT=LSOUR/ABS(STLIN)RFR3 RFR3 37 GO TO 30GO TO 30RFR3 RFR3 37GO TO 30RFR3 RFR3 37JONG/YOUNG+HARDS>*STRANRFR3 RFR3 37JONG/YOUNG+HARDS>*STRANRFR3 RFR3 37JONG/YOUNG+HARDS>*STRANRFR3 RFR3 44ARACT=LORFR3 RFR3 44JONG/YOUNG+HARDS)*STRANRFR3 RFR3 44PLAST(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR3 RFR3 45 4640STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR3 RFR3 4640STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR3 49 ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=-STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR3 50 60 6060ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=-STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR3 53 53 70 CONTINUERFR3 55 55 6NDRFR3 55			HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 4)	RFR3	23
NODE2=LNODS(IELEM,2)RFR325ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))RFR326IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1))STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1))RFR327./ELENGRFR328IF(COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1))STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE2))RFR329./ELENGRFR331STCUR=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLINRFR332PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM))RFR333IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20RFR334ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYSRFR335IF(ESCUR.LE.0.0) GO TO 40RFR33620 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40RFR339IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40RFR339IF(STRES(IELEM,1).STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-RFR342STRES(IELEM,1).STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-RFR34340STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR345GO TO 50GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR34440STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLINRFR345GO TO 70RFR346RFR345GO TO 70RFR347STRES55ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR352ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR352ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR352ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR355FNDRFR35553.			NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 1)	RFR3	24
ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))RFR326IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1))STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1))RFR327./ELENGRFR330STLIN=YOUNC*STRANRFR331STCUR=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLINRFR332PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM))RFR333IF(SCUR-ABS(STCUR)-PREYSGO TO 20RFR335IF(SSCUR.LE.0.0)GO TO 40RFR336RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)RFR33737GO TO 30RFR33737IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.0.0)GO TO 40RFR3RFR3RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)RFR337GO TO 30RFR337STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.GT.O.O)GO TO 40RFR3RFR3RFACT=LORFR336RFR4CT=1.0RFR337STRES(IELEM,1).STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-RFR3RFR34170RFR3NOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRANRFR345GO TO 50RFR345GO TO 50RFR345GO TO 50RFR345GO TO 70RFR348ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR349ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR35160ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR352ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR35370CONTINUERFR355ENDRFR356			NODE2=LNODS(IELEM, 2)	RFR3	25
IF (COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1),RFR3 28 IF (COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE2))RFR3 29 . /ELENG RFR3 30 STLIN=YOUNG*STRAN RFR3 31 STCUR=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 31 IF (ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 32 PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM)) RFR3 33 IF (ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 34 ESCUR-ABS(STCUR)-PREYS RFR3 35 IF (ESCUR.LE.O.O) GO TO 40 RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 38 20 IF (STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40 RFR3 39 IF (STRES(IELEM,1).LT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40 RFR3 39 IF (STRES(IELEM,1).LT.O.O.AND.STLIN.GT.O.O) GO TO 40 RFR3 40 RFACT=1.0 RFR3 42 STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.O- RFR3 41 30 REDUC=1.O-RFACT RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM,1)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS) RFR3 45 GO TO 50 RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 50 IF (COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60 RFR3 48- ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 55 GO TO 70 RFR3 51 60 ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 50 GO TO 70 RFR3 48- ELOAD(IELEM,2)==STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 52 ELOAD(IELEM,2)==STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 55 END RFR3 55 END RFR3 55			ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))	RFR3	26
JELENGNRTR320IF (COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1))STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE2))RFR320STLIN=YOUNG*STRANRFR331STCUR=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLINRFR331PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM))RFR333IF (ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)).GE.PREYS)GO TO 20RFR3ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYSRFR335IF (ESCUR.LE.O.O)GO TO 40RFR3RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)RFR336GO TO 30RFR337GO TO 30RFR339IF (STRES(IELEM,1).LT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O)GO TO 40RFR3RFR3RFACT=1.0RFR34130REDUC=1.0-RFACTRFR342STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-RFR343. YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRANRFR344PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM,1)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR344PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM,1)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR345GO TO 50RFR344RFR34540STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*STLINRFR34750IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1))GO TO 60RFR348ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR35160ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR35160ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR352ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR353.70CONTINUERFR355ENDRFR35554			IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1))	KF KJ	27
. /ELENG RFR3 30 STLIN=YOUNG*STRAN RFR3 31 STCUR=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 32 PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM)) RFR3 33 IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20 RFR3 34 ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS RFR3 35 IF(ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS RFR3 35 IF(ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS RFR3 35 IF(ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS RFR3 36 RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN) RFR3 37 GO TO 30 RFR3 38 20 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40 RFR3 39 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).LT.O.O.AND.STLIN.GT.O.O) GO TO 40 RFR3 40 RFACT=1.0 RFR3 41 30 REDUC=1.O=RFACT RFR3 41 30 REDUC=1.0=RFACT RFR3 43 . YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN RFR3 43 . YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN RFR3 44 PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS) RFR3 46 40 STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN RFR3 47 50 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60 RFR3 48 ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 49 ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 50 GO TO 70 RFR3 51 60 ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA RFR3 53. 70 CONTINUE RFR3 55 END RFR3 56		•	JF(COORD(NODE2), LT, COORD(NODE1)) STRAN-(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE2))	RFR3	20
STLIN=YOUNG*STRANRFR3STUR=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR3PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM))RFR3IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20RFR3RFXRFR3ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYSGO TO 20RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)RFR3GO TO 30RFR320 IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40RFR3RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)RFR3GO TO 30RFR321 IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).LT.O.O.AND.STLIN.GT.O.O) GO TO 40RFR3RFACT=1.0RFR331 REDUC=1.0-RFACTRFR3STRES(IELEM, 1).STRES(IELEM, 1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-RFR3YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRANRFR3PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM, 1)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR3GO TO 50RFR345GO TO 50GO TO 60RFR340STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR341STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR342RFR345GO TO 50RFR34544STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR345GO TO 70RFR346ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR34750IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR348ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR340RFR35160ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR35160ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR352ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREAR			/FLENG	RFR3	30
STCUR=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR332PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM))RFR333IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20RFR334ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYSRFR335IF(ESCUR.LE.O.O) GO TO 40RFR336RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)RFR337GO TO 30RFR33820 IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40RFR339IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).LT.O.O.AND.STLIN.GT.O.O) GO TO 40RFR34130 REDUC=1.0-RFACTRFR341RFR34130 REDUC=1.0-RFACTRFR342RFR342STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-RFR343. YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRANRFR344PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR345GO TO 50GO TO 60RFR348-40 STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR34750 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR348-ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR35160 ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=-STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR35160 ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=-STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR35160 ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR352ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR353.70 CONTINUERFR3558770 CONTINUERFR3558770 CONTINUERFR3558770 CONTINUERFR3558771 CONTINUERFR3			STLIN=YOUNG*STRAN	RFR3	31
PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM))       RFR3       33         IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM,1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20       RFR3       34         ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS       RFR3       35         IF(ESCUR.LE.O.0) GO TO 40       RFR3       36         RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)       RFR3       37         GO TO 30       RFR3       38         20 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40       RFR3       39         IF(STRES(IELEM,1).LT.O.O.AND.STLIN.GT.O.O) GO TO 40       RFR3       40         RFACT=1.0       RFR3       41         30 REDUC=1.0-RFACT       RFR3       42         STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-       RFR3       42         . YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN       RFR3       45         GO TO 50       RFR3       45       46         40 STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN       RFR3       47         50 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60       RFR3       48-         ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3       50         GO TO 70       RFR3       51       50         60 ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3       52         ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3       53         70 CONTINUE </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>STCUR=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLIN</td> <td>RFR3</td> <td>32</td>			STCUR=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLIN	RFR3	32
IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20       RFR3 34         ESCUR_ABS(STCUR)-PREYS       RFR3 35         IF(ESCUR.LE.O.O) GO TO 40       RFR3 36         RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)       RFR3 37         GO TO 30       RFR3 38         20 IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40       RFR3 39         IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).LT.O.O.AND.STLIN.GT.O.O) GO TO 40       RFR3 40         RFACT=1.0       RFR3 41         30 REDUC=1.0-RFACT       RFR3 42         STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-       RFR3 43         . YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN       RFR3 44         PLAST(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)       RFR3 44         GO TO 50       RFR3 44         40 STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLIN       RFR3 44         9 ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLIN       RFR3 44         9 ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREA       RFR3 49         9 ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=-STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREA       RFR3 50         9 GO TO 70       RFR3 51         60 ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREA       RFR3 53.         70 CONTINUE       RFR3 55         END       RFR3 55			PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*ABS(PLAST(IELEM))	RFR3	33
ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS       RFR3       35         IF(ESCUR.LE.0.0) GO TO 40       RFR3       36         RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)       RFR3       37         GO TO 30       RFR3       38         20 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.0.0) GO TO 40       RFR3       39         IF(STRES(IELEM,1).T.O.O.AND.STLIN.GT.O.O) GO TO 40       RFR3       40         RFACT=1.0       RFR3       41         30 REDUC=1.0-RFACT       RFR3       42         STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-       RFR3       43         . YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN       RFR3       44         PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM,1)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)       RFR3       45         GO TO 50       RFR3       45       46         40 STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN       RFR3       47         50 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60       RFR3       48         ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3       50         GO TO 70       RFR3       51         60 ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3       52         ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3       53         70 CONTINUE       RFR3       54       75         RETURN <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20</td><td>RFR3</td><td>34</td></td<>			IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20	RFR3	34
IF(ESCUR.LE.0.0) GO TO 40       RFR3 36         RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)       RFR3 37         GO TO 30       RFR3 38         20 IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.0.0.AND.STLIN.LE.0.0) GO TO 40       RFR3 39         IF(STRES(IELEM,1).LT.0.0.AND.STLIN.GT.0.0) GO TO 40       RFR3 40         RFACT=1.0       RFR3 41         30 REDUC=1.0-RFACT       RFR3 42         STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-       RFR3 43         . YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN       RFR3 44         PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)       RFR3 45         GO TO 50       RFR3 45         40       STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN       RFR3 45         GO TO 50       RFR3 45       RFR3 46         40       STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*STLIN       RFR3 47         50       IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60       RFR3 48         ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3 50         GO TO 70       RFR3 51         60       ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3 52         ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3 53         70       CONTINUE       RFR3 54         70       CONTINUE       RFR3 55         END       RFR3 56			ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS	RFR3	35
NFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)       RFR3 37         GO TO 30       RFR3 38         20       IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40       RFR3 39         IF(STRES(IELEM,1).LT.O.O.AND.STLIN.GT.O.O) GO TO 40       RFR3 40         RFACT=1.0       RFR3 41         30       REDUC=1.0-RFACT       RFR3 42         STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-       RFR3 43         . YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN       RFR3 44         PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)       RFR3 45         GO TO 50       RFR3 45         40       STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN       RFR3 45         GO TO 50       RFR3 46         41       STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLIN       RFR3 45         60 TO 50       RFR3 46       RFR3 47         50 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60       RFR3 48         ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3 50         GO TO 70       RFR3 51         60 ELOAD(IELEM,2)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3 52         ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3 52         FLOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREA       RFR3 53.         70 CONTINUE       RFR3 55         RETURN       RFR3 55         END       RFR3 55			IF(ESCUR.LE.O.O) GO TO 40	RFR3	36
20IO 30RFR3 3620IF(STRES(IELEM,1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40RFR3 39IF(STRES(IELEM,1).LT.O.O.AND.STLIN.GT.O.O) GO TO 40RFR3 40RFACT=1.0RFR3 4130REDUC=1.O-RFACTRFR3 42STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.O-RFR3 43. YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRANRFR3 44PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR3 45GO TO 50RFR3 4440STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLINRFR3 45GO TO 50RFR3 4640STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR3 4750IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR3 48*ELOAD(IELEM,2)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR3 50GO TO 70RFR3 5160ELOAD(IELEM,2)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR3 5160ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR3 53.70CONTINUERFR3 53.70CONTINUERFR3 55ENDRFR3 56			RFALT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)	RFR3	37
20IF (STRES(TELEM, 1).GT.0.0. AND.STLTIN.LE.0.0) GO TO 40RFR3 39IF (STRES(TELEM, 1).LT.0.0.AND.STLIN.GT.0.0) GO TO 40RFR3 40RFACT=1.0RFR3 4130REDUC=1.0-RFACTRFR3 42STRES(TELEM, 1)=STRES(TELEM, 1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-RFR3 43. YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRANRFR3 44PLAST(TELEM)=PLAST(TELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR3 44GO TO 50RFR3 45GO TO 50RFR3 4640STRES(TELEM, 1)=STRES(TELEM, 1)+STLINRFR3 4750IF (COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR3 48ELOAD(TELEM, 1)=STRES(TELEM, 1)*XAREARFR3 50GO TO 70RFR3 5160ELOAD(TELEM, 2)=-STRES(TELEM, 1)*XAREARFR3 5160ELOAD(TELEM, 2)=STRES(TELEM, 1)*XAREARFR3 52ELOAD(TELEM, 2)=STRES(TELEM, 1)*XAREARFR3 5370CONTINUERFR3 54RETURNRFR3 55ENDRFR3 56		20	$\frac{1}{10} \frac{10}{10} \frac{10}$	KF K3	30
ACTIONALS(ILLEM, 1):EIT.0.0.0 AND:SILIN.01.0.0) GO TO 40NFRS 40RFACT=1.0RFR3 4130 REDUC=1.0-RFACTRFR3 42STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-RFR3 43. YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRANRFR3 44PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR3 45GO TO 50RFR3 4640 STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR3 4640 STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR3 4750 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR3 48ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR3 50GO TO 70RFR3 5160 ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR3 5160 ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR3 52ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR3 5370 CONTINUERFR3 54RETURNRFR3 55ENDRFR3 56		20	IF(SIRES(IELEM, I), GI.U.U.AND, SILIN, LE.U.U) GU IU 40IF(STRES(IELEM 1) IT 0.0 AND STIIN CT 0.0) CO TO 40	Kr Kj	39
30REDUC=1.0-RFACTRFR342STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-RFR343. YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRANRFR344PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR344GO TO 50RFR34640STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLINRFR34640STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*STLINRFR34750IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR348ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR349ELOAD(IELEM,2)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR350GO TO 70RFR35160ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR3FLOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR352CONTINUERFR353.70CONTINUERFR354RETURNRFR355ENDRFR356			RFACT+1 0	<u>5555</u>	40 月1
STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-RFR343YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRANRFR344PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR345GO TO 50RFR34640 STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR34640 STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR34750 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR348ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR349ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=-STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR350GO TO 70RFR35160 ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR35160 ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR352ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR353.70 CONTINUERFR354RETURNRFR355ENDRFR356		30	REDUC-1.0_REACT	BEB3	112
YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRANRFR344PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR345GO TO 50RFR34640 STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLINRFR34750 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR348ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR349ELOAD(IELEM,2)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR350GO TO 70RFR35160 ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR35160 ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR352CONTINUERFR353.70CONTINUERFR354RFR3RETURNRFR35556		50	STRES(IELEM.1)=STRES(IELEM.1)+REDUC*STLIN+RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-	RFR3	43
PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)RFR345GO TO 50RFR34640 STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLINRFR34750 IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR348-ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR349ELOAD(IELEM,2)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR350GO TO 70RFR35160 ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR35160 ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR352CONTINUERFR353.70CONTINUERFR354RFR3RETURNRFR35556			YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN	RFR3	44
GO TO 50RFR34640STRES(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)+STLINRFR34750IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR348ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR349ELOAD(IELEM,2)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR350GO TO 70RFR35160ELOAD(IELEM,1)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR352ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR352CONTINUERFR35370CONTINUERFR354RFR3RETURNRFR35555ENDRFR356			PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)	RFR3	45
40STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLINRFR34750IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR348ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR349ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=-STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR350GO TO 70RFR35160ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=-STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR352ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR352CONTINUERFR35370CONTINUERFR354RFR354RETURNRFR3555556		• -	GO TO 50	RFR3	46
50IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60RFR3 48•ELOAD(IELEM,1)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR3 49ELOAD(IELEM,2)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR3 50GO TO 70RFR3 5160ELOAD(IELEM,1)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR3 52ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR3 52CONTINUERFR3 53.70CONTINUERFR3 54RETURNRFR3 55ENDRFR3 56		40	STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLIN	RFR3	47
ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR349ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=-STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR350GO TO 70RFR35160 ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=-STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR352ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 1)*XAREARFR35370 CONTINUERFR354RETURNRFR355ENDRFR356		50	IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 60	RFR3	48•
ELUAD(1ELEM, 2)=-STRES(1ELEM, 1)*XAREARFR350GO TO 70RFR35160 ELOAD(1ELEM, 1)=-STRES(1ELEM, 1)*XAREARFR352ELOAD(1ELEM, 2)=STRES(1ELEM, 1)*XAREARFR35370 CONTINUERFR354RETURNRFR355ENDRFR356			ELUAD(IELEM, T)=STRES(IELEM, T) *XAREA	KFR3	49
GO 10 70RFR3 5160 ELOAD(IELEM,1)=-STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR3 52ELOAD(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,1)*XAREARFR3 53.70 CONTINUERFR3 54RETURNRFR3 55ENDRFR3 56			ELUAD(IELEM, 2)=-OIKEO(IELEM, 1)*XAKEA	KFR3	50
CONTINUERFR352FR35370CONTINUERETURNRFR3ENDRFR356		60	UU IU (U $FI \cap AD (TEI FM 1) = STDES (TEI FM 1) #VASSA$	KF R3	51
CONTINUERFR353.70 CONTINUERFR354RETURNRFR355ENDRFR356		00	FI OAD(TELEM, 1)=-DIRED(IELEM, 1)=XAKEA	KFR3	52
RETURN RFR3 55 END RFR3 56		70	CONTINUE	KFR3	53.
END RFR3 56		• -	RETURN	REBS	55
			END	RFR3	56

- **RFR3 15-17** Initialise to zero the array in which the equivalent nodal forces for each element will be stored.
- **RFR3** 18 Loop over each element.
- **RFR3 19** Identify the material property of each element.
- **RFR3 20** Set YOUNG equal to the elastic modulus, *E*, of the material.
- **RFR3 21** Set XAREA equal to the cross-sectional area.
- **RFR3 22** Set YIELD equal to the uniaxial yield stress,  $\sigma_Y$ , of the material.
- **RFR3 23** Set HARDS equal to the hardening parameter, H', of the material.
- RFR3 24-25 Identify the node numbers of the element.
- **RFR3 26** Calculate the element length.
- RFR3 27-30 Calculate the element strain, so that a tensile strain is positive.
- **RFR3 31** Calculate  $\Delta \sigma_e^r$  according to Step b.
- **RFR3 32** Calculate  $\sigma_e^r$  according to Step c.
- **RFR3 33-34** Check if the element had yielded on the previous iteration, i.e., if  $\sigma^{r-1} > \sigma_Y + H' \epsilon_p^{r-1}$  which is the first operation of Step d. The absolute value of  $\sigma^{r-1}$  is taken to account for yielding in compression.
- RFR3 35-36 If the element was previously elastic, check to see if it has yielded during this iteration.
- **RFR3 37** For an element which yields during this iteration, calculate

$$R = \frac{\sigma_e^r - \sigma_Y}{\sigma_e^r - \sigma^{r-1}}$$

(Fig. 3.7(a)). The absolute value sign is taken to account for compressive loading.

- **RFR3 39–40** Check to see if an element which had previously yielded is unloading during this iteration. If yes, go to 40.
- **RFR3 41** Otherwise, set R = 1.
- **RFR3 42** Evaluate, (1-R).
- **RFR3 43-44** For plastic elements, calculate the correct current stress,  $\sigma^r$ , according to (3.36).
- **RFR3 45** Also calculate the plastic strain,  $\epsilon_p r$ , according to (3.40).
- **RFR3 47** For elastic elements, calculate the current stress,  $\sigma^r$ , according to Step g.
- RFR3 48-53 Evaluate the equivalent nodal forces, according to Step h.
- **RFR3 54** Termination of DO LOOP over the elements.

# **3.12.3** Numerical examples

The first example considered is the yielding of a bar under self weight loading. The problem and finite element idealisation employed is illustrated in Fig. 3.8. Progressive yielding is induced in the system by increasing the gravitational field incrementally. The gravitational force due to self weight



Fig. 3.8 Load/displacement response of a vertical bar loaded by a progressively increasing self-weight.

acting on each element is equally distributed to its two nodes. The structure is capable of carrying load beyond first yield, due to the strain hardening characteristic of the material.

The second example considered is the compound bar shown in Fig. 3.9. The two bars have a different yield stress and cross-sectional area in order to induce differential yielding. The structure is loaded by an end load, P, which is systematically incremented. The load/extension characteristics for the system are shown in Fig. 3.9. It is seen that there is an initial loss of stiffness corresponding to yielding of the first bar followed by a further reduction when the second bar becomes plastic.

This simple example suggests a method by which more complex material responses can be generated. By connecting two bars with different properties in parallel we obtain a material behaviour made up of three linear portions.



By connecting *n* bars in parallel and choosing the yield stress and crosssectional area of each appropriately we can approximate any arbitrary stress/strain response piecewise linearly by (n+1) intervals. This is the basis of the 'overlay method'<sup>(7)</sup> which will be described later in Chapter 8.

Also included in Fig. 3.9 are the results for the case when the load is cycled. First the load is incremented in tension up to a certain level, then removed and applied compressively, before final removal. It is immediately seen that a Bauschinger effect<sup>(8)</sup> is obtained with initial yield in compression taking place at a reduced value. This occurs even though we have assumed an equal yield stress in tension and compression. This behaviour is attributable to the differential straining of the two components and is a phenomenon evident in real materials.

#### 3.13 Problems

3.1 Reanalyse the problem of Fig. 3.3, Section 3.9.3, for the case where the term K is assumed to vary with the unknown  $\phi$  according to

$$K = 10(1 + e^{3\phi}).$$

Use the direct iteration solution code QUITER, user instructions for which are provided in Appendix I, Section A1.1, for solution.

- 3.2 Resolve Problem 3.1 using the Newton-Raphson procedure which is coded in program QUNEWT. User instructions for this program are provided in Appendix I, Section A1.2. Compare the computation times required for the two different solution procedures.
- 3.3 The quasi-harmonic equation described in Section 2.3 is also applicable to groundwater flow problems.<sup>(5)</sup> In this application  $\phi$  is the pressure head potential, K is the material permeability and Q is the rate at which water is being injected per unit volume of material. The flow velocity at any point is then given by  $v = -K(d\phi/dx)$ . Figure 3.10 illustrates the problem of water seeping through two permeable strata whose permeabilities depend on the seepage velocity as shown. By treating the problem as one-dimensional in the vertical direction obtain a numerical solution for the steady state potential and velocity distribution in the two strata.
- 3.4 Following the approach of Section 2.3, develop the stiffness matrix  $H^{(e)}$  and the load vector  $f^{(e)}$  for the one-dimensional axisymmetric situation. In this application all quantities are symmetric with respect to a central axis and the radial coordinate r now replaces x.
- 3.5 Implement the formulation of Problem 3.4 in program QUITER.
- 3.6 Use the computer code developed in Problem 3.5 to solve the problem of water flow in the horizontal place of the confined aquifer shown in Fig. 3.11. In this case  $\phi$  is the piezometric head, K is the material permeability and Q is the rate at which water is being injected per unit volume of material.



Fig. 3.10 Groundwater flow example—Problem 3.3.



Fig. 3.11 Water flow in a confined aquifer—Problem 3.6.

The circular region shown in Fig. 3.11 has a central well point at which water is being extracted at a rate of  $200 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$ . Determine the steady state potential distribution for this system assuming the material permeability to be nonlinear in the manner shown.

3.7 The relationship between stress,  $\sigma$ , and strain,  $\epsilon$ , for a certain locking material is given by the relationship

$$\sigma = \frac{E_0 \epsilon}{\epsilon_L(\epsilon_L - \epsilon)},\tag{3.43}$$

in which  $E_0$  is the elastic modulus and  $\epsilon_L$  is the limiting strain value of the material. Implement this relation in program NONLAS documented in Appendix I, Section A1.3, by modifying the strain derivative function in Section 3.11.1. Also allow the behaviour of certain elements to be linear elastic. Use this modified program to determine the force displacement/relationship of the central node in Fig. 3.12 for a total applied load of 100 units.



Fig. 3.12 Nonlinear elastic example—Problem 3.7.

- 3.8 Use program ELPLAS, for which user instructions are provided in Appendix I, Section A1.4, to solve the one-dimensional elasto-plastic problem shown in Fig. 3.13.
- 3.9 Develop the elastic stiffness matrix,  $K^{(e)}$ , for a two-node finite element in the form of a thin disc of thickness t which is to be subjected to axisymmetric in-plane loading. Assume a linear variation between nodes, as shown in Fig. 2.7, and note the following relationships

$$\epsilon_{r} = \frac{du}{dr} = \frac{1}{E}(\sigma_{r} - \nu \sigma_{\theta})$$

$$\epsilon_{\theta} = \frac{u}{r} = \frac{1}{E}(\sigma_{\theta} - \nu \sigma_{r}), \qquad (3.44)$$



Fig. 3.13 Elasto-plastic example—Problem 3.8.

in which u is the radial displacement and E and  $\nu$  are respectively the elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio of the material. Also express the stresses  $\sigma_r$  and  $\sigma_{\theta}$  in terms of the nodal displacements  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ .

- 3.10 Use the stiffness matrix evaluated in Problem 3.9 to modify program ELPLAS to allow solution of one-dimensional axisymmetric problems by the initial stiffness method. Assume a Tresca yield criterion (discussed in Chapter 7) where yielding is assumed to begin when the maximum shearing stress reaches a critical value. For the present application this implies commencement of yielding when either  $\sigma_r$  or  $\sigma_{\theta}$  reaches the uniaxial yield stress,  $\sigma_Y$ .
- 3.11 Employ the program developed in Problem 3.10 to determine the elasto-plastic stress distribution in a thin disc, of thickness 1 mm, subjected to internal pressure loading. Take the internal and external



Fig. 3.14 Axisymmetric membrane element—Problem 3.9

radii of the disc as 5 cm and 10 cm respectively, the elastic modulus  $E=2\times10^5$  N/mm<sup>2</sup>, Poisson's ratio  $\nu=0.3$  and the uniaxial yield stress,  $\sigma_Y = 300$  N/mm<sup>2</sup>. Compare your solution with the theoretical expressions given in Ref. 8.

#### 3.14 References

- 1. RALSTON, A., A First Course in Numerical Analysis, McGraw-Hill, 1965.
- 2. JENNINGS, A., Matrix Computation for Engineers and Scientists, John Wiley, 1977.
- 3. HINTON, E. and OWEN, D. R. J., Finite Element Programming, Academic Press, London, 1977.
- 4. SALVADORI, M. G. and BARON, M. L., Numerical Methods in Engineering, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1964.
- 5. HINTON, E. and OWEN, D. R. J., An Introduction to Finite Element Computations, Pineridge Press, Swansea, U.K., 1979.
- 6. BARRER, R. M., Measurement of diffusion and thermal conductivity 'constants' in non-homogeneous media, and in media where these constants depend respectively on concentration or temperature, *Proc. Phys. Soc.* 58, 321-331 (1946).
- 7. OWEN, D. R. J., PRAKASH, A. and ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., Finite element analysis of non-linear composite materials by use of overlay systems, *Computers and Structures*, 4, 1251–1267 (1974).
- 8. HILL, R., The Mathematical Theory of Plasticity, Oxford University Press, 1950.

# *Chapter 4* Viscoplastic problems in one dimension

#### 4.1 Introduction

In this chapter the basic concepts of viscoplasticity are introduced by the consideration of one-dimensional situations. This topic is then studied further in Chapter 8 where the case of a general continuum is treated.

Viscoplastic theory allows the modelling of time rate effects in the plastic deformation process. Thus after initial yielding of the material the plastic flow, and the resulting stresses and strains, are time dependent. Such effects are always present to some degree in all materials but they may or may not be significant depending on the physical situation being considered.

The basic theory of viscoplasticity in one dimension is developed and a numerical solution process is then described. All the essential features of viscoplasticity can be demonstrated with reference to one-dimensional behaviour. Finally the solution process is coded in FORTRAN to form a working program and the basic characteristics of a viscoplastic material response are illustrated by the solution of numerical examples.

#### 4.2 **Basic theory**

The concept of viscoplastic material behaviour is best introduced by means of the one-dimensional rheological model illustrated in Fig. 4.1. The friction slider component develops a stress  $\sigma_p$ , becoming active only if  $\sigma > Y$ , where  $\sigma$  is the total applied stress and Y is some limiting yield value. The excess stress  $\sigma_d = \sigma - \sigma_p$  is carried by the viscous dashpot. Instantaneous elastic response is, of course, provided by the linear spring. The presence of the dashpot allows the stress level to instantaneously exceed the value predicted by plasticity theory, the solution tending to this equilibrium level as steady state conditions are achieved in the system.

The total strain in the model is given by the sum of the elastic and viscoplastic components as

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_e + \epsilon_{vp}. \tag{4.1}$$

The stress in the linear spring is equal to the total applied stress and is



Fig. 4.1 Basic one-dimensional elastic-viscoplastic model.

related to the elastic strain by

$$\sigma_e = \sigma = E\epsilon_e, \tag{4.2}$$

where E is the elastic modulus of the linear spring.

The stress level in the friction slider depends on whether or not the threshold or yield stress, Y, has been reached. The onset of viscoplastic deformation is governed by a uniaxial yield stress  $\sigma_Y$ . The stress level for continuing viscoplastic flow depends on the strain-hardening characteristics of the material. Restricting discussion to a linear strain-hardening response as discussed in Section 2.5, the stress level for viscoplastic yielding at any stage is given by

$$Y = \sigma_Y + H' \epsilon_{vp}, \tag{4.3}$$

in which H' is the slope of the strain hardening portion of the stress-strain curve after removal of the elastic strain component and  $\epsilon_{vp}$  is the current viscoplastic strain. Thus the stress in the friction slider is

$$\sigma_p = \sigma \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} \sigma_p < Y \\ \sigma_p \geqslant Y. \end{cases}$$
(4.4)

The stress in the viscous dashpot,  $\sigma_d$ , is related to the viscoplastic strain by

$$\sigma_d = \mu \frac{d\epsilon_{vp}}{dt},\tag{4.5}$$

where  $\mu$  is a viscosity coefficient and t denotes the time. We note that

$$\sigma = \sigma_d + \sigma_p. \tag{4.6}$$

Before the onset of viscoplastic yielding  $\epsilon_{vp} = 0$ , giving  $\sigma_d = 0$  from (4.5) and consequently  $\sigma_p = \sigma$ . It now remains to establish the constitutive relationship for the model under both elastic and elasto-viscoplastic conditions.

Before viscoplastic yielding,  $\epsilon_{vp} = 0$  and from (4.1) and (4.2) we have the *elastic stress-strain relation* to be

$$\sigma = E\epsilon. \tag{4.7}$$

Substituting from (4.4) and (4.5) in (4.6) gives

$$\sigma_Y + H' \epsilon_{vp} + \mu \frac{d\epsilon_{vp}}{dt} = \sigma.$$
(4.8)

Substituting for  $\epsilon_{vp}$  from (4.1) and using (4.2) results in

$$H' E\epsilon + \mu E \frac{d\epsilon}{dt} = H' \sigma + E(\sigma - \sigma_Y) + \mu \frac{d\sigma}{dt}, \qquad (4.9)$$

which is a first order ordinary differential equation defining the timedependent relationship between stress and strain under viscoplastic conditions. At this stage we introduce a *fluidity parameter*,  $\gamma$ , such that

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\mu}.$$
 (4.10)

Substituting in (4.9) and rearranging

$$\dot{\epsilon} = \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{E} + \gamma [\sigma - (\sigma_Y + H' \epsilon_{vp})], \qquad (4.11)$$

in which  $(\cdot)$  denotes derivative with respect to time, t. Or

$$\dot{\epsilon} = \dot{\epsilon}_e + \dot{\epsilon}_{vp}, \qquad (4.12)$$

where

$$\dot{\epsilon}_e = \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{E},\tag{4.13}$$

and

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{vp} = \gamma [\sigma - (\sigma_Y + H' \epsilon_{vp})]. \tag{4.14}$$

Expression (4.14) defines the viscoplastic strain rate in terms of the portion of stress in excess of the steady state yield value.

It is instructive to consider the closed form solution to (4.9). Consider the case when a constant applied stress  $\sigma = \sigma_A$  is applied to the model. Then (4.9) reduces, (using (4.10)), to

$$\gamma H' \epsilon + \frac{d\epsilon}{dt} = \frac{\gamma H'}{E} \sigma_A + \gamma (\sigma_A - \sigma_Y). \tag{4.15}$$

The solution to this first-order ordinary differential equation is elementary and is

$$\epsilon = \frac{\sigma_A}{E} + \frac{(\sigma_A - \sigma_Y)}{H'} [1 - e^{-H'\gamma t}], \qquad (4.16)$$



Fig. 4.2 Strain response with time for the model of Fig. 4.1 due to a constant applied load. (a) Linear strain hardening material. (b) Perfectly plastic material.
provided that H' is nonzero. The form of the response is shown in Fig. 4.2(a). Following an initial elastic response, the strain in the model attains the steady state value indicated in an exponential fashion.

The case of a perfectly viscoplastic material in which H' = 0, can be obtained by taking the limit as H' tends to zero in (4.16) and applying L'Hopital's rule. This results in

$$\epsilon = \frac{\sigma_A}{E} + (\sigma_A - \sigma_Y)\gamma t. \tag{4.17}$$

This response is shown in Fig. 4.2(b). In this case it is seen that a steady state condition is not achieved and that viscoplastic deformation continues indefinitely at a constant strain rate. The different behaviour shown in Figs. 4.2(a) and 4.2(b) arises from the fact that for a strain hardening material the viscoplastic yield stress increases according to (4.3) until it reaches the applied stress level  $\sigma_A$  at which stage the viscoplastic strain rate becomes zero. On the other hand, for a perfectly viscoplastic material there is always a stress imbalance of  $\sigma_A - \sigma_Y$  in the system which does not reduce and consequently steady state conditions cannot be achieved.

We note that in (4.16) and (4.17) that the time t only enters the expressions through the term  $\gamma t$ . Therefore the solution for a material with a different fluidity parameter  $\gamma$  can be obtained by a simple adjustment of the time scale.

#### 4.3 Numerical solution process

Viscoplasticity is a transient phenomenon and therefore the essential objective of a numerical solution process is to determine the displacement, strains and stresses throughout the time interval of interest. Consequently some *time stepping* or *time marching* scheme must be introduced in order to allow the solution to be advanced from a time  $t_n$  to time  $t_{n+1} = t_n + \Delta t_n$ , where subscripts n and n+1 denote successive times and  $\Delta t_n$  the interval between. The simplest method of incrementing quantities over a time interval is afforded by *Euler's rule*. In this the mean rate of change over the interval is taken as the value at the beginning of the interval and thus the predicted value of some quantity X at time  $t_{n+1}$  is extrapolated from the value at time  $t_n$  to be

$$X^{n+1} = X^n + (\dot{X})^n \Delta t_n.$$
 (4.18)

This scheme becomes unstable for time steps exceeding a critical value and estimation of the limiting step length is discussed in Section 4.4. The Euler method, however, remains attractive due to its simplicity.

With the viscoplastic strain rate defined by (4.14) we can define the strain increment  $\Delta \epsilon_{vp}^n$  occurring in a time interval  $\Delta t_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$ , using (4.18), as

$$\Delta \epsilon_{vp}{}^n = \dot{\epsilon}_{vp}{}^n \Delta t_n. \tag{4.19}$$

We note that the time step length can, in general, be different for each time interval.



Fig. 4.3 One-dimensional two-noded element with linear displacement variation.

With reference to Fig. 4.3, consider the behaviour of a linear displacement element, which is of length L and has a cross-sectional area, A. The change of length in this element associated with strain increment (4.19) is

$$\Delta \phi^n = \Delta \epsilon_{vp}{}^n L, \tag{4.20}$$

or adding the displacement change due to a change in applied loading  $\Delta f^n$  occurring between times  $t_n$  and  $t_{n+1}$  we obtain the total change in element length to be

$$\Delta \phi^n = \Delta \epsilon_{vp} {}^n L + \frac{L}{AE} \Delta f^n.$$
(4.21)

This can be rewritten in matrix form, in terms of the nodal displacements and forces as

$$\Delta \varphi^n = [K]^{-1} \Delta V^n, \qquad (4.22)$$

where

$$\Delta \varphi^n = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \phi_1^n \\ \Delta \phi_2^n \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (4.23)$$

$$\Delta V^{n} = AE \dot{\epsilon}_{vp}{}^{n} \Delta t_{n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + \Delta f^{n}, \qquad (4.24)$$

and

$$K^{(e)} = \frac{EA}{L} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (4.25)

In the above,  $\Delta V^n$  are termed the *pseudo forces* and  $\Delta \varphi^n$  and  $\Delta f^n$  are respectively the incremental changes in the nodal displacements and applied forces for the element.

We note in passing that expressions (4.24) and (4.25) could be written in the standard finite element form

$$\Delta V^{n} = \int_{V} \boldsymbol{B}^{T} \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \, dV + \Delta f^{n}$$
$$\boldsymbol{K}^{(e)} = \int_{V} \boldsymbol{B}^{T} \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{B} \, dV, \qquad (4.26)$$

since for the linear element considered

$$B = \left[ -\frac{1}{L}, \frac{1}{L} \right]$$
$$D = E$$
$$\int_{V} dV = AL.$$
(4.27)

The displacements at time  $t_{n+1}$  are then obtained by simple accumulation as

$$\varphi^{n+1} = \varphi^n + \Delta \varphi^n. \tag{4.28}$$

The stress increment is given from (4.1) and (4.7) to be

$$\Delta \sigma^n = E \Delta \epsilon_e^n = E(\Delta \epsilon^n - \Delta \epsilon_{vp}^n), \qquad (4.29)$$

or

$$\Delta \sigma^{n} = E\left(\frac{\Delta \phi_{1}^{n} - \Delta \phi_{2}^{n}}{L} - \dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n} \Delta t_{n}\right), \qquad (4.30)$$

where  $\Delta \phi_1^n$  and  $\Delta \phi_2^n$  are the displacement changes at the nodes of the element.

The stress at time  $t_{n+1}$  is then given by

$$\sigma^{n+1} = \sigma^n + \Delta \sigma^n. \tag{4.31}$$

The total viscoplastic strain at time  $t_{n+1}$  is

$$\epsilon_{vp}^{n+1} = \epsilon_{vp}^n + \Delta \epsilon_{vp}^n, \qquad (4.32)$$

and finally the viscoplastic strain rate at  $t_{n+1}$  is given, from (4.14) as

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1} = \gamma [\sigma^{n+1} - (\sigma_Y + H' \epsilon_{vp}^{n+1})]. \tag{4.33}$$

In employing the Euler scheme for time-stepping, we are effectively linearising the variation of quantities over the increment. Therefore the total stresses  $\sigma^{n+1}$  obtained by accumulating all such stress increments may not be in exact equilibrium with the applied forces. It is therefore necessary to introduce an *equilibrium correction* procedure into the numerical solution algorithm. The simplest approach is to evaluate the out-of-balance nodal forces at the end of each time step and consider these forces as additional forces to be applied at the beginning of the next time increment. The out-of-balance or residual forces,  $\psi$ , for the general element are given as the algebraic sum of the applied nodal loads and the nodal forces equivalent to the element stress, so that

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}^{n+1} = A \sigma^{n+1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + f^{n+1}, \qquad (4.34)$$

in which  $\sigma^{n+1}$  is the element stress and  $f^{n+1}$  are the total applied forces at time  $t_{n+1}$ . These residual forces are then added to the pseudo forces to give for the next time increment

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{V}^{n+1} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{E}\,\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{p}}^{n+1}\,\Delta \boldsymbol{t}_{n+1} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + \Delta \boldsymbol{f}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\psi}^{n+1}. \tag{4.35}$$

This sequence is repeated for each time step until solution is either obtained for the desired time duration or until steady state conditions are achieved. Steady state conditions are deemed to have been achieved when the viscoplastic strain rate,  $\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n}$ , becomes tolerably small.

#### 4.4 Limiting time-step length

The critical time-step length for viscoplastic solution using the Euler time marching scheme has been established by Cormeau.<sup>(1)</sup> For the uniaxial case considered in this chapter the limiting value is

$$\Delta t \leqslant \frac{\sigma_Y}{\gamma E}.\tag{4.36}$$

Alternatively the time-step length can be limited according to a semiempirical relationship. Such an approach is essential for some general continuum problems where a theoretical value of the critical time-step length may not exist. The most obvious procedure is to limit the viscoplastic strain increment to be some specified factor,  $\tau$ , of the total current strain,

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}{}^n \Delta t_n \leqslant \tau \epsilon^n. \tag{4.37}$$

Since each element generally has a different strain level, expression (4.37) will yield a different limiting step value when applied to each element in turn. Therefore the limiting value is restricted according to

$$\Delta t_n \leqslant \tau \left[ \frac{\epsilon^n}{\epsilon_{vp}^n} \right]_{\min}, \tag{4.38}$$

where the minimum value of  $\Delta t_n$  obtained after considering each element is taken. Stability of the solution process is also aided by restricting the length of successive time steps according to

$$\Delta t_{n+1} \leqslant k \Delta t_n, \tag{4.39}$$

where k is a specified constant generally chosen in the range 1.5-2.0.

#### 4.5 Computational procedure

Before proceeding with the development of a computer code for the solution of one-dimensional viscoplastic problems we will first summarise the essential steps of the computation. Solution to the problem must commence from the known initial conditions at time t = 0 which of course correspond to the initial elastic response. At this stage  $\varphi^0$ ,  $f^0$ ,  $\epsilon^0$ ,  $\sigma^0$  are known and  $\epsilon_{vp}^0 = 0$ . The general procedure for advancing the solution from a time  $t_n$  to time  $t_{n+1}$  is the following.

Stage 1 At time  $t = t_n$  the values of  $\sigma^n$ ,  $\epsilon^n$ ,  $\epsilon_{vp}^n$  and  $f^n$  are known for each element and the nodal displacements are also known. The viscoplastic strain rate for each element is then evaluated according to (4.14) as

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}{}^n = \gamma [\sigma^n - (\sigma_Y + H' \epsilon_{vp}{}^n)]. \tag{4.40}$$

Stage 2 (a) Compute the displacement increments,  $\Delta \varphi^n$ , according to (4.22)-(4.25), as

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi}^n = [\boldsymbol{K}]^{-1} \Delta \boldsymbol{V}^n,$$

where

$$\Delta V^n = AE \, \dot{\epsilon}_{v p}^n \, \Delta t_n \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + \Delta f^n,$$

and the stiffness matrix for an individual element is

$$\boldsymbol{K}^{(\boldsymbol{\rho})} = \frac{EA}{L} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) Calculate the stress increment  $\Delta \sigma^n$  and the viscoplastic strain increment  $\Delta \epsilon_{vp}{}^n$  for each element as

$$\Delta \sigma^{n} = E \left( \frac{\Delta \phi_{1}^{n} - \Delta \phi_{2}^{n}}{L} - \dot{\epsilon}_{v p}^{n} \Delta t_{n} \right),$$
$$\Delta \epsilon_{v p}^{n} = \dot{\epsilon}_{v p}^{n} \Delta t_{n}.$$

Stage 3 Determine the total displacements, stresses and viscoplastic strain

$$arphi^{n+1} = arphi^n + \Delta arphi^n,$$
 $\sigma^{n+1} = \sigma^n + \Delta \sigma^n,$ 
 $\epsilon_{vp}^{n+1} = \epsilon_{vp}^n + \Delta \epsilon_{vp}^n.$ 

Stage 4 Calculate the viscoplastic strain rate for each element

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1} = \gamma [\sigma^{n+1} - (\sigma_Y + H' \epsilon_{vp}^{n+1})].$$

Stage 5 Apply the equilibrium correction. Evaluate the residual forces, for each element, as

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}^{n+1} = A \sigma^{n+1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + f^{n+1}.$$

Add these into the vector of incremental pseudo loads for use in the next time step

$$\Delta V^{n+1} = AE \dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1} \Delta t_{n+1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + \Delta f^{n+1} + \psi^{n+1}.$$

Stage 6 Check to see if the viscoplastic strain rate  $\epsilon_{vp}^{n+1}$  in each element has become tolerably small. If so, steady state conditions have been reached and the solution is either terminated or the next load increment is applied. If  $\epsilon_{vp}^{n+1}$  is non-zero return to Stage 1 and repeat the entire procedure for the next time step.

#### 4.6 Program structure

The organisation of the one-dimensional viscoplastic program is shown in Fig. 4.4 where, in particular, the order in which subroutines are accessed is indicated. The operations undertaken by the program are those described in Section 4.5. Many of the subroutines employed are common to the one-dimensional plasticity application described in Chapter 3 and, since they are used in the present program without modification, the reader will be referred to the appropriate section for details. Only the additional subroutines necessary to complete the computer package will be described in this chapter.

With reference to Fig. 4.4 the following subroutines have been already described where indicated below:

Subroutine ASSEMB —Section 3.4.2 Subroutine GREDUC—Section 3.4.3 Subroutine BAKSUB —Section 3.4.4 Subroutine RESOLV —Section 3.4.5 Subroutine RESULT —Section 3.5 Subroutine INITAL —Section 3.6\*

Also, Subroutine DATA described in Section 3.2 is used with some minor modifications. A viscoplastic material in one dimension requires five individual quantities to describe it completely. Thus NPROP becomes 5 and the following quantities must be specified as material properties.

PROPS (NUMAT, 1)—The elastic modulus, E, of the material

PROPS (NUMAT, 2)—The cross-sectional area, A, of the element

**PROPS** (NUMAT, 3)—The uniaxial yield stress,  $\sigma_Y$ , of the material

**PROPS** (NUMAT, 4)—The linear strain hardening parameter, H', for the material

**PROPS** (NUMAT, 5)—The fluidity parameter,  $\gamma$ , controlling the viscoplastic strain rate.

\* Subroutine NONAL, described in Section 3.3, is also employed but with IITER now replaced by the time step index, ISTEP.



Fig. 4.4 Operational sequence for the one-dimensional viscoplastic stress analysis program.

Input data are also received by this segment which controls the timestepping algorithm. The following information is input:

TAUFT The parameter  $\tau$  discussed in Section 4.4

DTINT The time-step length for the first time step

FTIME The factor k defined in (4.39) which limits the relative length of successive time steps

The additional subroutines which are required will now be described in turn.

# 4.7 Element stiffness subroutine STUNVP

In all stages of the viscoplastic solution the elastic element stiffness matrix is employed, as indicated in (4.25). Consequently the structure of subroutine STUNVP, which evaluates the stiffness matrix for each element in turn, is straightforward and can be presented without further comment.

~~~~	SUBROUTINE STUNVP	SNVP	1
C***** C *** C	CALCULATES ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRICES	SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP	2 3 4 5
C C*****	COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, ISTEP, KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB, NSTEP, NOUTP, FACTO, TAUFT, DTINT, FTIME, FIRST, PVALU, DTIME, TTIME COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,5), COORD(26), LNODS(25,2), IFPRE(52), FIXED(52), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4), MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52), TDISP(26,2), TREAC(26,2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52), REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4), VIVEL(25) REWIND 1 DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM LPROP=MATNO(IELEM) YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 1) XAREA=PROPS(LPROP, 2) NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 1) NODE2=LNODS(IELEM, 2)	SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 211 22
10	ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2)) FMULT=YOUNG*XAREA/ELENG ESTIF(1,1)=FMULT ESTIF(1,2)=-FMULT ESTIF(2,1)=-FMULT ESTIF(2,2)=FMULT WRITE(1) ESTIF CONTINUE RETURN END	SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP SNVP	23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

- SNVP 16 Rewind the file on which the stiffness matrix of each element will be stored.
- SNVP 17 Loop over each element.
- SNVP 18 Identify the material property of the current element.
- SNVP 19-20 Set YOUNG equal to the material elastic modulus and XAREA equal to the cross-sectional area.
- SNVP 21–22 Identify the node numbers of the element.
- SNVP 23 Calculate the element length.
- SNVP 24 Compute EA/L as FMULT.
- SNVP 25-28 Evaluate the components of the element stiffness matrix according to (4.25).
- SNVP 29 Write the element stiffness matrix on to disc file.
- SNVP 30 End of loop over each element.

106

# **4.8** Subroutine INCVP for the evaluation of end of time-step quantities and equilibrium correction terms

This subroutine evaluates quantities such as stresses and viscoplastic strains at the end of the current time step and also calculates the loading to be applied during the next time step. Essentially it undertakes Stages 3-5 described in Section 4.5. All quantities at the end of time step n are calculated as  $()^{n+1}$ .

The program presented is restricted to loading which is applied in discrete increments and is assumed to remain constant during the time-stepping process for any given increment. Thus in (4.35)  $\Delta f^n = 0$  for all stages other than the first time step of a particular load increment.

Subroutine INCVP is now presented and described.

	SUBDOUTTNE THOUD	TNVP	1
		TNVP	2
C		TNVP	ົ້າ
0 7 44	CALCULATES TATERNAL FOUTVALENT NODAL FORCES	TNVP	ш
C	" CRECOLATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODRE FONCES	TNVP	5
0 0888	*********	TNVP	ñ
C	COMMON/UNITHA / NEOTH NELEN NEOTH NEOTH NEODO NINODE TINCS ISTED	TNVD	7
	COMMON/UNIMI/ NPUIN, NELEM, NDOUN, NEUAD, NEROF, NNODE, IINCS, ISIEF,	TINT	ģ
	. KREDL, NUMER, IULER, NALGU, NOVAD, NUUTN, NINUS, NEVAD,	TWVD	0
	. NOIEP, NOUIP, FACIO, IAUFI, DIINI, FILME, FINSI, FVALO,	TWAL	10
	$\frac{DIIME_{1}IIME}{COMPONE_{1}}$	TIAND	10
	COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,5), COORD(26), LNODS(25,2), IFPRE(52),		11
	$ = \frac{1120}{25}, \frac{1100}{25}, $	TIMAL	12
	MAINU(25), SIRES(25, 2), PLASI(25), XDISP(52), MAINU(25), SIRES(25, 2), SIRES(25, 2), SIRES(25, 2), SIRES(25), S	TWAL	10
	TDISP(20, 2), TREAC(20, 2), ASTIF(52, 52), ASLOD(52),		14
	KEAU1(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESIIF(4,4), VIVEL(25)	TNUP	12
	DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM	INVP	10
	DO 10 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	INVP	17
1	0 ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0	INVP	10
	DNEXT=FTIME*DTIME	INVP	19
	DO 30 IELEM=1, NELEM	INVP	20
	LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	INVP	21
	YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 1)	INVP	22
	XAREA=PROPS(LPROP,2)	INVP	23
	YIELD=PROPS(LPROP,3)	INVP	- 24
	HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 4)	INVP	25
	GAMMA=PROPS(LPROP,5)	INVP	26
	NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 1)	INVP	21
	NODE2=LNODS(IELEM,2)	INVP	28
	ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE1)-COORD(NODE2))	INVP	29
	IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE2)-XDISP(NODE1))	)INVP	- 30
	. /ELENG	INVP	31
	IF(COORD(NODE2).LT.COORD(NODE1)) STRAN=(XDISP(NODE1)-XDISP(NODE2))	)INVP	- 32
	. /ELENG	INVP	- 33
	STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+(STRAN-VIVEL(IELEM)*DTIME)*YOUNG	INVP	- 34
	PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+VIVEL(IELEM)#DTIME	INVP	35
	IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).LT.O.O) YIELD=-YIELD	INVP	- 36
	PREYS=YIELD+HARDS*PLAST(IELEM)	INVP	37
	IF(ABS(STRES(IELEM, 1)), LE, ABS(PREYS)) GO TO 20	INVP	- 38
	VIVEL(IELEM)=GAMMA*(STRES(IELEM, 1)-(YIELD+HARDS*PLAST(IELEM)))	INVP	- 39
	SNTOT=(TDISP(NODE2,1)-TDISP(NODE1,1))/ELENG	INVP	40
	DELTM=TAUFT*ABS(SNTOT/VIVEL(IELEM))	INVP	41
	IF(DELTM.LT.DNEXT) DNEXT=DELTM	INVP	42
	GO TO 30	INVP	- 43
2	20 VIVEL(IELEM)=0.0	INVP	44

20	CONTINUE	INVP	45
20	DTIME-DNFYT	INVP	46
	TE TETED EO 1) DETME-DETINE	INVP	47
		TNVP	18
	DO 50 IELEMEI, NELEM	TNVP	10
	LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	TWAL	77
	YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 1)	INVP	20
	XAREA=PROPS(LPROP,2)	INVP	51
	FACTR=(YOUNG*VIVEL(IELEM)*DTIME_STRES(IELEM,1))*XAREA	INVP	52
	IF(COORD(NODE2).GT.COORD(NODE1)) GO TO 40	INVP	-53
	ELOAD(IELEM, 1) = FACTR	INVP	-54
	FLOAD(TELEM, 2)==FACTR	INVP	55
	$c_0$ TO 50	INVP	56
ho	E(AD)(TELEM 1) = EACTR	INVP	57
40	ELOAD (TELER, 1) - FACTO	TNVP	58
	ELOAD(IELEM,2)= FACIA	TAND	50
50	CONTINUE	THUD	59
	DO 60 IELEM=1, NELEM	TUNN	60
	DO 60 IEVAB=1,NEVAB	INVP	01
60	ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)+TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)	INVP	62
	RETURN	INVP	63
	END	INVP	64

- INVP 16-18 Zero the array in which the pseudo loads for the next time step will be stored.
- INVP 20 Loop over each element.
- INVP 21 Identify the element material property number.
- INVP 22-26 Store the elastic modulus as YOUNG, the cross-sectional area as XAREA, the uniaxial yield stress as YIELD, the uniaxial hardening parameter as HARDS and the fluidity parameter as GAMMA.
- INVP 27–28 Identify the element node numbers.
- **INVP 29** Evaluate the length of the element.
- INVP 30-33 Calculate the element strain so that a tensile strain is positive.
- INVP 34 Evaluate the total current stress  $\sigma^{n+1}$  according to (4.30) and (4.31).
- **INVP 35** Evaluate the total viscoplastic strain  $\epsilon_{vp}^{n+1}$ , according to (4.32).
- **INVP 36** For a compressive stress take a negative value of the initial yield stress.
- **INVP 37** Compute the current yield level  $\sigma_Y + H' \epsilon_{vp}^{n+1}$ .
- INVP 38 If the current stress is less than the current yield stress, avoid evaluation of the viscoplastic strain rate.
- INVP 39 Otherwise evaluate the viscoplastic strain rate according to (4.33).
- INVP 40-42 Evaluate the next time-step length according to (4.38).
- **INVP 44** For elastic elements set the viscoplastic strain rate to zero.
- INVP 45 End of element loop.
- **INVP 47** For the first timestep of a load increment choose the timestep as the initial value.
- **INVP 48** Enter element loop to evaluate pseudo loads,  $\Delta V^{n+1}$ , for the next time step.
- **INVP 49** Identify the element material property number.

- INVP 50-51 Store the elastic modulus as YOUNG and the cross-sectional area as XAREA.
- INVP 52 Evaluate the factor  $AE \dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1} \Delta t_{n+1} + A\sigma^{n+1}$ .
- INVP 53-62 Evaluate  $\Delta V^{n+1}$  according to (4.34) and (4.35), taking the appropriate signs for tensile or compressive stresses and strains. Note that  $f^{n+1} + \Delta f^{n+1}$  is the total load applied for time step n+1 which is stored as TLOAD.

#### 4.9 Convergence monitoring subroutine, CONVP

Convergence of the numerical process to the steady state solution must be monitored by comparing, in some way, the values of the viscoplastic strain rate determined during each time step. This can be done in several ways and in this section we describe a procedure based on a *global* convergence check only. In particular we will assume that steady state conditions have been achieved if

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} |(\Delta \epsilon_{vp}^{n})_{i}|}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} |(\Delta \epsilon_{vp}^{1}_{i})|} \times 100 \leq \text{TOLER}, \qquad (4.41)$$

where M denotes the total number of elements in the problem and || denotes the absolute value. The multiplication factor of 100 on the left-hand side allows the specified tolerance factor TOLER to be considered as a percentage term. Equation (4.41) states that steady state conditions are deemed to have been achieved if the sum of the absolute values of the strain increment for any time step is less than or equal to TOLER times the corresponding value for the first time step. For practical purposes a value of TOLER  $\leq 1.0$  (i.e. 1%) is generally adequate. Parameter NCHEK indicates convergence of the solution to steady state, where;

- NCHEK = 1 indicates that the solution is converging to steady state, with the viscoplastic strain increment reducing between two successive time steps.
- NCHEK = 999 indicates a divergence, with the viscoplastic strain increment increasing between two successive time steps.

NCHEK = 0 indicates that steady state conditions have been achieved. Subroutine CONVP is now presented and described.

SUBROUTINE CONVP C************************************	CNVP	1
C ### CHECKS FOR SOLUTION CONVERGENCE	CNVP CNVP CNVP	3
C*************************************	CNVP ***CNVP CNVP CNVP	5 6 7 8

	NSTEP, NOUTP, FACTO, TAUFT, DTINT, FTIME, FIRST, PVALU,	CNVP	9
•	$\frac{\text{DTIME}_{\text{TTIME}}}{\text{DTIME}_{\text{TTIME}}}$	CNVP	11
	COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,5), COURD(20), LNODS(25,2), IF PRE(52), COURD(20), LNODS(25,2), IF PRE(52), COURD(25, 1))	CNVF	10
	FIXED(52), TLOAD(25, 4), RLOAD(25, 4), ELOAD(25, 4), ELOAD(25, 4), FIXED(52), TLOAD(25, 4), RLOAD(25, 4), ELOAD(25, 4), ELOAD(	CNVP	12
	MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	CNVP	13
,	TDISP(26,2), TREAC(26,2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52),	CNVP	14
	REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4), VIVEL(25)	CNVP	15
	NCHEK=1	CNVP	16
	TOTAL=0.0	CNVP	-17
	DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM	CNVP	18
10	TOTAL=TOTAL+ABS(VIVEL(IELEM))*DTIME	CNVP	19
	IF(ISTEP.EQ.1) FIRST=TOTAL	CNVP	20
	IF(FIRST.EQ.0.0) GO TO 20	CNVP	21
	RATIO=100.0*TOTAL/FIRST	CNVP	22
	GO TO 30	CNVP	23
20	RATIO-0.0	CNVP	24
30	CONTINUE	CNVP	25
-	IF(RATIO.LE.TOLER) NCHEK=0	CNVP	26
	IF(RATIO.GT. PVALU) NCHEK=999	CNVP	27
40	PVALU=RATIO	CNVP	- 28
_	WRITE(6.900) TTIME	CNVP	29
900	FORMAT(1H0.5X.12HTOTAL TIME = $E17.6$ )	CNVP	30
,	WRITE(6.910) NCHEK.RATIO	CNVP	31
910	FORMAT(1H0.5X.18HCONVERGENCE CODE =. 14.3X.28HNORM OF RESIDUAL SUM	CNVP	32
	RATTO = E14.6	CNVP	33
•	RETURN	CNVP	34
	END	CNVP	35

- CNVP 16 Set the indicator monitoring convergence to 1. This will be reset later in the subroutine if necessary.
- CNVP 17–19 Compute

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \left| (\Delta \epsilon_{vp}^{n})_{i} \right|$$

for the current time step as required in (4.41).

- CNVP 20 For the first time step evaluate the denominator in (4.41).
- CNVP 21-25 Evaluate the left-hand side in (4.41). If the denominator is zero there is no viscoplastic flow for the particular load increment, therefore set RATIO = 0 indicating a steady state condition.
- CNVP 26 If (4.41) is satisfied, set NCHEK = 0 indicating a steady state condition.
- CNVP 27 If the viscoplastic increment has increased from the value obtained on the previous time step set NCHEK = 999.
- CNVP 28 Store the current value of the left-hand side of (4.41) for use in Statement CNVP 27 during the next time step.

CNVP 29–30 Output the current time.

CNVP 31-33 Output the value of NCHEK and the left-hand side of (4.41).

# 4.10 Subroutine INCLOD

Subroutine INCLOD described in Section 3.7 is employed for this application with one minor change: The iteration limit NITER is now replaced by the time-step limit NSTEP. For each increment of load, data is accepted by INCLOD to control the upper limit to the number of time steps, the output frequency, the size of load increment and the convergence tolerance limit. These quantities are specifically input as:

- NSTEP Maximum permissible number of time steps. This is a safety measure to cover situations where steady state conditions are not achieved. After performing NSTEP time steps the program will then stop.
- NOUTP This parameter controls the frequency of output of results:
  - 0—Print the results on convergence to steady state conditions only, for each load increment.
  - 1—Print the results after the first time step and at steady state, for each load increment.
  - 2-Print the results for each time step for each load increment.
- FACTO This quantity controls the magnitude of any load increment. The applied loading is accepted by subroutine DATA and stored in array RLOAD. The size of any load increment is then RLOAD factored by FACTO. Therefore if FACTO is input for the first three increments as respectively 0.3, 0.3 and 0.1, the total loading applied to the structure during the third increment is 0.7 times the loading input in subroutine DATA.
   TOLER This item of data controls the tolerance permitted on the

steady state convergence process, and has been described in Section 4.9.

Subject to the replacement of NITER by NSTEP, the form of this subroutine for the present application is identical to that provided in Section 3.7.

# 4.11 The main, master or controlling segment

This master segment controls the calling, in order, of the other subroutines. This program segment also controls the time-stepping process and also the incrementing of the applied loads, where appropriate.

The following channel numbers are employed by the program: 5 (card reader), 6 (line printer), 1 (scratch file).

		MASTER UNVISC	UVIS	1
C## C	***	┊╉╄╋╊╈╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪	UVIS UVIS	23
C # C	¥¥	PROGRAM FOR THE 1-D SOLUTION OF NONLINEAR PROBLEMS	UVIS UVIS	45
<b>C</b> ##	###	·*************************************	UVIS	6
		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, ISTEP,	UVIS	7
		KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	UVIS	8
		NSTEP, NOUTP, FACTO, TAUFT, DTINT, FTIME, FIRST, PVALU,	UVIS	9
		DTIME, TTIME	UVIS	10
		COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,5),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52),	UVIS	11
		FIXED(52), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4),	UVIS	12
		MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	UVIS	13
	•	$\frac{\text{TDISP}(26,2), \text{TREAC}(26,2), \text{ASTIF}(52,52), \text{ASLOD}(52),}{\text{REACT}(52), \text{REACT}(52), RE$	UVIS	14
	•	. nead(()2), r nead(()3)2), rer (A()2), est ((4,4), v (v (2))	OATO	

	TTTME=0.0	UVIS	16
	CALL DATA	UVIS	17
	CALL INITAL	UVIS	18
	CALL STUNVP	UVIS	19
	DO 30 TINCS-1. NINCS	UVIS	20
	CALL INCLOD	UVIS	21
	DTIME=0.0	UVIS	22
	DO 10 ISTEP=1.NSTEP	UVIS	23
	TTIME=TTIME+DTIME	UVIS	24
	CALL NONAL	UVIS	25
	CALL ASSEMB	UVIS	26
	IF(KRESL.EQ.1) CALL GREDUC	UVIS	27
	IF(KRESL.EQ.2) CALL RESOLV	UVIS	28
	CALL BAKSUB	UVIS	29
	CALL INCVP	UVIS	30
	CALL CONVP	UVIS	31
	IF(NCHEK, EQ. 0) GO TO 20	UVIS	32
	IF(ISTEP.EO.1. AND. NOUTP.EO.1) CALL RESULT	UVIS	33
	TF(NOUTP.FO.2) CALL RESULT	UVIS	34
10	CONTINUE	UVIS	35
	WRITE(6,900)	UVIS	36
900	FORMAT(1H0.5X, 'STEADY STATE NOT ACHIEVED')	UVIS	37
,	STOP	UVIS	-38
20	CALL RESULT	UVIS	- 39
30	CONTINUE	UVIS	40
-	STOP	UVIS	41
	END	UVIS	42

- UVIS 16 Initialise the total time to zero.
- UVIS 17 Call the subroutine which reads the input data as described in Section 3.2.
- UVIS 18 Call Subroutine INITAL which:
  - (i) Initialises to zero the viscoplastic strain vector and the stress vector.
  - (ii) Initialises the array, ELOAD, which will contain the pseudo loads to be applied during each time step.
  - (iii) Initialises the vector of applied loads.
  - (iv) Initialises the vector of total displacements and total reactions.
- UVIS 19 Call the subroutine which evaluates the stiffness matrix for each element.
- UVIS 20 Enter the DO LOOP over the number of load increments.
- UVIS 21 Call Subroutine INCLOD which:
  - (i) Reads and writes the input data required for each load increment as described previously in Section 4.10.
  - (ii) Adds the current increment of load into the pseudo load vector, ELOAD, and into the total applied load vector, TLOAD.
- UVIS 23 Begin the time-stepping process.
- UVIS 24 Calculate the total time elapsed (note that the first time step corresponds to the elastic solution).
- UVIS 25 Call the subroutine which sets the parameter KRESL controlling equation resolution facility.

- UVIS 26-29 Call the subroutines which assemble the element stiffnesses and solve for the unknown displacements and reactions.
- UVIS 30 Call the subroutine which evaluates quantities at the end of the time step and evaluates the loads for the next time step.
- UVIS 31 Check whether or not steady state conditions have been achieved.
- UVIS 32 If so, terminate the time-stepping process for the current load increment.
- UVIS 33-34 Output the results at a frequency controlled by parameter, NOUTP.
- UVIS 35 End of time-stepping loop.
- UVIS 36-38 If steady state conditions have not been achieved when the upper time-step limit has been reached, write a message and terminate the execution.
- UVIS 40 End of load increment loop.

#### 4.12 Numerical examples

The first example considered is the viscoplastic deformation of a single element under constant applied loading. The element is of length 10 units and the applied load is 15 units. The material properties assumed are included in Fig. 4.5, where it is noted that the strain hardening parameter is taken to be zero. The finite element prediction is seen to be in excellent agreement with the theoretical result (4.17) for this problem.

The problem was then reanalysed for a strain-hardening material with H' = 5000. The finite element results are compared with the theoretical expression (4.16) in Fig. 4.6 for three different values of the time-stepping parameter,  $\tau$ , defined in Section 4.4. For a value of  $\tau = 0.01$  excellent agreement is obtained, but as the time-step length is increased ( $\tau = 0.05$  and  $\tau = 0.1$ ) comparison with the theoretical solution deteriorates. In particular, an increase in the time-step length progressively overestimates the viscoplastic strain increment, which is a characteristic of the Euler method of time stepping. It is noted that the time-step length is not so critical in the perfectly viscoplastic case of Fig. 4.5 since the exact viscoplastic strain increment is in fact linear for this case.

For the material properties assumed, the theoretical value of the limiting time step is given from (4.36) to be 1.0. It is seen from Figs. 4.5 and 4.6 that the time-step lengths employed in solution are well within this critical value. However, Fig. 4.6 shows that to achieve an accurate result even smaller time-step lengths must be taken. Thus although the theoretical value of the limiting time-step length guarantees *numerical stability* of the solution process it may not always lead to an *accurate* solution.

The second example considered illustrates the redistribution of stress with time which generally takes place in viscoplastic problems. Figure 4.7 shows two members in parallel which are subjected to an end load P which



Fig. 4.5 End displacement with time for a single viscoplastic element under constant applied load—No strain hardening.



Fig. 4.6 End displacement with time for a single viscoplastic element under constant applied load showing finite element results for different time-step lengths—Linear strain hardening.





is incrementally applied. The material properties for each element are included in Fig. 4.7 with the only difference between the two members being the initial yield stress of the materials. The load is applied in four increments and steady state conditions are allowed to develop for each increment before application of further load. The end displacement with time is shown in Fig. 4.7. Steady state conditions are achieved for the first three load increments but not for the fourth since both elements, which behave perfectly plastically, have become yielded at this stage.

# 4.13 Problems

- 4.1 Develop the relationship between the applied stress,  $\sigma$ , and the total strain,  $\epsilon$ , for the rheological model shown in Fig. 4.8. Plot the strain response with time when the model is subjected to a constant applied stress,  $\sigma_A$ .
- 4.2 Repeat Problem 4.1 for the rheological model shown in Fig. 4.9. In this case the friction slider becomes active for  $\sigma \ge Y$  where, for a linear strain hardening material,  $Y = \sigma_Y + H' \epsilon_{vp}$ .



Fig. 4.8 Problem 4.1.



Fig. 4.9 Problem 4.2.

 $\cdot$  4.3 Use the unidimensional computer code developed in this chapter to determine the stress relaxation with time when the Maxwell model shown in Fig. 4.10 is subjected to a constant displacement condition. The critical time-step length for this model can be shown to be

 $\Delta t = 2/\gamma E$ . Solve the problem for several time-step lengths up to the critical value, thereby showing that numerical divergence occurs as soon as the limiting value is reached. For computation let E = 100,  $\gamma = 0.01$  and  $\phi_p = 0.1$ .



Fig. 4.10 Problem 4.3.

- 4.4 Modify the computer code developed in this chapter to allow solution of the material model of Problem 4.1.
- 4.5 In Section 4.9, Subroutine CONVP, monitoring convergence to steady state conditions, was based on a global criterion. Modify this subroutine so that convergence is based upon the condition

$$\frac{|\Delta\epsilon_{vp}^{n}|}{|\Delta\epsilon_{vp}^{1}|} \times 100 \leq \text{TOLER}, \qquad (4.42)$$

for each individual element.

4.6 Develop the elastic stiffness matrix,  $K^{(e)}$ , for a two-node finite element in the form of a sphere and which is to be subjected to spherically symmetrical radial loading only. Assume a linear variation between nodes and note the following relationships

$$\epsilon_{r} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{E} [\sigma_{r} - \nu(\sigma_{\theta} + \sigma_{\phi})]; \qquad \sigma_{\theta} = \sigma_{\phi};$$
  

$$\epsilon_{\theta} = \epsilon_{\phi} = \frac{u}{r} = \frac{1}{E} [(1 - \nu)\sigma_{\theta} - \nu\sigma_{r}], \qquad (4.43)$$

in which u is the radial displacement and  $\epsilon_r$ ,  $\epsilon_{\theta}$ ,  $\epsilon_{\phi}$  and  $\sigma_r$ ,  $\sigma_{\theta}$ ,  $\sigma_{\phi}$  are respectively the strain and stress components. Also express the stress components in terms of the nodal displacements.

- 4.7 Use the stiffness matrix evaluated in Problem 4.6 to modify the onedimensional viscoplastic program UNVIS to allow solution of spherically symmetrical problems. Assume a Tresca yield criterion which implies commencement of yielding when  $\sigma_r - \sigma_{\theta} = \sigma_V$ .
- 4.8 Employ the program developed in Problem 4.7 to determine the variation of the elasto-viscoplastic stress distribution with time in a sphere which is instantaneously loaded by an internal pressure of 500 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. The internal and external radii of the sphere are 10 cm and 25 cm

respectively, the elastic modulus  $E = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , Poisson's ratio  $\nu = 0.3$ , the uniaxial yield stress  $\sigma_Y = 300 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , hardening parameter, H' = 0 and take the fluidity parameter  $\gamma = 0.001$ . Compare your steady state solution with the theoretical elasto-plastic results of Ref. 2.

# 4.14 References

- 1. CORMEAU, I., Numerical stability in quasistatic elasto-visco-plasticity, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng., 9, 109-127 (1975).
- 2. HILL, R., The Mathematical Theory of Plasticity, Oxford University Press, 1950.

# Chapter 5 Elasto-plastic Timoshenko beam analysis

Written in collaboration with H. H. Abdel Rahman

#### 5.1 Introduction

In this chapter we introduce some elasto-plastic beam formulations which are useful in their own right but which also provide insight into the elastoplastic plate formulations presented later.

There are two main beam theories on which we could base our studies:

(i) *Euler-Bernoulli beam theory*. This theory, which is usually favoured by engineers because of its simplicity, takes no account of transverse shear deformation. The simplest Euler-Bernoulli beam element based on the displacement method is the well-known Hermitian element<sup>(1)</sup> with cubic displacements. Bending moments may vary linearly over this element.

(ii) *Timoshenko beam theory*. This theory allows for transverse shear deformation effects. The simplest Timoshenko beam element is the Hughes element<sup>(2)</sup> with linear displacements and normal rotations. Bending moments are constant over this element.

Although the Euler-Bernoulli theory is frequently adopted we choose the Timoshenko beam theory as a basis for our study of the elasto-plastic analysis of beams since we may make use of a finite element which involves constant bending moments and is more in keeping with the presentations given in the previous chapters. Furthermore, Timoshenko beam theory can rightly be considered as the one-dimensional precursor of Mindlin plate theory which is used in Chapter 9.

Firstly in this chapter the basic assumptions of Timoshenko beam theory are outlined. The Hughes element formulation is then presented for the elastic case.

There are two approaches to the elasto-plastic analysis of Timoshenko beams:

(i) Non-layered approach. In this method, when the bending moment reaches the yield moment, the whole cross-section of the beam is assumed to become plastic instantaneously. This is however a convenient fiction as in reality there is always a gradual plastification of the beam with the outer

fibres becoming plastic initially. The zone of plasticification then spreads inwards until the whole section ultimately becomes plastic.

(ii) Layered approach. In this method we attempt to capture the spread of plasticity over the depth of the beam. The beam is thus divided into a number of layers each of which may become plastic separately. As the number of layers is increased, this model provides a more realistic representation of the gradual spread of plasticity over the beam cross-section.

Both non-layered and layered approaches are described in detail and program TIMOSH for the non-layered beams and program TIMLAY for the layered beams are presented and their use is illustrated with the aid of some examples.

#### 5.2 The basic assumptions of Timoshenko beam theory

#### **5.2.1** Introductory comments

There are several basic assumptions adopted in the derivation of the governing equations of Timoshenko beam theory. Here we reiterate these assumptions for elastic, small deflection analysis and then in later sections we present some extensions of the theory to allow for elasto-plastic analysis.

#### 5.2.2 Assumed displacement field

In a typical Timoshenko beam, such as the one shown in Fig. 5.1, it is usual to assume that normals to the neutral axis before deformation remain straight but not necessarily normal to the neutral axis after deformation. This implies that the axial displacement  $\bar{u}$  at any point (x, z) may be expressed directly in terms of  $\theta(x)$  the rotation of the normal so that

$$\bar{u}(x,z) = -z\theta(x) \tag{5.1}$$

Note that the normal rotation  $\theta(x)$  is equal to the slope of the neutral axis dw/dx minus a rotation  $\beta$  which is due to the transverse shear deformation.



Fig. 5.1 Timoshenko beam.

Thus we have

$$\theta(x) = \frac{d\bar{w}}{dx} - \beta.$$
(5.2)

Notice also that the lateral displacement  $\bar{w}$  at any point (x, z) is given by the lateral displacement at the neutral axis so that

$$\bar{w}(x,z) = w(x) \tag{5.3}$$

#### 5.2.3 Stress-strain relationships

In Timoshenko beam theory, the elastic stress-strain relationships used for plane stress analysis are usually adopted in a slightly modified form. For convenience we assume that the beam is loaded in the xz plane and thus for an isotropic elastic material the relevant stress-strain relationships are

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_z \\ \tau_{xz} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{E}{(1-\nu^2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu & 0 \\ \nu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-\nu)}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_x \\ \epsilon_z \\ \gamma_{xz} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.4)

where E is the Young's modulus and  $\nu$  is the Poisson's ratio.

If  $\sigma_z$  is assumed to be equal to zero then

$$\epsilon_z = -\nu \epsilon_x \tag{5.5}$$

and by eliminating  $\epsilon_z$  from (5.4) and (5.5), it is possible to write the following stress-strain relationship

$$\sigma_x = E \epsilon_x \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{xz} = G \gamma_{xz} \quad (5.6)$$

where for an isotropic material  $G = E/[2(1 + \nu)]$  is the shear modulus.

#### 5.2.4 Strain-displacement relationships

Usually small deflection theory is adopted and the axial strain  $\epsilon_x$  is given as

$$\epsilon_x = \frac{\dot{c}\bar{u}}{\dot{c}x}.$$
(5.7)

If approximation (5.1) is adopted then this strain can be written as

$$\epsilon_x = -z \frac{d\theta}{dx}.$$
 (5.8)

Similarly the shear strain  $\gamma_{xz}$  is given as

$$\gamma_{xz} = \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial x}$$
(5.9)

and if approximation (5.2) is adopted we obtain

$$\gamma_{xz} = -\theta + \frac{dw}{dx} = \beta.$$
 (5.10)

#### 5.2.5 Virtual work expression

Consider a Timoshenko beam of depth t in which the breadth b varies with depth symmetrically about the neutral axis. The beam is subjected to a distributed loading of intensity q. If the beam undergoes a set of virtual lateral displacements  $\delta w$ , virtual normal rotations  $\delta \theta$  and associated virtual curvatures  $-z[d(\delta \theta)/dx]$  and virtual shear strains  $\delta \beta$  then the virtual work equation can be written as

$$\int_{0}^{l} \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \int_{b(-t/2)}^{b(t/2)} \left\{ -z \frac{d(\delta\theta)}{dx} \sigma_{x} + \delta\beta \tau_{xz} \right\} dy \, dz \, dx - \int_{0}^{l} \delta w q \, dx = 0 \quad (5.11)$$

or

$$\int_0^l \left(-\frac{d(\delta\theta)}{dx}M + \delta\beta Q\right) dx - \int_0^l \delta w q \, dx = 0$$

where the bending moment

$$M = \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \int_{b(-t/2)}^{b(t/2)} z \,\sigma_x \, dy \, dz \tag{5.12}$$

and the shear force

$$Q = \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \int_{b(-t/2)}^{b(t/2)} \tau_{xz} \, dy \, dz.$$
 (5.13)

Using (5.12) and (5.13), if we substitute for  $\sigma_x$  and  $\tau_{xz}$  in (5.6) respectively we obtain

$$M = \left(\int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \int_{b(-t/2)}^{b(t/2)} z^2 E \, dy \, dz\right) \left(-\frac{d\theta}{dx}\right) = EI\left(-\frac{d\theta}{dx}\right) \tag{5.14}$$

and

$$Q = \left(\int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \int_{b(-t/2)}^{b(t/2)} G \, dy \, dz\right)(\beta) = GA\,\beta \tag{5.15}$$

where *EI* is the flexural rigidity and *GA*, the shear rigidity, is replaced by  $G\hat{A}$  where the area *A* is replaced by  $A/\alpha$ . The parameter  $\alpha$  is a correction factor to allow for cross-sectional warping. For a rectangular section  $\alpha$  is usually taken as 1.5.\*

\* Many different definitions of  $\alpha$  have been presented in the various papers on Timoshenko beams. Cowper<sup>(3)</sup> summarises some definitions for beams of various cross-sections. For example, he shows that  $\alpha$  may be taken as  $(12+11\nu)/(10+10\nu)$  for rectangular cross-sections and  $(7+6\nu)/(6+6\nu)$  for circular cross-sections. Here we take  $\alpha = 1.5$  unless otherwise stated.

If we substitute for M and Q from (5.14) and (5.15) we can rewrite the virtual work equation (5.11) as

$$\int_{0}^{l} \left( \frac{d(\delta\theta)}{dx} EI \frac{d\theta}{dx} + \delta\beta G\hat{A}\beta - \delta wq \right) dx = 0$$
 (5.16)

#### 5.2.6 A comparison of various beam approximations

In order to compare the various beam approximations consider a simply supported beam of rectangular cross-section, flexural rigidity EI, Poisson's ratio  $\nu$ , depth t and length L which is subjected to a uniformly distributed loading q. The lateral deflection in the elastic range is given as

(i) 
$$w = \frac{qL^4}{24EI} \left\{ \left[ \left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^4 - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^2 + \frac{5}{16} \right] + \left(\frac{t}{L}\right)^2 \left[ \frac{12}{5} + \frac{3\nu}{2} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{4} - \left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^2 \right] \right\}$$
(5.17a)

when plane stress (PS) assumptions are adopted,

(ii) 
$$w = \frac{qL^4}{24EI} \left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{x}{L} \right)^4 - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{x}{L} \right)^2 + \frac{5}{16} \right] + \left( \frac{t}{L} \right)^2 [2\alpha(1+\nu)] \left[ \frac{1}{4} - \left( \frac{x}{L} \right)^2 \right] \right\}$$
(5.17b)

when Timoshenko beam (TB) assumptions are adopted and

(iii) 
$$w = \frac{qL^4}{24EI} \left\{ \left[ \left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^4 - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^2 + \frac{5}{16} \right] \right\}$$
 (5.17c)

when Euler-Bernoulli (EB) assumptions are adopted.

Thus, for long slender beams in which (t/L) is small, EB theory is adequate If we take Cowper's value <sup>(3)</sup> of  $\alpha = (12+11\nu)/(10+10\nu)$  then the ratio of the second-order additional lateral deflections due to shear deformation obtained under TB and PS assumptions is  $(24+22\nu)/(24+15\nu)$  which varies from 1.00 to 1.11 as  $\nu$  varies from 0.0 to 0.5. Thus TB theory is an accurate theory for beams of all dimensions.

### 5.3 Finite element idealisation for linear elastic Timoshenko beams

#### 5.3.1 Introduction

The theoretical and programming aspects of the finite element analysis of linear elastic Timoshenko beams have been dealt with in detail in previous books by the authors<sup>(1, 5)</sup>. Here we derive the stiffness matrix and consistent load vector for a linear element and set the scene for the analysis of elasto-plastic Timoshenko beams which will be discussed later.

#### 5.3.2 Displacement and strain representation

In the Hughes element representation, the lateral displacement w is represented by the relationship

$$w^{(e)} = N_1^{(e)} w_1^{(e)} + N_2^{(e)} w_2^{(e)}$$
(5.18)

where  $w_1^{(e)}$  and  $w_2^{(e)}$  are the nodal lateral displacements at local nodes 1 and 2 of element e and the shape functions (shown in Fig. 5.2) are

$$N_1^{(e)} = (x_2^{(e)} - x^{(e)})/l^{(e)}$$
$$N_2^{(e)} = (x^{(e)} - x_1^{(e)})/l^{(e)}$$

and

in which  $x_1^{(e)}$  and  $x_2^{(e)}$  are the x-coordinates of local nodes 1 and 2,  $x^{(e)}$  is the x-coordinate of a point within the element and  $l^{(e)}$  is the length of the element.



Fig. 5.2 Beam element shape functions.

Similarly the normal rotation  $\theta^{(e)}$  within element e is represented as

$$\theta^{(e)} = N_1^{(e)} \theta_1^{(e)} + N_2^{(e)} \theta_2^{(e)}$$
(5.19)

where  $\theta_1^{(e)}$  and  $\theta_2^{(e)}$  are the normal rotations at local nodes 1 and 2 of element e.

The curvature-displacement relationship can be expressed as

$$-\left(\frac{d\theta}{dx}\right)^{(e)} = -\left(\frac{dN_1}{dx}\right)^{(e)} \theta_1^{(e)} - \left(\frac{dN_2}{dx}\right)^{(e)} \theta_2^{(e)}$$
(5.20)

or

$$\epsilon_{f}^{(e)} = \left[0, \frac{1}{l^{(e)}}, 0, -\frac{1}{l^{(e)}}\right] \begin{bmatrix} w_{1}^{(e)} \\ \theta_{1}^{(e)} \\ w_{2}^{(e)} \\ \theta_{2}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} = B_{f}^{(e)} \varphi^{(e)}$$

where  $B_f^{(e)}$  is the curvature-displacement matrix.

The shear strain-displacement relationship is given as

$$\left(\frac{dw}{dx} - \theta\right)^{(e)} = \left(\frac{dN_1}{dx}\right)^{(e)} w_1^{(e)} - N_1^{(e)} \theta_1^{(e)} + \left(\frac{dN_2}{dx}\right)^{(e)} w_2^{(e)} - N_2^{(e)} \theta_2^{(e)}$$
(5.21)

٥r

$$\epsilon_{s}^{(e)} = \left[ -\frac{1}{l^{(e)}}, -\frac{(x_{2}^{(e)} - x^{(e)})}{l^{(e)}}, \frac{1}{l^{(e)}}, -\frac{(x^{(e)} - x_{1}^{(e)})}{l^{(e)}} \right] \begin{bmatrix} w_{1}^{(e)} \\ \theta_{1}^{(e)} \\ w_{2}^{(e)} \\ \theta_{2}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} = B_{s}^{(e)} \varphi^{(e)}$$

where  $B_s^{(e)}$  is the shear strain-displacement matrix.

#### 5.3.3 Stiffness matrix evaluation

Given the element strain-displacement relationships outlined in Section 5.3.2, Hughes has shown that using a virtual work approach the governing equations can be expressed as

$$[K_f + K_s]\varphi - f = 0 \tag{5.22}$$

where the submatrices of  $K_f$  and  $K_s$  and subvectors of f for element e can be written as

$$K_{f}^{(e)} = \int_{X_{1}^{(e)}}^{X_{2}^{(e)}} [B_{f}^{(e)}]^{T} (EI)^{(e)} B_{f}^{(e)} dx$$

$$K_{s}^{(e)} = \int_{X_{1}^{(e)}}^{X_{2}^{(e)}} [B_{s}^{(e)}]^{T} (G\hat{A})^{(e)} B_{s}^{(e)} dx$$

$$f^{(e)} = \int_{X_{1}^{(e)}}^{X_{2}^{(e)}} [N_{1}^{(e)}, 0, N_{2}^{(e)}, 0]^{T} q dx.$$
(5.23)

The flexural element stiffness matrix can be evaluated using a 1-point Gauss-Legendre rule and takes the form

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{f}^{(e)} = \left(\frac{EI}{I}\right)^{(e)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.24)

If  $K_s^{(e)}$  is evaluated exactly using a 2-point Gauss-Legendre rule we obtain

$$K_{\delta}^{(e)} = \left(\frac{G\hat{A}}{l}\right)^{(e)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{l}{2} & -1 & \frac{l}{2} \\ \frac{l}{2} & \frac{l^2}{3} & -\frac{l}{2} & \frac{l^2}{6} \\ -1 & -\frac{l}{2} & 1 & -\frac{l}{2} \\ \frac{l}{2} & \frac{l^2}{6} & -\frac{l}{2} & \frac{l^2}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.25)

Unfortunately it has been shown that with this formulation, overstiff solutions are obtained. This phenomenon, known as locking, may be 'cured' by integrating  $K_s^{(e)}$  with a 1-point Gauss-Legendre rule. If such a selectively integrated element is adopted we find that

$$K_{s}^{(e)} = \left(\frac{G\hat{A}}{l}\right)^{(e)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{l}{2} & -1 & \frac{l}{2} \\ \frac{l}{2} & \frac{l^{2}}{4} & -\frac{l}{2} & \frac{l^{2}}{4} \\ -\frac{l}{2} & \frac{l}{2} & \frac{l}{2} & \frac{l}{2} \\ -1 & -\frac{l}{2} & 1 & -\frac{l}{2} \\ \frac{l}{2} & \frac{l^{2}}{4} & -\frac{l}{2} & \frac{l^{2}}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.26)

and the results obtained are excellent.

The consistent nodal force vector is given as

$$f^{(e)} = \left[\frac{(ql)^{(e)}}{2}, 0, \frac{(ql)^{(e)}}{2}, 0\right]$$
(5.27)

which, unlike the Euler-Bernoulli cubic Hermitian element, only has lateral nodal point forces.

For the nonlayered elasto-plastic Timoshenko beam finite element analysis, when the beam bending moment reaches the yield moment  $M_0$ , the whole element becomes plastic and acts as a plastic hinge. In such a situation the flexural rigidity EI is replaced by an elasto-plastic flexural rigidity  $(EI)_{ep}$ whereas the shear rigidity  $G\hat{A}$  is assumed to be unchanged.

#### 5.3.4 Element stress resultants

We can obtain expressions which enable us to calculate the bending moments and shear forces within each element using (5.14) and (5.15). The

bending moment, which is constant in each element e, is given as

$$M^{(e)} = (EI)^{(e)} B_{f}^{(e)} \varphi^{(e)} = (EI)^{(e)} \left[ 0, \frac{1}{l^{(e)}}, 0, -\frac{1}{l^{(e)}} \right] \begin{bmatrix} w_{1}^{(e)} \\ \theta_{1}^{(e)} \\ w_{2}^{(e)} \\ \theta_{2}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \left( \frac{EI}{l} \right)^{(e)} (\theta_{1}^{(e)} - \theta_{2}^{(e)}).$$
(5.28)

The shear force varies linearly over each element but we evaluate it at

$$x = \frac{x_1^{(e)} + x_2^{(e)}}{2}$$

and assume it to be constant over the element. This is consistent with the practice of using selective integration in the evaluation of  $K^{(e)}$ . The shear force is therefore given as

$$Q^{(e)} = (G\hat{A})^{(e)} \mathcal{B}_{s}^{(e)} \varphi^{(e)} = (G\hat{A})^{(e)} \left[ -\frac{1}{l^{(e)}}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{l^{(e)}}, -\frac{1}{2} \right] \begin{bmatrix} w_{1}^{(e)} \\ \theta_{1}^{(e)} \\ w_{2}^{(e)} \\ \theta_{2}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= (G\hat{A})^{(e)} \left\{ \left( \frac{w_{2}^{(e)} - w_{1}^{(e)}}{l^{(e)}} \right) - \left( \frac{\theta_{1}^{(e)} + \theta_{2}^{(e)}}{2} \right) \right\}.$$
(5.29)

#### 5.4 Elasto-plastic nonlayered Timoshenko beams

#### 5.4.1 The yield moment

Consider a Timoshenko beam subjected to a bending moment. Timoshenko's assumptions imply that the axial stress and strain vary linearly across the depth of the section. As the bending moment is increased the yield stress is attained at the top and bottom fibres and with a further increase the yield will spread from these outer fibres inwards until the two zones of yield meet. The cross-section is then said to be fully plastic. It should be noted that the interaction of  $\sigma_x$  and  $\tau_{xz}$  has been ignored during yield. This is inexact, but experience shows that the effect is not of prime importance especially when thin beams are considered.

The value of this ultimate moment in the fully plastic condition can be calculated in terms of the yield stress  $\sigma_0$ .\* Thus

$$M_0 = \int_{b(-t/2)}^{b(t/2)} \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} z \,\sigma_0 \, dz \, dy \tag{5.30}$$

\* Note that for beam and plate problems the uniaxial yield stress is designated by  $\sigma_0$  and not  $\sigma_Y$ .

and for a rectangular beam of breadth b,  $M_0 = \sigma_0(bt^2/4)$ . However, it should be noted that the assumption used in the finite element solution implies that the whole cross-section becomes plastic as soon as the bending moment reaches its yield value  $M_0$ . This means that, for the beam case shown in Fig. 5.3, the whole cross-section is assumed to be plastic when the bending moment of situation (c) becomes equal to the bending moment of situation (d)—in which case the extreme fibre stress in situation (c) exceeds the actual yield stress of the material.



Fig. 5.3 Yielding of non-layered beam.

#### 5.4.2 Elasto-plastic bending

As mentioned earlier, elasto-plastic behaviour is characterised by an initial elastic material response with an additional plastic deformation when the bending moment |M| exceeds the yield moment  $M_0$ . The plastic deformation is irreversible on unloading and its onset is governed by a very simple yield criterion. Post-yield deformation usually occurs with a considerably reduced material stiffness.

The moment-curvature relationship for a Timoshenko beam of elastoplastic material is shown in Fig. 5.4. The beam initially deforms elastically with a flexural rigidity of EI until the ultimate bending moment is reached at which stage the whole beam cross-section becomes plastic. On increasing the load further, the material is assumed to exhibit linear strain-hardening characterised by the tangential flexural rigidity  $(EI)_T$ .

At some stage after initial yielding consider a further load application resulting in an incremental increase of bending moment accompanied by a change of curvature  $d\epsilon_f$ . Assuming that the curvature can be separated into elastic and plastic components, so that

$$d\epsilon_f = (d\epsilon_f)_e + (d\epsilon_f)_p, \qquad (5.31)$$

we define as a strain hardening parameter



Fig. 5.4 Moment curvature relationship for a Timoshenko beam.

$$H' = \frac{dM}{(d\epsilon_f)_p}.$$

This can be interpreted as the slope of the strain-hardening portion of the moment-curvature curve after the removal of the elastic curvature component. Thus

$$H' = \frac{dM}{d\epsilon_f - (d\epsilon_f)_e} = \frac{(EI)_T}{1 - [(EI)_T/EI]}.$$
(5.32)

It is therefore possible to rewrite (5.31) as

$$d\epsilon_f = \frac{dM}{EI} + \frac{dM}{H'} = \frac{dM(H' + EI)}{EIH'}$$
(5.33)

and then the incremental moment-curvature relationship can be written in the form

$$dM = \frac{EIH'}{(EI+H')} d\epsilon_f.$$
(5.34)

Thus during yielding the incremental stress-strain resultant relationship is

$$dM = EI \left( 1 - \frac{EI}{EI + H'} \right) d\epsilon_f$$
  
$$dQ = G\hat{A} d\epsilon_s.$$
(5.35)

The shear force/shear strain relationship is always elastic whereas the moment-curvature relationship is elasto-plastic. After yielding the flexural rigidity *EI* is replaced by

$$EI\left(1-\frac{EI}{EI+H'}\right).$$

If the hardening parameter H' is equal to zero then the material behaviour is elasto-perfectly plastic and as mentioned in Section 3.5 for elasto-plastic axial bar elements this may lead to tangential stiffness matrices which are singular. This difficulty can also be avoided by use of the initial stiffness method in which the elastic element stiffnesses are employed at every stage of the computation thereby guaranteeing a positive definite assembled stiffness matrix.

#### 5.4.3 Solution of nonlinear equations

Let us now generate the nonlinear equilibrium equations using the virtual expression (5.11). In order to do this we require the global rather than the element expressions for the lateral displacements, rotation, curvature and shear strain. At any point in the finite element mesh the lateral displacement and rotation can be obtained from the expression

$$\begin{bmatrix} w\\ \theta \end{bmatrix} = N\varphi \tag{5.36}$$

where the shape function matrix is

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} N_1, 0, N_2, 0, \dots, N_n, 0\\ 0, N_1, 0, N_2, \dots, 0, N_n \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.37)

and the vector of nodal displacements is

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} = [w_1, \theta_1, w_2, \theta_2, \dots, w_n, \theta_n]^T$$
(5.38)

where  $w_i$ ,  $\theta_i$  and  $N_i$  are the lateral displacement, rotation and global shape functions associated with node *i*.

The curvature and shear strain at any point within the entire finite element mesh is given as

$$-\frac{d\theta}{dx} = B_f \varphi$$
 and  $\frac{dw}{dx} - \theta = B_s \varphi$  (5.39)

where

and

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{f} = \left[0, \ -\frac{dN_{1}}{dx}, \ 0, \ -\frac{dN_{2}}{dx}, \ \dots, \ 0, \ -\frac{dN_{n}}{dx}\right]$$
(5.40)

$$B_{s} = \left[\frac{dN_{1}}{dx}, -N_{1}, \frac{dN_{2}}{dx}, -N_{2}, \ldots, \frac{dN_{n}}{dx}, -N_{n}\right]$$
(5.41)

Virtual curvatures and shear strains are given as

$$-\frac{d(\delta\theta)}{dx} = B_f \,\delta\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d(\delta w)}{dx} - \delta\theta = B_s \,\delta\varphi \tag{5.42}$$

respectively, where the vector of virtual nodal displacements is written as

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} = [\delta w_1, \, \delta \theta_1, \, \delta w_2, \, \delta \theta_2, \, \dots, \, \delta w_n, \, \delta \theta_n]^T.$$
(5.43)

Thus the virtual work expression (5.11) can now be written as

$$\int_0^l [\delta \varphi]^T [B_f]^T M \, dx + \int_0^l [\delta \varphi]^T [B_s]^T Q \, dx$$
$$- \int_0^l [\delta \varphi]^T [\bar{\mathbf{N}}]^T q \, dx = 0 \qquad (5.44)$$

where

 $\bar{\mathbf{N}} = [N_1, 0, N_2, 0, \dots, N_n, 0].$ (5.45)

Since (5.44) must be true for any set of virtual displacements  $\delta \varphi$  then we have

$$\left\{\int_0^l [\mathbf{B}_f]^T M \, dx + \int_0^l [\mathbf{B}_s]^T Q \, dx\right\} - \int_0^l [\bar{\mathbf{N}}]^T q \, dx = 0 \qquad (5.46)$$

$$\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{f} = 0.$$

or

In fact this equation is identical to (5.22) when there is no plasticity.

Unfortunately in elasto-plastic problems M is a nonlinear function and in general we can only predict the vector p approximately. Thus (5.46) is nonlinear and since p is only approximately known than p-f will equal a residual value  $\psi(\varphi)$  which we attempt to reduce to zero in our solution procedure.

We evaluate contributions to p element by element and assemble in the usual manner. The contribution from element e has the form

$$p^{(e)} = \int_{x_{1}^{(e)}}^{x_{2}^{(e)}} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ \frac{1}{l^{(e)}}\\ 0\\ -\frac{1}{l^{(e)}} \end{bmatrix} M^{(e)} dx + \int_{x_{1}^{(e)}}^{x_{2}^{(e)}} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{l^{(e)}}\\ \frac{1}{l^{(e)}}\\ \frac{1}{l^{(e)}}\\ \frac{1}{l^{(e)}}\\ \frac{x_{1}^{(e)} - x^{(e)}}{l^{(e)}} \end{bmatrix} Q^{(e)} dx$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -Q^{(e)}, \ M^{(e)} - \frac{(Ql)^{(e)}}{2}, \ Q^{(e)}, \ -M^{(e)} - \frac{(Ql)^{(e)}}{2} \end{bmatrix}^{T}. \quad (5.47)^{*}$$

\*The second integral evaluation is equivalent to using a 1-point Gauss rule.



Fig. 5.5 Overall structure of program TIMOSH.
Note that the appropriate value of bending moment  $M^{(e)}$  is inserted in (5.47).

Table 5.1 shows the complete sequence of nonlinear equation solving which is very similar to the one adopted for the axially-loaded bars in Chapter 3.

1. Begin load increment.

Set  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f} + \Delta \mathbf{f}$ , iteration counter i = 0 and  $\Psi^i = \Delta \mathbf{f} + \Psi$  (that is, include equilibrium correction from previous increment).

- 2. Evaluate the new tangential stiffness matrix  $K_T$  if necessary.
- 3. Solve  $\Psi^i = \mathbf{K}_T \Delta \varphi^i$
- 4. Evaluate  $\varphi = \varphi + \Delta \varphi^i$ .
- 5. For each element evaluate  $M^{(e)}$  and  $Q^{(e)}$ . Check  $M^{(e)}$  and adjust its value accordingly to account for any plastic behaviour. Evaluate the element residual force vector  $[\Psi^{(e)}]^{i+1} = \mathbf{p}^{(e)} \mathbf{f}^{(e)}$  and assemble into the global residual force vector  $\Psi^{i+1}$ .
- 6. Check  $\Delta \varphi^i$  for convergence.
- 7. If solution has converged set  $\Psi = \Psi^{i+1}$  and go to step 1, otherwise set i = i+1 and go to step 2.

 Table 5.1
 Solution procedure for elasto-plastic nonlayered Timoshenko beam analysis.

# 5.4.4 Overall program structure of TIMOSH

A modular approach is adopted for program TIMOSH. In fact the overall structure is identical to the structure in the programs of Chapter 3. Figure 5.5 shows the overall structure of TIMOSH. Routines DATA, INITAL, INCREM, NONAL, ASSEMB, GREDUC, BAKSUB, CONUND, RESOLV and RESULT have already been described in Chapter 3. The only new routines are STIFFB, REFORB and, of course, the MASTER routine BEAM.

# 5.4.5 New routines for nonlayered elasto-plastic Timoshenko beam analysis

*Master BEAM* The master calling routine BEAM simply organises the calling of the main routines as described in Fig. 5.5.

MASTER BEAM	EP	BM	1
***************************************	************************	BW	2
	EP	BM	3
<b>***</b> ELSTO-PLASTIC NONLAYERED TIMOSHENKO BEA	M PROGRAM EP	BM	-4
	EP	BM	5
**************************************	**************************************	BM	6
<ul> <li>COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NP</li> </ul>	ROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER, EP	BM	7
<ul> <li>KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NS</li> </ul>	VAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB, EP	PBM	8
• NITER, NOUTP, FACTO	EP	BM	9
COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),COORD(26),LNODS	(25,2),IFPRE(52), EP	BM	10
• FIXED(52), TLOAD(25, 4), RLOA	D(25,4),ELOAD(25,4), EP	BM	11
. MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAS	T(25),XDISP(52), EP	PBM	12

	TDISP(26,2),TREAC(26,2),ASTIF(52,52),ASLOD(52), REACT(52),FRESV(1352),PEFIX(52),ESTIF(4,4)	EPBM EPBM	13 14
	CALL DATA	EPBM	15
	CALL INITAL	EPBM	16
	DO 30 IINCS=1,NINCS	EPBM	17
	CALL INCLOD	EPBM	18
	DO 10 IITER=1.NITER	EPBM	19
	CALL NONAL	EPBM	20
	IF(KRESL.EQ.1) CALL STIFFB	EPBM	21
	CALL ASSEMB	EPBM	22
	IF(KRESL.EQ.1) CALL GREDUC	EPBM	23
	IF(KRESL.EQ.2) CALL RESOLV	EPBM	24
	CALL BAKSUB	EPBM	25
	CALL REFORB	EPBM	26
	CALL CONUND	EPBM	27
	IF (NCHEK.EQ.0) GO TO 20	EPBM	28
	IF (IITER.EQ.1.AND.NOUTP.EQ.1) CALL RESULT	EPDM	29
	IF(NOUTP.EQ.2) CALL RESULT	EPBM	30
10	CONTINUE	EPBM	31
000	WKIIE(0,900)	EDDM	 
900	FORMAT(THU, 5X, 'SOLUTION NOT CONVERGED')	EDDM	22
		EPDM	34
20		CDDM	22
30		EFDM	 
	SIUP	FDRM	20
	END		JU

Subroutine STIFFB The purpose of this routine is to evaluate the element stiffness matrices and store them on disc prior to their use in the assembly and equation solving routines.

	SUBROUTINE STIFFB	STFB	1
C****	⋇⋇⋇⋵⋇⋵⋇⋵⋇⋵⋇⋵⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇	STFB	2
С		STFB	3
C ###	CALCULATES ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRICES	STFB	4
С		STFB	- 5
C####	***************************************	STFB	6
	COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	STFB	7
	. KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	STFB	8
	• NITER, NOUTP, FACTO	STFB	9
	COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52),	STFB	10
	• FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLOAD(25,4),ELOAD(25,4),	STFB	11
	. MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	STFB	12
	. TDISP(26,2), TREAC(26,2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52),	STFB	13
	. REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4)	STFB	-14
	REWIND 1	STFB	- 15
	DO 20 IELEM=1,NELEM	STFB	16
	LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	STFB	17
	EIVAL=PROPS(LPROP, 1)	STFB	18
	SVALU=PROPS(LPROP,2)	STFB	19
	HARDS=PROPS(LPROP. 4)	STFB	20
	NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 1)	STFB	21
	NODE2=LNODS(IELEM,2)	STFB	22
	ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE2)-COORD(NODE1))	STFB	23
	IF(PLAST(IELEM).NE.O.O) EIVAL=EIVAL*(1.0-EIVAL/(EIVAL+HARDS))	STFB	24
	VALU1=0.5*SVALU	STFB	25
	VALU2=SVALU/ELENG	STFB	26
	VALU3=EIVAL/ELENG	STFB	27
	VALU4=0.25*SVALU*ELENG	STFB	28
	ESTIF(1.1) = VALU2	STFB	29
	ESTIF(1,2) = VALU1	STFB	30

	ESTIF(1,3)=-VALU2	STFB	31
	ESTIF(1,4)= VALU1	STFB	32
	ESTIF(2,2)= VALU3+VALU4	STFB	-33
	ESTIF(2,3)=-VALU1	STFB	-34
	ESTIF(2,4)=-VALU3+VALU4	STFB	35
	ESTIF(3,3) = VALU2	STFB	36
	ESTIF(3,4) = -VALU1	STFB	-37
	ESTIF(4,4)= VALU3+VALU4	STFB	-38
	DO 10 ISTIF=1,4	STFB	- 39
	DO 10 JSTIF=ISTIF,4	STFB	40
10	ESTIF(JSTIF, ISTIF)=ESTIF(ISTIF, JSTIF)	STFB	41
	WRITE(1) ESTIF	STFB	42
20	CONTINUE	STFB	-43
	RETURN	STFB	44
	END	STFB	-45

- STFB 15 Rewind disc ready for writing element stiffnesses.
- STFB 16-38 For each element evaluate the upper triangular portion of the element stiffness matrix  $K^{(e)}$ . Note that if plasticity has taken place the elastic *EI* is replaced by the elasto-plastic  $(EI)_T$ .
- **STFB 39-41** Obtain using symmetry the lower triangular portion of  $K^{(e)}$ .
- **STFB 42** Write all element stiffness matrices on to disc.

Subroutine REFORB This routine evaluates the equivalent nodal forces.

		SUBROUTINE REFORB	RFRB	1
C#	****	`*************************************	RFRB	2
Ċ			RFRB	- 3
С	***	CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES	RFRB	4
С			RFRB	5
C	****	\*************************************	RFRB	6
		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLOAD, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	RFRB	7
		KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	RFRB	8
		NITER, NOUTP, FACTO	RFRB	9
		COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,4),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52),	RFRB	10
		FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLOAD(25,4),ELOAD(25,4),	RFRB	11
		MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(25), XDISP(52),	RFRB	12
		TDISP(26,2),TREAC(26,2),ASTIF(52,52),ASLOD(52),	RFRB	13
		REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4)	RFRB	14
		DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM	RFRB	15
		DO 10 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	RFRB	16
	10	ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0	RFRB	17
		DO 70 IELEM=1, NELEM	KF KB	18
		LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	RFRB	19
		EIVAL=PROPS(LPROP, 1)	RFRB	20
		SVALU=PROPS(LPROP,2)	KF KB	21
		YIELD=PROPS(LPROP, 3)	KF KB	22
		HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 4)	KF KB	23
		NODE1=LNODS(IELEM, 1)	KP KD	24
		NODE2=LNODS(IELEM,2)	KF KB	25
		ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE2)-COORD(NODE1))	KF KB	20
		WNOD1=XD1SP(NODE1=NDOEN=1)	REB	28
			DE DE	20
		INIA I=XDISP(NODE I=NDOEN) THTA2_YDISP(NODE2=NDOEN)	RERB	30
		STRAN_(THTA1_THTA2)/FI FNC	RFRB	31
			RFRB	32
		STCHESTRAN-LIVAL STCHE-STRES(TELEM 1) ISTITN	RFRB	33
		PRFYS-YTFI DLHARDS#ARS( PLAST( TFI FM) )	RFRB	34
		TE(ADS(STORS(ICIEN 1)) CE DEEVS) CO TO 20	RFRB	35
		TCADOLOTACOLTELEM, 1// .GE.FAETO/ GO TO ZO		55

	ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS	RFRB	36
	IF(ESCUR.LE.O.O) GO TO 40	RFRB	37
	RFACT=ESCUR/ABS(STLIN)	RFRB	38
	GO TO 30	KF KB	39
20	IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).GT.O.O.AND.STLIN.LE.O.O) GO TO 40	RFRB	40
	IF(STRES(IELEM, 1).LT.O.O.AND.STLIN.GE.O.O) GO TO 40	RFRB	41
	RFACT=1.0	RFRB	42
30	REDUC=1.0-RFACT	RFRB	43
	STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+REDUC*STLIN+	RFRB	44
	. RFACT*EIVAL*(1.0-EIVAL/(EIVAL+HARDS))*STRAN	RFRB	45
	PLAST(IELEM)=PLAST(IELEM)+RFACT*STRAN*EIVAL/(EIVAL+HARDS)	RFRB	46
	GO TO 50	RFRB	47
40	STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STLIN	RFRB	48
50	STRES(IELEM,2)=STRES(IELEM,2)+(SVALU/ELENG)*(WNOD2-WNOD1)	RFRB	49
	-0.5*SVALU*(THTA1+THTA2)	RFRB	50
	ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=ELOAD(IELEM, 1)-STRES(IELEM, 2)	RFRB	51
	ELOAD(IELEM, 2)=ELOAD(IELEM, 2)+STRES(IELEM, 1)	RFRB	52
	-0.5*ELENG*STRES(IELEM,2)	RFRB	-53
	ELOAD(IELEM, 3)=ELOAD(IELEM, 3)+STRES(IELEM, 2)	RFRB	54
	ELOAD(IELEM, 4)=ELOAD(IELEM, 4)=STRES(IELEM, 1)	RFRB	55
	-0.5*ELENG*STRES(IELEM,2)	RFRB	56
70	CONTINUE	RFRB	57
	RETURN	RFRB	58
	END	RFRB	-59

RFRB 15-17 Zero space for storing p. RFRB 18-57 For each element evaluate  $p^{(e)}$  and assemble into p.

# 5.4.6 Examples of nonlayered elasto-plastic Timoshenko beam analysis

Two numerical examples are considered. The first example, Example 5.1, involves the yielding of a rectangular simple beam under uniformly distributed load. The beam material has the following properties:

$$E = 210.0 \text{ kN/mm}^2$$
  
 $\nu = 0.3$   
 $\sigma_0 = 0.25 \text{ kN/mm}^2$   
 $H' = 0.0$ 

and the beam proportions are:

$$b = 150 \text{ mm}$$
  
 $t = 300 \text{ mm}$   
 $l = 3000 \text{ mm}$ 

Typical input data is provided in Appendix IV.

The problem, finite element idealisation employed and the results are illustrated in Fig. 5.6, which shows that the beam fails as soon as a plastic hinge forms at the centre of the beam. Note that the beam material is assumed to have no strain hardening.

The second example considered, Example 5.2, is the clamped I beam shown in Fig. 5.7. The beam has the same material properties as those of Example 5.1.

The dimensions and finite element discretisation of the beam are given in Fig. 5.7; the load-displacement relationship at the beam centre is also provided. It is seen that there is an initial loss of stiffness corresponding to the





yielding of the end sections followed by a further reduction when the central section becomes plastic resulting in a beam failure mechanism.

# 5.5 Elasto-plastic layered Timoshenko beams

# 5.5.1 Yielding of layered beams

In the 'layered' approach the beam or the plate is subdivided into a chosen number of layers, as shown in Fig. 5.8.



Fig. 5.8 Layered subdivision of beam and plate.

In the finite element solution it is assumed that as soon as the stress in the middle of the outer layers reaches the yield value, then the outer layers become plastic, while the rest of the layers remain elastic, as shown in



Fig. 5.9 Yielding of layered beam.

Fig. 5.9. Then, as plastification propagates, more layers become plastic, until the whole cross-section eventually becomes plastic.

# 5.5.2 Formation of the stiffness matrix in the layered approach

In the layered approach, we work in terms of stresses and not in terms of stress resultants as in the nonlayered approach. The state of stress at the middle of a layer is taken as representative for the entire layer.

Contributions to the stress resultants M and Q are found for each layer separately by integrating over the layer thickness only. The bending moments and shear forces are then found from the contributions of all the layers of the beam element.

The displacement field, stress-strain relationship and strain-displacement relationship are given in (5.1)-(5.10).

The virtual work expression is given by (5.11) and when we evaluate the bending moment M and shear force Q we use a mid-ordinate rule as follows:

$$M = EI\left(-\frac{d\theta}{dx}\right)$$
 and  $Q = G\hat{A}\epsilon_s$  (5.48)

where

$$EI = \sum_{l} E_l b_l z_l^2 t_l \tag{5.49}$$

and

and

$$G\hat{A} = \sum_{l} G_{l} b_{l} t_{l}$$
(5.50)

and where	$b_l$	is	the	layer	breadth
-----------	-------	----	-----	-------	---------

- $t_l$  is the layer thickness
- $z_l$  is the z-coordinate at the middle of the layer
- $E_l$  is the Young's modulus of the layer material
- $G_l$  is the Shear modulus of the layer material.

However, if the stress at the middle surface of a layer reaches the uniaxial yield stress of the layer material, the whole layer is considered to be plastic and  $E_l$  is replaced by

$$E_l\left(1-\frac{E_l}{E_l+H'}\right),$$

where H' is the uniaxial strain hardening parameter. As mentioned before, the shear force-shear strain relationship is always elastic.

### 5.5.3 Solution of nonlinear equations

Recall that the virtual work expression (5.11) has the form

$$\int_{0}^{l} \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \int_{b(-t/2)}^{b(t/2)} \left\{ -z \frac{d(\delta\theta)}{dx} \sigma_{x} + \delta\beta \tau_{xz} \right\} dy \, dz \, dx - \int_{0}^{l} \delta w \, q \, dx = 0. \quad (5.51)$$

The mid-ordinate rule is again used to evaluate the first two integrals in (5.51) so that we obtain

$$[\delta \varphi]^T [p_f + p_s] - [\delta \varphi]^T f = 0$$
(5.52)

where

$$\boldsymbol{p}_f = \int_0^l [\boldsymbol{B}_f]^T \, \bar{\boldsymbol{M}} \, d\boldsymbol{x}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{p}_s = \int_0^l [\boldsymbol{B}_s]^T \, \bar{\boldsymbol{Q}} \, d\boldsymbol{x}$$

in which  $B_f$ ,  $B_s$  and  $\delta \varphi$  have been defined in (5.40), (5.41) and (5.43) respectively and in which

$$\overline{M} = \sum_{l} b_l \sigma_{xl} z_l t_l \tag{5.53}$$

and

$$\bar{Q} = \sum_{l} b_{l} \tau_{xzl} t_{l}.$$
 (5.54)

Note that  $\sigma_{xl}$  and  $\tau_{xzl}$  are the direct and shear stresses in the layer respectively. Since (5.52) is true for any arbitrary set of virtual displacements then

$$\boldsymbol{p}_f + \boldsymbol{p}_s - \boldsymbol{f} = \boldsymbol{0}. \tag{5.55}$$

Contributions to  $p_f$  and  $p_s$  may be evaluated separately from each element so that

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{f}^{(e)} = \int_{x_{1}^{(e)}}^{x_{2}^{(e)}} [\boldsymbol{B}_{f}^{(e)}]^{T} \, \bar{\boldsymbol{M}}^{(e)} \, dx = \int_{x_{1}^{(e)}}^{x_{2}^{(e)}} \left[ 0, \left( \frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{M}}}{l} \right)^{(e)}, 0, -\left( \frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{M}}}{l} \right)^{(e)} \right]^{T} \, dx$$
$$= [0, \, \bar{\boldsymbol{M}}^{(e)}, 0, \, -\bar{\boldsymbol{M}}^{(e)}]^{T} \tag{5.56}$$

and

$$p_{s}^{(e)} = \int_{x_{1}^{(e)}}^{x_{2}^{(e)}} [B_{s}^{(e)}]^{T} \bar{Q}^{(e)} dx = \int_{x_{1}^{(e)}}^{x_{2}^{(e)}} \left[ -\frac{1}{l^{(e)}}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{l^{(e)}}, -\frac{1}{2} \right]^{T} \bar{Q}^{(e)} dx$$
$$= \left[ -\bar{Q}^{(e)}, -\frac{(\bar{Q}l)^{(e)}}{2}, \bar{Q}^{(e)}, -\frac{(\bar{Q}l)^{(e)}}{2} \right]^{T}.$$
(5.57)

The complete sequence of nonlinear equation solving is very similar to the one adopted in Table 5.1 for the nonlayered beam. Step 5 is now written as:

5. For each element evaluate for each layer  $\sigma_{xl}^{(e)}$  and  $\tau_{xzl}^{(e)}$ . Check  $\sigma_{xl}^{(e)}$  and adjust its value accordingly to account for any plastic behaviour. Evaluate the stress resultants  $\overline{M}^{(e)}$  and  $\overline{Q}^{(e)}$  and hence evaluate the residual force vector  $[\psi^{(e)}]^{i+1} = p^{(e)} - f^{(e)}$ . Assemble  $[\psi^{(e)}]^{i+1}$  into the global residual force vector  $\psi^{i+1}$ .

# 5.5.4 Overall structure of layered beam program TIMLAY

The overall structure of the layered beam program is exactly the same as that of the nonlayered beam program given in Fig. 5.5. Subroutine STIFBL replaces STIFFB and subroutine RFORBL replaces REFORB. Subroutine STIFBL calls a further new routine called LAYER. The master routine BEML has minor changes as shown in the next section.

# 5.5.5 Modified and new routines

*Master BEML* This routine is almost identical to routine BEAM described earlier.

~*	****	MASTER BEML	LYBM	1
C.≖ C	****	***************************************	LIBM	2
	***		LIBM	3
C C	***	ELSTU-PLASTIC LAYERED TIMOSHENKO BEAM PROGRAM	LIBM	4
			LIBM	2
C.	****	***************************************	LYBM	6
		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLAYR, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	LYBM	7
	•	KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	LYBM	8
	•	NITER, NOUTP, FACTO	LYBM	9
		COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,25),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52),	LYBM	10
		FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLOAD(25,4),ELOAD(25,4),	LYBM	11
		MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(250), XDISP(52),	LYBM	12
		. TDISP(26,2), TREAC(26,2), ASTIF(52,52), ASLOD(52),	LYBM	13
		. REACT(52), FRESV(1352), PEFIX(52), ESTIF(4,4),	LYBM	14
		. STRSL(250,2)	LYBM	15
		CALL DATA	LYBM	16
		CALL INITAL	LYBM	-17
		DO 30 IINCS=1,NINCS	LYBM	18
		CALL INCLOD	LYBM	19
		DO 10 IITER=1,NITER	LYBM	20
		CALL NONAL	LYBM	21
		IF(KRESL.EQ.1) CALL STIFBL	LYBM	22
		CALL ASSEMB	LYBM	23
		IF(KRESL.EQ.1) CALL GREDUC	LYBM	24

	IF(KRESL.EQ.2) CALL RESOLV CALL BAKSUB	LYBM LYBM	25 26
	CALL RFORBL	LYBM	27
	CALL CONUND	LYBM	28
	IF(NCHEK.EQ.0) GO TO 20	LYBM	29
	IF(IITER.EQ.1.AND.NOUTP.EQ.1) CALL RESULT	LYBM	30
	IF(NOUTP.EQ.2) CALL RESULT	LYBM	31
10	CONTINUE	LYBM	- 32
	WRITE(6,900)	LYBM	33
900	FORMAT(1H0,5X,'SOLUTION NOT CONVERGED')	LYBM	34
-	STOP	LYBM	35
20	CALL RESULT	LYBM	36
30	CONTINUE	LYBM	37
	STOP	LYBM	- 38
	END	LYBM	39

Subroutine STIFBL This routine calculates the element stiffness matrices for the elasto-plastic layered Timoshenko beam element.

	SUBROUTINE STIFBL	STBL	1
C****	***************************************	*STBL	2
C		STBL	3
C ***	CALCULATES ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRICES	STBL	4
C		STBL	5
Carat		*STBL	6
	COMMON/UNIMI/NPOIN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLAYR, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER,	STBL	7
	. KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	STBL	8
	• NITER, NUTP, FACTO	STBL	. 9
	COMMON/ONIM2/PROPS(5,25), COORD(20), LNODS(25,2), IPPRE(52), COORD(20), LNODS(25,2), IPPRE(52), COORD(25, H), CO	SIBL	10
	• $FIXED(52), ILOAD(25, 4), KLOAD(25, 4), ELOAD(25, 4),$	SIBL	11
•	$\mathbf{MAINU(25), SIKES(25,2), FLASI(250), AUISF(52),}$	SIDL	12
	$ = IDIOF(20,2), IREAU(20,2), AOIIF(52,52), AOLUD(52), \\ PEACT(52), EPEOU(1252), PEETV(52), EPETF(1, 1) $	SIBL	15
	$ = \frac{(1, 5)}{(2, 5)} + \frac{(1, 5)}{(1, 5)} + \frac{(1, 5)}{(2, 5)} + \frac$	SIDL	14
•	$\frac{1}{2}$	STDL	15
	REWIND   DO DO TELEM_1 NELEM	SIDL	17
	I PROP-MATNO(TELEM)	STRL	18
	CALL LAYER(TELEM ETVAL SVALIL)	INTE	10
	HARDS-PRODE(I DROP 1)	STRI	20
	NODE1=LNODS(IELEM.1)	STBL	21
	NODE2=LNODS(TELEM, 2)	STBL	22
	ELENG=ABS(COORD(NODE2)_COORD(NODE1))	STBL.	23
	VALU1=0.5*SVALU	STBL	24
	VALU2=SVALU/ELENG	STBL	25
	VALU3=EIVAL/ELENG	STBL	26
	VALU4=0.25*SVALU*ELENG	STBL	27
	ESTIF(1,1)=VALU2	STBL	28
	ESTIF(1,2)=VALU1	STBL	29
	ESTIF(1,3) = VALU2	SIBL	30
	ESTIF(1,4)= VALUI	SIDL	31
	LSIIF(2,2) = VALU3+VALU4	STBL	32
	ESTIF(2,3) = -VALUI $ESTIF(2,4) = -VALUI$	SIDL	22
	ESTIF(2,4)= -VALU3+VALU4	OTDL	24
	EOIIF(3,3) = VALU2 FSTIF(2, h) = VALU1	STRI	35
	FSTTF(J, 4) = VALUA VALUA	STRI	37
	DO 10 ISTIF-1 $\mu$	STBL	38
	DO 10 JSTIF=ISTIF.4	STBL.	39
10	ESTIF(JSTIF, ISTIF)=ESTIF(ISTIF, JSTIF)	STBL	40
	WRITE(1) ESTIF	STBL	41
20	CONTINUE	STBL	42
	RETURN	STBL	43
	END	STBL	44

STBL 19 Call routine LAYER which evaluates approximate values of EIand exact values of  $G\hat{A}$  using a mid-ordinate rule. Note that certain layers may be plastic.

Subroutine RFORBL This routine evaluates p for the layered beam using the mid-ordinate rule.

		SUBROUTINE RFORBL	RFRL	1
Č1	[***]	***************************************		2
C	***	CALCULATES INTERNAL COUTUALENT NODAL CORCES	DEDI	כ ע
с c	~ ~ ~	CALCULATES INTERNAL EQUIVALENT WODAL FORCES	RERL	ד 5
с Сi	****	<b>****</b>	*RFRL	6
Č		COMMON/UNIM1/NPOTN, NELEM, NBOUN, NLAYR, NPROP, NNODE, IINCS, IITER.	RFRL	7
		KRESL, NCHEK, TOLER, NALGO, NSVAB, NDOFN, NINCS, NEVAB,	RFRL	8
		NITER, NOUTP, FACTO	RFRL	9
		COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,25),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52),	RFRL	10
		. FIXED(52), TLOAD(25,4), RLOAD(25,4), ELOAD(25,4),	RFRL	11
		MATNO(25), STRES(25,2), PLAST(250), XDISP(52),	KF KL	12
		$ = IDISP(20,2), IREAU(20,2), ASIIF(52,52), ASLUD(52), \\ PERCET(E2), EPECY(12E2), PEETY(E2), ESTIE(1, 1) $	AF KL	15
		$\frac{(250, 2)}{(250, 2)}$	RFRI.	15
		DIMENSION STRAN(2)	RFRI.	16
		DO 15 IELEM=1.NELEM	RFRL	17
		DO 10 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	RFRL	18
	10	ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0	RFRL.	19
		DO 15 IDOFN=1, NDOFN	RFRL	20
	15	STRES(IELEM, IDOFN)=0.0	RFRL	21
		KLAYR=0		22
		DU (U LELEMEI, NELEM I PROD-MATNO(TELEM)	RFRI	23
		YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP.1)	RFRL	25
		SHEAR=PROPS(LPROP.2)	RFRL	26
		YIELD=PROPS(LPROP, 3)	RFRL	27
		HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 4)	RFRL	28
		THKTO=PROPS(LPROP,5)	RFRL	29
		NODED_LINODS(IELEM, I)	DEDI	30 21
		$\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000$		22
		WNOD1-XDTSP(NODF1*NDOFN_1)	RFRI.	22
		WNOD2=XDISP(NODE2*NDOFN-1)	RFRL	34
		THTA1=XDISP(NODE1*NDOFN)	RFRL	35
		THTA2=XDISP(NODE2*NDOFN)	RFRL	36
		STRAN(1)=(THTA1-THTA2)/ELENG	RFRL	37
		STRAN(2)=(WNOD2-WNOD1)/ELENG	RFRL	38
		-0.5*(THTA1+THTA2)	RFRL	39
		ZMIDL=-IHKTU/2.0		40
		$DO = 50 TLAYP_1 NLAVP$	REBI	41
		KLAYR=KLAYR+1	RFRI.	43
		KOUNT=KOUNT+1	RFRL	44
		BRDTH=PROPS(LPROP, KOUNT)	RFRL	45
		KOUNT=KOUNT+1	RFRL	46
		THICK=PROPS(LPROP, KOUNT)	RFRL	47
		ZMIDL=ZMIDL+THICK/2.0	KF RL	48
		SILIN=IUUNG*SIKAN(1)*ZMIUL STCUD_STDSI(VIAVD_1).STLIN	Kr KL	49 60
		DICOREDINGLALAIN, 17+DILIN PREYS-YTFLD_HARDS#ARS(PLAST(KLAYR))	RFRI	50
		IF(ABS(STRSL(KLAYR.1)).GE.PREYS) GO TO 20	RFRI.	52
		ESCUR=ABS(STCUR)-PREYS	RFRL	53
		IF(ESCUR.LE.O.O) GO TO 40	RFRL	54

	RFACT=FSCUR/ABS(STLIN)	RFRL.	55
	GO TO 30	RFRL	56
20	IF(STRSL(KLAYR.1).GT.0.0.AND.STLIN.LE.0.0) GO TO 40	RFRL	57
	IF(STRSL(KLAYR.1).LT.0.0.AND.STLIN.GE.0.0) GO TO 40	RFRL	58
	RFACT=1.0	RFRL	- 59
30	REDUC=1.0-RFACT	RFRL	60
-	STRSL(KLAYR, 1)=STRSL(KLAYR, 1)+REDUC*STLIN+	RFRL	61
	RFACT*YOUNG*(1.0-YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS))*STRAN(1)*ZMIDL	RFRL	62
	PLAST(KLAYR)=PLAST(KLAYR)+RFACT*STRAN(1)*YOUNG/(YOUNG+HARDS)	RFRL	63
	.*ZMIDL	RFRL	64
	GO TO 45	RFRL	65
40	STRSL(KLAYR, 1)=STRSL(KLAYR, 1)+STLIN	RFRL	66
45	STRSL(KLAYR, 2)=STRSL(KLAYR, 2)+STRAN(2)*SHEAR	RFRL	67
	STRES(IELEM, 1)=STRES(IELEM, 1)+STRSL(KLAYR, 1)*	RFRL	68
	BRDTH*THICK*ZMIDL	RFRL	69
	STRES(IELEM, 2)=STRES(IELEM, 2)+STRSL(KLAYR, 2)*	RFRL	70
	BRDTH*THICK	RFRL	71
	ZMIDL=ZMIDL+THICK/2.0	RFRL	72
50	CONTINUE	RFRL	73
	ELOAD(IELEM, 1)=ELOAD(IELEM, 1)-STRES(IELEM, 2)	RFRL	74
	ELOAD(IELEM,2)=ELOAD(IELEM,2)+STRES(IELEM,1)	RFRL	75
	-0.5*ELENG*STRES(IELEM,2)	RFRL	76
	ELOAD(IELEM, 3)=ELOAD(IELEM, 3)+STRES(IELEM, 2)	RFRL	77
	ELOAD(IELEM, 4)=ELOAD(IELEM, 4)-STRES(IELEM, 1)	RFRL	78
	-0.5*ELENG*STRES(IELEM,2)	RFRL	79
70	CONTINUE	RF RL	80
	KETUKN	KF RL	81
	END	RFRL	82

Subroutine LAYER This routine evaluates EI and  $G\hat{A}$  using the midordinate rule. Note that certain layers may be plastic and therefore have a modified E.

	SUBROUTINE LAYER(IELEM,EIVAL,SVALU)	LAYR	1
C#### C C ###	CALCULATES INTEGRATED VALUES FOR EI AND GA THROUGH DEPTH	**LAYR LAYR LAYR	2 3 4
C C####	************		5
C***	COMMON/UNIM1/NPOIN.NELEM,NBOUN,NLAYR,NPROP,NNODE,IINCS,IITER, KRESL,NCHEK,TOLER.NALGO,NSVAB,NDOFN,NINCS,NEVAB, NITER,NOUTP,FACTO COMMON/UNIM2/PROPS(5,25),COORD(26),LNODS(25,2),IFPRE(52), FIXED(52),TLOAD(25,4),RLOAD(25,4),ELOAD(25,4), MATNO(25),STRES(25,2),PLAST(250),XDISP(52), TDISP(26,2),TREAC(26,2),ASTIF(52,52),ASLOD(52), REACT(52),FRESV(1352),PEFIX(52),ESTIF(4,4), STRSL(250,2) EIVAL=0.0 SVALU=0.0 LPROP=MATNO(IELEM) KLAYR=(IELEM-1)*NLAYR SHEAR=PROPS(LPROP,2) HARDS=PROPS(LPROP,4) THKTO=PROPS(LPROP,5) ZMIDL=-THKTO/2.0 KOUNT=5 DO 10 ILAYR=1,NLAYR KLAYR=KLAYR+1 YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP,1) IF(PLAST(KLAYR),NE+0.0),YOUNG=YOUNG*(1,0-YOUNG/(YOUNG=HARDS))	**LAYR LAYR LAYR LAYR LAYR LAYR LAYR LAYR	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 22 24 25 267 28

	KOUNT=KOUNT+1	LAYR	29
	BRDTH=PROPS(LPROP,KOUNT)	LAYR	30
	KOUNT=KOUNT+1	LAYR	31
	THICK=PROPS(LPROP,KOUNT)	LAYR	32
	ZMIDL=ZMIDL+THICK/2.0	LAYR	- 33
	EIVAL=EIVAL+YOUNG#BRDTH#THICK#ZMIDL#ZMIDL	LAYR	34
	SVALU=SVALU+SHEAR#BRDTH#THICK	LAYR	35
	ZMIDL=ZMIDL+THICK/2.0	LAYR	- 36
10	CONTINUE	LAYR	37
	RETURN	LAYR	- 38
	END	LAYR	- 39

# 5.5.6 Examples of layered elasto-plastic Timoshenko beam analysis

The third example considered in this chapter is the elasto-plastic analysis of the simple beam of Example 5.1. The layered solution is adopted in this case. A typical input data listing is provided in Appendix IV.

The results for both nonlayered and layered solutions to this beam problem are reproduced in Fig. 5.10.

The last example to be considered here is the layered solution of the clamped *I*-beam given in Example 5.1.

Again, both nonlayered and layered solution results are illustrated in Fig. 5.11.

From Figs. 5.10 and 5.11 it is obvious that the layered solution is more realistic. Yielding takes place gradually through the layers, resulting in smoother curves representing the load-displacement relationship.

# 5.6 Problems

5.1 Derive the main expressions for the elasto-plastic analysis of Timoshenko beams using elements with

(i) Quadratic shape functions

$$N_1^{(e)} = \frac{(x^{(e)} - x_2^{(e)})(x^{(e)} - x_3^{(e)})}{(x_1^{(e)} - x_2^{(e)})(x_1^{(e)} - x_3^{(e)})}$$

$$N_{2}^{(e)} = \frac{(x^{(e)} - x_{1}^{(e)})(x^{(e)} - x_{3}^{(e)})}{(x_{2}^{(e)} - x_{1}^{(e)})(x_{2}^{(e)} - x_{3}^{(e)})}$$

 $N_{3}^{(e)} = \frac{(x^{(e)} - x_{1}^{(e)})(x^{(e)} - x_{2}^{(e)})}{(x_{3}^{(e)} - x_{1}^{(e)})(x_{3}^{(e)} - x_{2}^{(e)})}$ (5.58)



Fig. 5.10 Load-deflection diagrams for simply supported beam.



$$N_{1}^{(e)} = \frac{(x^{(e)} - x_{2}^{(e)})(x^{(e)} - x_{3}^{(e)})(x^{(e)} - x_{4}^{(e)})}{(x_{1}^{(e)} - x_{2}^{(e)})(x_{1}^{(e)} - x_{3}^{(e)})(x_{1}^{(e)} - x_{4}^{(e)})}$$

$$N_{2}^{(e)} = \frac{(x^{(e)} - x_{1}^{(e)})(x^{(e)} - x_{3}^{(e)})(x^{(e)} - x_{4}^{(e)})}{(x_{2}^{(e)} - x_{1}^{(e)})(x_{2}^{(e)} - x_{3}^{(e)})(x_{2}^{(e)} - x_{4}^{(e)})}$$

$$N_{3}^{(e)} = \frac{(x^{(e)} - x_{1}^{(e)})(x^{(e)} - x_{2}^{(e)})(x^{(e)} - x_{4}^{(e)})}{(x_{3}^{(e)} - x_{1}^{(e)})(x_{3}^{(e)} - x_{2}^{(e)})(x_{3}^{(e)} - x_{4}^{(e)})}$$

$$N_{4}^{(e)} = \frac{(x^{(e)} - x_{1}^{(e)})(x^{(e)} - x_{2}^{(e)})(x^{(e)} - x_{3}^{(e)})}{(x_{4}^{(e)} - x_{1}^{(e)})(x_{4}^{(e)} - x_{2}^{(e)})(x_{4}^{(e)} - x_{3}^{(e)})}$$
(5.59)

For the quadratic and cubic elements use 2-point and 3-point Gauss-Legendre integration rules respectively.

5.2 Develop a layered finite element Timoshenko beam program which allows for combined in-plane and bending behaviour of axially loaded beams or beams with cross-sections which are nonsymmetric about the neutral axis. Choose a displacement representation of the form

$$\bar{u}(x, z) = u_0(x) - z\theta_x(x)$$
 (5.60)

in which  $u_0(x)$  is the axial displacement at the neutral axis.

- 5.3 Use the concepts developed in Chapters 4 and 5 to develop the necessary relationships for layered and nonlayered elasto-viscoplastic Timoshenko beam analysis.
- 5.4 (i) Evaluate the additional stiffness terms required to represent the Winkler foundation by a 2-node linear Timoshenko beam element. For a foundation modulus k note that the additional virtual work term associated with the elastic foundation is

$$\int_0^l \delta w \, k w \, dx$$

in which  $\delta w$  is the virtual lateral displacement.

(ii) Modify programs TIMOSH and TIMLAY to allow for beams on elastic foundations.

(iii) Use the program to analyse a uniformly loaded, simply supported beam on a Winkler foundation. The elastic closed form solution for an Euler-Bernoulli beam predicts lateral displacements

$$w = \sum_{n=1,3,5,\ldots}^{\infty} \frac{4qL^4/(n^5 \pi^5 EI)}{1 + kL^4/(n^4 \pi^4 EI)} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L}$$
(5.61)

and bending moments

$$M = \sum_{n=1,3,5,\ldots}^{\infty} \frac{4qL^2/(n\pi)^3}{1+kL^4/(n^4 \pi^4 EI)} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L}.$$
 (5.62)

Compare the elastic results from the modified programs with the above solution for various values of  $kL^4/EI$  and t/L where EI is the flexural rigidity, t is the thickness and L is the length of the beam.

(iv) For a given yield stress,  $\sigma_0$ , evaluate the ultimate load for various values of  $kL^4/EI$  and t/L.

5.5 (i) Consider the problem of finding the elastic deflections of a simply supported beam of length L, flexural rigidity EI, shear rigidity GA which is subjected to a uniform load q. The beam is elastically supported at mid-span by a single linear spring of stiffness K. Modify programs TIMOSH and TIMLAY to solve this problem. Check your finite element solutions by noting that the elastic Euler-Bernoulli solution is given as

$$w = \frac{4qL^4}{EI} \sum_{n=1,3,5,...}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\pi x/L)}{n^5}$$
$$-\frac{2KSL^3}{\pi^4 EI} \sum_{n=1,3,5,...}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin(n\pi/2)\sin(n\pi x/L)}{n^4}\right)$$
(5.63)

in which

$$S = \frac{5qL^4}{384EI} \bigg/ \bigg( 1 + \frac{KL^3}{48EI} \bigg).$$
(5.64)

(ii) When the load carried by the elastic support reaches a value F the supported beam becomes perfectly plastic. How can this be catered for in the modified version of TIMOSH and TIMLAY?

# 5.6 Use program TIMLAY to examine the effects of choosing

- (i) different load incrementations
- (ii) various convergence tolerances
- (iii) various numbers of layers

on the example given in Section 5.4 and also Problems 5.4 and 5.5.

# 5.7 References

1. HINTON, E. and OWEN, D. R. J., An Introduction to Finite Element Computations, Pineridge Press, Swansea, U.K., 1979.

- 2. HUGHES, T. J. R., TAYLOR, R. L. and KANOKNUKULCHAI, S., A simple and efficient finite element for bending, *Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng.*, 11, 1529–1543 (1977).
- 3. COWPER, G. R., The shear coefficient in Timoshenko's Beam Theory, J. Appl. Mech., 33, 335 (1966).
- 4. DYM, C. L. and SHAMES, I. H., Solid Mechanics: A Variational Approach, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
- 5. HINTON, E. and OWEN, D. R. J., Finite Element Programming, Academic Press, London, 1977.

# Part II

# Chapter 6 Preliminary theory and standard subroutines for two-dimensional elasto-plastic applications

# 6.1 Introduction

In Part II of this text we extend the concepts and techniques developed in Part I for one-dimensional situations to now permit the solution of twodimensional problems. In particular the following applications are presented:

- Chapter 7 discusses the solution of elasto-plastic problems conforming to either plane stress, plane strain or axially symmetric conditions.
- Chapter 8 deals with plane stress/strain and axisymmetric problems where the material exhibits a time-dependent elasto-viscoplastic behaviour.
- Chapter 9 covers elasto-plastic plate bending situations.

The nonlinear algorithms developed in Chapter 2 will be employed in solution. These processes are general and the main modifications necessary are those appropriate to two-dimensional continuum theory or plate bending expressions which must now be used. For example the level of initial yielding will now be dependent on three or more independent stress components in place of the uniaxial case considered earlier.

The development of an elasto-plastic stress analysis program requires all of the basic features of the corresponding elastic program. In particular the same basic element formulation is employed and a wide choice of element types is available. In this text we consider three different element types all based on an isoparametric formulation. The elements included are illustrated in Fig. 6.1 and are:

- The 4-node isoparametric quadrilateral element with linear displacement variation, Fig. 6.1(a).
- The 8-node Serendipity quadrilateral element with curved sides and a quadratic variation of the displacement field within the element, Fig. 6.1(b).
- The 9-node Lagrangian quadrilateral element which additionally has a central node, Fig. 6.1(c).

The basic theoretical expressions for these elements are provided in Section 6.3. The use of these higher order elements leads to particularly efficient



Fig. 6.1(a) The 4-node isoparametric quadrilateral element and shape functions.

elasto-plastic solution packages. In order to simplify matters as much as possible consideration is restricted to isotropic situations.\*

For all the plasticity applications presented in this text the classical incremental theory is employed with the full elasto-plastic material response being reproduced. Thus we are not concerned with limit state behaviour as predicted by rigid-plastic theories, etc.

Consideration is limited to small deformation situations where the strains can be assumed to be infinitesimal and Lagrangian and Eulerian geometric descriptions then coincide.

• Extension to orthotropic situations is feasible and has indeed been dealt with in Ref. 1.





8-node Serendipity element



• for corner nodes

$$N_i^{(e)} = \frac{1}{4}(1+\xi\xi_i)(1+\eta\eta_i)(\xi\xi_i+\eta\eta_i-1), \quad i=1, 3, 5, 7,$$

• for midside nodes

$$N_i^{(*)} = \frac{\xi_i^2}{2}(1+\xi\xi_i)(1-\eta^2) + \frac{\eta_i^2}{2}(1+\eta\eta_i)(1-\xi^2), \quad i=2, \ 4, \ 6, \ 8.$$



Fig. 6.1(b) The 8-node Serendipity quadrilateral element.



for corner nodes

 $N_{i}^{(*)} = \frac{1}{4}(\xi^{2} + \xi\xi_{i}))(\eta^{2} + \eta\eta_{i}), \quad i = 1, 3, 5, 7,$ 

• for midside nodes

$$N_{i}^{(*)} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{i}^{2} (\eta^{2} - \eta \eta_{i}) (1 - \xi^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \xi_{i}^{2} (\xi^{2} - \xi \xi_{i}) (1 - \eta^{2}), \quad i = 2, 4, 6, 8,$$

• for central node

$$N_i^{(e)} = (1 - \xi^2)(1 - \eta^2).$$



Fig. 6.1(c) The 9-node Lagrangian quadrilateral element.



Fig. 6.1(c) The 9-node Lagrangian quadrilateral element (continued).

For each application, a computer code is developed which allows the solution of practical problems. The computation times of elasto-plastic problems are relatively high with solution costs being typically ten times those of the corresponding linear elastic analysis. Of course a direct comparison would depend on the extent of plastic yielding and how close to the ultimate load carrying capacity a solution is sought. In view of these relatively high computer costs it is essential that the codes developed should be as efficient as possible and that any numerical techniques which reduce the computational requirements be employed. Since the main aim of this text is to fulfil a teaching role some compromise must however be inevitably made between program clarity and efficiency. The applicability of the programs presented is demonstrated by the solution of practical examples. Detailed user instructions for all of the computer programs presented in Part II of this text are provided in Appendix II.

In Section 6.2 the basic expressions for the linear elastic finite element analysis of two-dimensional continua and plate bending problems are presented. Section 6.3 outlines the principles of isoparametric element formulation with particular attention being given to the role of numerical integration. Standard subroutines pertaining to linear elastic finite element analysis are reviewed in Section 6.4 and some subroutines common to the three nonlinear applications considered in Chapters 7, 8 and 9 are presented in Section 6.5.

### 6.2 Virtual work expressions for various solid mechanics applications

# 6.2.1 Introduction

In this section we briefly describe various two-dimensional solid mechanics finite element applications in the elastic range only. Later in Chapters 7–9 we demonstrate how elasto-plastic or elasto-viscoplastic behaviour may be included in these applications using finite elements.

In Part I we presented some very simple finite element representations. By contrast, in Part II we include numerically integrated isoparametric quadrilateral elements.

### 6.2.2 Virtual work expression

If a body is subjected to a set of body forces b then by the Virtual Work Principle we can write

$$\int_{\Omega} [\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}]^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} [\delta \boldsymbol{u}]^T \boldsymbol{b} \, d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_t} [\delta \boldsymbol{u}]^T \boldsymbol{t} \, d\Gamma = 0, \tag{6.1}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the vector of stresses, t is the vector of boundary tractions,  $\delta u$  is the vector of virtual displacements,  $\delta \epsilon$  is the vector of associated virtual strains,  $\Omega$  is the domain of interest,  $\Gamma_t$  is that part of the boundary on which boundary tractions are prescribed and  $\Gamma_u$  is that part of the boundary on which displacements are prescribed.

# 6.2.3 Plane stress

Consider some typical plane stress problems shown in Fig. 6.2. Typically a thin plate is subjected to loads applied in the xy plane, that is the plane of the structure.<sup>(2)</sup> The thickness of the plate is assumed to be small compared with the plan dimensions in the xy plane. Stresses are assumed to be constant through the thickness of the plate and  $\sigma_z$ ,  $\tau_{zx}$  and  $\tau_{zy}$  are ignored. Thus the displacements may now be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{u} = [\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}]^T, \tag{6.2}$$

where u and v are the in-plane displacements in the x and y directions respectively.

The strain components may be listed in the vector

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = [\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, \gamma_{xy}]^T, \tag{6.3}$$

where for small displacements the normal strains are given as

$$\epsilon_x = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \qquad \epsilon_y = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y},$$



Fig. 6.2 Typical plane stress problems.

and the shear strain is given as

$$\gamma_{xy} = \frac{\delta u}{\delta y} + \frac{\delta v}{\delta x}.$$

Note that virtual displacements are listed in the vector

$$\delta \boldsymbol{u} = [\delta \boldsymbol{u}, \, \delta \boldsymbol{v}]^T, \tag{6.4}$$

and the associated virtual strains are

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \left[ \frac{\hat{\epsilon}(\delta u)}{\hat{\epsilon} x}, \ \frac{\hat{\epsilon}(\delta v)}{\hat{\epsilon} y}, \ \frac{\hat{\epsilon}(\delta u)}{\hat{\epsilon} y} + \frac{\hat{\epsilon}(\delta v)}{\hat{\epsilon} x} \right]^T.$$
(6.5)

The relevant stress-strain relationships may be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\tag{6.6}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = [\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \tau_{xy}]^T,$$

in which  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  are the normal stresses and  $\tau_{xy}$  is the shear stress.

For linear elastic situations the stress-strain or constitutive matrix is given as

$$D = \frac{E}{(1-\nu^2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu & 0 \\ \nu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-\nu)}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$
 (6.7)

in which E and  $\nu$  are the elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio respectively.

The body forces **b** are written as

$$\boldsymbol{b} = [b_x, b_y]^T, \tag{6.8}$$

in which  $b_x$  and  $b_y$  are the body forces per unit volume in the x and y directions respectively.

Boundary tractions t may be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{t} = [t_x, t_y]^T, \tag{6.9}$$

in which  $t_x$  and  $t_y$  are the boundary tractions per unit length.

An element of volume  $d\Omega$  is given as

$$d\Omega = t \, dx \, dy, \tag{6.10}$$

where t is the plate thickness.

# 6.2.4 Plane strain

For plane strain problems the thickness dimension normal to a certain plane (say the xy plane) is large compared with the typical dimensions in the xy plane and the body is subjected to loads in the xy plane only. For plane strain problems<sup>(2)</sup> it may be assumed that the displacements in the z direction are negligible and that the in-plane displacements u and v are independent of z. Figure 6.3 illustrates some typical plane strain problems.

The displacements are then listed in the vector

$$u = [u, v]^T, (6.11)$$



Fig. 6.3 Typical plane strain problems.

in which u and v are the in-plane displacements in the x and y directions respectively.

The in-plane strain components may be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = [\epsilon_x, \, \epsilon_y, \, \gamma_{xy}]^T, \tag{6.12}$$

where  $\epsilon_x$ ,  $\epsilon_y$  and  $\gamma_{xy}$  have the same meaning as the strain components in plane stress applications.

Again the virtual displacements and associated virtual strains are respectively given as

$$\delta \boldsymbol{u} = [\delta \boldsymbol{u}, \, \delta \boldsymbol{v}]^T, \tag{6.13}$$

and

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \left[ \frac{\partial (\delta u)}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial (\delta v)}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial (\delta u)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\delta v)}{\partial x} \right]^{T}.$$
(6.14)

The stress-strain relationships may be written in the form

$$\sigma = D\epsilon, \tag{6.15}$$

where the stresses  $\sigma = [\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \tau_{xy}]^T$  have the same meaning as the stresses in plane stress applications.

For linear elastic materials the stress-strain or constitutive matrix D is given as

$$\boldsymbol{D} = \frac{E}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)} \begin{bmatrix} (1-\nu) & \nu & 0 \\ \nu & (1-\nu) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-2\nu)}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (6.16)

Note that the stress normal to the xy plane is nonzero and may be evaluated as

$$\sigma_z = \nu(\sigma_x + \sigma_y). \tag{6.17}$$

The body forces b and surface tractions t have the same meaning as those adopted for plane stress problems.

A typical element of volume is given as

$$d\Omega = dx \, dy. \tag{6.18}$$

under the assumption that a unit slice of the problem is being analysed.

# 6.2.5 Axisymmetric solids

For a three-dimensional solid which is symmetrical about its centreline axis (which coincides with the z axis) and which is subjected to loads and boundary conditions that are symmetrical about this axis, then the behaviour<sup>(2)</sup> is independent of the circumferential coordinate  $\theta$ . Figure 6.4 shows a typical axisymmetric solid.



The displacements may here be expressed as

$$u = [u, w]^T, (6.19)$$

where u and w are the displacements in the r and z directions respectively.

The nonzero strains are given as

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = [\epsilon_r, \epsilon_\theta, \epsilon_z, \gamma_{rz}]^T, \qquad (6.20)$$

where for small displacements, the normal strains are given as

$$\epsilon_r = \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}, \quad \epsilon_0 = \frac{u}{r} \text{ and } \epsilon_z = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z},$$

and the shear strain is

$$\gamma_{rz}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}.$$

Virtual displacements and associated virtual strains are respectively given as

$$\delta \boldsymbol{u} = [\delta \boldsymbol{u}, \, \delta \boldsymbol{w}]^T, \tag{6.21}$$

and

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \left[ \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{c}}(\delta \boldsymbol{u})}{\partial r}, \quad \frac{\delta \boldsymbol{u}}{r}, \quad \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{c}}(\delta \boldsymbol{w})}{\partial z}, \quad \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{c}}(\delta \boldsymbol{u})}{\partial z} + \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{c}}(\delta \boldsymbol{w})}{\partial r} \right]^{T}. \tag{6.22}$$

The stress-strain relationships are given as

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \tag{6.23}$$

where  $\sigma = [\sigma_r, \sigma_{\theta}, \sigma_z, \tau_{rz}]^T$ , in which  $\sigma_r, \sigma_{\theta}$  and  $\sigma_z$  are the normal stresses in the r,  $\theta$  and z directions respectively and  $\tau_{rz}$  is the shear stress in the rz plane. For linear elastic materials, the stress-strain matrix is given as

$$D = \frac{E}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)} \begin{bmatrix} (1-\nu) & \nu & 0 & 0 \\ \nu & (1-\nu) & \nu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu & (1-\nu) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-2\nu)}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(6.24)

The body forces are given as

$$\boldsymbol{b} = [b_r, b_z]^T, \tag{6.25}$$

where  $b_r$  and  $b_z$  are the body forces/unit volume in the r and z direction respectively.

The boundary tractions may be expressed as

$$t = [t_r, t_z]^T,$$
 (6.26)

where  $t_r$  and  $t_z$  are the boundary tractions/unit surface in the r and z directions.

An elemental volume is given as

$$d\Omega = 2\pi r \, dr \, dz. \tag{6.27}$$

# 6.2.6 Mindlin plates

In Mindlin plate theory it is possible to allow for transverse shear deformation. It thus offers an alternative to classical Kirchhoff thin plate theory. The main assumptions are that:

- (a) displacements are small compared with the plate thickness,
- (b) the stress normal to the midsurface of the plate is negligible,
- (c) normals to the midsurface before deformation remain straight but not necessarily normal to the midsurface after deformation.

A typical Mindlin plate is shown in Fig. 6.5. Note that Mindlin plate theory is the two-dimensional equivalent of Timoshenko beam theory which was discussed in Chapter 5.

The main displacement parameters can be expressed

$$\boldsymbol{u} = [\boldsymbol{w}, \, \theta_x, \, \theta_y]^T, \tag{6.28}$$

in which w is the lateral plate displacement normal to the xy plane and variables  $\theta_x$  and  $\theta_y$  are the normal rotations in the xz and yz planes. Here it should be noted that

$$\theta_x = \frac{\dot{c}w}{\partial x} - \phi_x \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_y = \frac{\dot{c}w}{\dot{c}y} - \phi_y,$$
(6.29)

where  $\theta_x$  and  $\theta_y$  are the rotations of the normal in the xz and yz planes

167



Fig. 6.5 A typical Mindlin plate.

respectively and are integrated measures of the transverse shear strain. In thin plate theory it is assumed that shear rotations  $\phi_x$  and  $\phi_y$ , defined below, are equal to zero.

The strains, or more exactly the strain resultants, may be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = [r_x, r_y, r_{xy}, \phi_x, \phi_y]^T, \tag{6.30}$$

where the curvatures are given as

$$r_x = -\frac{\partial \theta_x}{\partial x}$$
 and  $r_y = -\frac{\partial \theta_y}{\partial y}$ ,

and the twisting curvature is

$$r_{xy} = -\left(\frac{\partial \theta_y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \theta_x}{\partial y}\right).$$

The shear strains are expressed as

$$\phi_x = \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} - \theta_x\right) \text{ and } \phi_y = \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} - \theta_y\right).$$
 (6.31)

Virtual displacements and rotations and associated virtual curvatures and shear strains are respectively given as

$$\delta \boldsymbol{u} = [\delta \boldsymbol{w}, \, \delta \theta_x, \, \delta \theta_y]^T, \tag{6.32}$$

and

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \left[ -\frac{\partial(\delta\theta_x)}{\partial x}, -\frac{\partial(\delta\theta_y)}{\partial y}, -\frac{\partial(\delta\theta_x)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial(\delta\theta_y)}{\partial x}, -\frac{\partial(\delta\theta_y)}{\partial x}, -\frac{\partial(\delta\theta_y)}{\partial x} - \delta\theta_y \right]^T.$$
(6.33)

The constitutive relationships are given in the form

$$\sigma = D \epsilon, \tag{6.34}$$

where

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = [M_x, M_y, M_{xy}, Q_x, Q_y]^T,$ 

in which  $M_x$  and  $M_y$  are the direct bending moments and  $M_{xy}$  is the twisting moment. The quantities  $Q_x$  and  $Q_y$  are the shear forces in the xz and yz planes.

For an isotropic elastic material

$$\boldsymbol{D} = \begin{bmatrix} D & \nu D & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \nu D & D & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-\nu)}{2} D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & S \end{bmatrix}, \quad (6.35)$$

in which for a plate of thickness t

$$D = \frac{Et^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}$$
 and  $S = \frac{Gt}{1.2}$ ,

where G is the shear modulus and the factor 1.2 is a shear correction term.

Here we will not consider surface tractions. For a more complete discussion of this and other aspects of Mindlin plate theory the reader is directed to the work of Hughes and his coworkers.<sup>(3)</sup> We will only consider body forces of the form

$$\boldsymbol{b} = [q, 0, 0]^T, \tag{6.36}$$

where q is the lateral distributed loading per unit area.

An elemental plate area is given as

$$d\Omega = dx \, dy. \tag{6.37}$$

### 6.3 Isoparametric finite element representation

#### 6.3.1 Governing equations

In this section we present the discretised governing equations for the solid mechanics applications described in Sections 6.2.3–6.2.6. In a finite element representation, the displacements and strains and their virtual counterparts may be expressed by the relationships

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i d_i, \quad \delta u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i \delta d_i, \quad (6.38)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{B}_{i} \boldsymbol{d}_{i}, \qquad \delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{B}_{i} \delta \boldsymbol{d}_{i}, \qquad (6.39)$$

where, for node *i*,  $d_i$  is the vector of nodal variables,\*  $\delta d_i$  is the vector of virtual nodal variables,  $N_i = I N_i$  is the matrix of global shape functions<sup>†</sup> and  $B_i$  is the global strain-displacement matrix. The total number of nodes in the whole mesh is *n*.

If (6.38) and (6.39) are substituted into the virtual work expression (6.1) then we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \delta d_{i} \right]^{T} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} [B_{i}]^{T} \sigma d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} [N_{i}]^{T} b d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_{t}} [N_{i}]^{T} t d\Gamma \right\} = 0, \quad (6.40)$$

and since (6.40) must be true for an arbitrary set of virtual displacements  $\delta d_i$  then we have for each node *i* an equation of the form

$$\int_{\Omega} [B_i]^T \sigma \, d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} [N_i]^T \, b \, d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_i} [N_i]^T \, t \, d\Gamma = 0. \tag{6.41}$$

If we use C(0) isoparametric finite element representations we can evaluate contributions to (6.41) separately from each element.

The displacements can be expressed in the usual way as

$$u^{(e)} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} N_i^{(e)} d_i^{(e)}, \qquad (6.42)$$

where, for local node *i* of element *e*,  $N^{(e)} = I N^{(e)}$  is the matrix of shape functions and the vector of variables is  $d_i^{(e)}$ . There are *r* local nodes in each element *e*.

Typical 4-, 8- and 9-node isoparametric element shape functions are shown and listed in Figs. 6.1(a), (b) and (c) respectively.

Note that in an isoparametric representation we may use the following representation for the x and y coordinates within an element

<sup>•</sup> In Part I of this text the nodal variables were symbolised by  $\varphi$ ; since for nonstructural applications, such as nonlinear heat conduction, these parameters are not associated with displacements. In Parts II and III, for the continuum and plate situations considered, the nodal variables are always the displacement (and rotation) components and will now be symbolised by d.

<sup>†</sup> Note that I is the  $p \times p$  identity matrix in which p=2 for the plane stress, plane strain and axisymmetric applications and p=3 for the Mindlin plate applications.  $N_i$  is the global shape function for node *i*.
$$\begin{bmatrix} x^{(e)} \\ y^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \begin{bmatrix} N_i^{(e)} & 0 \\ 0 & N_i^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_i^{(e)} \\ y_i^{(e)} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (6.43)^*$$

in which  $N_i^{(e)}$  are the same shape functions used in the displacement representation. We may then evaluate the Jacobian matrix as

-

$$J^{(e)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial N_{i}^{(e)}}{\partial \xi} x_{i}^{(e)} & \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial N_{i}^{(e)}}{\partial \xi} y_{i}^{(e)} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial N_{i}^{(e)}}{\partial \eta} x_{i}^{(e)} & \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial N_{i}^{(e)}}{\partial \eta} y_{i}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(6.44)

The inverse of  $J^{(e)}$  is then evaluated using the expression

$$[\mathbf{J}^{(e)}]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\det \mathbf{J}^{(e)}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(6.45)

The strain displacement relationships are expressed as

$$\epsilon^{(e)} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} B_{i}^{(e)} d_{i}^{(e)}, \qquad (6.46)$$

in which  $B_i^{(e)}$  is the strain matrix.

The discretised elemental volume (or area in the case of Mindlin plates) is given as

$$d\Omega^{(e)} = h^{(e)} \det \boldsymbol{J}^{(e)} d\xi d\eta, \qquad (6.47)$$

where  $h^{(e)}$  has been defined in Table 6.1 in which we also summarise the expressions for  $d_i^{(e)}$ ,  $B_i^{(e)}$  and  $d\Omega^{(e)}$  for the four applications.

The Cartesian shape function derivatives used in the strain-displacement matrices in Table 6.1 may be obtained using the chain rule of differentiation

$$\frac{\partial N_i^{(e)}}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial N_i^{(e)}}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial N_i^{(e)}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}, \qquad (6.48)$$

\* For axisymmetric problems replace x and y by r and z respectively.

Application	<i>d</i> <sub>i</sub> <sup>(e)</sup>	$B_i^{(e)}$	$d\Omega^{(e)}$
	[ <i>ui</i> <sup>(e)</sup> ]	$\begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x}\right)^{(e)} & 0 \\ & & (\partial N_i)^{(e)} \end{bmatrix}$	
Plane stress	v <sub>i</sub> <sup>(e)</sup>	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \left( \overline{\partial y} \right) \\ \left( \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial y} \right)^{(e)} & \left( \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x} \right)^{(e)} \end{bmatrix}$	t <sup>(e)</sup> det <b>J</b> <sup>(e)</sup> dξdη
		$\left[\begin{array}{c} \left(\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x}\right)^{(e)} & 0 \end{array}\right]$	
Plane strain	$\begin{bmatrix} u_i^{(e)} \\ v_i^{(e)} \end{bmatrix}$	$0 \qquad \left(\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial y}\right)^{(e)}$	det $J^{(e)}d\xi d\eta$
		$\left[ \left( \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial y} \right)^{(e)}  \left( \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x} \right)^{(e)} \right]$	
		$\left[ \left( \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial r} \right)^{(e)}  0  \right]$	
A	$\int_{W_i^{(e)}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} u_i^{(e)} \\ w_i^{(e)} \end{array} \right]$	$\left(\frac{N_i}{r}\right)^{(e)} = 0$	Q (a) Joh I (a) JA I
Axial symmetry		$0 \qquad \left(\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial z}\right)^{(e)}$	
		$\left[ \left( \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial z} \right)^{(e)}  \left( \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial r} \right)^{(e)} \right]$	
		$\left[ \begin{array}{c} 0  \left(-\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x}\right)^{(e)}  0 \end{array} \right]$	
	[(e) ]	$0  0  \left(-\frac{\partial N}{\partial y}\right)$	$\left(\frac{i}{b}\right)^{(e)}$
Mindlin plate	$\theta_{xi}^{(e)}$	$0 \qquad \left(-\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial y}\right)^{(e)} \left(-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right)^{(e)} = 0$	$\left  \frac{d}{dt} \right ^{(e)} \left  \det J^{(e)} d\xi d\eta \right $
		$\left(\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x}\right)^{(e)} - N_i^{(e)} \qquad ($	o
		$\left[ \left( \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial y} \right)^{(e)}  0  -N_i \right]$	(e)

Table 6.1Nodal displacements, strain matrices and elemental volumes or areas<br/>for two-dimensional solid mechanics applications.

and

$$\frac{\partial N_{i}^{(e)}}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial N_{i}^{(e)}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial N_{i}^{(e)}}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y},$$

in which the terms  $\partial \xi / \partial x$ ,  $\partial \eta / \partial x$ ,  $\partial \eta / \partial y$  and  $\partial \xi / \partial y$  may be obtained from the inverse of the Jacobian matrix given in (6.45).

Since we have a linear stress-strain relationship within each element of the form

$$\sigma^{(e)} = D^{(e)} \, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(e)} = D^{(e)} \Big( \sum_{j=1}^{r} B_{j}^{(e)} \, \boldsymbol{d}_{j}^{(e)} \Big), \qquad (6.49)$$

then the contribution from element e to the first term in (6.41) is given as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} K_{ij}^{(e)} d_{j}^{(e)} \equiv \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} [B_{i}^{(e)}]^{T} D^{(e)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} B_{j}^{(e)} d_{j}^{(e)}\right) d\Omega, \qquad (6.50)$$

where  $K_{ij}^{(e)}$  is the submatrix of element stiffness matrix  $K^{(e)}$ .

The contribution from element e to the second term in (6.41) is given as

$$f_{B_{i}}^{(e)} = \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} [N_{i}^{(e)}]^{T} b^{(e)} d\Omega.$$
 (6.51)

For the third term, the contribution from element e is

$$f_{T_{i}}^{(e)} = \int_{\Gamma_{t}}^{(e)} [N_{i}^{(e)}]^{T} t^{(e)} d\Gamma, \qquad (6.52)$$

where  $\Gamma_t^{(e)}$  is that part of  $\Gamma_t$  which coincides with a boundary of element *e*. Of course for many elements there will be no contribution to  $f_{Tt}^{(e)}$ .

#### 6.3.2 Evaluation of the stiffness matrix and consistent load vector

Let us now consider the evaluation of K.

The integration is now performed in the natural coordinate system. Thus the submatrix of the stiffness matrix  $K^{(e)}$  linking nodes *i* and *j* has the form

$$K_{ij}^{(e)} = \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} [B_i^{(e)}]^T D^{(e)} B_j^{(e)} h^{(e)} \det J^{(e)} d\xi d\eta.$$
(6.53)

The elements of  $K_{ij}^{(e)}$  are evaluated numerically. If the integrand in (6.53) is denoted as

$$[B_{i}^{(e)}]^{T} D^{(e)} B_{j}^{(e)} h^{(e)} \det J^{(e)} = T_{ij}^{(e)}, \qquad (6.54)$$

then

$$K_{ij}^{(e)} = \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} T_{ij}^{(e)} d\xi d\eta. \qquad (6.55)$$

The numerical integration for a quadrilateral element with  $n \times n$  sampling points leads to

$$K_{ij}^{(e)} = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{q=1}^{n} T(\xi_p, \bar{\eta}_q)_{ij} W_p W_q, \qquad (6.56)$$

where  $W_p$  and  $W_q$  are weighting factors and  $(\xi_p, \bar{\eta}_q)$  is a sampling position.

The consistent nodal forces at node i caused by body forces are

$$f_{B_i}^{(e)} = \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} [N_i^{(e)}]^T \boldsymbol{b}^{(e)} h^{(e)} \det \boldsymbol{J}^{(e)} d\xi d\eta.$$
(6.57)

The components of  $f_{Bi}^{(e)}$  are evaluated numerically. If the integrand in (6.57) is denoted as

$$g_{i}^{(e)} = [N_{i}^{(e)}]^{T} \boldsymbol{b}^{(e)} h^{(e)} \det \boldsymbol{J}^{(e)}, \qquad (6.58)$$

then

$$f_{B_i}^{(e)} = \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} g_i^{(e)} d\xi d\eta.$$
 (6.59)

The numerical integration for a quadrilateral with  $n \times n$  sampling points leads to

$$f_{B_i}^{(e)} = \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{q=1}^n g(\bar{\xi}_p, \bar{\eta}_q)_i^{(e)} W_p W_q, \qquad (6.60)$$

where  $W_p$  and  $W_q$  are weighting factors and  $(\xi_p, \bar{\eta}_q)$  is a sampling position.

The consistent nodal forces for boundary tractions have been dealt with in the authors' previous  $book^{(4)}$  and will be summarised in Section 6.4.5.

The computer implementation of numerically integrated isoparametric elements has been described in detail in the text of *Finite Element Programming*.<sup>(4)</sup> Here we simply summarise in Fig. 6.6 the main steps involved in evaluating the element stiffness matrix.

### 6.4 Standard subroutines for linear elastic finite element analysis

Many of the subroutines required for elasto-plastic finite element analysis are common to the corresponding linear elastic application. In this section we present all the standard linear elastic subroutines required for later use in Chapters 7, 8 and 9. The function of each subroutine is explained and a FORTRAN listing is provided. The subroutines presented are drawn from Ref. 4 where a detailed description is provided.

In order to make all subroutines modular in form we have adopted a type of dynamic dimensioning. Thus no COMMON blocks are used in the programs in Part II. Dimensions are fixed in the main or master routine and all necessary information is transmitted between routines by the use of

### SUBROUTINE STIF2D

Dimensions and common blocks.

 $\rightarrow$  Enter loop over all elements.

Retrieve element geometry and material properties for the current element.

Zero the stiffness array.

Call a routine which sets up  $D^{(e)}$  the constitutive matrix.

✤ Enter loops covering all integration points.

Look up sampling position for the current integration point  $(\xi_p, \bar{\eta}_q)$ .

Call shape function routine SFR2—given  $(\bar{\xi}_p, \bar{\eta}_q)$  this will return the shape functions  $N_i^{(e)}$  and their derivatives  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial \xi$  and  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial \eta$  at the point  $(\bar{\xi}_p, \bar{\eta}_q)$ .

Call JACOB2—given  $N_i^{(e)}$ ,  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial \xi$  and  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial \eta$  at point  $(\bar{\xi}_p, \bar{\eta}_q)$ ; this will return Cartesian shape function derivatives  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial x$  and  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial y$ , the Jacobian matrix  $J^{(e)}$ , its inverse  $[J^{(e)}]^{-1}$  and its determinant det  $J^{(e)}$  and the x and y (or r and z) coordinates all at the point  $(\bar{\xi}_p, \bar{\eta}_q)$ .

Call strain matrix routine—given  $N_i^{(e)}$ ,  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial x$  and  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial y$  at  $(\xi_p, \bar{\eta}_q)$  this will return the strain matrix  $B_i^{(e)}$ .

Call a routine to evaluate  $D^{(e)} B^{(e)}$ .

Evaluate  $[B_i^{(e)}]D^{(e)}B_j^{(e)} \det J^{(e)} \times \text{integration weights and assemble}$ them into the element stiffness array  $K_{ij}^{(e)}$ .

Assemble  $D^{(e)} B^{(e)}$  into a stress array for later evaluation of stresses from the nodal displacements.

- End integration loops.

Write stiffness matrix and stress matrix onto file for use in the solution routine.

End element loop.

RETURN END

Fig. 6.6 Evaluation of element stiffness matrices for numerically integrated isoparametric elements.

175

arguments (and also peripherals in certain instances). Apart from the modularity, this approach has the advantage that maximum dimensions can be updated in a very simple and straightforward manner. Only the DIMEN-SION statement in the main segment and some statements in a subroutine which sets the maximum dimensions sizes need modification.

As an example, the relevant statements in a dynamically dimensioned program are listed below.

PROGRAM	FRED ( )
DIMENSION	$1  \text{AMATA} (200, 5), \dots^*$
•	
•	
CALL	DIMENS (MROWS, MCOLS)
•	
•	
•	
CALL	DUMMY (AMATX, MROWS, MCOLS)
•	
•	
STOP	
END	
SUBROUTIN MROWS MCOLS RETURN END	IE DIMENS (MROWS, MCOLS) =200* = 5*
SUBROUTIN	E DUMMY (AMATX, MROWS, MCOLS)
DIMENSION	AMATX (MROWS, MCOLS)
•	
•	
RETURN END	

Note that AMATX ( ) has fixed dimensions in the main routine FRED. Subroutine DIMENS assigns values of 200 and 5 to the dimensions MROWS and MCOLS respectively.<sup>†</sup> In subroutine DUMMY we transmit AMATX,

† Alternatively a DATA statement can be used.

MROWS and MCOLS via the argument and therefore the DIMENSION statement in DUMMY refers to AMATX (MCOLS, MROWS) and not AMATX (200, 5). To update FRED for arrays AMATX with different maximum dimensions, we simply modify those statements indicated by an asterisk.

Note also that the use of such arguments is not very expensive since only the address of the first term of an array is passed through the argument and not of all the terms in the array.

More sophisticated versions of this approach can be implemented as illustrated in the book by Irons and Ahmad.<sup>(5)</sup> Such approaches undoubtedly save core storage but they do require careful housekeeping and checking procedures.

In Part III we have generally dispensed with the use of maximum dimension variables in the programs. Thus main segment FRED would then be written as

ED ()
AMATX (200, 5),
- ,
DUMMY (AMATX)
DUMMY (AMATX)
AMATX (200, 1)†

Although this approach uses nonstandard FORTRAN IV it does work on most machines and it has been adopted elsewhere in the literature.<sup>(6)</sup> If more than one subroutine such as DUMMY uses AMATX then the relevant dimensions must be identical in all of these subroutines.

The list of variables in the argument list will differ between linear and nonlinear applications. For each subroutine presented in this section the form of the argument list and the dimension statements will be those required for two-dimensional elasto-plastic applications.

† Note that AMATX (number, 1) will also workprovided that number  $\leq 200$ .

# 6.4.1 Subroutine NODEXY for generating coordinate values for midside nodes

For the quadratic 8- and 9-node elements described in Section 6.3 subroutine NODEXY checks each midside node (a midside node being recognisable from the element topology cards). If both coordinates of a midside node are found to be zero, its coordinates are linearly interpolated between the two adjacent corner nodes. Subroutine NODEXY is common to plane stress/strain, axisymmetric and plate bending situations.

	SUBROUTINE NODEXY(COORD, LNODS, MELEM, MPOIN, NELEM, NNODE)	NODE	1
CHHH: C	***************************************	NODE	2
	TUTO CUDDONTTNE THTEDDOLATES THE MIDE STDE MODES OF STRATCHT	NODE	2
C	SIDES OF ELEMENTS AND THE CENTRAL NODE OF 9 NODED FLEMENTS	NODE	។ ភ្
č		NODE	6
C###	***************************************	NODE	7
	DIMENSION COORD(MPOIN,2),LNODS(MELEM,9)	NODE	8
	IF(NNODE.EQ.4) RETURN	NODE	9
C		NODE	10
C***	LOOP OVER EACH ELEMENT	NODE	11
C		NODE	12
c	DU 30 IELEM=1,NELEM	NODE	13
C###	LOOD OVER EACH OF EMENT ETCO	NODE	14
C	LOOF OVER EACH ELEMENT EDGE	NODE	15
v	NNOD1=9	NODE	17
	IF(NNODE.EQ.8) NNOD1=7	NODE	18
	DO 20 INODE=1, NNOD1,2	NODE	19
	IF(INODE.EQ.9) GO TÓ 50	NODE	2Ō
С		NODE	21
C=**	COMPUTE THE NODE NUMBER OF THE FIRST NODE	NODE	22
L	NORCE-[NORCITELEN THORE)	NODE	23
	NODSIELNODS(IELEM, INODE)	NODE	24
	TF(TGASH GT R) TGASH-1	NODE	25
С		NODE	20
C***	COMPUTE THE NODE NUMBER OF THE LAST NODE	NODE	28
č		NODE	29
	NODFN=LNODS(IELEM, IGASH)	NODE	30
_	MIDPT=INODE+1	NODE	31
6		NODE	32
CHHR	COMPUTE THE NODE NUMBER OF THE INTERMEDIATE NODE	NODE	33
C	NOND_INODS(ICLEN MIDDE)	NODE	34
	$TOTAI = ABS(COOPD(NODMD 1)) \cdot ABS(COOPD(NODMD 2))$	NODE	35
С	101ALLARD(COORD(NODRD, 1))+ADS(COORD(NODRD, 2))	NODE	- <u>50</u> - 277
C###	IF THE COORDINATES OF THE INTERMEDIATE NODE ARE BOTH ZERO	NODE	28
С	INTERPOLATE BY A STRAIGHT LINE	NODE	30
С		NODE	40
	IF(TOTAL.GT.0.0) GO TO 20	NODE	41
	KOUNT=1	NODE	42
10	COORD(NODMD, KOUNT) = (COORD(NODST, KOUNT) + COORD(NODFN, KOUNT))/2.0	NODE	43
	KOUNT=KOUNT+1	NODE	44
20	LF(KOUNI.EQ.2) GO 10 10	NODE	45
24	GO TO 30	NODE	40 117
5(	LNODE=LNODS(IELEM, TNODE)	NODE	11- 11-12-
	TOTAL=ABS(COORD(LNODE, 1))+ABS(COORD(LNODE, 2))	NODE	70
	IF(TOTAL.GT.0.0) GO TO 30	NODE	50

	LNOD1=LNODS(IELEM,1)	NODE	51
	LNOD3=LNODS(IELEM, 3)	NODE	52
	LNODS=LNODS(IELEM, 5)	HODE	22
	LNOD7=LNODS(IELEM,7)	NODE	54
	KOUNT=1	NODE	55
40	COORD(LNODE,KOUNT)=(COORD(LNOD1,KOUNT)+COORD(LNOD3,KOUNT)	NODE	56
	+COORD(LNOD5,KOUNT)+COORD(LNOD7,KOUNT))/4.0	NODE	57
	KOUNT=KOUNT+1	NODE	-58
	IF(KOUNT.EQ.2) GO TO 40	NODE	59
30	CONTINUE	NODE	60
	RETURN	NODE	61
	END	NODE	62

#### 6.4.2 Subroutine GAUSSQ for generating Gaussian quadrature data

The function of this subroutine is to set up the sampling point positions and weighting factors for numerical integration. The Gauss quadrature processes utilised in this text are restricted to either two or three point integration rules.\* The role of numerical integration in the isoparametric formulation was discussed in detail in Section 6.3. The order of integration rule to be employed is defined by NGAUS and the sampling point positions and weighting factors are stored respectively in arrays POSGP() and WEIGP().

c##		SUBROUTINE GAUSSQ(NGAUS, POSGP, WEIGP)	GAUS	1
C			GAUS	3
C## C	<b> #</b> #	THIS SUBROUTINE SETS UP THE GAUSS-LEGENDRE INTEGRATION CONSTANTS	GAUS GAUS	4 5
C##	H##	***********	GAUS	6
		DIMENSION POSGP(4),WEIGP(4) IF(NGAUS.GT.2) GO TO 4	GAUS GAUS	7 8
٢.	2	POSGP(1)=-0.577350269189626	GAUS	9
•		WEIGP(1)=1.0	GAUS	10
		GO TO 6	GAUS	11
	- 4	POSGP(1)=-0.774596669241483	GAUS	12
	÷	POSGP(2)=0.0	GAUS	13
	-	WEIGP(1)=0.55555555555555	GAUS	-14
		WEIGP(2)=0.8888888888888888	GAUS	15
	6	KGAUS-NGAUS/2	GAUS	16
	•	DO 8 IGASH=1.KGAUS	GAUS	17
		JGASH=NGAUS+1-TGASH	GAUS	18
		POSCP(JGASH) == POSCP(JGASH)	GAUS	19
		WEIGP(JGASH)=WEIGP(IGASH)	GAUS	20
	8	CONTINUE	GAUS	21
	Ŭ	RETIRN	GAUS	22
		END	GAUS	23

# 6.4.3 Subroutine SFR2 for evaluating the element shape functions

The role of this subroutine is to evaluate the shape functions  $N_i^{(e)}(\xi, \eta)$ and their derivatives  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial \xi$ ,  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial \eta$  at any sampling point  $\xi_P$ ,  $\eta_P$  within the element for each of the 4-, 8- or 9-noded elements described in Section 6.1. The shape functions for these elements are listed in Figs. 6.1(a), (b) and (c). The sampling point coordinates  $\xi_P$ ,  $\eta_P$  are specified as EXISP and ETASP respectively. The evaluated shape functions for each node of an element are stored in array SHAPE (INODE) and their derivatives in

```
• Except for selectively integrated 4-node Mindlin plates in which we modify GAUSSQ so that if NGAUS = 1 then POSGP(1) = 0.0 and WEIGP(1) = 2.0.
```

array DERIV (INODE, IDIME) where INODE ranges over the element nodes and IDIME over the coordinate dimensions.

C####	SUBROUTINE SFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)	SFR2 SFR2	1
С	•	OF NZ	2
C####	THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES SHAPE FUNCTIONS AND THEIR DERIVATIVES FOR LINEAR,QUADRATIC LAGRANGIAN AND SERENDIPITY	SFR2 SFR2	4
С	ISOPARAMETRIC 2-D ELEMENTS	SFR2	- 6
С		SFR2	7
C####	<b>┶╆⋵⋵⋨⋵⋇⋵⋇⋵⋇⋵⋇⋵⋇⋧</b> ⋎⋧⋣⋚⋧⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇	SFR2	8
	DIMENSION DERIV(2,9) ,SHAPE(9)	SFR2	9
	S=EXISP ~~	SFR2	10
	T=ETASP	SP R2	11
	IF(NNODE.GT.4) GO TO 10	SFR2	12
	ST=S*T	SFR2	13
С		Sr K2	14
C###	SHAPE FUNCTIONS FOR 4 NODED ELEMENT	SFR2	15
С		SF K2	10
	SHAPE(1)=(1-T-S+ST)*0.25	or nz	11
	SHAPE(2)=(1-T+S-ST)*0.25	or nz	10
	SHAPE(3) = (1+T+S+ST)*0.25	SEDO	- 19
~	SHAPE(4)=(1+1-S-S1)*0.25	00000 00000	20
	CULOR FUNCTION DEBTHATURE	SEDO	20
Casa C	SHAPE FUNCTION DERIVATIVES	SFR2	22
C	$DERTV(1, 1) - (-1 + T) \neq 0.25$	SFR2	24
	$DERTV(1, 2) = (+1, T) \pm 0.25$	SFR2	25
	DERTV(1, 3) - (-1) - (-2)	SFR2	26
	DERTV(1, 4) - (-1-T) = 0.25	SFR2	27
	DERTV(2,1) - (-1+S) = (-25)	SFR2	28
	DERIV(2,2) = (-1-S) * 0.25	SFR2	29
	DERIV(2,3) = (+1+S) = 0.25	SFR2	- 3Ö
	DERIV(2,4)=(+1-S)*0.25	SFR2	31
	RETURN	SFR2	- 32
10	) IF(NNODE.GT.8)GO TO 30	SFR2	- 33
	S2=S#2.0	SFR2	- 34
	T2=T*2.0	SFR2	- 35
	SS≠S <sup>#</sup> S	SFR2	- 36
	TT=T*T	SFR2	37
	ST≈S <sup>#</sup> T	SFR2	- 38
	SST=S*S*T	SFR2	- 39
	STT=S#T#T	SFR2	40
•	ST2=S*T*2.0	SFR2	41
		of K2	42
C===	SHAPE FUNCTIONS FOR 8 NODED ELEMENT	SF KZ	43 11 Ji
U U		SEDO	- 44 - 56
	SHAPE(2) (1 0 T 22.2CT)(2 0	SEDO	-40 116
	SHAPE(2) = (1, 0 - 1 - 20 + 201)/2.0	SEB5	40
	$SHAPE(1)_{1} = 0.5 \text{ mm} = 0.01 + $	SEB5	- т И Я
	$SHAPF(5)_{-1} \cap SS_{-1} $	SFR2	<u>л</u> а
	SHAPE(6) - (1, 0 + T - SS - SST)/2 = 0	SFR2	50
	SHAPE(7)-( $-1$ , 0-ST+SS+TT+SST-STT)/4 0	SFR2	51
	SHAPE(8) = (1.0 - S - TT + STT)/2.0	SFR2	52
C###		SFR2	- 53
č	DEMERS FUNCTION DERIVATIVES	SFR2	-54
-	DERIV(1, 1)- $(T, S)$ ero $TT$ ) (1, 0)	SFR2	55
	DERTV(1 2) = 0.2 = 11/74.0	SFR2	56
	DERIV(1,3) = (-T + S2 - ST2 + TT) / (0)	SFR2	57
	DERIV $(1,4) \simeq (1,0-TT)/2$ 0	SFR2	58
	DERIV(1.5) = (T+S2+ST2+TT)/4.0	SERO	59
	DERIV(1,6) = -S - ST	SFR2	61

.

	DERIV(1,7)=(-T+S2+ST2-TT)/4.0	SFR2	62
	DERIV(1,8)=(-1.0+TT)/2.0	SFR2	63
	DERIV(2,1)=(S+T2-SS-ST2)/4.0	SFR2	64
	DERIV(2,2)=(-1.0+SS)/2.0	SF K2	05
	DERIV(2,3)=(-3+12-33+312)/4.0 DERIV(2,4)T_ST	SFR2	67
	DERIV(2,5) - (S + T2 + SS + ST2)/4.0	SFR2	68
	DERIV(2.6) = (1.0 - SS)/2.0	SFR2	69
	DERIV(2,7) = (-S+T2+SS-ST2)/4.0	SFR2	70
	DERIV(2,8) = -T + ST	SFR2	71
3	RETURN	SFR2	72
5	SS-S#S	SFR2	15 74
	ST-S*T	SFR2	75
	TT=T*T	SFR2	76
	S1=S+1.0	SFR2	77
	T1=T+1.0	SFR2	78
		Dr KZ	(9 80
	12=1=2.0 \$0_\$ 1 0	SFR2	- 00 - 81
	T9=T-1.0	SFR2	82
С		SFR2	83
C###	SHAPE FUNCTIONS FOR 9 NODED ELEMENT	SFR2	84
C		SFR2	85
	SHAPE(1)=0.25*S9*S1*19 SHAPE(2) = 0.5*(1.0.58)*T*T0	SF K2	00 97
	SHAPE(2)=0.25#S1#ST#T9 SHAPE(3)=0.25#S1#ST#T9	SFR2	- 88
	SHAPE(4)=0.5*S*S1*(1.0-TT)	SFR2	89
	SHAPE(5)=0.25*S1*ST*T1	SFR2	90
	SHAPE(6)=0.5*(1.0-SS)*T*T1	SFR2	91
	SHAPE(7)= $0.25*S9*ST*T1$	SFR2	92
	SHAPE(0)=0.5*5*59*(1.0-11) SHAPE(0)=(1.0-SS)*(1.0-TT)	SFR2	- 93 - 93
С		SFR2	95
C###	SHAPE FUNCTION DERIVATIVES	SFR2	96
C		SFR2	97
	DERIV(1,1)=0.25*T*T9*(-1.0+S2)	SFR2	98
	$DERIV(1,2) = -ST^*T9$	SFR2	. 99
	DERIV(1,3)=0.25*(1.0+52)*(1.0,m)	57 r2	100
	DERIV(1,5)=0.25#(1.0+32)*(1.0+1)	SFR2	102
	DERIV(1,6) = -ST*T1	SFR2	103
	DERIV(1,7)=0.25*(-1.0+S2)*T*T1	SFR2	104
	DERIV(1,8)=0.5*(-1.0+S2)*(1.0-TT)	SFR2	105
	$DERIV(1,9) = -S2^*(1.0-1T)$	SFR2	106
	DEKIV(2,1)=0.25*(-1.0+T2)*S*S9 DERTV(2,2)=0.5*(1.0.SS)*(1.0.T2)	SFR2	107
	DERIV(2,3)=0.25 + S + S + S + (-1.0 + 12)	of RZ	100
	DERIV(2,4)=ST*S1	SFR2	110
	DERIV(2,5)=0.25*S*S1*(1.0+T2)	SFR2	111
	DERIV(2,6)=0.5*(1.0-SS)*(1.0+T2)	SFR2	112
	DERIV(2,7)=0.25*S*S9*(1.0+T2)	SFR2	113
	DERIV(2, 0) = -72#(1, 0, 00)	SFR2	114
2	0 CONTINUE	SFR2	115
_	RETURN	SFR2 SFR2	117
	END	SFR2	118

# 6.4.4 Subroutine JACOB2 for evaluating the Jacobian matrix

This subroutine calculates, for any sampling position,  $\xi_P$ ,  $\eta_P$  (usually the Gauss point), the following quantities:

- The Cartesian coordinates of the Gauss point which are stored in the array GPCOD ( ).
- The Jacobian matrix which is stored in XJACM (). For twodimensional applications the Jacobian matrix is defined by (6.44).
- The determinant of the Jacobian matrix, DJACB.
- The inverse of the Jacobian matrix which is stored as XJACI ( ).
- The Cartesian derivatives  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial x$ ,  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial y$  (or  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial r$ ,  $\partial N_i^{(e)}/\partial z$ ), of the element shape functions. These quantities are defined in (6.48).

	SUBROUTINE JACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)	JACB JACB	1 2
C####	<b>*******</b> *****************************	JACB	3
C		JACB	- 4
C=+*	THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES THE JACOBIAN MATRIX AND THE CARTESIAN	JACB	- 5
С	SHAPE FUNCTION DERIVATIVES	JACB	6
С		JACB	7
C####	¥₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	JACB	8
	DIMENSION CARTD(2,9), DERIV(2,9), ELCOD(2,9), GPCOD(2,9), SHAPE(9),	JACB	- 9
_	. XJACI(2,2),XJACM(2,2)	JACB	10
C		JACB	11
C###	CALCULATE COORDINATES OF SAMPLING POINT	JACB	12
С		JACB	13
	DO 2 IDIME=1,2	JACB	14
	GPCOD(IDIME,KGASP)=0.0	JACB	15
	DO 2 INODE=1, NNODE	JACB	16
	GPCOD(IDIME, KGASP)=GPCOD(IDIME, KGASP)+ELCOD(IDIME, INODE)	JACB	17
_	. "SHAPE(INODE)	JACB	18
2	CONTINUE	JACB	19
		JACB	20
Слян	CREATE JACOBIAN MATRIX XJACM	JACB	21
Ç		JACB	22
		JACB	23
	DU 4 JUIME=1,2	JACB	24
	XJACM(IDIME, JDIME)=0.0	JACB	25
	VIACH(TRIME INTHE) VIACH(TRIME INTHE) DERIV(TRIME INDE)*	JACD	20
	ELCOD ( IDINE, JDINE) = NORCH ( IDINE, JDINE ) + DERIV ( IDINE, INODE ) *	JACD	21
Ц	CONTINUE, INDE/	JACB	20
c '		INCE	20
C###	CALCHIATE DETERMINANT AND INVERSE OF IACORTAN MATRIX	LICD	21
č	CRECOLATE DETERMINANT AND INVERSE OF JACODIAN MAIRIX	JACB	22
-	$DJACB=XJACM(1,1)$ #XJACM(2,2)_XJACM(1,2)#XJACM(2,1)	JACB	25
	TE(DIACB) 6.6.8	IACB	20
6	WRITE(6.600) IELEM	JACB	35
	STOP	JACB	36
8	CONTINUE	JACB	37
	XJACI(1,1)=XJACM(2,2)/DJACB	JACB	38
	XJACI(2,2)=XJACM(1,1)/DJACB	JACB	39
	XJACI(1,2) = -XJACM(1,2)/DJACB	JACB	40
	XJACI(2,1)=-XJACM(2,1)/DJACB	JACB	41
С		JACB	42
C###	CALCULATE CARTESIAN DERIVATIVES	JACB	43
С		JACB	44
	DO 10 IDIME=1,2	JACB	45
	DO 10 INODE=1, NNODE	JACB	46
	CARTD(IDIME, INODE)=0.0	JACB	47
	DO 10 JDIME=1,2	JACB	48
	CARTD(IDIME, INODE)=CARTD(IDIME, INODE)+XJACI(IDIME, JDIME)*	<b>ĴACB</b>	49
	.DERIV(JDIME, INODE)	JACB	50

10 CONTINUE	JACB	51
600 FORMAT(//,36H PROGRAM HALTED IN SUBROUTINE JACOB2,/,11X,	JACB	52
.22H ZERO OR NEGATIVE AREA,/,10X,16H ELEMENT NUMBER ,15)	JACB	53
RETURN	JACB	54
END	JACB	55

# 6.4.5 Subroutine LOADPS for evaluating the element nodal forces for plane and axisymmetric situations

The role of this subroutine is to evaluate the consistent nodal forces for each element due to discrete point loads, gravity loading and distributed edge loading/unit length of element. This subroutine is described in detail in Chapter 7, Ref. 4. The types of loading to be considered are controlled by input parameters IPLOD, IGRAV, IEDGE. Nonzero values of these respective items indicate that point loads, gravity loading or distributed edge loading is to be considered.

The consistent nodal loads are evaluated for each element separately and stored in the array RLOAD (IELEM, IEVAB) where IELEM indicates the element and IEVAB ranges over the degrees of freedom of the element. For equation solution by the *frontal process* it is not necessary to evaluate the total applied load acting at each node, with instead each element contribution being assembled directly into the global load vector during equation assembly and solution.

#### Point loads

If parameter IPLOD is nonzero the applied nodal loads are read as input. For each particular node the applied forces are associated with any one of the elements attached to it; since each element contribution will be assembled before equation solution. Thus a search is performed over all elements until the node number is found in an element and the nodal loads are then associated with the appropriate degrees of freedom of that element.

#### Gravity loading

For plane stress or plane strain problems the direction in which gravity acts need not coincide with either of the coordinate axes. Therefore the direction in which gravity acts must be defined as shown in Fig. 6.7 by specifying the angle  $\theta$  which the gravity axis makes with the positive y axis. The intensity of the loading is defined by specifying the gravitational acceleration, g, which acts. For axisymmetric problems, of course, the gravity axis must coincide with the z axis.

The consistent nodal forces for node *i* of an element are then given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{xi} \\ P_{yi} \end{bmatrix}^{(e)} = \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} N_i^{(e)} \rho g \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta \\ -\cos \theta \end{bmatrix} d\Omega, \qquad (6.61)$$

in which  $\rho$  is the material mass density. Integrated numerically this becomes

183

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{xi} \\ P_{yi} \end{bmatrix}^{(e)} = \sum_{n=1}^{N \text{ GAUS}} \sum_{m=1}^{N \text{ GAUS}} \rho gt \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta \\ -\cos \theta \end{bmatrix} N_i(\xi_n, \eta_m) W_n W_m \det J, \quad (6.62)$$

where t is the element thickness for plane problems. For axisymmetric applications t is replaced by  $2\pi r_P$ , where  $r_P$  is the radial distance to the Gauss point under consideration.



Fig. 6.7 Specification of the gravity axis for two-dimensional problems.

#### Distributed edge loading

Any element edge can have a distributed loading per unit length in a normal and tangential direction prescribed to it as shown in Fig. 6.8. These distributed forces can vary (independently) along the edges. For the quadratic elements considered in this text, a quadratic loading distribution can, at best, be accommodated. The variation is defined by prescribing the normal and tangential values at the three nodal points forming the element edge to which the loads are applied. For linear quadrilateral elements, only a linear distributed load variation can be accommodated. In order to be consistent with the order of listing of nodal connection numbers in the element topology definition, the three (or two) nodes forming the loaded edge must also be listed in an anticlockwise sequence with respect to the loaded element. The positive directions of normal and tangential loading are indicated in Fig. 6.8.

The consistent nodal forces for node i can be shown to be<sup>(4)</sup>

$$P_{xi}^{(e)} = \int_{\Gamma} N_i^{(e)} \left( p_t \frac{cx}{\xi\xi} - p_n \frac{cy}{\xi\xi} \right) d\xi$$
$$P_{yi}^{(e)} = \int_{\Gamma} N_i^{(e)} \left( p_n \frac{cx}{\xi\xi} + p_t \frac{cy}{\xi\xi} \right) d\xi, \qquad (6.63)$$

where  $p_n$  and  $p_t$  are the normal and tangential distributed loads respectively. Integration is taken along the loaded element edge  $\Gamma^{(e)}$ , which is arbitrarily chosen to be defined by  $\eta = -1$ , as shown in Fig. 6.8.



Fig. 6.8 Normal and tangential distributed loading on an element edge.

For axisymmetric problems the edge loading is in fact a distributed loading/unit area, since integration is additionally made over the circum-ferential direction.

If more than one type of loading acts on an element, the total nodal forces are accumulated and stored in array RLOAD. This total loading is then applied incrementally during elasto-plastic solution.

~****	SUBROUTINE LOADPS(COORD,LNODS,MATNO,MELEM,MMATS,MPOIN,NELEM, NEVAB,NGAUS,NNODE,NPOIN,NSTRE,NTYPE,POSGP, PROPS,RLOAD,WEIGP,NDOFN)	LDPS LDPS LDPS LDPS	1 2 3 4
C		LDPS	5 6
C#### C	THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES THE CONSISTENT NODAL FORCES FOR EACH ELEMENT	LDPS LDPS	7 8
С		LDPS	9
C####!	<b>`````````````````````````````````````</b>	LDPS	10
	DIMENSION CARTD(2,9), COORD(MPOIN,2), DERIV(2,9), DGASH(2),	LDPS	11
	<ul> <li>DMATX(4,4), ELCOD(2,9), LNODS(MELEM,9), MATNO(MELEM),</li> </ul>	LDPS	12
	NOPRS(4), PGASH(2), POINT(2), POSGP(4), PRESS(4,2),	LDPS	13
	<ul> <li>PROPS(MMATS,7), RLOAD(MELEM, 18), SHAPE(9), STRAN(4),</li> </ul>	LDPS	14
	• STRES(4),TITLE(12),	LDPS	15
	WEIGP(4),GPCOD(2,9)	LDPS	16
	1WOPI=6.283185308	LDPS	17
	DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM	LDPS	18
10	DO 10 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	LDPS	- 19
10	RLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0	LDPS	20
	READ(5,901) TITLE	LDPS	21
901	rukmar(12ab) Marte(4 abb)	LDPS	22
002	WALLE(0, 903) TITLE	LDPS	23
903	runnal(HU, IZAO)	LDPS	24

185

```
LDPS
                                                                                      25
C*** READ DATA CONTROLLING LOADING TYPES TO BE INPUTTED
                                                                                      26
                                                                               LDPS
С
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      27
      READ(5,919) IPLOD, IGRAV, IEDGE
WRITE(6,913) IPLOD, IGRAV, IEDGE
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      28
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      29
  919 FORMAT(315)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      30
                                                                                      31
32
                                                                               LDPS
C*** READ NODAL POINT LOADS
                                                                               LDPS
С
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      33
       IF(IPLOD,EQ.0) GO TO 500
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      34
   20 READ(5,95() LODPT, (POINT(IDOFN), IDOFN=1,2)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      35
      WRITE(6,931) LODPT, (POINT(IDOFN), IDOFN=1,2)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      36
  931 FORMAT(15,2F10.3)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      37
С
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      38
C*** ASSOCIATE THE NODAL POINT LOADS WITH AN ELEMENT
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      39
                                                                               LDPS
С
                                                                                      40
      DO 30 IELEM=1,NELEM
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      41
      DO 30 INODE=1, NNODE
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      42
      NLOCA=IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      43
   30 IF(LODPT.EQ.NLOCA) GO TO 40
                                                                                      44
                                                                               LDPS
   40 DO 50 IDOFN=1,2
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      45
      NGASH=(INODE-1) #2+IDOFN
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      46
   50 RLOAD(IELEM, NGASH)=POINT(IDOFN)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      47
      IF(LODPT.LT.NPOIN) GO TO 20
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      48
  500 CONTINUE
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      49
      IF(IGRAV.EQ.0) GO TO 600
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      50
С
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      51
C*** GRAVITY LOADING SECTION
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      52
C
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      53
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      54
C*** READ GRAVITY ANGLE AND GRAVITATIONAL CONSTANT
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      55
С
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      56
  READ(5,906) THETA, GRAVY
906 FORMAT(2F10.3)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      57
                                                                                      58
                                                                               LDPS
      WRITE(6,911) THETA, GRAVY
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      59
  911 FORMAT(1H0, 16H GRAVITY ANGLE =, F10.3, 19H GRAVITY CONSTANT =, F10.3)LDPS
                                                                                      60
      THETA=THETA/57.295779514
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      61
С
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      62
C*** LOOP OVER EACH ELEMENT
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      63
С
                                                                                      64
                                                                               LDPS
      DO 90 IELEM=1, NELEM
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     65
С
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      66
C*** SET UP PRELIMINARY CONSTANTS
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     -67
С
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     -68
      LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      69
      THICK=PROPS(LPROP,3)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     70
      DENSE=PROPS(LPROP,4)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     71
      IF(DENSE.EQ.0.0) GO TO 90
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      72
      GXCOM=DENSE*GRAVY*SIN(THETA)
                                                                                     73
                                                                               LDPS
      GYCOM=-DENSE*GRAVY*COS(THETA)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     74
C
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      75
C*** COMPUTE COORDINATES OF THE ELEMENT NODAL POINTS
                                                                                      76
                                                                               LDPS
С
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      77
      DO 60 INODE=1, NNODE
                                                                                     78
                                                                               LDPS
      LNODE=IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      79
      DO 60 IDIME=1,2
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     80
   60 ELCOD(IDIME, INODE) = COORD(LNODE, IDIME)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                      81
С
                                                                                     82
                                                                               LDPS
C*** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION
                                                                                     83
                                                                               LDPS
C
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     84
      KGASP=0
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     85
      DO 80 IGAUS=1,NGAUS
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     86
      DO 80 JGAUS=1,NGAUS
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     87
      EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     88
      ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)
                                                                               LDPS
                                                                                     89
```

C C### C	COMPUTE THE SHAPE FUNCTIONS AT THE SAMPLING POINTS AND ELEMENTAL VOLUME	LDPS 90 LDPS 91 LDPS 92
C	CALL SFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)	LDPS 93 LDPS 94
	CATT JACOB2(CARTD DERTY DIACE FLOOD OPCOD TELEM KOASP	LDPS 95
	• NNODE, SHAPE)	LDPS 90
	DVOLU=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS) *WEIGP(JGAUS)	LDPS 98
	IF(THICK.NE.0.0) DVOLU=DVOLU*THICK	LDPS 99
~	IF(NTYPE.EQ.3) DVOLU=DVOLU*TWOPI*GPCOD(1,KGASP)	LDPS 100
	CALCULATE LOADS AND ASSOCIATE LITTLE ELEMENT NODAL DOINTS	LDPS 101
Č.	CALCOLATE LOADS AND ASSOCIATE WITH ELEMENT NODAL FOINTS	LDPS 102
-	DO 70 INODE=1, NNODE	LDPS 104
	NGASH=(INODE-1)#2+1	LDPS 105
	MGASH=(INODE-1)*2+2	LDPS 106
-	RLOAD(IELEM, NGASH)=RLOAD(IELEM, NGASH)+GXCOM*SHAPE(INODE)*DVOLU	LDPS 107
5	ORTINIF	LDPS 100
Ċ	O CONTINUE	LDPS 110
60	DO CONTINUE	LDPS 111
	IF(IEDGE.EQ.0) GO TO 700	LDPS 112
C		LDPS 113
C==1	DISTRIBUTED EDGE LOADS SECTION	LDPS 114
0	READ(5,932) NEDGE	LDPS 116
-93	32 FORMAT(15)	LDPS 117
	WRITE(6,912) NEDGE	LDPS 118
91	12 FORMAT(1H0,5X,21HNO. OF LOADED EDGES =,15)	LDPS 119
0.1	WRITE(0,915)	LDPS 120
9	NODEC-3	LUPS 121
	NCODE=NNODE	LDPS 123
	IF(NNODE.EQ.4) NODEG=2	LDPS 124
_	IF(NNODE.EQ.9) NCODE=8	LDPS 125
C		LDPS 126
0.000	* LUOP OVER EACH LOADED EDGE	LDPS 127
C	DO 160 IEDGE=1.NEDGE	LDPS 120
С		LDPS 130
C##I	FREAD DATA LOCATING THE LOADED EDGE AND APPLIED LOAD	LDPS 131
C		LDPS 132
~	KEAD(5,902) NEASS, (NOPRS(IODEG), IODEG=1, NODEG)	LDPS 133
<u>у</u>	WRITE(6,913) NEASS (NOPRS(TODEG) TODEG-1 NODEG)	LDPS 134
91	13 FORMAT(110.5X.315)	LDPS 136
	READ(5,914) ((PRESS(IODEG, IDOFN), IDOFN=1,2), IODEG=1, NODEG)	LDPS 137
	WRITE(6,914) ((PRESS(IODEG, IDOFN), IDOFN=1,2), IODEG=1, NODEG)	LDPS 138
9	TASE 1.0	LDPS 139
C	LIADF=-1.0	LDPS 140
C##1	CALCULATE THE COORDINATES OF THE NODES OF THE ELEMENT EDGE	LDPS 142
С		LDPS 143
	DO 100 IODEG=1, NODEG	LDPS 144
	LNODE=NOPRS(IODEG)	LDPS 145
10	DO TOU LULME TODEG)-COORD(INODE TOIME)	LDPS 140
C		LDPS 148
C##I	* ENTER LOOP FOR LINEAR NUMERICAL INTEGRATION	LDPS 149
	DO 150 IGAUS=1, NGAUS	LDPS 150
~	EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)	LDPS 151
נ <u>ר</u> ##ו	FVALUATE THE SHADE EUNOPTONS AT THE SANDI THE DOTHES	LDPS 152
č	ANTERIA THE CHARLE FORCITORS AT THE SAMELING FOINTS	LDPS 154

c	CALL	SFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)	LDPS LDPS	155 156
C C### C	CALCULATE C	OMPONENTS OF THE EQUIVALENT NODAL LOADS	ldps Ldps	157 158
Ŷ	DO 110 IDC	FN=1,2	LDPS	159
	PGASH(IDOF	N)=0.0	LDPS	160
	DGASH(IDOF	N = 0.0	IDPS	162
		HUSEL,NUDEU WILDORNI), PRESS(TOREC, TROEN)#SHARE(TOREC)	LDPS	162
11/		N) = PGASH(IDOFN) + FLCOD(IDOFN, IDOFN) + SHAFE(IDDEG)	LDPS	164
1.0	DVOLU=WETG		LDPS	165
	PXCOM=DGAS	H(1)*PGASH(2)-DGASH(2)*PGASH(1)	LDPS	166
	PYCOM=DGAS	H(1)*PGASH(1)+DGASH(2)*PGASH(2)	LDPS	167
	IF(NTYPE.N	E.3) GO TO 115	LDPS	168
	RADUS=0.0		LDPS	169
10	DO 125 IOE	DEG=1,NODEG	LDPS	171
12		DADED	LDPS	172
11	5 CONTINUE	U-IWOFI-RADUS	LDPS	173
C	,		LDPS	174
C###	ASSOCIATE 1	HE EQUIVALENT NODAL EDGE LOADS WITH AN ELEMENT	LDPS	175
С			LDPS	176
	DO 120 INC	DE=1, NNODE	LDPS	177
4.0	NLOCA=IABS	S(LNODS(NEASS, INODE))		170
12	U IF (NLOCA.E	NOTES 1		180
יכו	KOUNT-O		LDPS	181
	DO 140 KNO	DE=INODE, JNODE	LDPS	182
	KOUNT=KOUN	IT+1	LDPS	183
	NGASH=(KNC	DE-1)*NDOFN+1	LDPS	184
	MGASH=(KNC	DE-1)*NDOFN+2	LDPS	185
	IF(KNODE.C	T.NCODE) NGASH=1	LDPS	
	LF(KNODE.C	T.NCODE) MGASH=2 ~ NGASH)-DLOAD(NGASS NGASH),SHADG(KOUNT)*DYCOM*DVOLU		188
14	O RLOAD (NEAS	S, MGASH)=RLOAD(NEASS, NGASH)+SHAPE(KOUNT)*FXCON*DVOLU	LDPS	189
15	O CONTINUE		LDPS	190
16	O CONTINUE		LDPS	191
70	O CONTINUE		LDPS	192
	WRITE(6,90		LDPS	193
90	7 FORMAT(1H(	,5X,36H TOTAL NODAL FORCES FOR EACH ELEMENT)	LDPS	194
~~	DU 290 IEL	LENSI,NELLEM NEL TELEN (DI AND/TELEN TEVAD & NEVAD)		195
	U WRIIE(0,90 5 FORMAT(1Y	יסן ובנבה, (הנטאט ובנבא, וביאסן, וביאס=ו, אביאס) דע קי אדוי ט/(וחי אדוי ע))	LDPS	190
30	RETURN	+++,JA,VD(C++) ( 10A,VD(C++))	LDPS	198
	END		LDPS	199

# 6.4.6 Subroutine LOADPB for evaluating the element nodal forces for plate bending applications

For plate bending applications two forms of loading will be considered. Firstly load components corresponding to the permissible generalised forces may be prescribed at the nodal points. Thus with respect to Fig. 6.9, a load in the z direction and couples acting in both the xz and yz planes may be input at each nodal point. Secondly a uniformly distributed load acting normal to the plate (i.e. in the z direction) may be applied. As in Section 6.4.5 such a loading must be converted into equivalent nodal forces before equation solution takes place. The equivalent nodal forces for node *i* take the form<sup>(4)</sup>

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_i \\ M_{xi} \\ M_{yi} \end{bmatrix}^{(e)} = \int_{A^{(e)}} N_i^{(e)} \begin{bmatrix} q \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} dA, \qquad (6.64)$$

where q is the distributed load intensity and integration is taken over the element area. The structure of the subroutine is similar to that of subroutine LOADPS described in Section 6.4.5.



Fig. 6.9 Applied nodal and distributed forces for plate applications

	SUBROUTINE LOADPB (COORD, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MM	ATS, MPOIN, LOAD	1
	. NELEN, NEVAD, NOAUS, NHODE, NF RI (AD)	LOAD	2
C##	NEOAD7 \$####################################	*********************	4
Ĉ		LOAD	5
C##	*** COMPUTE NODAL FORCES AFTER READING RELEVANT DATA	LOAD	6
C##	FOR MINDLIN PLATE ELEMENTS	LOAD	7
С		LOAD	8
C##	<b>⋷╬╧╬╬╧╬╬╬┼┼╔╌┶┼</b> ╊╄╪╪┊┊┼┾╞┼╪┊┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼	*********************LOAD	9
	DIMENSION CARTD(2,9),COORD(MPOIN,2),DERIV(2,9),E	LCOD(2,9), LOAD	10
	<ul> <li>GPCOD(2,9), LNODS(MELEM,9), MATNO(MELEM)</li> </ul>	, LOAD	11
	<ul> <li>POINT(3), POSGP(4), PROPS(MMATS, 8), RLOAD</li> </ul>	(MELEM, 27), LOAD	12
	<ul> <li>SHAPE(9), TITLE(12), WEIGP(4)</li> </ul>	LOAD	13
	DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM	LOAD	14
	DO 10 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	LOAD	- 15
	10 RLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0	LOAD	16
_	READ(5,901) TITLE	LOAD	17
	901 FORMAT(12A6)	LOAD	18
	WRLTE(6,903) TITLE	LOAD	19
2	903 FORMAT(1H0,12A6)	LOAD	20
C		LOAD	21
C=1	<b>READ DATA CONTROLLING LOADING TYPES TO BE INPUTTE</b>		22
C		LUAD	23
	WRITE (6 010) TRIOD		24
	010 FOPMAT(ATE)	LOAD	2)
C	313 LOWURI (412)		20
Č#I	THE READ NODAL POINT LOADS	LOAD	28
č		LOAD	29
-		LOAD	30
	20 READ(5,931) LODPT. (POINT(IDOFN), IDOFN=1.3)	LOAD	31
	WRITE(6,931) LODPT. (POINT(IDOFN), IDOFN=1.3)	LOAD	32
(	931 FORMAT(15.2F10.3)	LOAD	33
C		LOAD	34

C###	ASSOCIATE THE NODAL POINT LOADS WITH AN ELEMENT	LOAD	35
С		LOAD	36
	DO 30 IELEM=1,NELEM	LOAD	37
	DO 30 INODE=1, NNODE	LOAD	30
2/	NLOCA=IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))		39
<u>کر</u> ۱۳	$\frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{2}$	LOAD	-40 
-11	NCASH=(TNODE_1) #2+TDOEN	LOAD	リン
5(	RLOAD(IELEM, NGASH)=POINT(IDOFN)	LOAD	43
	IF(LODPT.LT.NPOIN) GO TO 20	LOAD	44
500	CONTINUE	LOAD	45
C		LOAD	46
C###	LOOP OVER EACH ELEMENT	LOAD	47
C		LOAD	48
	DO 220 IELEM=1, NELEM	LOAD	49
	LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	LOAD	50
	TE(UDIOD FO 0 0)CO TO 220		51
с	11(00100.100.0700 10 220	LOAD	53
Č***	EVALUATE THE COORDINATES OF THE ELEMENT NODAL POINTS	LOAD	54
Č		LOAD	55
	DO 140 INODE=1, NNODE	LOAD	56
	LNODE=LNODS(IEĹEM,INODE)	LOAD	57
	LNODE=IABS(LNODE)	LOAD	58
	DO 140 $IDIME=1,2$	LOAD	- 59
	ELCOD(IDIME, INODE)=COORD(LNODE, IDIME)	LOAD	60
14	U CONTINUE	LOAD	01
	KGADY=U CALL CAUSSO (NCAUS DOSCH WETCH)		62
c	CALL GROSSY (NGROS, FOSOF, WELGE)	LOAD	- 61 - 61
C###	ENTER LOOPS FOR NUMERICAL INTEGRATION	LOAD	65
č	LITER BOOLD FOR ROLENIORD INFLORATION	LOAD	66
	DO 200 IGAUS=1,NGAUS	LOAD	67
	EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)	LOAD	-68
	DO 200 JGAUS=1, NGAUS	LOAD	69
	ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)	LOAD	70
~	KGASP=KGASP+1	LOAD	71
C###			(2
C-**	EVALUATE THE SHAPE FUNCTIONS AT THE SAMPLING DOTITES AND ELEMENTAL AREA		73
č	POINTS AND ELEMENTAL AREA		75
-	CALL SER2 (DERTV. ETASP. EXTSP. NNODE. SHAPE)		76
	CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERTV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, TELEM,	LOAD	77
	• KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)	LOAD	78
	DAREA=DJACB#WEIGP(IGAUS)#WEIGP(JGAUS)	LOAD	- 79
C		LOAD	80
C###	CALCULATE LOADS AND ASSOCIATE WITH ELEMENT NODALPOINTS	LOAD	81
С		LOAD	82
	DO 180 INODE=1, NNODE	LOAD	83
		LOAD	84
	RLUAD(IELEM, NPUSN)=KLUAD(IELEM, NPUSN)+ SHAPE(INODE)#UDIOD#DADEA		رد مو
18	CONTINUE	LOAD	87
20	0 CONTINUE	LOAD	88
22	O CONTINUE	LOAD	89
	WRITE(6,907)	LOAD	90
90'	7 FORMAT(1H0,5X,36H TOTAL NODAL FORCES FOR EACH ELEMENT)	LOAD	-91
~~	DO 290 IELEM=1, NELEM	LOAD	92
29	U WRITE(6,905) IELEM, (RLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB), IEVAB=1, NEVAB)	LOAD	93
90	5 FURMATULX, 14, 5X, 8E12.4/(10X, 8E12.4))	LOAD	94
	END		95 06

# 6.4.7 Subroutine BMATPS for evaluating the strain matrix *B* for plane and axisymmetric situations

The function of this subroutine is to evaluate the strain matrix B at any position within an element. The relevant expressions are given in Table 6.1. The B matrix is stored in array BMATX ( ).

C#### C C####	SUBROUTINE BMATPS(BMATX,CARTD,NNODE,SHAPE,GPCOD,NTYPE,KGASP)	BMPS BMPS BMPS BMPS	1 2 3 4
С		BMPS	5
C####	************	BMPS	6
	DIMENSION BMATX(4,18),CARTD(2,9),SHAPE(9),GPCOD(2,9) NGASH=0	BMPS BMPS	7 8
	DO 10 INODE=1,NNODE	BMPS	- 9
	MGASH=NGASH+1	BMPS	10
	NGASH=MGASH+1	BMPS	11
	BMATX(1,MGASH)=CARTD(1,INODE)	BMPS	12
	BMATX(1,NGASH)=0.0	BMPS	13
	BMATX(2, MGASH)=0.0	BMPS	14
	BMATX(2,NGASH)=CARTD(2,INODE)	BMPS	15
	BMATX(3, MGASH)=CARTD(2, INODE)	BMPS	16
	BMATX(3, NGASH)=CARTD(1, INODE)	BMPS	- 17
	IF(NTYPE.NE.3) GO TO 10	BMPS	- 18
	BMATX(4,MGASH)=SHAPE(INODE)/GPCOD(1,KGASP)	BMPS	19
	BMATX(4, NGASH)=0.0	BMPS	20
10	CONTINUE	BMPS	21
	RETURN	BMPS	22
	END	BMPS	23

# 6.4.8 Subroutine BMATPB for evaluating the strain matrix *B* for plate bending problems

This subroutine evaluates the strain matrix B within any point of an element for plate bending applications according to Table 6.1. The B matrix is partitioned into plane, BPLAN, flexural, BFLEX, and shear, BSHER, contributions.

	SUBROUTINE	BMATPB	(BFLEX, BPLAN, BSHER, CARTD, KNODE, SHAPE, IFPLA, IFFLE, IFSHE)	BMAT BMAT	1 2
C###{	***********	*******	***************************************	BMAT	3
C###	EVALUATES S	TRAIN-DIS	SPLACEMENT MATRIX FOR	BMAT	- 5
C###	MINDLIN PLA	TE		BMAT	6
C				BMAT	- 7
C###·	<b>₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩</b> ₩	*******	<del>`````````````````````````````````````</del>	***BMAT	8
	DIMENSION	BFLEX(3	3), BPLAN(3,2), BSHER(2,3),	BMAT	- 9
	•	CARTD(2	(9), SHAPE(9)	BMAT	10
	DNKDX=CART	D(1,KNOD)	5)	BMAT	11
	DNKDY=CART	D(2.KNOD	Ξ)	BMAT	12
C###	FORM BPLAN	,		BMAT	13
	IF(IFPLA,E	Q.0) GO '	ro 10	BMAT	- 14
	DO 1 IROWS	=1,3		BMAT	15
	DO 1 JCOLS	=1,2		BMAT	16
	1 BPLAN(IROW	S, JCOLS):	=0.0	BMAT	17
	BPLAN(1,1)	=DNKDX		BMAT	18
	BPLAN(2,2)	=DNKDY		BMAT	19
	BPLAN(3,1)	=DNKDY		BMAT	20
	BPLAN(3,2)	=DNKDX		BMAT	21

C*** FORM BFLEX	BMAT	22
10 IF(IFFLE.EQ.0) GO TO 20	BMAT	23
DO 2 IROWS=1.3	BMAT	24
DO 2 JCOLS=1,3	BMAT	25
2 BFLEX(IROWS, JCOLS)=0.0	BMAT	26
BFLEX(1,2)=-DNKDX	BMAT	27
BFLEX(2,3)=-DNKDY	BMAT	28
BFLEX(3,2)=-DNKDY	BMAT	29
BFLEX(3,3)=-DNKDX	BMAT	30
C*** FORM BSHER	BMAT	31
20 IF(IFSHE.EQ.0) RETURN	BMAT	32
DO 3 IROWS=1,2	BMAT	33
DO 3 JCOLS=1,3	BMAT	34
3 BSHER(IROWS, JCOLS)=0.0	BMAT	- 35
BSHER(1,1)=DNKDX	BMAT	- 36
BSHER(1,2)=-SHAPE(KNODE)	BMAT	-37
BSHER(2,1)=DNKDY	BMAT	38
BSHER(2,3)=-SHAPE(KNODE)	BMAT	- 39
RETURN	BMAT	40
END	BMAT	41

# 6.4.9 Subroutine MODPS for evaluating the *D* matrix for plane and axisymmetric situations

This subroutine simply evaluates the elasticity matrix D for either plane stress, plane strain or axisymmetric situations according to (6.7), (6.16) or (6.24) respectively. The D matrix is stored in the array DMATX ( ).

	SUBROUTINE MODPS(DMATX, LPROP, MMATS, NTYPE, PROPS)	MDPS	1
C###i	ŀŧĦŧŧ₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	MDPS	2
С		MDPS	3
C***	THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES THE D-MATRIX	MDPS	4
C	***************************************	MUPS	Š
C###:	***************************************	MDPS	0
	DIMENSION DMATX(4,4), PROPS(MMATS,7)	MDPS	Ϋ́
	YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 1)	MDPS	0
	POISS=PROPS(LPROP,2)	MDPS	.9
	DO 10 ISTR1=1,4	MDPS	10
	DO 10 JSTR1=1,4	MDPS	11
10	DMATX(ISTR1,JSTR1)=0.0	MDPS	12
_	IF(NTYPE.NE.1) GO TO 4	MDPS	13
C	<b>.</b>	MDPS	14
C***	D MATRIX FOR PLANE STRESS CASE	MDPS	15
С		MDPS	10
	CONST=YOUNG/(1.0-POISS*POISS)	MDPS	11
	DMATX(1,1)=CONST	MDPS	18
	DMATX(2,2)=CONST	MDPS	19
	DMATX(1,2)=CONST*POISS	MDPS	20
	DMATX(2,1)=CONST*POISS	MDPS	21
	DMATX(3,3)=(1.0-POISS)*CONST/2.0	MDPS	22
	RETURN	MDPS	23
	4 IF(NTYPE.NE.2) GO TO 6	MDPS	24
C		MDPS	25
(***	D MATRIX FOR PLANE STRAIN CASE	MDPS	20
C		MDPS	27
	CONST=YOUNG*(1.0-POISS)/((1.0+POISS)*(1.0-2.0*POISS))	MDPS	28
	DMATX(1,1)=CONST	MDPS	29
	DMATX(2,2)=CONST	MDPS	30
	DMATX(1,2)=CONST*FOISS/(1.0-POISS)	MDPS	31
	DMATX(2,1)=CUNST*POISS/(1.0-POISS)	MDPS	32

	PRELIMINARY THEORY AND STANDARD SUBROUTINES		193
6	DMATX(3,3)=(1.0-2.0*POISS)*CONST/(2.0*(1.0-POISS))	MDPS	33
	RETURN	MDPS	34
	IF(NTYPE.NE.3) GO TO 8	MDPS	35
C	D MATRIX FOR AXISYMMETRIC CASE	MDPS	36
C###		MDPS	37
C		MDPS	38
	CONST=YOUNG*(1.0-POISS)/((1.0+POISS)*(1.0-2.0*POISS))	MDPS	39
	CONSS=POISS/(1.0-POISS)	MDPS	40
	DMATX(1,1)=CONST	MDPS	41
	DMATX(2,2)=CONST	MDPS	42
	DMATX(3,3)=CONST*(1.0-2.0*POISS)/(2.0*(1.0-POISS))	MDPS	43
	DMATX(1.2)=CONST*CONSS	MDPS	44
	DMATX(1,4)=CONST*CONSS	MDPS	45
	DMATX(2,1)=CONST*CONSS	MDPS	46
	DMATX(2,4)=CONST*CONSS	MDPS	47
	DMATX(4,1)=CONST*CONSS	MDPS	48
	DMATX(4,2)=CONST*CONSS	MDPS	49
	DMATX(4,4)=CONST	MDPS	50
8	CONTINUE	MDPS	51
	RETURN	MDPS	52
	END	MDPS	53

# 6.4.10 Subroutine MODPB for evaluating the *D* matrix for plate bending applications

This subroutine evaluates the elasticity matrix D for plate bending situations according to (6.35). Again the result is partitioned into plane, DPLAN, flexural, DFLEX, and shear, DSHER, contributions.

	SUBROUTINE MODPB	(DFLEX, I IFPLA,	DPLAN, DSHER, LI IFFLE, IFSHE)	PROP, MMATS, PROPS,		Modp Modp	1 2
C###I C	************	*******	***********	***************	*******	MODP	3 4
C###	CALCULATES MATRIX OF	ELASTIC	RIGIDITIES			MODP	-5
C###	FOR MINDLIN PLATE					MODP	6
С						MODP	7
C###1	****************	******	**********	*****************	*******	MODP	8
	DIMENSION DFLEX(3,3)	, DPLAN(3	,3),DSHER(2,2	),		MODP	.9
	. PROPS(MMAT	S,8)				MODP	10
	YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 1)					MODP	11
	POISS=PROPS(LPROP,2)					MODP	12
	THICK=PROPS(LPROP, 3)					MODP	13
C###	FORM DPLAN					MODP	14
	IF(IFPLA.EQ.0) GO TO	) 10				MODP	15
	DO 1 IROWS=1,3					MODP	16
	DO 1 JCOLS=1,3					MODP	17
	DPLAN(IROWS, JCOLS)=C	).0				MODP	18
	CONST=(YOUNG*THICK)/	(1.0-POI	SS*POISS)			MODP	19
	DPLAN(1,1)=CONST					MODP	20
	DPLAN(2,2)=CONST					MODP	21
	DPLAN(1,2)=CONST*POI	ISS				MODP	22
	DPLAN(2,1)=CONST*POI	ISS				MODP	23
	DPLAN(3,3)=CONST*(1.	.0-POISS)	/2.0			MODP	24
C###	FORM DFLEX					MODP	25
10	D IF(IFFLE.EQ.0) GOTO	20				MODP	26
	DO 2 IROWS=1,3					MODP	27
	DO 2 JCOLS=1,3					MODP	28
	2 DFLEX(IROWS, JCOLS)=(	0.0				MODP	29
	CONST=(YOUNG*THICK**	•3)/(12.*	(1POISS*POI	(SS))		MODP	- 30
	DFLEX(1,1) = CONST					MODP	31
	DFLEX(2,2)=CONST					MODP	- 32
	DFLEX(1,2)=CONST*POI	ISS				MODP	33

DFLEX(2,1)=CONST*POISS	MODP	34
DFLEX(3,3)=CONST*(1,-POISS)/2.	MODP	35
C### FORM DSHER	MODP	-36
20 IF(IFSHE.EQ.O) RETURN	MODP	37
DO 3 IROWS=1,2	MODP	- 38
DO 3 JCOLS=1,2	MODP	- 39
3 DSHER(IROWS, JCOLS)=0.0	MODP	40
DSHER(1,1)=(YOUNG*THICK)/(2.4+2.4*POISS)	MODP	41
DSHER(2,2)=(YOUNG*THICK)/(2.4+2.4*POISS)	MODP	42
RETURN	MODP	43
END	MODP	44

### 6.4.11 Subroutine DBE for formulating the matrix product DB

This subroutine simply multiplies the elasticity matrix D by the strain matrix B.

SUBROUTINE DBE(BMATX,DBMAT,DMATX,MEVAB,NEVAB,NSTRE,NSTR1) C************************************	DBYB DBYB DBYB DBYB DBYB	1 2 3 4 5
C#####################################	DBYB	6
DIMENSION BMATX(NSTR1,MEVAB),DBMAT(NSTR1,MEVAB), DMATX(NSTR1,NSTR1) DO 2 ISTRE=1,NSTRE DO 2 IEVAB=1,NEVAB DBMAT(ISTRE,IEVAB)=0.0 DO 2 JSTRE=1,NSTRE DBMAT(ISTRE,IEVAB)=DBMAT(ISTRE,IEVAB)+ .DMATX(ISTRE,JSTRE)*BMATX(JSTRE,IEVAB) 2 CONTINUE RETURN END	DBYB DBYB DBYB DBYB DBYB DBYB DBYB DBYB	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

#### 6.4.12 Subroutine FRONT for equation solution by the frontal method

The function of this subroutine is to assemble the contributions from each element to form the global stiffness matrix and global load vector and to solve the resulting set of simultaneous equations by Gaussian direct elimination. The main feature of the frontal solution technique is that it assembles the equations and eliminates the variables at the same time. Complete details of the frontal process can be found in Chapter 8, Ref. 4. The subroutine presented in Ref. 4 differs from the one listed in this section in three important ways:

• As described in Sections 3.3 and 3.4 for one-dimensional problems, a full equation solution need only be undertaken for iterations during which the element stiffnesses are being modified. Such a situation is recognised by the resolution counter KRESL = 1. On the other hand if the element stiffnesses have not been changed during the iteration, signified by KRESL = 2, only the R.H.S. or load terms need be reduced during the elimination phase. This situation is identical to the case of solution for second and subsequent loading cases in elastic problems.

• The reduced equations corresponding to eliminated variables are stored in core in a temporary array termed a *buffer area*. As soon as this array is full, the information is then transferred to disc. The number of reduced equations that can be accommodated in the buffer area is governed by the specified parameter, MBUFA. Thus on elimination of a variable a counter over the number of eliminated variables is incremented by one and the reduced equations stored in core. The counter is checked against the permissible buffer length, MBUFA. If this has been reached, the buffer array is transferred to disc file and the counter reset to zero. On backsubstitution the contents of a complete buffer length are read from discfile by backspacing.

• The displacement and reaction values evaluated by subroutine FRONT during each iteration are incremental values and must be accumulated to give the total displacements, TDISP ( ) and total reactions, TREAC ( ). Also the incremental reactions must be added into the vector of total applied loads, TLOAD ( ), in order to check for convergence of the iteration process; since equilibrium is satisfied when the applied loads and reactions at restrained nodes balance with the nodal forces equivalent to the internal stress field.

The displacements and reactions evaluated in Subroutine FRONT are stored for output by Subroutine OUTPUT described in Section 7.8.8.

SUBROUTINE FRONT(ASDIS, ELOAD, EQRHS, EQUAT, ESTIF, FIXED, IFFIX, IINCS, FRNT IITER, GLOAD, GSTIF, LOCEL, LNODS, KRESL, MBUFA, MELEM, FRNT 12 3 MEVAB, MFRON, MSTIF, MTOTV, MVFIX, NACVA, NAMEV, NDEST, FRNT NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NNODE, NOFIX, NPIVO, NPOIN, NTOTV, TDISP, TLOAD, TREAC, VECRV) 4 5 6 FRNT FRNT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* C#### FRNT 7 8 FRNT C\*\*\*\* THIS SUBROUTINE UNDERTAKES EQUATION SOLUTION BY THE FRONTAL FRNT С METHOD 9 FRNT 10 FRNT 똜퐅똢퐄킕뮾뮾윩윩똜똜똜놂슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻슻 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* FRNT 11 DIMENSION ASDIS(MTOTV), ELOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), EQRHS(MBUFA), 12 FRNT EQUAT(MFRON, MBUFA), ESTIF(MEVAB, MEVAB), FIXED(MTOTV), IFFIX(MTOTV), NPIVO(MBUFA), VECRV(MFRON), GLOAD(MFRON), FRNT 13 14 FRNT GSTIF(MSTIF),LNODS(MELEM,9),LOCEL(MEVAB),NACVA(MFRON), FRNT 15 16 NAMEV(MBUFA), NDEST(MEVAB), NOFIX(MVFIX), NOUTP(2), FRNT TDISP(MTOTV), TLOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), TREAC(MVFIX, NDOFN) 17 FRNT NFUNC(I,J)=(J\*J-J)/2+IFRNT 18 FRNT 19 C\*\*\* CHANGE THE SIGN OF THE LAST APPEARANCE OF EACH NODE FRNT 20 FRNT 21 IF(IINCS.GT.1.OR.IITER.GT.1) GO TO 455 FRNT 22 DO 140 IPOIN=1, NPOIN 23 FRNT KLAST=0 FRNT 24 DO 130 IELEM=1,NELEM DO 120 INODE=1,NNODE 25 26 FRNT FRNT IF(LNODS(IELEM, INODE).NE. IPOIN) GO TO 120 FRNT 27 KLAST=IELEM 28 FRNT NLAST=INODE FRNT 29 120 CONTINUE FRNT 30

```
FRNT
  130 CONTINUE
                                                                                    31
      IF(KLAST.NE.O) LNODS(KLAST, NLAST)=-IPOIN
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    32
  140 CONTINUE
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    33
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    34
  455 CONTINUE
C
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    35
C*** START BY INITIALIZING EVERYTHING THAT MATTERS TO ZERO
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    36
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    37
С
      DO 450 IBUFA=1, MBUFA
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    38
  450 EQRHS(IBUFA)=0.0
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    39
      DO 150 ISTIF=1,MSTIF
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    40
  150 GSTIF(ISTIF)=0.0
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    41
                                                                                    42
                                                                             FRNT
      DO 160 IFRON=1, MFRON
      GLOAD(IFRON)=0.0
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    43
                                                                                    44
      VECRV(IFRON)=0.0
                                                                             FRNT
      NACVA(IFRON)=0
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    45
      DO 160 IBUFA=1, MBUFA
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    46
  160 EQUAT(IFRON, IBUFA)=0.0
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    47
С
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    48
C*** AND PREPARE FOR DISC READING AND WRITING OPERATIONS
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    49
С
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    50
      NBUFA=0
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    51
      IF(KRESL.GT.1) NBUFA=MBUFA
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    52
      REWIND 1
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    53
      REWIND 2
                                                                                    54
                                                                             FRNT
      REWIND 3
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    55
      REWIND 4
                                                                                    56
                                                                             FRNT
      REWIND 8
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    57
С
                                                                                    58
                                                                             FRNT
C*** ENTER MAIN ELEMENT ASSEMBLY-REDUCTION LOOP
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    59
С
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    60
      NFRON=0
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    61
      KELVA=0
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    62
      DO 320 IELEM=1, NELEM
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    63
      IF(KRESL.GT.1) GO TO 400
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    64
      KEVAB=0
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    65
      READ(1) ESTIF
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    66
      DO 170 INODE=1, NNODE
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    67
      DO 170 IDOFN=1, NDOFN
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    68
      NPOSI=(INODE-1)*NDOFN+IDOFN
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    69
      LOCNO=LNODS(IELEM, INODE)
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    70
      IF(LOCNO.GT.0) LOCEL(NPOSI)=(LOCNO-1)*NDOFN+IDOFN
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    71
      IF(LOCNO.LT.0) LOCEL(NPOSI)=(LOCNO+1)*NDOFN-IDOFN
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    72
  170 CONTINUE
                                                                                    73
                                                                             FRNT
С
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    74
C*** START BY LOOKING FOR EXISTING DESTINATIONS
                                                                                    75
                                                                             FRNT
C
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    76
      DO 210 IEVAB=1,NEVAB
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    77
      NIKNO=IABS(LOCEL(IEVAB))
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    78
      KEXIS=0
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    79
      DO 180 IFRON=1,NFRON
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    80
      IF(NIKNO.NE.NACVA(IFRON)) GO TO 180
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    81
      KEVAB=KEVAB+1
                                                                                    82
                                                                             FRNT
      KEXIS=1
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    83
      NDEST(KEVAB)=IFRON
                                                                                    84
                                                                             FRNT
  180 CONTINUE
                                                                                    85
                                                                             FRNT
      IF(KEXIS.NE.0) GO TO 210
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    86
С
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    87
C*** WE NOW SEEK NEW EMPTY PLACES FOR DESTINATION VECTOR
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    88
С
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    89
      DO 190 IFRON=1, MFRON
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    90
      IF(NACVA(IFRON).NE.0) GO TO 190
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    91
      NACVA(IFRON)=NIKNO
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    92
      KEVAB=KEVAB+1
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    93
      NDEST(KEVAB)=IFRON
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    94
      GO TO 200
                                                                             FRNT
                                                                                    95
```

<mark>ر 19</mark> 0	CONTINUE	FRNT	96 07
u C≇##	THE NEW PLACES MAY DEMAND AN INCREASE IN CURRENT FRONTWIDTH	FRNT	- 98
Ċ		FRNT	99
200	IF(NDEST(KEVAB).GT.NFRON) NFRON=NDEST(KEVAB)	FRNT	100
210	WRTTE(8) LOCEL NDEST NACVA NERON	FRNT	102
400	<b>IF(KRESL.GT.1)</b> READ(8) LOCEL, NDEST, NACVA, NFRON	FRNT	103
C		FRNT	104
c <del>asa</del> Casa	ASSEMBLE ELEMENT LOADS	FRNI	105
C	DO 220 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	FRNT	107
	IDEST=NDEST(IEVAB) CLOAD(IDEST)-CLOAD(IDEST)-ELOAD(IELEM IEVAB)	FRNT	108
с	GLOAD(IDESI)=GLOAD(IDESI)+ELOAD(IELEN,IEVAD)	FRNT	110
Č###	ASSEMBLE THE ELEMENT STIFFNESSES-BUT NOT IN RESOLUTION	FRNT	111
C		FRNT	112
	IF(KRESL.GT.1) GO TO 402 DO 222 JFVAR-1 TFVAR	FRNT	113
	JDEST=NDEST(JEVAB)	FRNT	115
	NGASH=NFUNC(IDEST, JDEST)	FRNT	116
	NGLSH=NFUNC(JDEST, IDEST) TE(IDEST OF IDEST) OSTIE(NCASH)-OSTIE(NCASH) OSTIE(IEVAB IEVAB)	FRNT	117
	IF(JDEST.LT.IDEST) GSTIF(MARSH)=GSTIF(MARSH)+ESTIF(IEVAB, JEVAB)	FRNT	119
222	2 CONTINUE	FRNT	120
402		FRNT	121
C 220	CONTINUE	FRNT	122
C###	RE-EXAMINE EACH ELEMENT NODE, TO ENQUIRE WHICH CAN BE ELIMINATED	FRNT	124
С	DO 210 TEMAD 4 NEWAD	FRNT	125
	NTKNO-LLOCFL(TEVAB)	FRNT	120
	IF(NIKNO.LE.O) GO TO 310	FRNT	128
C	TIN BOUTTOND OF MARTINE PO SPACE SOL OF THEMATON	FRNT	129
C===	FIND POSITIONS OF VARIABLES READY FOR ELIMINATION	FRNT	130
×	DO 300 IFRON=1, NFRON	FRNT	132
	IF(NACVA(IFRON).NE.NIKNO) GO TO 300	FRNT	133
с	NBUFA=NBUFA+1	FRNT	134
C###	WRITE EQUATIONS TO DISC OR TO TAPE	FRNT	136
С		FRNT	137
	NBUFALLE.MBUFA) GO TO 406 NBUFA=1	FRNT	130
	IF(KRESL.GT.1) GO TO 408	FRNT	140
	WRITE(2) EQUAT, EQRHS, NPIVO, NAMEV	FRNT	141
ans	GU IU 406 SHRITE(I) FORME	FRNT	142
	READ(2) EQUAT.EQRHS.NPIVO.NAMEV	FRNT	143
<u>406</u>	CONTINUE	FRNT	145
C	FYTRACT THE COFFETCIENTS OF THE NEW FOUNTION FOR FURNINGTON	FRNT	146
č	CALIFOR THE COLFFICIENTS OF THE NEW EQUATION FOR ELIPINATION	FRNT	148
	IF(KRESL.GT.1) GO TO 404	FRNT	149
	DO 230 JFRON=1, MFRON TE(TERON LT LERON) NO COL NETING (TERON LERON)	FRNT	150
	IF(IFRON.GE.JFRON) NLOCA=NFUNC(JFRON.JFRON)	FRNT	151
	EQUAT(JFRON, NBUFA) = GSTIF(NLOCA)	FRNT	153
230	) GSTIF(NLOCA)=0.0	FRNT	154
C -104		FRNT	155 156
C###	AND EXTRACT THE CORRESPONDING RIGHT HAND SIDES	FRNT	157
C	FORMS(NRUFA)-CLOAD(TERON)	FRNT	158
	GLOAD(IFRON)=0.0	FRNT	160

		KELVA=KELVA+1	FRNT	161
		NAMEV(NBUFA)=NIKNO	FRNT	162
_		NPIVO(NBUFA)=IFRON	FRNT	163
C			FRNT	104
07		DEAL WITH PIVUI	FRNI	105
C		PTVOT-FOLIAT (TERON, NBUEA)	FRNT	167
		IF(PIVOT.GT.0.0) GO TO 235	FRNT	168
		WRITE(6.900) NIKNO.PIVOT	FRNT	169
	900	FORMAT(1H0, 3X, 52HNEGATIVE OR ZERO PIVOT ENCOUNTERED FOR VARIABLE	NFRNT	170
		.O. ,14,10H OF VALUE ,E17.6)	FRNT	171
		STOP	FRNT	172
	235	CONTINUE	FRNT	173
~		EQUAT(IFRON, NBUFA)=0.0	FRNT	174
ີ ຕ≇	** 1	ENCLITRE WHETHER PRESENT VARIABLE IS FREE OR PRESCRIBED	FRMT	176
č	•		FRNT	177
Ť		IF(IFFIX(NIKNO).EQ.0) GO TO 250	FRNT	178
С			FRNT	179
C#	**	DEAL WITH A PRESCRIBED DEFLECTION	FRNT	180
Ç			FRNT	181
	-	DO 240 JFRON=1,NFRON	FRNT	182
	240	GLUAD(JFRON)=GLUAD(JFRON)=F1XED(N1KNU)*EQUAT(JFRON, NBUFA)	FRNT	183
С		GU 10 280	FRNT	184
č*	**	ELIMINATE & ERFE VARIABLE - DEAL WITH THE RIGHT HAND STDE EIRST	FRMT	186
č			FRNT	187
-	250	DO 270 JFRON=1,NFRON	FRNT	188
		GLOAD(JFRON)=GLOAD(JFRON)=EQUAT(JFRON,NBUFA)#EQRHS(NBUFA)/PIVOT	FRNT	189
C			FRNT	190
C.*	**	NOW DEAL WITH THE COEFFICIENTS IN CORE	FRNT	191
С.			FRNT	192
		TE(EOMAT(TEPON NEWEA) EO O O) CO TO 270	FRNT	193
		NLOCA-NFINC(0_JERON)	L UNI E DYLL	105
		CUREQ=FOIAT(JFRON_NBUFA)	FRNT	106
		DO 260 LFRON=1.JFRON	FRNT	197
		NGASH=LFRON+NLOCA	FRNT	198
	260	GSTIF(NGASH)=GSTIF(NGASH)-CUREQ*EQUAT(LFRON,NBUFA)	FRNT	199
		. /PIVOT	FRNT	200
	418	CONTINUE	FRNT	201
	280	CONTINUE FOIAT(TERON NEURA) DIVOT	FRNT	202
С	200	ENORI (IFRON, NBUFA)=PIVOI	FRNI	203
Č#	<b>##</b> ]	RECORD THE NEW VACANT SPACE AND REDUCE EDON'TUTOTH TE DOSSTOLE	FDMT	204
Ĉ		The new vacant stace, and reduce radingth if rossible	FRNT	205
		NACVA(IFRON)=0	FRNT	207
-		GO TO 290	FRNT	208
C			FRNT	209
C=	<b>#</b> # (	COMPLETE THE ELEMENT LOOP IN THE FORWARD ELIMINATION	FRNT	210
U	300		FRNT	211
	290	$\frac{\text{TE}(\text{NACVA}(\text{NERON}))}{\text{NE}(0)} \approx 0.00 \text{ to } 210$	FRNI	212
		NFRON=NFRON-1	FRNT	214
		IF(NFRON.GT.0) GO TO 290	FRNT	215
	310	CONTINUE	FRNT	216
	320	CONTINUE	FRNT	217
	•	LF(KRESL.EQ.1) WRITE(2) EQUAT, EQRHS, NPIVO, NAMEV	FRNT	218
С		DAUKSPACE 2	FRNT	219
Č≢	** 1	ENTER BACK_SUBSTITUTION PLACE LOOD BACKWADDS TUDOUCH VARIABLES	LUNL	220
Ċ			FRNT	222
•		DO 340 IELVA=1,KELVA	FRNT	223
С			FRNT	224
¢#	##R	EAD A NEW BLOCK OF EQUATIONS - IF NEEDED	FRNT	225

FRNT 226 С **FRNT 227** IF(NBUFA.NE.O) GO TO 412 **FRNT 228** BACKSPACE 2 FRNT 229 READ(2) EQUAT, EQRHS, NPIVO, NAMEV FRNT 230 BACKSPACE 2 FRNT 231 NBUFA=MBUFA FRNT 232 IF(KRESL.EQ.1) GO TO 412 FRNT 233 BACKSPACE 4 FRNT 234 READ(4) EQRHS FRNT 235 BACKSPACE 4 FRNT 236 **412 CONTINUE** FRNT 237 FRNT 238 C\*\*\* PREPARE TO BACK-SUBSTITUTE FROM THE CURRENT EQUATION FRNT 239 С FRNT 240 IFRON=NPIVO(NBUFA) FRNT 241 NIKNO=NAMEV(NBUFA) FRNT 242 PIVOT=EQUAT(IFRON, NBUFA) FRNT 243 IF(IFFIX(NIKNO).NE.O) VECRV(IFRON)=FIXED(NIKNO) FRNT 244 IF(IFFIX(NIKNO).EQ.0) EQUAT(IFRON, NBUFA)=0.0 FRNT 245 С FRNT 246 C\*\*\* BACK-SUBSTITUTE IN THE CURRENT EQUATION FRNT 247 FRNT 248 DO 330 JFRON=1, MFRON 330 EQRHS(NBUFA)=EQRHS(NBUFA)=VECRV(JFRON)\*EQUAT(JFRON,NBUFA) FRNT 249 FRNT 250 С **FRNT 251** C\*\*\* PUT THE FINAL VALUES WHERE THEY BELONG FRNT 252 C IF(IFFIX(NIKNO).EQ.0) VECRV(IFRON)=EQRHS(NBUFA)/PIVOT FRNT 253 IF(IFFIX(NIKNO).NE.O) FIXED(NIKNO)==EQRHS(NBUFA) FRNT 254 FRNT 255 NBUFA=NBUFA-1 FRNT 256 ASDIS(NIKNO)=VECRV(IFRON) FRNT 257 340 CONTINUE FRNT 258 С FRNT 259 C\*\*\* ADD DISPLACEMENTS TO PREVIOUS TOTAL VALUES FRNT 260 С FRNT 261 DO 345 ITOTV=1,NTOTV FRNT 262 345 TDISP(ITOTV)=TDISP(ITOTV)+ASDIS(ITOTV) С FRNT 263 FRNT 264 C\*\*\* STORE REACTIONS FOR PRINTING LATER FRNT 265 С KBOUN=1 FRNT 266 DO 370 IPOIN=1,NPOIN **FRNT 267** NLOCA=(IPOIN-1)\*NDOFN FRNT 268 FRNT 269 FRNT 270 DO 350 IDOFN=1, NDOFN NGUSH=NLOCA+IDOFN FRNT 271 IF(IFFIX(NGUSH).GT.0) GO TO 360 FRNT 272 FRNT 273 350 CONTINUE GO TO 370 360 DO 510 IDOFN=1, NDOFN FRNT 274 FRNT 275 NGASH=NLOCA+IDOFN 510 TREAC(KBOUN, IDOFN) = TREAC(KBOUN, IDOFN) + FIXED(NGASH) FRNT 276 KBOUN=KBOUN+1 FRNT 277 **370 CONTINUE** FRNT 278 Ĉ FRNT 279 C\*\*\* ADD REACTIONS INTO THE TOTAL LOAD ARRAY FRNT 280 C FRNT 281 DO 700 IPOIN=1, NPOIN FRNT 282 DO 710 IELEM=1, NELEM FRNT 283 DO 710 INODE=1, NNODE FRNT 284 FRNT 285 NLOCA=IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE)) 710 IF(IPOIN.EQ.NLOCA) GO TO 720 FRNT 286 720 DO 730 IDOFN=1, NDOFN NGASH=(INODE-1)\*NDOFN+IDOFN FRNT 287 FRNT 288 MGASH=(IPOIN-1)\*NDOFN+IDOFN FRNT 289 730 TLOAD(IELEM, NGASH)=TLOAD(IELEM, NGASH)+FIXED(MGASH) FRNT 290 700 CONTINUE RETURN END

FRNT	291
FRNT	292
FRNT	293

### 6.4.13 Data error diagnostic subroutine CHECK1

The function of this subroutine is to scrutinise the problem control parameters, which are accepted by the data input subroutine, INPUT, which will be described in Section 6.5.1. Since subroutine INPUT is common to plane stress/strain, axisymmetric and plate bending applications, subroutine CHECK1 will only check that the control parameters are within the bounds defined by the correct values for the four cases.

A counter, KEROR, is employed to indicate whether or not any errors have been detected. If errors have been found (indicated by KEROR = 1), subroutine ECHO, described in the next section, is called to list the remainder of the input data.

Any errors detected are signalled by means of printed error numbers. The interpretation of each error message is given in Table 6.2.

	SUBROUTINE CHECK1(NDOFN, NELEM, NGAUS, NMATS, NNODE, NPOIN, NSTRE, NTYPE, NVFIX, NCRIT, NALGO, NINCS)	CEK1 CEK1	1
(CRR2)	***************************************	CEK1	3
C####	THIS SUBPOUTTNE CHECKS THE MAIN CONTROL DATA	CEK I	4
č	THE BUDNOOTINE CHECKS THE FAIN CONTROL DATA	CEK1	6
C#####	************	CEK 1	7
•	DIMENSION NEROR(24)	CEK1	8
	DO 10 IEROR=1,12	CEK1	9
10	NEROR(IEROR)=0	CEK1	10
C		CEK1	11
C### (	CREATE THE DIAGNOSTIC MESSAGES	CEK1	12
С		CEK1	13
	LF(NPOIN.LE.O) NEROR(1)=1	CEK1	14
	LF (NELEM*NNODE.LT.NPOIN) NEROR(2)=1	CEK1	15
	IF (NVFIX.LI.2.UK.NVFIX.GI.NPUIN) NEROK(3)=1 TE(NINCS (T 1) NEBOR(4) 1	CEK I	10
	TE(MTYDE [T 1 OD MTYDE OT 2) MEDOD(E) 1	CENI	19
	$\frac{1}{16} (NIADE [T ] A A NHODE (T A) NEDOR(5)=1$	CEK 1	10
	IF(NDOFN.LT.2.OR.NDOFN.GT.5) NEROR(7)=1	ČEK1	20
	IF(NMATS.LT.1.OR.NMATS.GT.NELEM) NEROR(8)=1	CEK1	21
	IF(NCRIT.LT.1.OR.NCRIT.GT.4) NEROR(9)=1	CEK1	22
	IF(NGAUS.LT.2.OR.NGAUS.GT.3) NEROR(10)=1	CEK1	23
	IF(NALGO.LT.1.OR.NALGO.GT.4) NEROR(11)=1	CEK1	24
~	IF(NSTRE.LT.3.OR.NSTRE.GT.5) NEROR(12)=1	CEK1	25
		CEKT	20
C=== 1	LITHER RETORN, OR ELSE PRINT THE ERRORS DIAGNOSED	CEK1	-21
L L	KEBOB-0	CEKI	20
	DO 20 TEROR-1 12	CEK1	20
	IF(NEROR(TEROR)) = 0, 0) = 0, 00 = 0	CEX 1	21
	KEROR=1	CEK1	32
	WRITE(6,900) IEROR	CEK1	33
900	FORMAT(//31H *** DIAGNOSIS BY CHECK1, ERROR, 13)	CEK1	34
20	CONTINUE	CEK1	- 35
	IF(KEROR.EQ.O) RETURN	CEK1	36

```
C CEK1 37
C*** OTHERWISE ECHO ALL THE REMAINING DATA WITHOUT FURTHER COMMENT
C CALL ECHO
END CEK1 40
CEK1 41
```

Error Label	Interpretation
1	The specified total number of node points, NPOIN, in the structure is less than or equal to zero.
2	The possible maximum total number of node points in the structure is less than the specified total, NPOIN.
3	The number of restrained nodal points is less than 2 or greater than NPOIN (for plane problems at least 2 points must be restrained to eliminate rigid body motions).
4	The total number of load increments is less than 1.
5	The problem type parameter, NTYPE, is not specified as either 1, 2 or 3.
6	The number of nodes/element is less than 4 (linear quadrilateral) or greater than 9 (quadratic Lagrangian elements).
7	The number of degrees of freedom per node is not equal to 2 (plane) or 3 (plate problems).
8	The total number of different materials is less than or equal to zero or greater than the total number of elements in the structure.
9	The parameter specifying the yield criterion to be employed is outside the permissible range.
10	The number of Gaussian integration points in each direction is not equal to either 2 or 3.
11	The parameter specifying the nonlinear solution algorithm to be employed is outside the permissible range.
12	The size of the stress matrix is less than 3 (plane) or greater than 5 (plate problems).

Table 6.2	Errors c	liagnosed	by	Subroutine	CHECK1
-----------	----------	-----------	----	------------	--------

## 6.4.14 Data echo subroutine, ECHO

The function of this subroutine is to list all the remaining data cards after at least one error has been detected by either of the diagnostic subroutines CHECK1 or CHECK2. This is accomplished by means of a simple read and write operation in alphanumeric format.

SUBROUTINE ECHO	ECHO	1
	ECHO	2
	ECHO	- 3
C**** IF DATA ERRORS HAVE BEEN DETECTED BY SUBROUTINES CHECK1 OR	ECHO	- 4
C CHECK2, THIS SUBROUTINE READS AND WRITES THE REMAINING DATA CAN	RDS ECHO	- 5
C	ECHO	6
C#####################################	*** ECHO	7
DIMENSION NTITL(80)	ECHO	- 8
WRITE(6,900)	ECHO	9

900 10	FORMAT(//50H NOW FOLLOWS A LISTING OF POST-DISASTER DATA CARDS/) READ(5,905) NTITL	ECHO ECHO	10 11
905	FORMAT(80A1)	ECHO	12
	WRITE(6,910) NTITL	ECHO	13
910	FORMAT(20X,80A1)	ECHO	- 14
-	GO TO 10	ECHO	15
	END	ECHO	16

#### 6.4.15 Data error diagnostic subroutine, CHECK2

If the problem control parameters have passed the scrutiny of subroutine CHECK1, the geometric data, boundary conditions and material properties are then <u>assimilated</u> by subroutine INPUT. This data is then scrutinised for possible errors in subroutine CHECK2 where error types 13 to 24, listed in Table 6.3, are checked for.

Probably the most useful check in this subroutine is the one which ensures that the maximum frontwidth does not exceed the dimensions specified in subroutine FRONT. Subroutine CHECK2 is described in detail in Chapter 9, Ref. 4.

```
SUBROUTINE CHECK2(COORD, IFFIX, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MFRON, MPOIN, MTOTV, CEK2
                       MVFIX, NDFRO, NDOFN, NELEM, NMATS, NNODE, NOFIX, NPOIN, CEK2
                                                                              2
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                              3
                       NVFIX)
               CEK2
                                                                              4
C##
                                                                              5
                                                                       CEK2
C
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                              6
C**** THIS SUBROUTINE CHECKS THE REMAINDER OF THE INPUT DATA
                                                                              7
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                              8
CEK2
      DIMENSION COORD(MPOIN,2), IFFIX(MTOTV), LNODS(MELEM,9),
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                              9
               MATNO(MELEM), NDFRO(MELEM), NEROR(24), NOFIX(MVFIX)
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             10
                                                                       CEK2
Ċ
                                                                             11
C*** CHECK AGAINST TWO IDENTICAL NONZERO NODAL COORDINATES
                                                                       CFK2
                                                                             12
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             13
С
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             14
      DO 5 IEROR=13,24
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             15
    5 NEROR(IEROR)=0
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             16
      DO 10 IELEM=1,NELEM
   10 NDFRO(IELEM)=0
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             17
      DO 50 IPOIN=2, NPOIN
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             18
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             19
      KPOIN=IPOIN-1
                                                                             20
      DO 30 JPOIN=1, KPOIN
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             21
      DO 20 IDIME=1.2
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             22
      IF(COORD(IPOIN, IDIME).NE.COORD(JPOIN, IDIME)) GO TO 30
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             23
   20 CONTINUE
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             24
      NEROR(13)=NEROR(13)+1
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             25
   30 CONTINUE
                                                                       CEK2
   40 CONTINUE
                                                                             26
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             27
С
C*** CHECK THE LIST OF ELEMENT PROPERTY NUMBERS
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             28
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             29
      DO 50 IELEM=1,NELEM
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             30
   50 IF(MATNO(IELEM).LE.O.OR.MATNO(IELEM).GT.NMATS) NEROR(14)=NEROR(14)CEK2
                                                                             31
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             32
     . +1
                                                                       CEK2
С
                                                                             33
C### CHECK FOR IMPOSSIBLE NODE NUMBERS
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                             34
С
                                                                             35
                                                                       CEK2
                                                                       CEK2
      DO 70 IELEM=1,NELEM
                                                                             36
                                                                             37
38
      DO 60 INODE=1, NNODE
                                                                       CEK2
      IF(LNODS(IELEM, INODE).EQ.0) NEROR(15)=NEROR(15)+1
                                                                       CEK2
```

	<pre>60 IF(LNODS(IELEM,INODE).LT.O.OR.LNODS(IELEM,INODE).GT.NPOIN) NEROR . 16)=NEROR(16)+1 70 CONTINUE</pre>	CEK2 CEK2 CEK2	39 40 41
c	1	CENS	1.5
~#a	S CUECK FOR ANY REPETITION OF A NODE MUNDED LITUTAL AN ELEMENT	CEKO	10
2	CHECK FOR ANI REFEITION OF A NODE NOMBER WITHIN AN ELEMENT	OFKO	- 43 h h
C	DO 140 TROTH 1 NROTH	OEKZ	44
	DU 14U IPUINEI,NPUIN	CEK2	45
	KSIAKEU Do 100 TELEM 1 NELEM	CEK2	40
	DU TUU IELEMEI,NELEM	CEK2	4/
	KZERO=0	CEK2	48
	DO 90 INODE=1, NNODE	CEK2	- 49
	IF(LNODS(IELEM, INODE).NE.IPOIN) GO TO 90	CEK2	50
	KZERO=KZERO+1	CEK2	-51
	IF(KZERO.GT.1) NEROR(17)=NEROR(17)+1	CEK2	- 52
C		CEK2	- 53
C#1	** SEEK FIRST, LAST AND INTERMEDIATE APPEARANCES OF NODE IPOIN	CEK2	- 54
C		CEK2	- 55
	LF(KSTAR.NE.O) GO TO 80	CEK2	- 56
-	KSTAR=IELEM	CEK2	- 57
С		CEK2	- 58
C#1	** CALCULATE INCREASE OR DECREASE IN FRONTWIDTH AT EACH ELEMENT STAG	e cek2	- 59
C		CEK2	60
	NDFRO(IELEM)=NDFRO(IELEM)+NDOFN	CEK2	61
	80 CONTINUE	CEK2	62
С		CEK2	63
C#I	** AND CHANGE THE SIGN OF THE LAST APPEARANCE OF EACH NODE	CEK2	64
С		CEK2	65
	KLAST=IELEM	CEK2	66
	NLAST=INODE	CEK2	67
	90 CONTINUE	CEK2	68
1	IOO CONTINUE	CEK2	69
	IF(KSTAR.EQ.0) GO TO 110	CEK2	- 7Õ
	IF(KLAST.LT.NELEM) NDFRO(KLAST+1)=NDFRO(KLAST+1)-NDOFN	CEK2	71
	LNODS(KLAST.NLAST)=-IPOIN	CEK2	72
	GO TO 140	CEK2	73
C		CEK2	74
C#4	* CHECK THAT COORDINATES FOR AN UNUSED NODE HAVE NOT BEEN SPECIFIED	CEK2	75
C		CEK2	76
1	10 WRITE(6.900) IPOIN	CEK2	77
¢	300 FORMAT(/15H CHECK WHY NODE, T4, 14H NEVER APPEARS)	CFK2	78
•	NEROR(18)=NEROR(18)+1	CEK2	79
	SIGMA=0.0	CEK2	80
	DO 120 TDIME-1 2	CEKS	81
1	20 SIGMA=SIGMA+ABS(COORD(IPOIN, IDIME))	CEK2	82
	IF(SIGMA.NE.0.0) NEROR(19)=NEROR(19)+1	CEK2	83
С		CEK5	RU RU
Ċ#4	* CHECK THAT AN UNUSED NODE NUMBER IS NOT A RESTRATNED NODE	CEKS	85
С		CEX2	86
	DO 130 TVETX-1 NVETX	CERS	87
1	30 IF (NOFIX (IVEIX), EQ. TPOTN) NEROR (20)-NEROR (20)-1	CENZ	88
1	140 CONTINUE	CEKO	80
C		CEVO	0.9
Č#1	* CALCHLATE THE LARGEST ERONTWITTH		90
Ĉ		CENZ	02
-	NEPON_0		92
	KFRON-O		73
	DO 150 TELEM-1 NELEM		94
	NERON-NERON, NDERON (TELEN)	UEK2	95
1	ISO TE(NERON OT VERON) VERON-MERON	CEK2	96
ļ	WRTTE(K OAE) VEDAN	UEK2	- 9(
c	THALLUTTOT AFRON	ULK2	98
2	TE(KERON OF MERON) NEROP(21)-4	UEK2	. 99
С	T (METOR. GIAR NOW) NERVER/21/21	CEK2	100
Č#1	R CONTINUE CHECKING THE DATA FOR THE EIVED VALUES		101
č	CONTINUE CRECKING THE DATA FOR THE FIXED VALUES	CEK2	102
-			103

•

	DO 170 IVFIX=1,NVFIX	CEK2	104
	IF(NOFIX(IVFIX).LE.O.OR.NOFIX(IVFIX).GT.NPOIN) NEROR(22)=NEROR(22	)CEK2	105
	+1 .	CEK2	106
	KOUNT=0	CEK2	107
	NLOCA_(NOFIX(IVFIX)-1)*NDOFN	CEK2	108
	DO 160 IDOFN=1,NDOFN	CEK2	109
	HEOCA-NLOCA+1-	CEK2	110
160	IF(IFFIX(NLOCA), GT.O) KOUNT=1	CEK2	111
	IF(KOUNT.EQ.0) NEROR(23)=NEROR(23)+1	CEK2	112
	KVFIX=IVFIX-1	CEK2	113
	DO 170 JVFIX=1,KVFIX	CEK2	114
170	IF(IVFIX.NE.1.AND.NOFIX(IVFIX).EQ.NOFIX(JVFIX)) NEROR(24)=NEROR(2	24CEK2	115
	.)+1	CEK2	116
•	KEROR=0	CEK2	117
	DO 180 IEROR=13.24	CEK2	118
	TE(NEROR(TEROR), EQ. 0) GO TO 180	CEK2	119
	KEROR=1	CEK2	120
	WRITE(6,910) IEROR, NEROR(IEROR)	CEK2	121
910	FORMAT(//31H *** DIAGNOSIS BY CHECK2, ERROR, 13, 6X, 18H ASSOCIATED	NCEK2	122
	UMBER, 15)	CEK2	123
180	CONTINUE	CEK2	124
	IF(KEROR.NE.0) GO TO 200	CEK2	125
С	_ (	CEK2	126
C### H	RETURN ALL NODAL CONNECTION NUMBERS TO POSITIVE VALUES	CEK2	127
C		CEK2	128
•	DO 190 IELEM=1.NELEM	CEK2	129
	DO 190 INODE=1.NNODE	CEK2	130
190	LNODS(TELEM, TNODE)=TABS(LNODS(TELEM, INODE))	CEK2	131
.,.	RETURN	CEK2	132
200	CALL ECHO	CEK2	133
200	FND	CEK2	134

Table 6.3	Errors	diagnosed	by	Subroutine	CHECK2
-----------	--------	-----------	----	------------	--------

Error Label	Interpretation
13	A total of x identical nodal coordinates have been detected, i.e. x nodal points have coordinates which are identical to those of one or more of the remaining nodes.
14	A total of $x$ element material identification numbers are less than or equal to zero or greater than the total number of elements in the structure.
15	A total of x nodal connection numbers have a zero value.
16	A total of x nodal connection numbers are negative or greater than the specified maximum value, NPOIN.
17	A total of $x$ repetitions of node numbers within individual elements have been detected.
18	A total of x nodes exist in the list of nodal points which do not appear anywhere in the list of element nodal connection numbers.
19	Non-zero coordinates have been specified for a total of $x$ nodes which do not appear in the list of element nodal connection numbers.
20	A total of $x$ node numbers which do not appear in the element nodal connections list have been specified as restrained nodal points.
21	The largest frontwidth encountered in the problem has exceeded the maximum value specified in subroutine FRONT of the program.

- A total of x restrained nodal points have numbers less than or equal to zero or greater than the specified maximum value, NPOIN.
  A total of x restrained nodal points at which the fixity code is less than or equal to zero have been detected.
  A total of x restrictions in the list of restrained nodal points.
- A total of x repetitions in the list of restrained nodal points have been detected.

### 6.5 Standard subroutines for elasto-plastic finite element analysis

In this section we describe four additional subroutines which are common to all the elasto-plastic and elasto-viscoplastic applications presented in Chapters 7, 8 and 9. For each subroutine presented, the form of the argument list and common block structure will be that required for twodimensional elasto-plastic applications.

### 6.5.1 Data input subroutine, INPUT

The role of this subroutine is to accept most of the input data required for analysis of elasto-plastic problems. The structure of this subroutine follows closely that of subroutine DATA described in Section 3.2. Subroutine INPUT also closely resembles the data input subroutine presented in Chapter 3, Ref. 4 for linear elastic problems.

The control parameters necessary for two-dimensional applications extend beyond those required for one-dimensional analysis and are presented below.

- **NPOIN** Total number of nodal points in the structure.
- **NELEM** Total number of elements in the structure.
- **NVFIX** Total number of boundary points, i.e. nodal points at which one or more degrees of freedom are restrained.
- **NTYPE** Problem type parameter:
  - 1-Plane stress,
  - 2-Plain strain,
  - 3—Axial symmetry.
- NNODE Number of nodes per element:
  - 4-Linear isoparametric quadrilateral element,
  - 8-Quadratic isoparametric Serendipity element,
  - 9-Quadratic isoparametric Langrangian element.
- NMATS Total number of different materials in the structure.
- NGAUS The order of Gaussian quadrature rule to be employed for numerical integration of the element stiffness matrices, etc., as described in Section 6.3.2. If NGAUS is prescribed as 2 a twopoint Gauss rule is to be employed; if NGAUS is input as 3 a three-point rule will be used.

NALGO Parameter controlling nonlinear solution algorithm:

- 1—Initial stiffness method. The element stiffnesses are computed at the beginning of the analysis and remain unchanged thereafter.
- 2—*Tangential stiffness method.* The element stiffnesses are recomputed during each iteration of each load increment.
- 3—Combined algorithm. The element stiffnesses are recomputed for the *first* iteration of each load increment only.
- 4—*Combined algorithm.* The element stiffnesses are recomputed for the *second* iteration of each load increment only. (Of course for the first load increment, the element stiffnesses must be calculated for the first iteration also.)
- NCRIT The yield criterion to be employed:
  - 1—Tresca,
  - 2-Von Mises,
  - 3-Mohr-Coulomb,
  - 4—Drucker-Prager.
- NINCS The total number of increments in which the final loading is to be applied.
- NSTRE The number of independent stress components for the application:
  - 3-Plane stress/strain,
  - 4-Axial symmetry.

For the present two-dimensional applications two coordinate components are required to locate each nodal point. With reference to Figs. 6.2–6.4 the x, y components must be specified for plane stress or plane strain problems and the r, z components for axisymmetric situations. This information is stored in the array

# COORD (IPOIN, IDIME)

where IPOIN corresponds to the number of the nodal point and IDIME refers to the coordinate component. As mentioned in Section 6.4.1 nodal coordinates need not be supplied for mid-side nodes of 8- and 9-noded elements if they lie on a straight line between corner nodes. The coordinates of such intermediate nodes are evaluated by subroutine NODEXY by linear interpolation.

For each nodal point at which the displacement value corresponding to one or more degrees of freedom are prescribed, input data must be supplied specifying these fixity conditions. The nodes at which one or more degrees of freedom are restrained are stored in array

# NOFIX (IVFIX)

which signifies that the IVFIX<sup>th</sup> boundary node has a nodal point number NOFIX (IVFIX). Input parameter IFPRE controls which degrees of freedom of a particular node are to have a specified displacement value. For
example, for plane or axisymmetric problems, integer code IFPRE may have the following values:

- 10 Displacement in the x(r) direction specified,
- 01 Displacement in the y(z) direction specified,
- 11 Displacements in both x(r) and y(z) directions specified.

This information is then transferred, for permanent storage, into array IFFIX (ITOTV)

where ITOTV ranges over the total number of degrees of freedom of the structure. The prescribed displacement value associated with a restrained degree of freedom is stored in array

#### PRESC (IVFIX, IDOFN)

where IVFIX indicates that the prescribed displacements pertain to the IVFIX<sup>th</sup> boundary node and IDOFN ranges over the degrees of freedom of that node.

The list of material properties for two-dimensional applications differs from the corresponding one-dimensional case considered in Section 3.2. In particular for plane and axisymmetric elasto-plastic problems the following material parameters must be input.

PROPS (NUMAT, 1) Elastic modulus, E.

PROPS (NUMAT, 2) Poisson's ratio, v.

- **PROPS** (NUMAT, 3) Material thickness, t (applicable to plane problems only).
- **PROPS** (NUMAT, 4) Material mass density,  $\rho$ .
- **PROPS** (NUMAT, 5) Uniaxial yield stress,  $\sigma_Y$  (Tresca and Von Mises solids); Cohesion c (Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager materials).
- **PROPS** (NUMAT, 6) Hardening parameter H' for linear strain hardening.

PROPS (NUMAT, 7) Angle of internal friction for Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager materials only.

Consequently NPROP = 7 for two-dimensional elasto-plastic applications. The corresponding material data for plate bending problems is listed in Chapter 9.

Subroutine INPUT also calls subroutine GAUSSQ, described in Section 6.4.2, whose function is to generate the sampling point position and weighting factors for numerical integration of the element stiffness matrices, etc., by Gaussian quadrature. The order of integration rule to be employed has been specified, through NGAUS, in the control data.

Subroutine INPUT is now presented and is self-explanatory.

```
SUBROUTINE INPUT(COORD, IFFIX, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MEVAB, MFRON, MMATS,
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                      1
                        MPOIN, MTOTV, MVFIX, NALGO,
                                                                               INPT
                                                                                      2
                         NCRIT, NDFRO, NDOFN, NELEM,
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                      3
                         NEVAB, NGAUS, NGAU2,
NINCS, NMATS, NNODE, NOFIX, NPOIN, NPROP, NSTRE, NSTR1,
                                                                                      4
                                                                               INPT
                                                                                      5
                                                                              INPT
                         NTOTG, NTOTV, NTYPE, NVFIX, POSGP, PRESC, PROPS, WEIGP)
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                      6
C*****
              INPT
                                                                                      7
                                                                                      8
                                                                               INPT
С
C**** THIS SUBROUTINE ACCEPTS MOST OF THE INPUT DATA
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                      g
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     10
C
INPT
                                                                                     11
      DIMENSION COORD(MPOIN,2), IFFIX(MTOTV), LNODS(MELEM,9),
MATNO(MELEM), NDFRO(MELEM), Jr/Se. 2, //
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     12
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     13
                 NOFIX(MVFIX), POSGP(4), PRESC(MVFIX, NDOFN),
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     14
                 PROPS(MMATS.NPROP).TITLE(12).WEIGP(4)
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     15
                                                                              INPT
      REWIND 1
                                                                                     16
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     17
      REWIND 2
                                                                                     18
                                                                              INPT
      REWIND 3
      REWIND 4
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     19
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     20
      REWIND 8
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     21
       READ(5,920)
                    TITLE
      WRITE(6,920) TITLE
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     22
  920 FORMAT(12A6)
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     23
С
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     24
C*** READ THE FIRST DATA CARD, AND ECHO IT IMMEDIATELY.
                                                                                     25
                                                                              INPT
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     26
С
      READ(5,900) NPOIN, NELEM, NVFIX, NTYPE, NNODE, NMATS, NGAUS,
                                                                                     27
                                                                              INPT
     .NALGO, NCRIT, NINCS, NSTRE
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     28
  900 FORMAT(1115)
                                                                                     29
                                                                              INPT
      NEVAB=NDOFN*NNODE
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     30
      NSTR1=NSTRE+1
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     31
      LF(NTYPE.EQ.3) NSTR1=NSTRE
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     32
      NTOTV=NPOIN*NDOFN
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     33
      NGAU2=NGAUS*NGAUS
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     34
      NTOTG=NELEM*NGAU2
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     <u>3</u>5
      WRITE(6,901)NPOIN, NELEM, NVFIX, NTYPE, NNODE, NMATS, NGAUS, NEVAB,
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     36
      .NALGO, NCRIT, NINCS, NSTRE
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     37
  901 FORMAT(//8H NPOIN =, 14, 4X, 8H NELEM =, 14, 4X, 8H NVFIX =, 14, 4X,
                                                                                     38
                                                                              INPT
     .8H NTYPE =, I4, 4X, 8H NNODE =, I4,//
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     39
     . 8H NMATS =, 14, 4X, 8H NGAUS =, 14,
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     40
                          4X,8H NEVAB =,14,4X,8H NALGO =,14//
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     41
     . 8H NCRIT =, I4, 4X, 8H NINCS =, I4, 4X, 8H NSTRE =, I4)
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     42
      CALL
                  CHECK1(NDOFN, NELEM, NGAUS, NMATS, NNODE, NPOIN,
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     43
                          NSTRE, NTYPE, NVFIX, NCRIT, NALGO, NINCS)
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     44
C
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     45
C*** READ THE ELEMENT NODAL CONNECTIONS, AND THE PROPERTY NUMBERS.
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     46
С
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     47
      WRITE(6,902)
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     48
  902 FORMAT(//8H ELEMENT, 3X, 8HPROPERTY, 6X, 12HNODE NUMBERS)
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     49
      DO 2 IELEM=1, NELEM
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     50
      READ(5,900) NUMEL, MATNO(NUMEL), (LNODS(NUMEL, INODE), INODE=1, NNODE) INPT
                                                                                     51
    2 WRITE(6,903) NUMEL, MATNO(NUMEL), (LNODS(NUMEL, INODE), INODE=1, NNODE) INPT
                                                                                     52
  903 FORMAT(1X, 15, 19, 6X, 815)
                                                                                     53
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     54
                                                                              INPT
C*** ZERO ALL THE NODAL COORDINATES, PRIOR TO READING SOME OF THEM.
                                                                                     55
                                                                              INPT
С
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     56
      DO 4 IPOIN=1,NPOIN
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     57
      DO 4 IDIME=1,2
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     58
    4 COORD(IPOIN, IDIME)=0.0
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     59
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     60
C*** READ SOME NODAL COORDINATES, FINISHING WITH THE LAST NODE OF ALL.
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     61
Ĉ
                                                                                     62
                                                                              INPT
      WRITE(6,904)
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     63
  904 FORMAT(//5H NODE, 10X, 1HX, 10X, 1HY)
                                                                              INPT
                                                                                     64
```

```
65
    6 READ(5,905) IPOIN, (COORD(IPOIN, IDIME), IDIME=1,2)
                                                                                  INPT
                                                                                  INPT
                                                                                         66
  905 FORMAT(15,6F10.5)
       IF(IPOIN.NE.NPOIN) GO TO 6
                                                                                  INPT
                                                                                         67
                                                                                  INPT
                                                                                         68
C
                                                                                  INPT
                                                                                         69
C*** INTERPOLATE COORDINATES OF MID-SIDE NODES
                                                                                         70
                                                                                  INPT
C
                                                                                  INPT
                                                                                         71
      CALL
                   NODEXY(COORD, LNODS, MELEM, MPOIN, NELEM, NNODE)
                                                                                  INPT
                                                                                         72
      DO 10 IPOIN=1, NPOIN
   10 WRITE(6,906) IPOIN, (COORD(IPOIN, IDIME), IDIME=1,2)
                                                                                  INPT
                                                                                          73
  906 FORMAT(1X, 15, 3F10.3)
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                         74
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                          75
C*** READ THE FIXED VALUES.
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                          76
                                                                                          77
С
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                         78
                                                                                   INPT
      WRITE(6,907)
                                                                                          79
  907 FORMAT(//5H NODE,6X,4HCODE,6X,12HFIXED VALUES)
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                         80
                                                                                   INPT
      DO 8 IVFIX=1,NVFIX
      READ(5,908) NOFIX(IVFIX), IFPRE, (PRESC(IVFIX, IDOFN), IDOFN=1, NDOFN) INPT
WRITE(6,908) NOFIX(IVFIX), IFPRE, (PRESC(IVFIX, IDOFN), IDOFN=1, NDOFN) INPT
                                                                                         81
                                                                                         82
                                                                                         83
      NLOCA=(NOFIX(IVFIX)-1)*NDOFN
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                         84
      IFDOF=10**(NDOFN-1)
                                                                                   INPT
      DO 8 IDOFN=1,NDOFN
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                         85
      NGASH=NLOCA+IDOFN
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                         86
                                                                                         87
       IF(IFPRE.LT.IFDOF) GO TO 8
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                         88
       IFFIX(NGASH)=1
                                                                                   INPT
       IFPRE=IFPRE-IFDOF
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                         89
    8 IFDOF=IFDOF/10
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                          90
  908 FORMAT(1X,14,5X,15,5X,5F10.6)
                                                                                          91
                                                                                   INPT
С
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                          92
C*** READ THE AVAILABLE SELECTION OF ELEMENT PROPERTIES.
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                          93
                                                                                          94
                                                                                   INPT
   16 WRITE(6,910)
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                          95
  910 FORMAT(//7H NUMBER,6X,18HELEMENT PROPERTIES)
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                          96
      DO 18 IMATS=1, NMATS
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                          97
       READ(5,900) NUMAT
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                          98
                                                                                         99
       READ(5,930) (PROPS(NUMAT, IPROP), IPROP=1, NPROP)
                                                                                   INPT
  930 FORMAT(8F10.5)
                                                                                   ÎNPT
                                                                                        100
   18 WRITE(6,911) NUMAT, (PROPS(NUMAT, IPROP), IPROP=1, NPROP)
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                        101
  911 FORMAT(1X, I4, 3X, 8E14.6)
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                        102
С
                                                                                   INPT
                                                                                        103
C*** SET UP GAUSSIAN INTEGRATION CONSTANTS
                                                                                   INPT 104
С
                                                                                   INPT 105
       CALL
                   GAUSSQ(NGAUS, POSGP, WEIGP)
                                                                                   INPT 106
      CALL
                   CHECK2(COORD, IFFIX, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MFRON, MPOIN, MTOTV, INPT 107
                           MVFIX, NDFRO, NDOFN, NELEM, NMATS, NNODE, NOFIX, NPOIN, INPT 108
                                                                                   INPT 109
                           NVFIX)
      RETURN
                                                                                   INPT 110
      END
                                                                                   INPT 111
```

#### 6.5.2 Subroutine ALGOR

The function of this subroutine is to control the solution process according to the value of the solution algorithm parameter, NALGO, input in subroutine INPUT. This subroutine is similar in form to subroutine NONAL presented in Section 3.3 for one-dimensional applications. The subroutine sets the value of indicator KRESL to either 1 or 2 according to NALGO and the current values of the iteration number IITER and increment number IINCS. A value of KRESL = 1 indicates reformulation of the element stiffnesses accompanied by a full equation solution and KRESL = 2 indicates that the element stiffnesses are not to be modified and consequently only equation resolution takes place. With the definitions of the permissible values of NALGO given in Section 6.5.1, subroutine ALGOR is self-explanatory and is listed below.\*

SUBROUTINE ALGOR(FIXED, IINCS, II MTOTV, NALGO, NI	TER,KRESL, OTV)	ALGR ALGR	1 2
Ċ <del>Ţġġġġġġġ</del> ŧŧŧġġġŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧŧ	`~************************************	ALGR	3 ມ
C**** THIS SUBROUTINE SETS EQUATION F	RESOLUTION INDEX, KRESL	ALGR	5
с		ALGR	6
DIMENSION FIXED(MTOTV)	******	ALGR ALGR	- 7 8
KRESL=2		ALGR	9
IF(NALGO.EQ.1.AND.IINCS.EQ.1.AN	D.IITER.EQ.1) KRESL=1	ALGR	10
IF(NALGO.EQ.2) KRESL=1		ALGR	11
IF(NALGO.EQ.3.AND.IITER.EQ.1) K	KRESL=1	ALGR	12
IF(NALGO.EQ.4.AND.IINCS.EQ.1.AN	ID.IITER.EQ.1) KRESL=1	ALGR	13
IF(NALGO.EQ.4.AND.IITER.EQ.2) K	KRESL=1	ALGR	-14
IF(IITER.EQ.1) RETURN		ALGR	15
DO 100 ITOTV = $1,NTOTV$		ALGR	16
FIXED(ITOTV)=0.0		ALGR	- 17
100 CONTINUE		ALGR	- 18
RETURN		ALGR	19
END .		ALGR	20

#### 6.5.3 Subroutine INCREM

The role of subroutine INCREM is to increment the applied loading or any prescribed displacements according to the load factors specified as input. This subroutine is accessed on the first iteration of each load increment. For each increment of load the following items of information are input as data and are similar to those described in Section 3.7.

- FACTO This controls the magnitude of the load increment. The applied loading for each element is evaluated in Subroutine LOADPS for plane and axisymmetric situations, or Subroutine LOADPB for plate problems, and is stored in the array RLOAD (IELEM, IEVAB) as described in Section 6.4.5. The additional element load applied during the increment is RLOAD (IELEM, IEVAB)\*FACTO. The applied loading is accumulative so that if FACTO is input as 0.8, 0.2 and 0.1 for the first three increments, the total load acting on the structure during the third load increment is 1.1 times the loads calculated in Subroutine LOADPS. This method of load factoring permits unequal load increments to be taken. If loading is by prescribed displacements the same factoring process holds.
- **TOLER** This controls the tolerance permitted on the convergence process and its use has been described in Section 3.9.3.
- MITER Maximum permissible number of iterations. This is a safety measure to cover situations where the solution process does

\* For elasto-viscoplastic applications described in Chapter 8, iteration number IITER is replaced by timestep number, ISTEP.

not converge. After performing MITER iteration cycles the program will then stop.

- NOUTP (1) This parameter controls the output of the unconverged results after the first iteration. In order to examine the convergence process the user can vary the frequency of output for each load increment:
  - 1-Print the displacements only after the first iteration.
  - 2—Print the displacements and nodal reactions after the first iteration.
  - 3-Print the displacements, reactions and stresses after the first iteration.
- NOUTP (2) This parameter controls the output of the converged results:
  - 1-Print the final displacements only.
  - 2-Print the final displacements and nodal reactions.
  - 3-Print the final displacements, reactions and stresses.

The loading to which the structure is subjected is monitored by the arrays ELOAD (IELEM, IEVAB) and TLOAD (IELEM, IEVAB). The total loading applied to the structure at any stage of the analysis is accumulated in the TLOAD array. On the other hand ELOAD contains the loading to be applied to the structure for each iteration of the solution process. Initially (the first iteration of the first load increment) ELOAD contains the first increment of applied load. For the second and subsequent iterations ELOAD contains the residual nodal forces which must be redistributed as described in Section 3.7. After convergence has occurred, the next increment of load is assimilated into ELOAD, so that at this stage ELOAD contains the new applied load increment together with any residual forces still remaining after convergence of the solution for the previous load increment. These residual forces should be negligibly small if the convergence tolerance factor, TOLER. is correctly chosen. However, since any residual forces are retained in ELOAD and applied as nodal forces during the next load increment, it is noted that equilibrium is maintained at every stage of the computation **D**rocess.

The final role of this subroutine is to insert appropriate values in the fixity array to control any prescribed displacements. As described in Section 3.3, in order to arrive at the correct value of a displacement whose value is prescribed for a load increment, it is necessary to prescribe the given value for equation solution during the first iteration and then prescribe a zero value for all subsequent iterations. Since the displacements occurring during each iteration accumulate to give the total displacement then clearly the prescribed value will be obtained by this process.

Subroutine INCREM will now be presented and explanatory notes provided.

	SUBROUTINE INCREM (ELOAD, FIXED, IINCS, MELEM, MEVAB, MITER, MTOTY, MYEIX, NDOEN, NELEM, NEVAB, NOUTP.	INCR	1
	NOFIX. NTOTY. NVFIX. PRESC. RLOAD. TFACT	INCR	য
	TLOAD. TOLER)	INCR	4
C#*	***************************************	INCR	5
Ċ		INCR	6
Č##	** THIS SUBROUTINE INCREMENTS THE APPLIED LOADING	INCR	7
C	******	INCK	0
Caa		INCK	. 9
	DIMENSION ELOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), FIXED(MIDIV), IFFIX(MIDIV).	INCR	10
	NOUTP(2).NOFIX(MVFIX).	INCR	12
	PRESC(MVFIX, NDOFN), RLOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), TLOAD(MELEM, MEVAB)	INCR	13
	WRITE(6,900) IINCS	INCR	14
9	00 FORMAT(1H0,5X,17HINCREMENT NUMBER ,15)	INCR	15
	READ(5,950) FACTO, TOLER, MITER, NOUTP(1), NOUTP(2)	INCR	16
9	50 FORMAT(2F10.5,3I5)	INCR	17
	TFACT=TFACT+FACTO	INCR	18
~	WRITE(6,960)TFACT, TOLER, MITER, NOUTP(1), NOUTP(2)	INCR	19
9	OU FURMAT(THU, 5%, ISHLUAD FACTOR =, F10.5, 5%,	INCK	20
	.24H CONVERGENCE TULERANCE =, FT0.5,5X,24HMAX. NO. OF ITERATIONS =,	INCH	21
	. 15,7727H INITIAL OUTPUT PARAMETER =,15,5X,24HFINAL OUTPUT PARAMET. .ER =.15)	INCR	22
	DO 80 IELEM=1, NELEM	INCR	24
	DO 80 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	INCR	25
	ELOAD(IELEM,IEVAB)=ELOAD(IELEM,IEVAB)+RLOAD(IELEM,IEVAB)*FACTO	INCR	26
	80 TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)+RLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)*FACTO	INCR	27
C		INCR	28
C##	* INTERPRET FIXITY DATA IN VECTOR FORM	INCR	29
C .		INCR	- 30
1	DO 100 ITOTV=1,NTOTV	INCR	31
"	DO 110 TVETY_1 NVETY	TNCR	22
	NCOA_(NOETY/THETY) 1)#NDOEN	TNCR	22
		TNCR	24
	NGASH=NLOCA+TDOFN	TNCR	36
	FIXED(NGASH)=PRESC(IVFIX, IDOFN) #FACTO	INCR	37
1	10 CONTINUE	INCR	- 38
	RETURN	INCR	39
	END	INCR	40

- INCR 14-15 Write the number of the load increment which is being currently solved.
- INCR 16-23 Read and write the load increment control parameters. Note that the incremental load factor, FACTO, is input whereas the *total* load factor, TFACT, is output.
- INCR 24-27 Accumulate the incremental loading into array ELOAD for equation solution and also into TLOAD to record the total load applied to the structure.
- INCR 31-32 Zero the global vector of prescribed displacements.

INCR 33-38 Insert any prescribed displacement values, factored by the load increment factor, into the appropriate position in the global vector.

#### 6.5.4 Solution convergence monitoring subroutine CONVER

This subroutine monitors convergence of the nonlinear solution iteration process. It is almost identical to subroutine CONUND for one-dimensional applications described in Section 3.10.3. Since for two-dimensional and plate bending problems we have more than one degree of freedom per nodal point, summation in (3.27) must now be made over the total number of degrees of freedom in the structure. As an additional check on the nonlinear solution process we also arrange to evaluate the maximum individual residual force  $\psi_i^r$  existing in the structure.

Subroutine CONVER is now presented and can be understood with the aid of Section 3.10.3.

•	SUBROUTINE CONVER(ELOAD, IITER, LNODS, MELEM, MEVAB, MTOTV, NCHEK, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NNODE, NTOTV, PVALU, STFOR, TLOAD, TOFOR, TOLER)	CONV CONV CONV	1 2 3
C####1	ŧ*************************************	CONV	45
C****	THIS SUBROUTINE CHECKS FOR CONVERGENCE OF THE ITERATION PROCESS	CONV	6
C		CONV	7
CHERT		CONV	8
	DIMENSION ELUAD (MELEM, MEVAB), LNUDS (MELEM, 9), STFOR (MTOTV),	CONV	- 10
	NCHFK-0	CONV	11
	RESID=0.0	CONV	12
	RETOT=0.0	CONV	13
	REMAX=0.0	CONV	14
	DO 5 ITOTV=1,NTOTV	CONV	15
	STFOR(ITOTV)=0.0	CONV	16
-	TOFOR(ITOTV)=0.0	CONV	17
5	CONTINUE Do ho JELEN A NELEN	CONV	18
	DU 40 IELEM=1,NELEM KEVAR-O	CONV	19
	DO 40 INODE-1 NNODE	CONV	20
	LOCNO=TABS(LNODS(TELEM_INODE))	CONV	22
	DO 40 IDOFN=1, NDOFN	CONV	23
	KEVAB=KEVAB+1	CONV	24
	NPOSI=(LOCNO-1)*NDOFN+IDOFN	CONV	25
	STFOR(NPOSI)=STFOR(NPOSI)+ELOAD(IELEM,KEVAB)	CONV	26
40	TOFOR(NPOSI)=TOFOR(NPOSI)+TLOAD(IELEM,KEVAB)	CONV	27
	$DO_50_{TOTV=1,NTOTV}$	CONV	28
	RESTD-RESTD_REFOR#REFOR	CONV	29
	RETOT-RETOTATOFOR(TTOTY) #TOFOR(TTOTY)	CONV	21
	AGASH=ABS(REFOR)	CONV	32
50	IF(AGASH.GT.REMAX) REMAX=AGASH	CONV	33
	DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM	CONV	34
	DO 10 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	CONV	35
10	ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)-ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)	CONV	36
	RESID=SQRT(RESID)	CONV	37
	RETUI=SURT (RETUI)	CONV	38
	TE ( PATTO OT TOLER) NOUER 1	CONV	39
	IF(IITER, FO. 1) GO TO 20	CONV	40 11
	IF(RATIO.GT. PVALU) NCHEK=999	CONV	42
20	PVALU=RATIO	CONV	43
	WRITE(6,30) NCHEK, RATIO, REMAX	CONV	44
30	FORMAT(1H0,3X,18HCONVERGENCE CODE =, 14, 3X, 28HNORM OF RESIDUAL SUM	CONV	45
	RATIO =,E14.6,3X,18HMAXIMUM RESIDUAL =,E14.6)	CONV	46
	KETURN	CONV	47
	LUN	CONV	48

#### 6.6 Problems

- 6.1 Using the subroutines described in this chapter devise programs to evaluate the stiffness matrices and load vectors for 4-, 8- and 9-node quadrilateral isoparametric elements for plane stress, plane strain, axisymmetric and Mindlin plate applications.
- 6.2 Use the shape functions  $L_i^{(e)}(\xi, \eta)$  from the 9-node Lagrangian quadrilateral isoparametric element to devise a new family of 8-node Serendipity quadrilateral element shape functions  $N_i^{(e)}(\xi, \eta)$  of the form

$$N_i^{(e)} = L_i^{(e)} + aL_9^{(e)}$$
  $i = 1, 3, 5 \text{ and } 7 \text{ (corner nodes)},$ 

$$N_i^{(e)} = L_i^{(e)} + bL_9^{(e)}$$
  $i = 2, 4, 6 \text{ and } 8 \text{ (midside nodes)},$ 

where  $L_9^{(e)}$  is the shape function of the central node of the Lagrangian element. What limits are there on a and b?

- 6.3 Determine some further diagnostic checks on the input, other than those described in Sections 6.4.13 and 6.4.15. Apart from the check on the Jacobian determinant given in Subroutine JACOB2 in Section 6.4.4, are there any other checks which could be incorporated into the program after the input has been successfully read and checked?
- 6.4 Determine the consistent nodal forces for the case when a point load with components  $P_x$ ,  $P_y$  acts at an arbitrary point along an element edge defined by Cartesian coordinates  $(x_P, y_P)$ , which correspond to local coordinates  $(\xi, \eta) = (\xi_P, -1)$ .

#### 6.7 References

- 1. HILL, R., The Mathematical Theory of Plasticity, Oxford University Press, 1950.
- 2. TIMOSHENKO, S. P. and GOODIER, J. N., Theory of Elasticity, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1951.
- 3. HUGHES, T. J. R., COHEN, M. and HAROUN, M., Reduced and selective integration techniques in the finite element analysis of plates, *Nucl. Eng. Design*, 46, 203-222 (1978).
- 4. HINTON, E. and OWEN, D. R. J., *Finite Element Programming*, Academic Press, London, 1977.
- 5. IRONS, B. M. and AHMAD, S., Techniques of Finite Elements, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1980.
- 6. BATHE, K. J. and WILSON, E. L., Numerical Methods in Finite Element Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1977.

## Chapter 7 Elasto-plastic problems in two dimensions

#### 7.1 Introduction

In this chapter we consider the elasto-plastic stress analysis of solids which conform to plane stress, plane strain or axisymmetric conditions. Most of the problems encountered in engineering can be approximated to satisfy one of these classifications.

The basic laws governing elasto-plastic material behaviour in a twodimensional solid must be presented before the numerical aspects of the problem can be considered and to this end new concepts, such as the plastic potential and the normality condition will be introduced. Only the essential expressions will be provided in this text and the reader will be directed to other sources for a more complete theoretical treatment.

The situation is complicated by the fact that different classes of materials exhibit different elasto-plastic characteristics. In this chapter four different yield criteria are employed. The Tresca and Von Mises laws, which closely approximate metal plasticity behaviour, are considered and the Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager criteria, which are applicable to concrete, rocks and soils, are presented.

In the latter sections of this chapter a computer code is developed to allow the solution of practical problems. Many of the subroutines required for elasto-plastic solution have been reviewed in Chapter 6. In this chapter the additional subroutines are developed and assembled to provide a working program.

#### 7.2 The mathematical theory of plasticity

The object of the mathematical theory of plasticity is to provide a theoretical description of the relationship between stress and strain for a material which exhibits an elasto-plastic response. In essence, plastic behaviour is characterised by an irreversible straining which is not time dependent and which can only be sustained once a certain level of stress has been reached. In this section we outline the basic assumptions and associated theoretical expressions for a general continuum. For a more complete treatment the reader is directed to Refs. 1–3. In order to formulate a theory which models elasto-plastic material deformation three requirements have to be met:

- An explicit relationship between stress and strain must be formulated to describe material behaviour under elastic conditions, i.e. before the onset of plastic deformation.
- A yield criterion indicating the stress level at which plastic flow commences must be postulated.
- A relationship between stress and strain must be developed for postyield behaviour, i.e. when the deformation is made up of both elastic and plastic components.

Before the onset of plastic yielding the relationship between stress and strain is given by the standard linear elastic expression.\*

$$\sigma_{ij} = C_{ijkl} \epsilon_{kl}, \qquad (7.1)$$

where  $\sigma_{ij}$  and  $\epsilon_{kl}$  are the stress and strain components respectively and  $C_{ijkl}$  is the tensor of elastic constants which for an isotropic material has the explicit form

$$C_{ijkl} = \lambda \,\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \mu \,\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \mu \,\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}, \qquad (7.2)$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are the Lamé constants and  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta defined by

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$
(7.3)

#### 7.2.1 The yield criterion

The yield criterion determines the stress level at which plastic deformation begins and can be written in the general form

$$f(\sigma_{ij}) = k(\kappa), \tag{7.4}$$

where f is some function and k a material parameter to be determined experimentally. The term k may be a function of a hardening parameter  $\kappa$ discussed later in Section 7.2.2. On physical grounds, any yield criterion should be independent of the orientation of the coordinate system employed and therefore it should be a function of the three stress invariants only

$$J_{1} = \sigma_{ii}$$

$$J_{2} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ij}\sigma_{ij}$$

$$J_{3} = \frac{1}{3}\sigma_{ij}\sigma_{jk}\sigma_{ki}.$$
(7.5)

Experimental observations, notably by Bridgeman,<sup>(4)</sup> indicate that plastic deformation of metals is essentially independent of hydrostatic pressure. Consequently the yield function can only be of the form

$$f(J_2', J_3') = k(\kappa),$$
 (7.6)

• In the indicial notation employed, Einstein's summation convention is invoked, whereby it is implicitly assumed that a summation from 1 to 3 is performed over any index which is repeated in any term of an expression. Also indices 1, 2, 3 refer to Cartesian components x, y, z respectively. Note that  $\sigma_{11} = \sigma_{xx} = \sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{xy}$ , etc.

where  $J_{2}$  and  $J_{3}$  are the second and third invariants of the deviatoric stresses,

$$\sigma_{ij}' = \sigma_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \sigma_{kk}. \tag{7.7}$$

Most of the various yield criteria that have been suggested for metals are now only of historic interest, since they conflict with experimental predictions. The two simplest which do not have this fault are the Tresca criterion and the Von Mises criterion.

#### The Tresca yield criterion (1864)

This states that yielding begins when the maximum shear stress reaches a certain value. If the principal stresses are  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_3$  where  $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \sigma_3$  then yielding begins when

$$\sigma_1 - \sigma_3 = Y(\kappa), \tag{7.8}$$

where Y is a material parameter to be experimentally determined and which may be a function of the hardening parameter  $\kappa$ . By considering all other possible maximum shearing stress values (e.g.  $\sigma_2 - \sigma_1$  if  $\sigma_2 \ge \sigma_3 \ge \sigma_1$ ) it can be shown that this yield criterion may be represented in the  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3$  stress space by the surface of an infinitely long regular hexagonal cylinder as shown in Fig. 7.1. The axis of the cylinder coincides with the space diagonal, defined by points  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3$ , and since each normal section of the cylinder is identical, (a consequence of the assumption that a hydrostatic stress does not influence yielding), it is convenient to represent the yield surface geometrically by projecting it onto the so-called  $\pi$  plane,  $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 = 0$  as shown in Fig. 7.2(a). When the yield function f depends on  $J_2'$  and  $J_3'$  alone it can be



Fig. 7.1 Geometrical representation of the Tresca and Von Mises yield surfaces in principal stress space.



Fig. 7.2 Two-dimensional representations of the Tresca and Von Mises yield criteria. (a)  $\pi$  plane representation. (b) Conventional engineering representation.

written in the form  $f(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3, \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)$  and a two-dimensional plot of the surface f = k is then possible as shown in Fig. 7.2(b). It can be shown generally  $^{(1,2)}$  that yield surfaces must be convex (except for local flat areas, possibly) and that they must contain the stress origin.

#### The Von Mises yield criterion (1913)

Von Mises suggested that yielding occurs when  $J_2'$  reaches a critical value, or

$$(J_2')^{\frac{1}{2}} = k(\kappa), \tag{7.9}$$

in which k is a material parameter to be determined. The second deviatoric stress invariant,  $J_2'$ , can be explicitly written as

$$J_{2}' = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ij}' \sigma_{ij}' = \frac{1}{6}[(\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{2})^{2} + (\sigma_{2} - \sigma_{3})^{2} + (\sigma_{3} - \sigma_{1})^{2}]$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2}[\sigma_{x}'^{2} + \sigma_{y}'^{2} + \sigma_{z}'^{2}] + \tau_{xy}^{2} + \tau_{yz}^{2} + \tau_{xz}^{2}.$  (7.10)

Yield criterion (7.9) may be further written as

$$\bar{\sigma} = \sqrt{3}(J_2')^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{3k},$$
(7.11)

where

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \sqrt{(3/2)} \left\{ \sigma_{ij}' \sigma_{ij}' \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{7.12}$$

and  $\bar{\sigma}$  is termed the effective stress, generalised stress or equivalent stress. Some physical insight into the definition of  $\bar{\sigma}$  will be apparent later from Section 7.2.4 where the case of uniaxial yielding is considered. There are two physical interpretations of the Von Mises yield condition. Nadai (1937) introduced the so-called octahedral shear stress  $\tau_{oct}$ , which is the shear stress on the planes of a regular octahedron, the apices of which coincide with the principal axes of stress. The value of  $\tau_{oct}$  is related to  $J_2'$  by

$$\tau_{\rm oct} = \sqrt{(2J_2'/3)}.\tag{7.13}$$

Thus yielding can be interpreted to begin when  $\tau_{oct}$  reaches a critical value. Hencky (1924) pointed out that the Von Mises law implies that yielding begins when the (recoverable) elastic energy of distortion reaches a critical value.

Fig. 7.1 shows the geometrical interpretation of the Von Mises yield surface to be a circular cylinder whose projection onto the  $\pi$  plane is a circle of radius  $\sqrt{2k}$  as shown in Fig. 7.2(a). The two dimensional plot of the Von Mises yield surface is the ellipse shown in Fig. 7.2(b). A physical meaning of the constant k can be obtained by considering the yielding of materials under simple stress states. The case of pure shear ( $\sigma_1 = -\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_3 = 0$ ) requires on use of (7.9) and (7.10) that k must equal the yield shear stress. Alternatively the case of uniaxial tension ( $\sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = 0$ ) requires that  $\sqrt{3k}$ is the uniaxial yield stress.

The Tresca yield locus is a hexagon with distances of  $\sqrt{(2/3)} Y$  from origin to apex on the  $\pi$  plane whereas the Von Mises yield surface is a circle of radius  $\sqrt{(2)k}$ . By suitably choosing the constant Y, the criteria can be made to agree with each other, and with experiment, for a single state of stress. This may be selected arbitrarily; it is conventional to make the circle pass through the apices of the hexagon by taking the constant  $Y = \sqrt{(3)k}$ , the yield stress in simple tension. The criteria then differ most for a state of pure shear, where the Von Mises criterion gives a yield stress  $2/\sqrt{(3)} (\approx 1.15)$ times that given by the Tresca criterion. For most metals Von Mises' law fits the experimental data more closely than Tresca's, but it frequently happens that the Tresca criterion is simpler to use in theoretical applications.

#### The Mohr–Coulomb yield criterion

This is a generalisation of the Coulomb (1773) friction failure law defined by

$$\tau = c - \sigma_n \tan\phi, \tag{7.14}$$

where  $\tau$  is the magnitude of the shearing stress,  $\sigma_n$  is the normal stress (tensile stress is positive), c is the cohesion and  $\phi$  the angle of internal friction. Graphically (7.14) represents a straight line tangent to the largest principal stress circle as shown in Fig. 7.3 and was first demonstrated by Mohr (1882). From Fig. 7.3, and for  $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \sigma_3$  (7.14) can be rewritten as

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1-\sigma_3)\cos\phi = c - \left(\frac{\sigma_1+\sigma_3}{2} - \frac{(\sigma_1-\sigma_3)}{2}\sin\phi\right)\tan\phi, \qquad (7.15)$$

or rearranging

$$(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3) = 2c \cos \phi - (\sigma_1 + \sigma_3) \sin \phi. \qquad (7.16)$$



Fig. 7.3 Mohr circle representation of the Mohr-Coulomb yield criterion.

Again, as for the Tresca criterion, the complete yield surface is obtained by considering all other stress combinations which can cause yielding (e.g.  $\sigma_3 \ge \sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2$ ). In principal stress space this gives a conical yield surface whose normal section at any point is an irregular hexagon as shown in Fig. 7.4. The conical, rather than cylindrical, nature of the yield surface is a consequence of the fact that a hydrostatic stress does influence yielding which is evident from the last term in (7.14). When  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3$  we have from (7.16) that the mean hydrostatic stress,  $\sigma_m = c \cot \phi$  and therefore the apex of the hexagonal pyramid, 0, in Fig. 7.4, lies along the space diagonal at the point  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = c \cot \phi$ . This criterion is applicable to concrete, rock and soil problems.

#### The Drucker-Prager yield criterion

An approximation to the Mohr-Coulomb law was presented by Drucker and Prager (1952) as a modification of the Von Mises yield criterion. The influence of a hydrostatic stress component on yielding was introduced by inclusion of an additional term in the Von Mises expression to give

$$aJ_1 + (J_2')^{\frac{1}{2}} = k'. \tag{7.17}$$

This yield surface has the form of a circular cone. In order to make the Drucker-Prager circle coincide with the outer apices of the Mohr-Coulomb hexagon at any section, it can be shown that

$$a = \frac{2\sin\phi}{\sqrt{(3)(3-\sin\phi)}}, \quad k' = \frac{6c\cos\phi}{\sqrt{(3)(3-\sin\phi)}}.$$
 (7.18)

Coincidence with the inner apices of the Mohr-Coulomb hexagon is provided by

$$a = \frac{2\sin\phi}{\sqrt{(3)(3+\sin\phi)}}, \quad k' = \frac{6c\cos\phi}{\sqrt{(3)(3+\sin\phi)}}.$$
 (7.19)



Fig. 7.4 (a) Geometrical representation of the Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager yield surfaces in principal stress space.



Fig. 7.4 (b) Two-dimensional,  $\pi$  plane, representation of the Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager yield criteria.

However, the approximation given by either the inner or outer cone to the true failure surface can be poor for certain stress combinations.<sup>(5)</sup>

#### 7.2.2 Work or strain hardening

After initial yielding, the stress level at which further plastic deformation occurs may be dependent on the current degree of plastic straining. Such a phenomenon is termed work hardening or strain hardening. Thus the yield surface will vary at each stage of the plastic deformation, with the subsequent yield surfaces being dependent on the plastic strains in some way. Some alternative models which describe strain hardening in a material are illustrated in Fig. 7.5. A perfectly plastic material is shown in Fig. 7.5(a) where the yield stress level does not depend in any way on the degree of plastification. If the subsequent yield surfaces are a uniform expansion of the original yield curve, without translation, as shown in Fig. 7.5(b) the strain-hardening model is said to be *isotropic*. On the other hand if the subsequent yield surfaces preserve their shape and orientation but translate in the stress space as a rigid body as shown in Fig. 7.5(c), *kinematic* hardening is said to take place. Such a hardening model gives rise to the experimentally observed Bauschinger effect on cyclic loading.



Fig. 7.5 Mathematical models for representation of strain hardening behaviour.

For some materials, notably soils, the yield surface may not strain harden but *strain soften* instead, so that the yield stress level at a point decreases with increasing plastic deformation. Therefore, for an isotropic model, the original yield curve contracts progressively without translation. Consequently yielding implies local failure and the yield surface becomes a *failure criterion*.

The progressive development of the yield surface can be defined by relating the yield stress k to the plastic deformation by means of the hardening parameter  $\kappa$ . This can be done in two ways. Firstly the degree of work hardening can be postulated to be a function of the total plastic work,  $W_p$ , only. Then,

$$\kappa = W_p, \tag{7.20}$$

$$W_p = \int \sigma_{ij} (d\epsilon_{ij})_{p_i}$$
(7.21)

in which  $(d\epsilon_{ij})_p$  are the plastic components of strain occurring during a strain increment. Alternatively  $\kappa$  can be related to a measure of the total plastic deformation termed the *effective*, generalised or equivalent plastic strain which is defined incrementally as

$$d\bar{\epsilon}_p = \sqrt{\binom{2}{3}} \{ (d\epsilon_{ij})_p (d\epsilon_{ij})_p \}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(7.22)

A physical insight of this definition is provided in Section 7.2.4 where uniaxial yielding is considered. For situations where the assumption that yielding is independent of any hydrostatic stress is valid,  $(d\epsilon_{ii})_p = 0$  and hence  $(d\epsilon_{ij}')_p = (d\epsilon_{ij})_p$ . Consequently (7.22) can be rewritten as

$$d\bar{\epsilon}_p = \sqrt{\binom{2}{3}} \{ (d\epsilon_{ij}')_p (d\epsilon_{ij}')_p \}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(7.23)

Then the hardening parameter,  $\kappa$ , is assumed to be defined as

$$\kappa = \tilde{\epsilon}_p, \tag{7.24}$$

where  $\bar{\epsilon}_p$  is the result of integrating  $d\bar{\epsilon}_p$  over the strain path. This behaviour is termed strain hardening. Only an isotropic hardening model will be considered in this text.

Stress states for which f = k represent plastic states, while elastic behaviour is characterised by f < k. At a plastic state, f = k, the incremental change in the yield function due to an incremental stress change is

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} d\sigma_{ij}.$$
 (7.25)

Then if:-

- df<0 elastic unloading occurs (elastic behaviour) and the stress point returns inside the yield surface
- df=0 neutral loading (plastic behaviour for a perfectly plastic material) and the stress point remains on the yield surface

df>0 plastic loading (plastic behaviour for a strain hardening material) and the stress point remains on the expanding yield surface.

It can also be shown<sup>(1-3)</sup> that, for a stable material that the initial and all subsequent yield surfaces must be convex.

#### 7.2.3 Elasto-plastic stress/strain relation

After initial yielding the material behaviour will be partly elastic and partly plastic. During any increment of stress, the changes of strain are assumed to be divisible into elastic and plastic components, so that

$$d\epsilon_{ij} = (d\epsilon_{ij})_{\ell} + (d\epsilon_{ij})_{p}. \tag{7.26}$$

The elastic strain increment is related to the stress increment by (7.1). Or, decomposing the stress terms into their deviatoric and hydrostatic components

$$(d\epsilon_{ij})_e = \frac{d\sigma_{ij'}}{2\mu} + \frac{(1-2\nu)}{E} \delta_{ij} d\sigma_{kk}, \qquad (7.27)$$

where E and  $\nu$  are respectively the elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio of the material.

In order to derive the relationship between the plastic strain component and the stress increment a further assumption on the material behaviour must be made. In particular it will be assumed that, the plastic strain increment is proportional to the stress gradient of a quantity termed the *plastic potential* Q, so that

$$(d\epsilon_{ij})_p = d\lambda \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \sigma_{ij}}, \qquad (7.28)$$

where  $d\lambda$  is a proportionality constant termed the *plastic multiplier*. A theoretical basis for this assumption is developed in Ref. 1. Equation (7.28) is termed the *flow rule* since it governs the plastic flow after yielding. The potential Q must be a function of  $J_2'$  and  $J_3'$  but as yet it cannot be determined in its most general form. However the relation  $f \equiv Q$  has a special significance in the mathematical theory of plasticity, since for this case certain variational principles and uniqueness theorems can be formulated. The identity  $f \equiv Q$  is a valid one since it has been postulated that both are functions of  $J_2'$  and  $J_3'$  and such an assumption gives rise to an *associated* theory of plasticity. In this case (7.28) becomes

$$(d\epsilon_{ij})_p = d\lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{ij}}, \qquad (7.29)$$

and is termed the normality condition since  $\partial f/\partial \sigma_{ij}$  is a vector directed normal to the yield surface at the stress point under consideration as shown in Fig. 7.6. It is seen that the components of the plastic strain increment are required to combine vectorially in *n*-dimensional space to give a vector



Fig. 7.6 Geometrical representation of the normality rule of associated plasticity.

which is normal to the yield surface. For the particular case of  $f = J_2'$  we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} = \frac{\partial J_{2'}}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} = \sigma_{ij'}.$$
(7.30)

Then (7.29) becomes

$$(d\epsilon_{ij})_p = d\lambda \sigma_{ij}', \tag{7.31}$$

which are known as the *Prandtl-Reuss equations*<sup>(1)</sup> and have been extensively employed in theoretical work. Experimental observations indicate that the normality condition is an acceptable assumption for metals, but the question of normality in rocks and soils is still open to debate<sup>(6)</sup> and is discussed further in Chapter 12. Thus on use of (7.26), (7.27) and (7.29) the complete incremental relationship between stress and strain for elasto-plastic deformation is found to be

$$d\epsilon_{ij} = \frac{d\sigma_{ij}'}{2\mu} + \frac{(1-2\nu)}{E} \delta_{ij} d\sigma_{kk} + d\lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{ij}}.$$
 (7.32)

#### 7.2.4 Uniaxial yield test on a strain-hardening material

Consider the uniaxial testing of an elasto-plastic material which produces the stress-strain curve shown in Fig. 7.7. The behaviour is initially elastic characterised by an elastic modulus E until yielding commences at the uniaxial yield stress  $\sigma_Y$ . Thereafter the material response is elasto-plastic with the local tangent to the curve continually varying and is termed *the elasto-plastic tangent modulus*,  $E_T$ . The hardening law  $k = k(\kappa)$  could just as easily be expressed in terms of the effective stress,  $\bar{\sigma}$  (since it is proportional to  $J_2$ ') to give, for the strain hardening hypothesis (7.24)

$$\bar{\sigma} = H(\bar{\epsilon}_p), \tag{7.33}$$



Fig. 7.7 Elasto-plastic strain hardening behaviour for the uniaxial case.

or differentiating,

$$\frac{d\bar{\sigma}}{d\bar{\epsilon}_p} = H'(\bar{\epsilon}_p). \tag{7.34}$$

For the uniaxial case under consideration  $\sigma_1 = \sigma$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = 0$  and thus from (7.12)

$$\bar{\sigma} = \sqrt{(\frac{3}{2})} \{\sigma_{ij} \sigma_{ij'}\}^{1/2} = \sigma.$$
(7.35)

If the plastic strain increment in the direction of loading is  $d\epsilon_p$ , then  $(d\epsilon_1)_p = d\epsilon_p$  and since plastic straining is assumed to be incompressible, Poisson's ratio is effectively 0.5 and  $(d\epsilon_2)_p = -\frac{1}{2}d\epsilon_p$  and  $(d\epsilon_3)_p = -\frac{1}{2}d\epsilon_p$ . Then from (7.23) the effective plastic strain becomes

$$d\bar{\epsilon}_p = \sqrt{(\frac{2}{3})} \{ (\epsilon_{ij'})_p (\epsilon_{ij'})_p \}^{1/2} = d\epsilon_p.$$
(7.36)

Expressions (7.35) and (7.36) explain the apparent arbitrary constants employed in the definition of  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\epsilon}_p$ , since these terms are required to become the actual stress and strain for uniaxial yielding. Using (7.35) and (7.36) then (7.34) becomes

$$H'(\bar{\epsilon}_p) = \frac{d\sigma}{d\epsilon_p} = \frac{d\sigma}{d\epsilon - d\epsilon_e} = \frac{1}{d\epsilon/d\sigma - d\epsilon_e/d\sigma},$$

ΟΓ

$$H' = \frac{E_T}{1 - E_T/E}.$$
 (7.37)

Thus the hardening function H' can be determined experimentally from a simple uniaxial yield test. (For numerical computation it will be shown in the next section that it is H' and not H that is required).

#### 7.3 Matrix formulation

The theoretical expressions developed in Section 7.2 will now be converted to matrix form.<sup>(7,8)</sup> The yield function, first defined in (7.4), can be rewritten as

$$f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = k(\boldsymbol{\kappa}),\tag{7.38}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the stress vector and  $\kappa$  is the hardening parameter which governs the expansion of the yield surface. In particular, from (7.20) and (7.21),  $d\kappa = \sigma^T d\epsilon_p$  for the work hardening hypothesis and from (7.24)  $d\kappa = d\epsilon_p$ for the strain hardening hypothesis. Rearranging (7.38) we get

$$F(\boldsymbol{\sigma},\boldsymbol{\kappa}) = f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - k(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) = 0. \tag{7.39}$$

By differentiating (7.39) we have

$$dF = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma} d\sigma + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \kappa} d\kappa = 0, \qquad (7.40)$$

or

$$\boldsymbol{a}^T d\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{A} d\lambda = 0, \tag{7.41}$$

with the definitions

$$\boldsymbol{a}^{T} = \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma_{x}}, & \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma_{y}}, & \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma_{z}}, & \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau_{yz}}, & \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau_{zx}}, & \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau_{xy}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7.42)$$

and

$$A = -\frac{1}{d\lambda} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \kappa} d\kappa.$$
 (7.43)

The vector a is termed the *flow vector*. Expression (7.32) can be immediately rewritten as

$$d\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = [\boldsymbol{D}]^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} + d\lambda \frac{\partial F}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \qquad (7.44)$$

where **D** is the usual matrix of elastic constants. Premultiplying both sides of (7.44) by  $d_D^T = a^T D$  and eliminating  $a^T d\sigma$  by use of (7.41) we obtain the plastic multiplier  $d\lambda$  to be

$$d\lambda = \frac{1}{[A + a^T D a]} a^T d_D d\epsilon.$$
 (7.45)

Or substituting (7.45) into (7.44) we obtain the complete elasto-plastic incremental stress-strain relation to be

$$d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{D}_{ep} d\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \tag{7.46}$$

with

$$D_{ep} = D - \frac{d_D d_D^T}{A + d_D^T a}; \qquad d_D = Da.$$
(7.47)

This expression for  $D_{ep}$  is similar in form to that for one dimensional application given in Page 28, Chapter 2. It now remains to determine the explicit form of the scalar term, A. The work hardening hypothesis is more general from a thermodynamic viewpoint<sup>(9)</sup> than the strain hardening hypothesis and will be employed for numerical work in this text. Therefore

$$d\kappa = \sigma^T d\epsilon_p. \tag{7.48}$$

Equation (7.39) can be rewritten in the form

$$F(\boldsymbol{\sigma},\kappa) = f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \sigma_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(\kappa) = 0, \qquad (7.49)$$

since the uniaxial yield stress,  $\sigma_Y = \sqrt{(3)k}$ . Thus from (7.43)

$$A = -\frac{1}{d\lambda} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \kappa} d\kappa = \frac{1}{d\lambda} \frac{d\sigma_Y}{d\kappa} d\kappa.$$
(7.50)

Note that the full differential may be employed in the last term since  $\sigma_Y$  is a function of  $\kappa$  only. Employing the normality condition in (7.48) to express  $d\epsilon_p$  we have

$$d\kappa = \sigma^T d\epsilon_p = \sigma^T d\lambda a = d\lambda a^T \sigma. \tag{7.51}$$

Or, for the uniaxial case  $\sigma = \bar{\sigma} = \sigma_Y$  and  $d\epsilon_p = d\bar{\epsilon}_p$  where  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\epsilon}_p$  are respectively the effective stress and strain. Thus (7.51) becomes

$$d\kappa = \sigma_Y d\bar{\epsilon}_p = d\lambda \boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma}. \tag{7.52}$$

Also, from (7.34) we have

$$\frac{d\bar{\sigma}}{d\bar{\epsilon}_p} = \frac{d\sigma_Y}{d\bar{\epsilon}_p} = H'. \tag{7.53}$$

Using Euler's theorem  $\dagger$  applicable to all homogeneous functions of order one, we can write from (7.49)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma}\sigma = \sigma_Y. \tag{7.54}$$

**Or** from (7.42)

$$\boldsymbol{a}^T\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \sigma_Y. \tag{7.55}$$

Substituting (7.53) and (7.55) into (7.52) and (7.50) we obtain

$$d\lambda = d\bar{\epsilon}_p$$
  

$$A = H'. \tag{7.56}$$

† Euler's theorem on homogeneous functions states that if  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is homogeneous and of degree *n* then  $(\partial f/\partial \mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{x} = nf$ .

Thus A is obtained to be the local slope of the uniaxial stress/plastic strain curve and can be determined experimentally from (7.37).

#### 7.4 Alternative form of the yield criteria for numerical computation

For numerical computations it is convenient to rewrite the yield function in terms of alternative stress invariants. This formulation is due to Nayak<sup>(10)</sup> and its main advantage is that it permits the computer coding of the yield function and the flow rule in a general form and necessitates only the specification of three constants for any individual criterion.

The principal deviatoric stresses  $\sigma_1'$ ,  $\sigma_2'$ ,  $\sigma_3'$  are given as the roots of the cubic equation<sup>(11)</sup>

$$t^3 - J_2' t - J_3' = 0. (7.57)$$

Noting the trigonometric identity

$$\sin^3\theta - \frac{3}{4}\sin\theta + \frac{1}{4}\sin 3\theta = 0, \qquad (7.58)$$

and substituting  $t = r \sin \theta$  into (7.57) we have

$$\sin^3\theta - \frac{J_{2'}}{r^2}\sin\theta - \frac{J_{3'}}{r^3} = 0.$$
 (7.59)

Comparing (7.58) and (7.59) gives

$$r = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (J_2')^{1/2}, \tag{7.60}$$

$$\sin 3\theta = -\frac{4J'_3}{r^3} = -\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{J'_3}{(J_2')^{3/2}}.$$
 (7.61)

The first root of (7.61) with  $\theta$  determined for  $3\theta$  in the range  $\pm \pi/2$  is a convenient alternative to the third invariant,  $J_3$ . By noting the cyclic nature of  $\sin(3\theta + 2n\pi)$  we have immediately the three (and only three) possible values of  $\sin\theta$  which define the three principal stresses. The deviatoric principal stresses are given by  $t = r \sin\theta$  on substitution of the three values of  $\sin\theta$  in turn. Substituting for r from (7.60) and adding the mean hydrostatic stress component gives the total principal stresses to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2(J_2')^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) \\ \sin\theta \\ \sin\left(\theta + \frac{4\pi}{3}\right) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{J_1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(7.62)

with  $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \sigma_3$  and  $-\pi/6 \le \theta \le \pi/6$ . The term  $\theta$  is essentially similar to the Lode parameter<sup>(1)</sup>  $\Gamma$  defined by  $\Gamma = -\sqrt{3} \tan \theta$ . The four yield criteria

considered in Section 7.2.1 can now be rewritten in terms of  $J_1$ ,  $J_2'$  and  $\theta$  as follows.

#### The Tresca yield criterion

Substitute for  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_3$  from (7.62) into (7.8) gives

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(J_2')^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\sin\left(\theta+\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)-\sin\left(\theta+\frac{4\pi}{3}\right)\right] = Y(\kappa),$$

or expanding we have

$$2(J_2')^{\frac{1}{2}}\cos\theta = Y(\kappa) = \sqrt{(3)}k(\kappa) = \sigma_Y(\kappa).$$
(7.63)

The physical interpretation of  $\theta$  is evident from Fig. 7.2.

#### The Von Mises yield criterion

There is no change in this case since this yield function depends on  $J_2'$  only. From (7.9)

$$(J_{2'})^{\frac{1}{2}} = k(\kappa),$$
  
 $\sqrt{3}(J_{2'})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sigma_Y(\kappa).$  (7.64)

or

#### The Mohr–Coulomb yield criterion

Substituting from (7.62) for  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_3$  into (7.16) results in

$$\frac{1}{3}J_1\sin\phi + (J_2')^{1/2}\left(\cos\theta - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\sin\theta\sin\phi\right) = c\cos\phi.$$
 (7.65)

The Drucker-Prager yield criterion

There is no change for this criterion and we can write directly from (7.17) that

$$\alpha J_1 + (J_2')^{\frac{1}{2}} = k', \tag{7.66}$$

where a and k' are defined in (7.18) or (7.19).

In order to calculate the  $D_{ep}$  matrix in (7.47) we require to express the flow vector a in a form suitable for numerical computation. We can always write

$$a^{T} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial J_{1}} \frac{\partial J_{1}}{\partial \sigma} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial (J_{2}')^{1/2}} \frac{\partial (J_{2}')^{1/2}}{\partial \sigma} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \sigma}, \qquad (7.67)$$

where

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{T} = \{\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \sigma_{z}, \tau_{yz}, \tau_{zx}, \tau_{xy}\}.$ 

Differentiating (7.61) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\sigma} = \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2\cos 3\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{(J_2')^{3/2}} \frac{\partial J_3}{\partial\sigma} - \frac{3J_3}{(J_2')^2} \frac{\partial (J_2')^{1/2}}{\partial\sigma} \right].$$
(7.68)

Substituting this in (7.67) and using (7.61), we can then write

$$a = C_1 a_1 + C_2 a_2 + C_3 a_3, \tag{7.69}$$

where

$$a_{1}^{T} = \frac{\partial J_{1}}{\partial \sigma} = \{1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0\}$$

$$a_{2}^{T} = \frac{\partial (J_{2}')^{1/2}}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{1}{2(J_{2}')^{1/2}} \{\sigma_{x}', \sigma_{y}', \sigma_{z}', 2\tau_{yz}, 2\tau_{zx}, 2\tau_{xy}\}$$

$$a_{3}^{T} = \frac{\partial J_{3}}{\partial \sigma} = \left\{ \left( \sigma_{y}' \sigma_{z}' - \tau_{yz}^{2} + \frac{J_{2}'}{3} \right), \left( \sigma_{x}' \sigma_{z}' - \tau_{xz}^{2} + \frac{J_{2}'}{3} \right), \left( \sigma_{x}' \sigma_{y}' - \tau_{xy}^{2} + \frac{J_{2}'}{3} \right), 2(\tau_{xz} \tau_{xy} - \sigma_{x}' \tau_{yz}), 2(\tau_{xy} \tau_{yz} - \sigma_{y}' \tau_{xz}), 2(\tau_{yz} \tau_{xz} - \sigma_{z}' \tau_{xy}) \right\},$$

$$(7.70)$$

and

$$C_{1} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial J_{1}}, \quad C_{2} = \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial (J_{2}')^{1/2}} - \frac{\tan 3\theta}{(J_{2}')^{1/2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}\right),$$

$$C_{3} = \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2\cos 3\theta} \frac{1}{(J_{2}')^{3/2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}.$$
(7.71)

Only the constants  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are then necessary to define the yield surface. Thus we can achieve a simplicity of programming as only these three constants have to be varied between one yield surface and another. The constants  $C_4$  are given in Table 7.1 for the four yield criteria considered in Section 7.2.1 and other yield functions can be expressed in the same form with equal ease.

 Table 7.1
 Constants defining the yield surface in a form suitable for numerical analysis.

Yield Criterion	<i>C</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>3</sub>
Tresca	0	$2\cos\theta(1+\tan\theta\tan3\theta)$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{J_2'} \frac{\sin\theta}{\cos 3\theta}$
Von Mises	0	√3	0
Mohr-Coulomb	<del>]</del> sin φ	$\cos \theta [(1 + \tan \theta \tan 3\theta) + \sin \phi (\tan 3\theta - \tan \theta)/\sqrt{3}]$	$\frac{(\sqrt{3}\sin\theta + \cos\theta\sin\phi)}{(2J_2'\cos3\theta)}$
Drucker-Prager	a	1.0	0

#### 7.5 Basic expressions for two dimensional problems

For two dimensional problems, the general expressions derived so far in this chapter have to be modified. Primarily the main alteration required is the deletion of the stress (and strain) components which vanish under the conditions of plane stress, plane strain or axial symmetry. We have only four non-zero stress or strain components, namely

$$\sigma^{T} = \{\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \tau_{xy}, \sigma_{z}\}, \quad \sigma_{z} = 0 \quad \text{for Plane Stress} \\ \{\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \tau_{xy}, \sigma_{z}\}, \quad \epsilon_{z} = 0 \quad \text{Plane Strain} \\ \{\sigma_{r}, \sigma_{z}, \tau_{rz}, \sigma_{\theta}\} \quad \text{Axial Symmetry.}$$
(7.72)

From Fig. 7.8 it is seen that the z direction is taken as the coordinate independent direction for plane stress and plane strain. It is also found convenient to order the stress components as indicated in (7.72) with the stress in the coordinate independent direction being last.





The explicit form of the elasticity matrix D can be written

$$\boldsymbol{D} = \frac{E(1-\nu)}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} & 0 & \left| \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \\ \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} & 1 & 0 & \left| \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-2\nu}{2(1-\nu)} \\ \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} & \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 for plane strain and axial symmetry,

$$\boldsymbol{D} = \frac{E}{1-\nu^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu & 0 & | & 0 \\ \nu & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2} & | & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{0} & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2} & | & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{0} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 for plane stress. (7.73)

Note that the components corresponding to the coordinate independent direction have been included for the plane stress and strain cases. These terms will be excluded for element stiffness formulation and only the first  $3 \times 3$  portion indicated will be employed. By eliminating the appropriate stress terms the expressions developed to date can be readily modified. The flow vector *a* becomes

$$\boldsymbol{a}^{T} = \left\{ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma_{x}}, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma_{y}}, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau_{xy}}, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma_{z}} \right\}, \tag{7.74}$$

with x, y and z being replaced by r, z and  $\theta$  respectively for the case of axial symmetry. The specific form of the vector, a is still given by (7.69) but in this case we have from (7.70)

$$a_{1}^{T} = \{1, 1, 0, 1\}$$

$$a_{2}^{T} = \frac{1}{2(J_{2}')^{1/2}} \{\sigma_{x}', \sigma_{y}', 2\tau_{xy}, \sigma_{z}'\}$$

$$a_{3}^{T} = \left\{ \left( \sigma_{y}' \sigma_{z}' + \frac{J_{2}'}{3} \right), \left( \sigma_{x}' \sigma_{z}' + \frac{J_{2}'}{3} \right), -2\sigma_{z}' \tau_{xy}, \left( \sigma_{x}' \sigma_{y}' - \tau_{xy}^{2} + \frac{J_{2}'}{3} \right) \right\}, \quad (7.75)$$

and the deviatoric stress invariants become, from (7.5)

$$J_{2}' = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_{x}'^{2} + \sigma_{y}'^{2} + \sigma_{z}'^{2}) + \tau_{xy}^{2}$$
  
$$J_{3}' = \sigma_{z}' (\sigma_{z}'^{2} - J_{2}'). \qquad (7.76)$$

To complete the prescription of the elasto-plastic matrix  $D_{ep}$  given in (7.47) we require  $d_D$ . Employing the relevant form of D from (7.73) in (7.47) results in, for plane strain and axial symmetry

$$d_{D} = \begin{cases} d_{1} \\ d_{1} \\ d_{3} \\ d_{4} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{E}{1+\nu}a_{1}+M_{1} \\ \frac{E}{1+\nu}a_{2}+M_{1} \\ \frac{Ga_{3}}{E} \\ \frac{E}{1+\nu}a_{4}+M_{1} \end{cases}, \quad M_{1} = \frac{E\nu(a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{4})}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)}, \quad (7.77)$$

where  $G = E/2(1 + \nu)$  is the shear modulus and  $a_1 \dots a_4$  are the components of **a**. For plane stress we have

$$d_{D} = \begin{cases} \frac{E}{1+\nu} a_{1} + M_{2} \\ \frac{E}{1+\nu} a_{2} + M_{2} \\ Ga_{3} \\ \frac{E}{1+\nu} a_{4} + M_{2} \end{cases}, \quad M_{2} = \frac{E\nu(a_{1}+a_{2})}{1-\nu^{2}}.$$
(7.78)

#### 7.6 Singular points on the yield surface

For many yield surfaces the flow vector a is not uniquely defined for certain stress combinations. For example this arises at the corners of the Tresca and Mohr-Coulomb criteria located by  $\theta = \pm 30^{\circ}$  and the direction of plastic straining there is indeterminate. Koiter<sup>(12)</sup> has provided limits within which the incremental plastic strain vector must lie. Numerical difficulties will be encountered as  $\theta$  approaches  $\pm 30^{\circ}$  for the Tresca and Mohr-Coulomb laws since it is seen from Table 7.1 that for these values of  $\theta$  both  $C_2$  and  $C_3$ become indeterminate. This difficulty can be overcome by returning to the original expressions (7.63) for the Tresca law and (7.65) for the Mohr-Coulomb criterion and rewriting these for the explicit values  $\theta = \pm 30^{\circ}$ . Thus we have for the *Tresca* law

$$\sqrt{(3)} (J_2')^i = Y(\kappa) = \sqrt{(3)} k(\kappa), \tag{7.79}$$

and thus from (7.71) we have

$$C_1 = 0, \quad C_2 = \sqrt{3}, \quad C_3 = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \theta = \pm 30^{\circ}.$$
 (7.80)

Physically, since (7.79) is the Von Mises criterion, this is equivalent to stating that the direction of plastic straining at the corners of the Tresca criterion is that given by the Von Mises circle which also passes through the corner (see Fig. 7.2). Similarly for the *Mohr-Coulomb* criterion we have

from (7.65),

$$\frac{1}{3}J_{1}\sin\phi + (J_{2}')^{1/2}\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{3} - \frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{3}}\right) - c\cos\phi = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \theta = +30^{0}$$
$$\frac{1}{3}J_{1}\sin\phi + (J_{2}')^{1/2}\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{3} + \frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{3}}\right) - c\cos\phi = 0 \qquad \theta = -30^{0}, \quad (7.81)$$

or from (7.71) we have

$$C_{1} = \frac{1}{3}\sin\phi, \ C_{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{3} - \frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{3}}\right), \ C_{3} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \theta = +30^{0}$$
$$C_{1} = \frac{1}{3}\sin\phi, \ C_{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{3} + \frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{3}}\right), \ C_{3} = 0 \qquad \theta = -30^{0}.$$
(7.82)

The practical approach adopted in this text is to use the general expressions for  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  given in Table 7.1 for all values of  $|\theta| \leq 29^\circ$  and to then employ either (7.80) for Tresca or (7.82) for Mohr-Coulomb in the vicinity of the corners. This makes the direction of straining unique, and also satisfies the Koiter requirements. Physically this artifice corresponds to a 'rounding off' of the yield surface corners.

#### 7.7 Finite element expressions and program structure

The basic expressions required for solution can be again obtained by use of the principle of virtual work. Consider the solid, in which the internal stresses  $\sigma$ , the distributed loads/unit volume b and external applied forces f form an equilibrating field, to undergo an arbitrary virtual displacement pattern  $\delta d^*$  which result in compatible strains  $\delta \epsilon^*$  and internal displacements  $\delta u^*$ . Then the principle of virtual work requires that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{*T} \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \delta \boldsymbol{u}^{*T} \boldsymbol{b}) d\Omega - \delta \boldsymbol{d}^{*T} \boldsymbol{f} = 0.$$
 (7.83)

Then the normal finite element discretising procedure leads to the following expressions for the displacements and strains within any element

$$\delta \boldsymbol{u}^* = N \delta \boldsymbol{d}^*, \qquad \delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* = \boldsymbol{B} \delta \boldsymbol{d}^*, \tag{7.84}$$

where N and B are respectively the usual matrix of shape functions and the elastic strain matrix. Then the element assembly process gives

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta d^{*T} (B^T \sigma - N^T b) d\Omega - \delta d^{*T} f = 0, \qquad (7.85)$$

where the volume integration over the solid is the sum of the individual element contributions. Since this expression must hold true for any arbitrary  $\delta d^*$  value

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{B}^{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, d\Omega - \boldsymbol{f} - \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{N}^{T} \boldsymbol{b} \, d\Omega = 0.$$
 (7.86)

For the solution of nonlinear problems as described in Chapter 2, (7.86) will not generally be satisfied at any stage of the computation, and

$$\Psi = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{B}^{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, d\Omega - \left( \boldsymbol{f} + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{N}^{T} \boldsymbol{b} \, d\Omega \right) \neq 0, \qquad (7.87)$$

where  $\psi$  is the residual force vector. For an elasto-plastic situation the material stiffness is continually varying, and instantaneously the incremental stress/strain relationship is given by (7.46). For the purpose of evaluating the material tangential stiffness matrix  $K_T$  at any stage, the incremental form of (7.87) must be employed. Thus within an increment of load we have

$$\Delta \psi = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{B}^T \, \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, d\Omega - \left( \Delta \boldsymbol{f} + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{N}^T \, \Delta \boldsymbol{b} \, d\Omega \right). \tag{7.88}$$

Substituting for  $\Delta \sigma$  from (7.46) results in

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{K}_T \boldsymbol{d} - \left( \Delta f + \int_{\Omega} N^T \Delta \boldsymbol{b} \, d\Omega \right), \tag{7.89}$$

where

$$K_T = \int_{\Omega} B^T D_{ep} B d\Omega. \qquad (7.90)$$

Expression (7.89) is essentially identical to (2.4) and therefore the solution procedures developed in Chapter 2 can be again employed.

The programming philosophy adopted for this application follows that employed in Chapter 3 for one-dimensional elasto-plastic problems. It is suggested that the reader reviews the appropriate sections of Chapter 3 before proceeding to the remainder of this chapter. The solution techniques discussed in Chapters 2 and 3 are utilised and in particular an initial stiffness algorithm, a tangential stiffness algorithm and two options of the combined initial/tangential stiffness approach are included. An outline of the program is provided in Fig. 7.9. Many of the subroutines required are common to the corresponding linear elastic solution program and their function and structure have already been described. In particular, subroutines BMATS, CHECK1, CHECK2, DBE, ECHO, FRONT, GAUSSQ, JACOB2, LOADPS, MODPS, NODEXY and SFR2 have been described in Section 6.4. Also the standard nonlinear subroutines ALGOR, CONVER, INCREM and INPUT have been presented in Section 6.5. We will now formulate the additional subroutines required and assemble them to form a working program.



Fig. 7.9 Program organisation for two-dimensional elasto-plastic applications.

### 7.8 Additional program subroutines

A total of eight additional subroutines are required some of which will be common to other nonlinear applications considered in later chapters of this text.

#### 7.8.1 Subroutine DIMEN

The function of this subroutine is to preset the values of variables employed in the program. In particular the variables associated with the dynamic dimensioning process described in Chapter 6 are defined. Thus if it is required to upgrade the magnitude of the maximum problem size which can be solved it is only necessary to modify the dimension statements in the main or master subroutine together with the variables set in subroutine DIMEN. All the variables preset in this subroutine have been previously defined and their specified values are indicated in the following listing.

	SUBROUTINE DIMEN(MBUFA, MELEM, MEVAB, MFRON, MMATS, MPOIN, MSTIF, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NDOFN, NPROP, NSTRE)	DIMN DIMN	1 2
C	***************************************	DIMN	2 4
C****	THIS SUBROUTINE PRESETS VARIABLES ASSOCIATED WITH DYNAMIC	DIMN	5
C	DIMENSIONING	DIMN	07
C####I	****	DIMN	8
•	MBUFA = 10	DIMN	9
	MELEM=40	DIMN	10
	MFRON=80	DIMN	11
	MMATS = 5	DIMN	12
	MPOIN=150	DIMN	13
	MSTIF=(MFRON*MFRON_MFRON)/2.0+MFRON	DIMN	14
	MTOTG = MELEM*9	DIMN	15
	NDOFN = 2	DIMN	16
	MTOTV = MPOIN*NDOFN	DIMN	17
	MVFIX=30	DIMN	18
	NPROP=7	DIMN	19
	MEVAB = NDOFN*9	DIMN	- 2Ò
	RETURN	DIMN	21
	END	DIMN	22

#### 7.8.2 Subroutine ZERO

This subroutine merely sets to zero the contents of several arrays employed in the program. These arrays will be employed to accumulate data as the incremental and iterative process continues and they therefore require to be initialised to zero. This subroutine is self-explanatory and is presented without further comment.

```
SUBROUTINE ZERO(ELOAD, MELEM, MEVAB, MPOIN, MTOTG, MTOTV, NDOFN, NELEM,
                                                                           ZRO1
                                                                                   1
                       NEVAB, NGAUS, NSTR1, NTOTG, EPSTN, EFFST,
                                                                           ZRO1
                                                                                   2
                                                                                   3
                       NTOTV, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TFACT,
                                                                           ZRO1
                                                                                   4
                                                                           ZRO1
                       TLOAD, TREAC, MVFIX)
C###
                                                                                   5
                       ********
                                                              *********
                                                                           ZRO1
                                                                                   6
                                                                           ZRO1
C
                                                                                   7
8
                                                                            ZRO1
C#### THIS SUBROUTINE INITIALISES VARIOUS ARRAYS TO ZERO
                                                                           ZRO1
          9
                                                                           ZRO1
┌풁쬿芳븜븜옾
      DIMENSION ELOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), STRSG(4, MTOTG), TDISP(MTOTV),
TLOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), TREAC(MVFIX, 2), EPSTN(MTOTG),
                                                                                  10
                                                                           ZRO1
                                                                            ZRO1
                                                                                  11
                                                                                  12
                                                                           ZRO1
                 EFFST(MTOTG)
                                                                           ZRO1
                                                                                  13
      TFACT=0.0
                                                                           ZRO1
                                                                                  14
      DO 30 IELEM=1,NELEM
                                                                           ZRO1
                                                                                  15
      DO 30 IEVAB=1,NEVAB
                                                                           ZRO1
                                                                                  16
      ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0
```

30	TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0	ZRO1	17
-	DO 40 ITOTV=1,NTOTV	ZRO1	-18
40	TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0	ZRO1	- 19
	DO 50 IVFIX=1,NVFIX	ZRO1	20
	DO 50 IDOFN=1, NDOFN	ZRO1	21
50	TREAC(IVFIX, IDOFN)=0.0	ZRO1	22
-	DO 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG	ZRO1	-23
	EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0	ZRO1	24
	EFFST(ITOTG)=0.0	ZRO1	- 25
	DO 60 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	ZRO1	-26
60	STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0	ZRO1	-27
	RETURN	ZRO1	-28
	END	ZRO1	29

#### 7.8.3 Subroutine INVAR

The role of this subroutine is to evaluate the various functions of stress used to indicate either initiation of or continuing plastic deformation for the four yield criteria considered in this text. More explicitly we need to calculate the items listed in Table 7.2.

 Table 7.2 Effective stress and uniaxial yield stress levels for the yield criteria included in the elasto-plastic computer code.

Equation No.	Yield criterion	Stress level (effective stress)	Uniaxial (or equivalent yield stress)
(7.63)	Tresca	$2(J_2')^{1/2}\cos\theta$	σγ
(7.64)	Von Mises	$\sqrt{3}  ( J_2^{ \prime})^{1/2}$	σγ
(7.65)	Mohr-Coulomb	$\frac{1}{3}J_1\sin\phi + (J_2')^{1/2} \times (\cos\theta - \sin\theta\sin\phi/\sqrt{2})$	$c\cos\phi$
(7.66)	Drucker-Prager	$a J_1 + (J_2')^{1/2}$	k'

Whether or not plastic deformation takes place at any point is governed by its stress level as monitored by the functions in the third column of Table 7.2. For plastic flow to occur this stress level must achieve the values given in the final column of Table 7.2. For the Tresca and Von Mises criteria this value is precisely the uniaxial yield stress but for the Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager criteria it is an equivalent value defined by the stress-independent terms in (7.65) and (7.66) respectively. Note that all the values given in the final column of Table 7.2 can be functions of the hardening parameter,  $\kappa$ .

Subroutine INVAR merely computes the effective or deviatoric stress components and then evaluates the appropriate function in the third column of Table 7.2 depending on the yield criterion being employed. The choice of yield criterion is governed by the parameter NCRIT, input in subroutine INPUT, and the available options are provided below

239

NCRIT = 1 Tresca yield criterion

2 Von Mises

3 Mohr-Coulomb

4 Drucker-Prager

Subroutine INVAR is now presented and descriptive notes provided.

	SUBROUTINE INVAR(DEVIA, LPROP, MMATS, NCRIT, PROPS, SINT3, STEFF, STEMP, THETA, VARJ2, YIELD)	INVR	2
C#####	,	INVR	3
č		INVR	4
C####	THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES THE STRESS INVARIANTS AND THE CURRENT	INVR	5
č	VALUE OF THE YTELD FUNCTION	INVR	6
č		INVR	7
C#####	<b>╸╶╶╴╴╴╴╴╴╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶╶</b>	INVR	8
-	DIMENSION DEVIA(4), PROPS(MMATS.7), STEMP(4)	INVR	9
	BOOT3-1.73205080757	INVR	10
	SMEAN=(STEMP(1)+STEMP(2)+STEMP(4))/3.0	INVR	11
	DEVIA(1)=STEMP(1)-SMEAN	INVR	12
	DEVTA(2)=STEMP(2)=SMEAN	INVR	13
	DEVIA(3)=STEMP(3)	INVR	14
	DEVIA(4)=STEMP(4)-SMEAN	INVR	- 15
	VARJ2=DEVIA(3)*DEVIA(3)+0.5*(DEVIA(1)*DEVIA(1)+DEVIA(2)*DEVIA(2)	INVR	16
	+DEVIA(4)*DEVIA(4))	INVR	17
	VARJ3=DEVIA(4)*(DEVIA(4)*DEVIA(4)-VARJ2)	INVR	18
	STEFF=SQRT(VARJ2)	INVR	19
	IF(STEFF.EQ.0.0) GO TO 10	INVR	20
-	-SINT3=-3.0*ROOT3*VARJ3/(2.0*VARJ2*STEFF)	INVR	21
	IF(SINT3.GT.1.0) SINT3=1.0	INVR	22
, 	GO TO 20	TNAK	23
10	SINT3=0.0	TNAK	24
20			25
	$\frac{1}{1} \left( \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} \right) = \frac{1}{1} \left( \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} \right)$		20
		TIMAL	21
	$\frac{\text{IREIA=ASIN(SINTS)/3.0}{\text{CO} \text{ TO} (1, 2, 2, 4)} \text{ MCPTT}$	TNVR	20
C### *	10 10 (1,2,3,4) WORLI	TNVR	20
· · ·		TNVR	21
1	RETURN	INVR	32
C### 1	VON MISES	INVR	33
2	YIELD=BOOT3#STEFF	INVR	34
-	RETURN	INVR	- <u>3</u> 5
C### 1	YOHR_COULOMB	INVR	36
3	PHIRA=PROPS(LPROP,7)*0.017453292	INVR	37
_	SNPHI=SIN(PHIRA)	INVR	38
	YIELD=SMEAN*SNPHI+STEFF*(COS(THETA)-SIN(THETA)*SNPHI/ROOT3)	INVR	- 39
	RETURN	INVR	40
C### ]	DRUCKER-PRAGER	INVR	41
4	PHIRA=PROPS(LPROP,7)*0.017453292	INVR	42
	SNPHI=SIN(PHIRA)	INVR	43
	YIELD=6.0*SMEAN*SNPHI/(ROOT3*(3.0-SNPHI))+STEFF	INVR	44
	RETURN	INVR	45
	END	INVR	46

# INVR 11-15 Compute the deviatoric stresses according to (7.7) with the order of the components being as indicated in (7.72).

- INVR 16-17 Calculate the second deviatoric stress invariant,  $J_2'$ .
- **INVR 18** Calculate the third deviatoric stress invariant,  $J_3'$ .

INVR 19	Compute,	$(J_{2}')^{\dagger}$ .
---------	----------	------------------------

- INVR 20-26 Evaluate  $\sin 3\theta$  according to (7.61).
- **INVR 27** Then compute,  $\theta$ . Note that the principal value is obtained as required in Section 7.4.
- **INVR 28** Branch according to the yield criterion being employed.
- **INVR 30** Evaluate the yield function in Column 3, Table 7.2 for the Tresca criterion.
- **INVR 33** Evaluate the yield function in Column 3, Table 7.2 for the Von Mises criterion.
- INVR 36-38 Evaluate the yield function in Column 3, Table 7.2 for the Mohr-Coulomb criterion.
- INVR 41-43 Evaluate the yield function in Column 3, Table 7.2 for the Drucker-Prager criterion.

#### 7.8.4.1 Subroutine YIELDF

The function of this subroutine is to determine the flow vector a defined in (7.74). Vector a is given by (7.69) where  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are given in Table 7.1 for the various yield criteria considered and the vectors  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  are given by (7.75) for two dimensional applications. For the Tresca and Mohr-Coulomb yield surfaces which have singular points at  $\theta = \pm 30^\circ$  the alternative values of  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  given respectively in (7.80) and (7.82) must be employed.

Subroutine YIELDF is now presented and described.

	SUBROUTINE YIELDF(AVECT, DEVIA, LPROP, MMATS, NCRIT, NSTR1, PROPS, SINT3, STEFF, THETA, VARJ2)	YLDF YLDF	1 2
C##	<b>╤╤╦╘╔╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼</b>	YLDF	- 3
C		YLDF	4
C##	** THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES THE FLOW VECTOR	YLDF	- 5
C		YLDF	6
C##	<b>₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩</b>	YLDF	7
	DIMENSION AVECT(4), DEVIA(4), PROPS(MMATS, 7),	YLDF	- 8
	• VECA1(4), VECA2(4), VECA3(4)	YLDF	9
	IF(STEFF.EQ.0.0) RETURN	YLDF	10
	FRICT=PROPS(LPROP,7)	YLDF	11
	TANTH=TAN(THETA)	YLDF	12
	TANT3=TAN(3.0*THETA)	YLDF	13
	SINTH=SIN(THETA)	YLDF	- 14
	COSTH=COS(THETA)	YLDF	15
	COST3=COS(3.0*THETA)	YLDF	16
	ROOT3=1.73205080757	YLDF	17
C		YLDF	18
C##	* CALCULATE VECTOR A1	YLDF	19
С		YLDF	20
	VECA1(1)=1.0	YLDF	21
	VECA1(2)=1.0	YLDF	22
	VECA1(3)=0.0	YLDF	23
	VECA1(4)=1.0	YLDF	24
C	_	YLDF	25
C##	* CALCULATE VECTOR A2	YLDF	- 26
С		YLDF	27
	DO 10 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	YLDF	- 28
	10 VECA2(ISTR1)=DEVIA(ISTR1)/(2.0*STEFF)	YLDF	29
	VECA2(3)=DEVIA(3)/STEFF	YLDF	- 30

С		YLDF	31
C##	*** CALCULATE VECTOR A3	YLDF	33
•	VECA3(1)=DEVIA(2)*DEVIA(4)+VARJ2/3.0	YLDF	34
	VECA3(2)=DEVIA(1)*DEVIA(4)+VARJ2/3.0	ILDF VIDE	35
	VECA3(3)= $-2.0$ *DEVIA(3)*DEVIA(4)		30
	$\frac{VECA3(4) = DEVIA(1) * DEVIA(2) - DEVIA(3) * DEVIA(3) + VAR(22) 3}{COTO(1,2,3,4)} = NCRTT$	YLDF	38
с	00 10 (1)2,3347 Hona1	YLDF	39
Č#1	HAR TRESCA	YLDF	40
č		YLDF	41
	1 CONS1=0.0		42
	$\frac{ABTHE}{ABS(THETA*57.29577951308)}$	YLDF	44
	CONS2-ROOT2	YLDF	45
	CONS2=0.0	YLDF	46
	GO TO 40	YLDF	47
	20 CONS2=2.0*(COSTH+SINTH*TANT3)	YLDF	48
	CONS3=ROOT3*SINTH/(VARJ2*COST3)		49
	GO TU 40	YUDE	51
C C#4	HE WON MISES	YLDF	52
C	VON MISES	YLDF	- 53
•	2 CONS1=0.0	YLDF	54
	CONS2=ROOT3	YLDF	55
	CONS3=0.0		20 57
с	GO IO 40	YLDF	58
Č#⊣	*** MOHR_COILOMB	YLDF	59
č		YLDF	60
	3 CONS1=SIN(FRICT*0.017453292)/3.0	YLDF	61
	ABTHE=ABS(THETA*57.29577951308)	YLDF	62
	IF(ABTHE.LT.29.0) GO TO 30	ILDF VIDE	03 61
		YLDF	65
	IF(THETA.GT.0.0) PLUMI=-1.0	YLDF	66
	CONS2=0.5*(ROOT3+PLUMI*CONS1*ROOT3)	YLDF	67
	GO TO 40	YLDF	68
	30 CONS2=COSTH*((1.0+TANTH*TANT3)+CONS1*(TANT3-TANTH)*RC	OT3) YLDF	69
	CONS3=(ROUT3*SINTH+3.0*CONS1*COSTH)/(2.0*VAKJ2*COST3)		טץ 71
r	60 10 40	YI DF	72
Č*	*** DRUCKER-PRAGER	YLDF	73
C		YLDF	74
	4 SNPHI=SIN(FRICT#0.017453292)	YLDF	75
	CONS1=2.0*SNPHI/(ROOT3*(3.0-SNPHI))	YLDF	76
	CONS2=1.0	YLDF	-77
		ILUF VIDF	(0 70
	DO 50 ISTRI-1 NSTRI	אמיזע אַמאַע	80
	50 AVECT(ISTR1)-CONSINVECA1(ISTR1)_CONS2#VECA2(ISTR1)_C	WS3# YLDF	81
	. VECA3(ISTR1)	YLDF	82
	RETURN	YLDF	83
	END	YLDF	- 84

- YLDF 10 For the (unlikely) case of a Gauss point with zero stress (identified by  $J_{2'} = J_{3'} = 0$ ) avoid evaluation of the flow vector.
- YLDF 11 Identify FRICT as the friction angle  $\phi$  for Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager materials.
- **YLDF** 12–13 Evaluate  $\tan \theta$  and  $\tan 3\theta$ .
- **YLDF** 14–16 Evaluate  $\sin \theta$ ,  $\cos \theta$  and  $\cos 3\theta$ .
- **YLDF** 17 Compute  $\sqrt{3}$ .
- **YLDF 21–24** Evaluate  $a_1$  according to (7.75).
- **YLDF 28-30** Evaluate  $a_2$  according to (7.75). Note that STEFF and DEVIA are transferred via the argument list from subroutine INVAR.
- **YLDF 34-37** Evaluate  $a_3$  according to (7.75).
- YLDF 38 Branch according to the yield criterion being employed.
- YLDF 41-49 Compute the constants  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  for a Tresca material according to Table 7.1. In the vicinity of a singular point, identified by  $|\theta| > 29.0^\circ$  evaluate  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  according to (7.80).
- YLDF 53-55 Compute  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  for a Von Mises material according to Table 7.1.
- YLDF 61-67 Compute  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  for the Mohr-Coulomb criterion. In the vicinity of a singular point defined by  $|\theta| > 29.0^{\circ}$ evaluate  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  according to (7.82).

YLDF 75–78 Calculate  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  for the Drucker–Prager yield criterion.

YLDF 80-82 Evaluate a according to (7.69).

# 7.8.4.2 Subroutine FLOWPL

The main purpose of this subroutine is to determine the vector  $d_D$  according to either (7.77) or (7.78) depending on the type of analysis being undertaken. In the program presented in this chapter only a linear form of strain hardening is explicitly considered, with the coding of alternative models being left as an exercise for the reader. In this case the term H' in (7.37) becomes a constant and is specified as a material property.

Subroutine FLOWPL is now listed and described.

-	SUBROUTINE FLOWPL (AVECT, ABETA, DVFCT, NTYPE, PROPS, LPROP, NSTR1, MMATS)	FLPL	1
C####	***************************************	FLPL	Ż
Ç		FLPL	3
C***	THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES THE PLASTIC D VECTOR	FLPL	4
C		FLPL	5
Cause	Ħ <b>╤╪╓╦╤╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪╪┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊</b>	FLPL	6
	DIMENSION AVECT(4), DVECT(4), PROPS(MMATS,7)	FLPL	- 7
	IOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 1)	FLPL	8
	POISS=PROPS(LPROP,2)	FLPL	- 9
	HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 6)	FLPL	10
	FMUL1=YOUNG/(1.0+POISS)	FLPL	11
	LF(NTYPE.EQ.1) GO TO 60	FLPL	12
	FMUL2=YOUNG*POISS*(AVECT(1)+AVECT(2)+AVECT(4))/((1.0+POISS)*	FLPL	13
	• (1.0-2.0*POISS))	FLPL	14
	DVECT(1)=FMUL1#AVECT(1)+FMUL2	FLPL	15
	DVECT(2)=FMUL1#AVECT(2)+FMUL2	FLPL	16
	DVECT(3)=0.5*AVECT(3)*YOUNG/(1.0+POISS)	FLPL	-17
	DVECT(4)=FMUL1*AVECT(4)+FMUL2	FLPL	-18
	GO TO 70 .	FLPL	19

60	FMUL3=YOUNG*POISS*(AVECT(1)+AVECT(2))/(1.0-POISS*POISS)	FLPL	20
	DVECT(1)=FMUL1#AVECT(1)+FMUL3	FLPL	21
	DVECT(2)=FMUL1#AVECT(2)+FMUL3	FLPL	22
	DVECT(3)=0.5*AVECT(3)*YOUNG/(1.0+POISS)	FLPL	23
	DVECT(4)=FMUL1#AVECT(4)+FMUL3	FLPL	24
70	DENOM=HARDS	FLPL	25
•	DO 80 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	FLPL	26
80	DENOM=DENOM+AVECT(ISTR1)*DVECT(ISTR1)	FLPL	27
	ABETA=1.0/DENOM	FLPL	28
	RETURN	FLPL	-29
	END	FLPL	30

FLPL 8	Identify	YOUNG as	the elastic	modulus, E.
--------	----------	----------	-------------	-------------

- **FLPL 9** Identify POISS as the Poisson's ratio,  $\nu$ .
- FLPL 10 Identify HARDS as H' for linear strain hardening.
- FLPL 13-18 Evaluate  $d_D$  according to (7.77) for plane strain and axisymmetric situations.
- FLPL 20-24 Evaluate  $d_D$  according to (7.78) for plane stress problems.
- FLPL 26-28 Compute  $1/(H' + d_D^T a)$  for later evaluation of the elastoplastic matrix  $D_{ep}$  in (7.47).

# 7.8.5 Subroutine STIFFP

This subroutine evaluates the stiffness matrix for each element in turn and differs from the linear elastic version, described in Section 6.3.2, only in that the elasticity matrix D is replaced (for the tangential stiffness approach at least) by the elasto-plastic matrix  $D_{ep}$  defined in (7.47). This subroutine is called only when the element stiffnesses are to be reformulated as controlled by variable KRESL defined in subroutine ALGOR. Obviously the element stiffnesses must be calculated for the first iteration of the first load increment and elastic behaviour must be assumed. Every other time this subroutine is accessed the stiffnesses are to be recalculated to account for any plastic deformation of the material and consequently the  $D_{ep}$  matrix must be employed. Apart from this change the element stiffness formulation process is identical to that for elastic materials as described in Section 6.3.2.

Subroutine STIFFP will now be described and explanatory notes provided.

<b>CBBBBBBBBBBBBB</b>	SUBROUTINE STIFFP(COORD, EPSTN. LINCS, LNODS, MATNO, MEVAB, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTV, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NSTRE, NSTR1, POSGP, PROPS, WEIGP, MELEM, MTOTG, STRSG, NTYPE, NCRIT)	STFP STFP STFP STFP	1234
C		STFP	6
C****	IN TURN	STFP	8
C		STFP	- 9
Casaa	₽₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	STFP	10
	DIMENSION BMATX(4,18), CARTD(2,9), COORD(MPOIN,2), DBMAT(4,18),	STFP	11
1	. DERIV(2,9), DEVIA(4), DMATX(4,4),	STFP	12
	ELCOD(2.9), EPSTN(MTOTG), ESTIF(18, 18), LNODS(MELEM, 9),	STFP	13
	. MATNO(MELEM), POSGP(4), PROPS(MMATS, 7), SHAPE(9),	STFP	14
	WEIGP(4).STRES(4).STRSG(4.MTOTG).	STFP	15
	$\mathbf{DVECT}(4)$ , $\mathbf{AVECT}(4)$ , $\mathbf{GPCOD}(2, 9)$	STFP	16
	TWOPI=6.283185308	STFP	17
	REWIND 1	STFP	18

	KGAUS=0		STFP	19
C			STEP	20
Case 1	JOOP OVER EA	ACH ELEMENI	STEP	22
. 🗤	DO 70 IELE	M=1.NELEM	STFP	23
	LPROP=MATN	D(IELEM)	STFP	24
С			STFP	25
Caun 1	EVALUATE TH	E COORDINATES OF THE ELEMENT NODAL POINTS	STFP	26
. <b>C</b>			STFP	27
	DO TO INODA	L=1, NNODE (INODS(TELEW INODE))	STEP	- 20 - 20
	IPOSN=(LNO)	DE_1)*2	STFP	30
	DO 10 IDIM	E=1.2	STFP	31
	IPOSN=IPOS	N+1	STFP	<u>3</u> 2
10	ELCOD(IDIM	E, INODE) = COORD(LNODE, IDIME)	STFP	- 33
•	THICK=PROP	S(LPROP, 3)	STFP	34
- C - C### 1	NTTAL 176 '	THE ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRIX	STEP	- 32 - 36
оронана С	LNIIIKLICC	THE ELEMENT STITTALOS ARTAIN	STEP	30
	DO 20 IEVA	B=1.NEVAB	STFP	- 38
	DO 20 JEVA	B=1,NEVAB	STFP	- 39
20	ESTIF(IEVA	B,JÉVAB)=0.0	STFP	40
~	KGASP=0		STFP	41
		FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTERRATION	STEP	- 42 - JID
- 6=== 1 6	SNIER LOOPS	FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION	STFP	44
Ŷ	DO 50 IGAU	S=1.NGAUS	STFP	45
	EXISP=POSG	P(IGAUS)	STFP	-46
	DO 50 JGAU	S=1,NGAUS	STFP	47
	ETASP=POSG	P(JGAUS)	STFP	48
	KGASP=KGAS	P+1	STEP	-49
ė	KGAUS=KGAU	Q+1	STEP	51
6### 1	EVALUATE TH	F D_MATRIX	STFP	52
Č			STFP	53
c	CALL MODPS	(DMATX,LPROP,MMATS,NTYPE,PROPS)	STFP	-54
C### 1		E SHADE ENNETTONS ELEMENTAL VOLUME ETC	SILL	- <b>う</b> う - 56
č	STALOAIL III	E SHAFE FUNCTIONS, ELEMENTAL VOLUME, ETC.	STEP	57
•	CALL	SFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)	STFP	58
	CALL	JACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP,	STFP	-59
	•	NNODE, SHAPE)	STFP	60
	DVOLU=DJAC	B#WEIGP(IGAUS)#WEIGP(JGAUS)	STFP	61
	IF (NTIPE.E	Q.3) DVOLU=DVOLU=TWOP1=GPCOD(1,KGASP)	STFP	62
C	TRAINTCK.N	E.U.U) DVOLU=DVOLU=THICK	STEP	- 63 61
C=+=	EVALUATE TH	E B AND DB MATRICES	STFP	65
C			STFP	66
	CALL BMATP	S(BMATX, CARTD, NNODE, SHAPE, GPCOD, NTYPE, KGASP)	STFP	67
	IF(IINCS.E	Q.1) GO TO 80	STFP	68
	LF (EPSTNIK	GAUS) EQ.0.0) GO TO 80	STFP	69
.90	STRES(ISTR	1-STRSC(ISTR1 VCAUS)	SIFF	- (U - 71
	CALL INVAR	(DEVIA. I.PROP. MMATS, NCRTT, PROPS, SINTS, STEFF, STRES,	STEP	72
	•	THETA, VARJ2, YIELD)	STFP	73
	CALL YIELD	F(AVECT, DEVIA, LPROP, MMATS, NCRIT, NSTR1,	STFP	74
		PROPS.SINT3, STEFF, THETA, VARJ2)	STFP	75
	DO 100 TOT	L(AVELI, ABETA, DVECT, NTYPE, PROPS, LPROP, NSTR1, MMATS)	STFP	76
	DO 100 IST	RE-1, NSTRE	SIPP STED	- [] - 70
100	DMATX(ISTR	E.JSTRE)=DMATX(ISTRE.JSTRE)=ABETA*DVECT(ISTRE)=	STEP	10 70
	DVECT(JST	RÉ)	STFP	80
80	CONTINUE		STFP	<u>8</u> 1
	LALL	DBE(BMATX, DBMAT, DMATX, MEVAB, NEVAB, NSTRE, NSTR1)	STFP	82

245

С		STFP 83
C### CALCULATE	THE ELEMENT STIFFNESSES	STFP 84
DO 30 IE1	/AB=1.NEVAB	STFP 86
DO 30 JE	AB=IEVAB, NEVAB	STFP 87
DO 30 IS	RE-T, NSTRE	STFP 88
JU ESILF(IE)	(AD, JEVAB)=ESIIF(IEVAB, JEVAB)+BMAIX(ISIRE, IEVAB)* STRE_JEVAB)*DVOLU	SIFP 09
50 CONTINUE		STFP 91
C		STFP 92
C### CONSTRUCT	THE LOWER TRIANGLE OF THE STIFFNESS MATRIX	STFP 93 STFP 94
DO 60 IE	/AB=1,NEVAB	STFP 95
DO 60 JE	/AB=1,NEVAB	STFP 96
C C C	(AD, ILVAD)=LOIIF(ILVAD, JEVAD)	STFP 97
C*** STORE THE	STIFFNESS MATRIX, STRESS MATRIX AND SAMPLING POINT	STFP 99
C COORDINATE	S FOR EACH ELEMENT ON DISC FILE	STFP 100
WRITE(1)	ESTIF	STFP 102
70 CONTINUE		STFP 103
RETURN FND		STFP 104 STFP 105
STED 17	Compute the value of 2-	
SIFP 17 STED 19	Compute the value of $2\pi$ .	otricco will
51FP 18	Rewind the disc me on which the element summess in	attrices with
	be stored in turn.	
SIFP 19	Set to zero the counter which indicates the over	all Gauss
	point location. So KGAUS ranges from 1 to	NGAU5*
	NGAUS*NELEM.	
STFP 23	Enter the loop over each element in the structure.	
STFP 24	Identify the material property type of the current ele	ment.
STFP 28-33	Store the element nodal coordinates in the local arra	y ELCOD
	for convenient use later.	
STFP 34	Identify the element thickness.	
STFP 38-40	Zero the element stiffness array.	
STFP 41	Set to zero the element Gauss point counter. Se	<b>KGASP</b>
	ranges from 1 to NGAUS*NGAUS.	
STFP 45-48	Enter the numerical integration loops and locate the	e position
	$(\xi, \eta)$ of the current point.	-
STFP 49-50	Increment the local and global Gauss point counters	•
STFP 54	Call subroutine MODPS to evaluate the elasticity	matrix, <b>D</b> .
STFP 58	Evaluate the shape functions $N_i$ and the derivative	es $\partial N_i/\partial \xi$ .
	$\partial N_i / \partial n$ for the current Gauss point.	
STFP 59-60	Evaluate the Gauss point coordinates, GPCO	DIDIME.
0111 00 00	KGASP) the determinant of the Iscobian matrix	I and the
	Cartesian derivatives of the shape functions $\partial N d$	$a_{\rm r} = a_{\rm N}/a_{\rm r}$
	$(a_1 \ge M/2\pi \ge M/2\pi for avisummatria problems)$	$\lambda$ , $U_{1,1}U_$
6 <b>TED</b> (1. (2)	Coloridate the elemental values for averagination into	mation on
31FF 01-03	Calculate the elemental volume for numerical inte	gration as
	$J W_{\xi} W_{\eta}$ taking care to multiply by the appropriat	e unickness
	or by $2\pi r$ for axisymmetric problems. Note that	if a zero
	thickness is specified it is automatically taken to be	unity.

- **STFP 67** Evaluate the *B* matrix.
- **STFP 68** For the first time avoid the replacement of D by  $D_{ep}$ , as defined in (7.47).
- **STFP 69** Also for Gauss points at which the behaviour is elastic avoid the replacement of D by  $D_{ep}$ .
- STFP 70-71 Store the total current stresses in the array STRES.
- STFP 72-76 Call subroutines INVAR, YIELDF and FLOWPL to evaluate the vectors a, (AVECT) and  $d_D$ , (DVECT) and ABETA =  $1/(H' + d_D^T a)$ .
- **STFP** 77–80 Evaluate  $D_{ep}$  according to (7.47).
- STFP 82 Evaluate  $D_{ep}B$ .
- STFP 86-90 Compute the upper triangle of the element stiffness matrix as

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{B}^{T} \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{ep}} \boldsymbol{B} d\Omega$$

- **STFP 91** End of loop for numerical integration.
- **STFP 95–97** Complete the lower triangle of the element stiffness matrix by symmetry.
- **STFP 102** Store the element stiffness matrix on disc file 1.
- **STFP 103** Return to process the next element.

# 7.8.6 Subroutine LINEAR

The purpose of this subroutine is merely to determine the stresses from given displacements assuming linear elastic behaviour. This subroutine is employed in the residual force calculation to be described in the next section. The element displacement components, ELDIS(IDOFN, INODE) are entered into the subroutine, the strain components at the Gauss point under consideration, STRAN(ISTR1) calculated and finally the stress components are evaluated and stored in STRES(ISTR1).

The subroutine is now listed and described.

	SUBROUTINE LINEAR (CARTD, DMATX, ELDIS, LPROP, MMATS, NDOFN, NNODE, NSTRE,	LINR	1
	NTIPE, PROPS, STRAN, STRES, KGASP, GPCOD, SHAPE)	LINK	2
C====	***************************************	LINR	- 3
C		LINR	- 4
C####	THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES STRESSES AND STRAINS ASSUMING LINEAR	LINR	- 5
0	ELASTIC BEHAVIOUR	LINR	6
C		LINR	7
C####!	<b>#####################################</b>	LINR	8
	DIMENSION AGASH(2,2), CARTD(2,9), DMATX(4,4), ELDIS(2,9),	LINR	9
	<ul><li>PROPS(MMATS, 7), STRAN(4), STRES(4),</li></ul>	LINR	10
	- GPCOD(2,9), SHAPE(9)	LINR	11
	POISS=PROPS(LPROP.2)	LTNR	12
	DO 20 TDOEN-1 NDOEN	TINR	12
	DO 20 JDOFN-1 NDOFN	TND	10
		LINU	14
		LINR	15
	DO TO INODE=1,NNODE	LINR	16

	10 20	BGASH=BGASH+CARTD(JDOFN,INODE)*ELDIS(IDOFN,INODE) AGASH(IDOFN,JDOFN)=BGASH	LINR LINR LINR	17 18 10
C C#1	ŧ#	CALCULATE THE STRAINS	LINR	20
Ĉ			LINR	21
-		STRAN(1) = AGASH(1,1)	LINR	22
		STRAN(2) = AGASH(2,2)	LINR	23
		STRAN(3) = AGASH(1,2) + AGASH(2,1)	LINR	24
		STRAN(4)=0.0	LINR	25
		DO 30 INODE=1, NNODE	LINR	26
	30	STRAN(4)=STRAN(4)+ELDIS(1, INODE)*SHAPE(INODE)/GPCOD(1,KGASP)	LINR	27
C			LINR	28
C#1	ł¥	AND THE CORRESPONDING STRESSES	LINR	29
С			LINR	30
		DO 40_ISTRE=1,NSTRE	LINR	31
		STRES(ISTRE)=0.0	LINR	32
		DO 40 JSTRE=1,NSTRE	LINR	33
	40	STRES(ISTRE)=STRES(ISTRE)+DMATX(ISTRE,JSTRE)*STRAN(JSTRE)	LINR	- 34
		IF(NTYPE.EQ.1) STRES(4)=0.0	LINR	35
		IF(NTYPE.EQ.2) STRES(4)=POISS*(STRES(1)+STRES(2))	LINR	36
		RETURN	LINR	37
		END	LINR	-38

LINR 12 Identify POISS as the Poisson's ratio of the element material.

- LINR 13-18 Calculate the Cartesian derivatives of the Gauss point displacement components  $\partial u/\partial x$ ,  $\partial u/\partial y$ ,  $\partial v/\partial x$ ,  $\partial v/\partial y$ .
- LINR 22-27 Evaluate the strain components at the Gauss point according to

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{x} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{y} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{y} \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{xy} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{z} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \\ 0 \end{cases} \text{ for plane problems,}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{z} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{z} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{z} \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{rz} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r$$

LINR 31-34 Calculate the stress components, assuming elastic behaviour, according to  $\sigma = D\epsilon$ .

LINR 35-36 For a plane stress problem set  $\sigma_z = 0$  and set  $\sigma_z = \nu(\sigma_x + \sigma_y)$  for plane strain situations.

## 7.8.7 Subroutine RESIDU

The function of this subroutine is to evaluate the nodal forces which are statically equivalent to the stress field satisfying elasto-plastic conditions. Comparison of these equivalent nodal forces with the applied loads gives the residual forces, according to (2.4), and this operation is carried out in subroutine CONVER. Therefore RESIDU performs the same task for twodimensional continua as subroutine REFOR3 undertook for uniaxial situations, and the reader is urged to review Section 3.12.2 before proceeding further. The logic applied in this subroutine is almost identical to that applied in Section 3.12.2. Below we reproduce the essential steps in an abbreviated form and expand only the steps which pertain to the case of two dimensional solids.

During the application of an increment of load an element, or part of an element, may yield. All stress and strain quantities are monitored at each Gaussian integration point and therefore we can determine whether or not plastic deformation has occurred at such points. Consequently an element can behave partly elastically and partly elasto-plastically if some, but not all, Gauss points indicate plastic yielding. For any load increment it is necessary to determine what proportion is elastic and which part produces plastic deformation and then adjust the stress and strain terms until the yield criterion and the constitutive laws are satisfied. The procedure adopted is as follows.

- Step a. The applied loads for the  $r^{\text{th}}$  iteration are the residual forces  $\psi^{r-1}$ , given by (2.4) which give rise to displacement increments  $dd^r$ , according to (2.12), and strain increments  $de^r$ .
- Step b. Compute the incremental stress changes,  $d\sigma_e^r$  as  $d\sigma_e^r = Dd\epsilon^r$ where the subscript *e* denotes that we are assuming elastic behaviour.
- Step c. Accumulate the total stress for each element Gauss point as  $\sigma_e^r = \sigma^{r-1} + d\sigma_e^r$  where  $\sigma^{r-1}$  are the converged stresses for iteration r-1.
- Step d. The next step depends on whether or not yielding took place at the Gauss point during the  $(r-1)^{\text{th}}$  iteration. Therefore we check if  $\tilde{\sigma}^{r-1} > \sigma_Y = \sigma_Y^\circ + H' \tilde{\epsilon}_p^{r-1}$ , where  $\bar{\sigma}^{r-1}$  is the effective stress given by Column 3, Table 7.2,  $\sigma_Y$  is the uniaxial yield stress, (Column 4, Table 7.2), H' is the linear strain hardening parameter and  $\tilde{\epsilon}_p^{r-1}$  is the effective plastic strain existing at the end of the  $(r-1)^{\text{th}}$  iteration. This expression is identical to the uniaxial case, Section 3.12.2, with all quantities replaced by the effective or equivalent values. If the answer is:

### YES

The Gauss point had previously yielded. Now check to see if  $\tilde{\sigma}_{e}r > \bar{\sigma}^{r-1}$  where  $\bar{\sigma}_{e}r$ is the effective stress, Col. 3, Table 7.2 based on stresses  $\sigma_{e}r$ . If the answer is: NO YES

The Gauss point is unloading elastically and therefore go directly to Step g. The Gauss point had yielded previously and the stress is still increasing. Therefore all the excess stress  $\sigma_e^r - \sigma^{r-1}$ must be reduced to the yield surface as indicated in Fig. 7.10(a). Therefore the factor Rwhich defines the portion of stress which must be modified to satisfy the yield criterion is equal to 1.

NO

Which implies that the Gauss point had not previously yielded. Now check to see if  $\bar{\sigma}_{e^{r}} > \sigma_{X}^{0}$ . If the answer is:

NO	YES
The Gauss point is still elastic and therefore go directly to Step g.	The Gauss point has yielded during application of load corresponding to this iteration as shown in Fig. 7.10(b). The portion of the stress greater than the yield value must be reduced to the yield surface. The reduction factor $R$ is given from Fig. 7.10(b) to be
$R = \frac{AB}{AC} =$	$\frac{\bar{\sigma}_e{}^r - \sigma_Y}{\bar{\sigma}_e{}^r - \bar{\sigma}{}^{r-1}}.$



Fig. 7.10(a) Incremental stress changes in an already yielded point in an elastoplastic continuum.



Fig. 7.10(b) Incremental stress changes at a point in an elasto-plastic continuum at initial yield.

- Step e. For yielded Gauss points only compute the portion of the total stress which satisfies the yield criterion as  $\sigma^{r-1} + (1-R)d\sigma_e^r$ .
- Step f. The remaining portion of stress,  $R d\sigma_e^r$  must be effectively eliminated in some way. The point A must be brought onto the yield surface by allowing plastic deformation to occur. Physically this can be described as follows. On loading from point C, the stress point moves elastically until the yield surface is met at B. Elastic behaviour beyond this point would result in a final stress state defined by point A. However in order to satisfy the yield criterion, the stress point cannot move outside the yield surface and consequently the stress point can only traverse the surface until both equilibrium conditions and the constitutive relation are satisfied. From (7.45), (7.46) and (7.47) we have

$$d\sigma^r = Dd\epsilon^r - d\lambda d_D, \tag{7.91}$$

or

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{r} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{r-1} + d\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{e}^{r} - d\lambda \boldsymbol{d}_{D}, \qquad (7.92)$$

which gives the total stresses  $\sigma^r$  satisfying elasto-plastic conditions when the stresses are incremented from  $\sigma^{r-1}$ . Expression (7.92) is illustrated vectorially in Fig. 7.10 and the reader should note the similarity to Fig. 3.7(a). It is seen that if a finite sized stress increment is taken, the final stress point *D*, corresponding to  $\sigma^r$ , may depart from the yield surface. This discrepancy can be practically eliminated by ensuring that the load increments considered in solution are sufficiently small. However the point D can be reduced to the yield surface by simply scaling the vector  $\sigma^r$ . Denoting the effective stress, given by Col. 3, Table 7.2, due to stress  $\sigma^r$  as  $\bar{\sigma}^r$  and noting that this value should coincide with  $\sigma_Y = \sigma_Y^{\circ} + H' \bar{\epsilon}_p^{r}$  if the point D lies on the yield surface, the appropriate scaling factor is readily seen to be

$$\sigma^{r} = \sigma^{r} \left( \frac{\sigma_{Y}^{0} + H' \,\tilde{\epsilon}_{p}^{r}}{\tilde{\sigma}^{r}} \right). \tag{7.93}$$

This represents a scaling of the vector  $\sigma^r$  which implies that the individual stress components are proportionally reduced. The normality condition for the plastic strain increment is evident from Fig. 7.10 since  $Dd\lambda a = Dd\varepsilon_p$ .



Fig. 7.11 Refined process for reducing a stress point to the yield surface.

If relatively large load increment sizes are to be permitted the process described above can lead to an inaccurate prediction of the final point D on the yield surface if the stress point is in the vicinity of a region of large curvature of the yield surface. This is illustrated in Fig. 7.11 where the process of reducing the elastic stress to the yield surface is shown to end in the stress point D which is then scaled down to the yield surface to give point D'. Greater accuracy can be achieved by relaxing the excess stress to the yield surface in several stages.\* Fig. 7.11 shows the case where the excess stress is divided into three equal parts and each increment reduced to the yield surface in turn. After the three reduction cycles to the stress point E the drift away from the yield surface can be corrected by simple scaling to give the final stress point E'. It is seen that the final

• Alternative procedures for this operation are presented in Refs. 18 and 19 whilst a completely different approach to stress projection is followed in Ref. 20. points D' and E' can be significantly different. An additional refinement which can be introduced is to scale the stress point to the yield surface after the reduction process for each cycle and not only after the final cycle as shown in Fig. 7.11. Obviously the greater the number of steps into which the excess stress AB is divided, the greater the accuracy. However the computation for each step is relatively expensive since the vectors a and  $d_D$  have to be calculated at each stage. Clearly a balance must be sought and in this text the following criterion is adopted. The excess stress  $Rd\sigma_e^r$  is divided into m parts where m is given by the nearest integer which is less than

$$\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_e^r - \sigma_Y}{\sigma_Y^0}\right) 8 + 1, \tag{7.94}$$

where  $\bar{\sigma}_e^r - \sigma_Y$  gives a measure of the excess stress *AB* and  $\sigma_Y^\circ$  is the initial uniaxial yield stress in Col. 4, Table 7.2 before the onset of work hardening. This criterion can be readily amended by the user.

- **Step g.** For elastic Gauss points only calculate  $\sigma^r$  as  $\sigma^r = \sigma^{r-1} + d\sigma_e^r$ .
- Step h. Finally, calculate the equivalent nodal forces from the element stresses according to

$$(f^{(e)})^r = \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} \boldsymbol{B}^T \,\boldsymbol{\sigma}^r \, d\Omega. \tag{7.95}$$

Subroutine RESIDU is now listed and described.

```
SUBROUTINE RESIDU(ASDIS, COORD, EFFST, ELOAD, FACTO, IITER, LNODS,
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                   1
                         LPROP, MATNO, MELEM, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, MTOTV, NDOFN, RSDU
                                                                                   2
                         NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NSTR1, NTYPE, POSGP, PROPS, RSDU
                                                                                   3
NSTRE, NCRIT, STRSG, WEIGP, TDISP, EPSTN)
                                                                                   4
5
6
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                            RSDU
Ĉ
                                                                            RSDU
C**** THIS SUBROUTINE REDUCES THE STRESSES TO THE YIELD SURFACE AND
                                                                                   7
8
                                                                            RSDU
.C
      EVALUATES THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES
                                                                            RSDU
С
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                   9
***********
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  10
      DIMENSION ASDIS(MTOTV), AVECT(4), CARTD(2,9), COORD(MPOIN,2),
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  11
                 DEVIA(4),DVECT(4),EFFST(MTOTG),ELCOD(2,9),ELDIS(2,9),
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  12
                 ELOAD(MELEM, 18), LNODS(MELEM, 9), POSGP(4), PROPS(MMATS, 7),
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  13
                 STRAN(4), STRES(4), STRSG(4, MTOTG),
                                                                                  14
                                                                            RSDU
                 WEIGP(4), DLCOD(2,9), DESIG(4), SIGMA(4), SGTOT(4),
                                                                                  15
                                                                            RSDU
                 DMATX(4,4),DERIV(2,9),SHAPE(9),GPCOD(2,9),
EPSTN(MTOTG),TDISP(MTOTV),MATNO(MELEM),BMATX(4,18)
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  16
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  17
      ROOT3=1.73205080757
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  18
      TWOPI=6.283185308
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  19
      DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  20
      DO 10 IEVAB=1, NEVAB
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  21
   10 ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  22
      KGAUS=0
                                                                                  23
                                                                            RSDU
      DO 20 IELEM=1, NELEM
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  24
      LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  25
      UNIAX=PROPS(LPROP,5)
                                                                            RSDU
                                                                                  26
                                                                            RSDU
      HARDS=PROPS(LPROP.6)
                                                                                  27
```

		FRICT=PROPS(LPROP,7)	RSDU	28
		IF(NCRIT.EQ.3) UNIAX=PROPS(LPROP,5) *COS(FRICT*0.017453292)	RSDU	29
		IF(NCRIT.EQ.4) UNIAX=6.0*PROPS(LPROP,5)*COS(FRICT*0.017453292)/	RSDU	30
_		. (ROOT3*(3.0-SIN(FRICT*0.017453292)))	RSDU	31
C_			RODU	32
C#:		COMPUTE COORDINATE, AND INCREMENTAL DISPLACEMENTS OF THE	RODU	35
č		ELEMENT NODAL POINTS	RSDU	34
C		DO 20 THORE -1 NNORE	RSDU	- 25
		THORE THERE IN THORE )	DODU	_)∨ 
		LNODE TADS (LNUDS (LELEM, INUDE ))	RSDU	21
			RSDU	20
			RSDU	<u>л</u> о
		FLCOD(TDOFN, TNODE) = COORD(LNODE, TDOFN)	RSDU	41
	30	ELDTS(IDOFN, INODE)=ASDTS(NPOSN)	RSDU	42
	20	CALL MODPS(DMATX_LPROP_MMATS_NTYPE_PROPS)	RSDU	43
		THICK=PROPS(LPROP, 3)	RSDU	-44
		KGASP=0	RSDU	45
		DO 40 IGAUS=1,NGAUS	RSDU	46
		DO 40 JGAUS=1, NGAUS	RSDU	47
		EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)	RSDU	48
		ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)	RSDU	49
		KGAUS=KGAUS+1	RSDU	50
		KGASP=KGASP+1	RSDU	51
		CALL SFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)	RSDU	52
		CALL JACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP,	RSDU	53
		NNUDE, SHAPE)	RSDU	54
		TE(NILADE EO 3) DAONT-DAONANALADADIACDOD(1 ACVED) DAOROZACIDAKCIOL(ICHO2)_METOL(ICHO2)	RODU	55
			NCDU	50
		CALL BMATPS(BMATX, CARTD, NNODE, SHAPE, GPCOD, NTYPE, KGASP)	RSDU	- 26
		CALL LINEAR (CARTD. DMATX. ELDIS. LPROP. MMATS. NDOFN. NNODE. NSTRE.	RSDU	59
		• NTYPE, PROPS, STRAN, STRES, KGASP, GPCOD, SHAPE)	RSDU	60
		PREYS=UNIAX+EPSTN(KGAUS)*HARDS	RSDU	61
		DO 150 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	RSDU	62
		DESIG(ISTR1)=STRES(ISTR1)	RSDU	- 63
	150	SIGMA(ISTR1)=STRSG(ISTR1,KGAUS)+STRES(ISTR1)	RSDU	64
		CALL INVAR(DEVIA, LPROP, MMATS, NCRIT, PROPS, SINT3, STEFF, SIGMA,	RSDU	65
		. THETA, VARJ2, YIELD)	RSDU	66
		EOFREELEFOI(NGROO) = FREIO	KODU	- 01
			VODO	60
		IF(ESCUR, LE, 0, 0) GO TO 60	RSDU	70
		RFACT=ESCUR/(YIELD_EFEST(KGAUS))	RSDU	71
		GO TO 70	RSDU	72
	50	ESCUR=YIELD-EFFST(KGAUS)	RSDU	73
		IF(ESCUR.LE.O.O) GO TO 60	RSDU	74
		RFACT=1.0	RSDU	75
	70	MSTEP=ESCUR#8.0/UNIAX+1.0	RSDU	76
		ASTEP=MSTEP	RSDU	77
		REDUC=1.0-RFACT	RSDU	78
		DU OU ISIRIEI,NSIR] SCTCCT(ISTRA) STREA(ISTRA VAND) REPUBLICATEDO(ISTRA)	RSDU	-79
	<u>0</u> 0	STRES(ISTRI)=SIRSG(ISIRI,KGAUS)+REDUC*SIRES(ISTRI)	RSDU	80
	00	DO QO ISTEP-1 MSTED	RSDU	80
		CALL INVAR(DEVIA.LPROP.MMATS.NCRIT. PROPS SINTS STEEF SCTOT.	RSDU	83
		THETA. VARIO VIELD)	RSDU	81
		CALL YIELDF (AVECT, DEVIA, LPROP, MMATS. NCRIT. NSTR1.	RSDU	85
		PROPS, SINT3, STEFF, THETA, VARJ2)	RSDU	86
		CALL FLOWPL(AVECT, ABETA, DVECT, NTYPE, PROPS, LPROP, NSTR1, MMATS)	RSDU	87
		AGASH=0.0	RSDU	88
	100	DU IUU LOIKI-1,NSTRI	RSDU	89
	100	AGAON=AGAON+AVEUT(ISTRI)#STRES(ISTRI) DLAMD=AGASH#ARFTA	RSDU	90
			11000	71

IF(DLAMD.LT.0.0) DLAMD=0.0	RSDU 92
BGASH=0.0	RSDU 93
DU   U LDIR = ,NOIR  DOACH_DOACH, AVECT(ISTD1)#SCTOT(ISTD1)	RSDU 94
BUADECDUADEAVEUILISIRI/ SUIUILISIRI/ ALA COMONITERDI) SCHOTITERI), STDES(ISTDI) DI ADDEDVECT(ISTDI)	
110 SUTUI (ISIRI)=SUTUI (ISIRI)+SIRES(ISIRI)-DLAW-DVECI (ISIRI) EDETN/VCAUS)-EDETN/VCAUS)-DI AMD#RCASH/VIEID	RSDU 90
on contraire	RSDU 98
CALL THVAR (DEVIA, LPROP, MMATS, NCRIT, PROPS, SINT3, STEFF, SGTO	T. RSDU 99
THETA, VARJ2, YIELD)	RSDU 100
CURYS=UNIAX+EPSTN(KGAUS) #HARDS	RSDU 101
BRING=1.0	RSDU 102
IF(YIELD.GT.CURYS) BRING=CURYS/YIELD	RSDU 103
DO 130 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	RSDU 104
130 STRSG(ISTR1,KGAUS)=BRING*SGTOT(ISTR1)	RSDU 105
EFFST(KGAUS)=BRING*YIELD	RSDU 106
C*** ALTERNATIVE LOCATION OF STRESS REDUCTION LOOP TERMINATION	CARD RSDU 107
C 90 CONTINUE	ROUU 100
	RODU 109
GU IU 190 60 DO 190 TETRI-1 NETRI	RSDU 110 RSDU 111
180 STREC(ISTRI KCAUS)-STREC(ISTRI KCAUS) DESTC(ISTRI)	RSDU 112
FEEST (KCAUS)-VIELD	RSDU 113
C	RSDU 114
C*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH 1	THE RSDU 115
C ELEMENT NODES	RSDU 116
190 MGASH=0	RSDU 117
DO 140 INODE=1, NNODE	RSDU 118
DO 140 IDOFN=1, NDOFN	RSDU 119
MGASH=MGASH+1	RSDU 120
DO 140 ISTRE=1,NSTRE	RSDU 121
140 ELOAD(IELEM, MGASH)=ELOAD(IELEM, MGASH)+BMATX(ISTRE, MGASH)*	RSDU 122
.STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)*DVOLU	RSDU 123
40 CONTINUE	RSDU 124
20 CONTINUE	RSDU 125
KETUKN	KSDU 126
END	KSDU 127

<b>RSDU</b> 18–19	Compute $\sqrt{3}$ and $2\pi$ .
<b>RSDU 20-22</b>	Zero the array in which the equivalent nodal forces, calcu-
	lated in Step h, will be stored.
RSDU 23	Zero the Gauss point counter over all elements.
RSDU 24	Loop over each element.
RSDU 25	Identify the element material property number.
<b>RSDU 26–</b> 28	Identify the initial uniaxial yield stress, $\sigma_Y^{\circ}$ (or c for Mohr-
-	Coulomb or Drucker-Prager criteria), the linear strain
	hardening parameter H' and the friction angle $\phi$ for Mohr-
	Coulomb and Drucker-Prager materials.
<b>RSDU</b> 29	For a Mohr-Coulomb material evaluate the equivalent
	yield stress as $c \cos \phi$ .
<b>RSDU</b> 30–31	For a Drucker-Prager material evaluate the equivalent
	yield stress as $k'$ according to (7.18).
<b>RSDU</b> 36-42	Store the element nodal coordinates in array ELCOD and
	the nodal displacements due to the application of the
	residual forces in array ELDIS.

- **RSDU 43** Evaluate the elastic **D** matrix.
- **RSDU 44** Identify the element thickness.
- RSDU 45 Zero the local Gauss point counter.
- **RSDU 46-49** Enter the loops for numerical integration and evaluate the local coordinates  $(\xi, \eta)$  at the sampling point.
- RSDU 50-51 Increment the local and global Gauss point counters.
- **RSDU 52** Evaluate the shape functions  $N_i$  and their derivatives  $\partial N_i/\partial \xi$ ,  $\partial N_i/\partial \eta$ .
- **RSDU 53-54** Evaluate the Gauss point coordinates GPCOD(IDIME, KGASP), the determinant of the Jacobian matrix |J| and the Cartesian derivatives of the shape functions  $\partial N_i/\partial x$ ,  $\partial N_i/\partial y$  (or  $\partial N_i/\partial r$ ,  $\partial N_i/\partial z$  for axisymmetric problems).
- **RSDU 55-57** Calculate the elemental volume for numerical integration as  $|J|W_{\xi}W_{\eta}$  taking care to multiply by the appropriate thickness or by  $2\pi r$  for axisymmetric problems. The default value of the thickness is 1.0.
- **RSDU 58** Compute the strain matrix **B** for the Gauss point.
- RSDU 59-60 Compute the stress increment STRES(ISTR1), assuming elastic behaviour as  $d\sigma_e^r = Dd\epsilon^r$ .
- RSDU 61 Compute the yield stress for the  $(r-1)^{\text{th}}$  iteration as  $\sigma_Y^{\circ} + H' \bar{\epsilon}_p^{r-1}$ .
- **RSDU 62-64** Store  $d\sigma_e^r$  as DESIG(ISTR1) and  $\sigma_e^r$  as SIGMA(ISTR1).
- RSDU 65-66 Evaluate the effective stress in Col. 3, Table 7.2 and store as YIELD.
- **RSDU 67-68** Check if the Gauss point had yielded on the previous iteration, i.e. if  $\bar{\sigma}^{r-1} > \sigma_Y^{\circ} + H' \bar{\epsilon}_p^{r-1}$  which is the first operation of Step d.
- RSDU 69-70 If the Gauss point was previously elastic, check to see if it has yielded during this iteration.
- RSDU 71 For a Gauss point which yields during the iteration calculate

$$R = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_e^r - \sigma_Y}{\bar{\sigma}_e^r - \bar{\sigma}^{r-1}}$$

**RSDU** 73-74 Check to see if a Gauss point which had previously yielded is unloading during this iteration. If yes, go to 60.

**RSDU 75** Otherwise, set R = 1. (2) Provide

RSDU 76-77 Evaluate the number of steps into which the excess stress,  $Rd\sigma_e^r$  is to be divided according to (7.94).

**RSDU** 78 Compute (1-R).

- **RSDU 79-81** Compute  $\sigma^{r-1} + (1-R)d\sigma_e^r$  according to Step *e* and store in SGTOT(ISTR1) and evaluate  $Rd\sigma_e^r/m$  and store in STRES(ISTR1).
- **RSDU 82** Loop over each stress reduction step.
- **RSDU 83–87** Compute the vectors  $\boldsymbol{a}$  and  $\boldsymbol{d}_D$ .

- **RSDU** 88–92 Compute  $d\lambda$  according to (7.45) and store as DLAMD.
- **RSDU 93-96** Compute  $\sigma^r = \sigma^{r-1} + (1-R)d\sigma_e^r + Rd\sigma_e^r/m d\lambda d_D/m$ . When the summation process from 1 to *m* required in DO LOOP to index 90 is completed this will result in  $\sigma^r = \sigma^{r-1} + d\sigma_e^r - d\lambda d_D$  to give the stress point *E* in Fig. 7.11.
- **RSDU 97** Compute the effective plastic strain as follows. From (7.51) we have

$$d\kappa = d\lambda a^T \sigma = \sigma^T d\epsilon_p,$$

or rewriting the right hand side in terms of the effective stress  $\bar{\sigma}$  and effective plastic strain  $\bar{\epsilon}_p$  we have

$$d\lambda a^T \sigma = \bar{\sigma} d\bar{\epsilon}_p,$$

and therefore

$$\bar{\epsilon}_p r = \bar{\epsilon}_p r^{-1} + \frac{d\lambda \, \boldsymbol{a}^T \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\bar{\sigma}}. \tag{7.96}$$

- **RSDU 98** Return to loop over the next stress reduction step. This statement is so placed that the final stresses  $\sigma^r$  are scaled down to lie on the yield surface only after all the reduction steps have been completed. An additional refinement can be introduced where, with reference to Fig. 7.11, the stresses are scaled to the yield surface after each reduction step. Such a refinement is not normally required; however it can be introduced by moving statement RSDU 98 to the position indicated in RSDU 108.
- **RSDU 99–100** Compute the effective stress  $\bar{\sigma}^r$ .
- **RSDU** 101 Evaluate  $\sigma_Y^{\circ} + H' \epsilon_p^{r}$ .
- **RSDU** 102-105 Factor the stresses  $\sigma^r$  to ensure that they lie on the yield surface, according to  $\sigma^r = \sigma^r (\sigma_Y^{\circ} + H' \tilde{\epsilon}_p^r) / \bar{\sigma}^r$  as indicated in Fig. 7.11.
- **RSDU** 106 Store the effective stress  $\bar{\sigma}^r$  in array EFFST.
- **RSDU 108** Location of end of loop if the refinement indicated in RSDU 98 is to be included.
- **RSDU** 111-113 For elastic Gauss points compute  $\sigma^r$  as  $\sigma^{r-1} + d\sigma_e^r$  and store  $\bar{\sigma}^r$  in EFFST.
- **RSDU** 117–123 Compute the equivalent nodal forces as

$$(f^{(e)})^r = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma}^r d\Omega.$$

**RSDU** 124–125 Termination of loop for numerical integration and over each element respectively.

### 7.8.8 Subroutine OUTPUT

This subroutine outputs the results at a frequency determined by the output parameters NOUTP(1) and NOUTP(2) whose role is described in Section 6.5.3. The principal stresses and direction are also calculated in this subroutine and these are given by the following expressions

$$\sigma_{\max} = \frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_y}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{(\sigma_x - \sigma_y)^2}{4} + \tau_{xy^2}\right)},$$
  
$$\sigma_{\min} = \frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_y}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{(\sigma_x - \sigma_y)^2}{4} + \tau_{xy^2}\right)},$$
  
$$\theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2\tau_{xy}}{\sigma_x - \sigma_y}\right).$$
 (7.97)

with x and y being replaced by r and z for the axisymmetric case. The term  $\theta$  defines the angle which the maximum principal stress makes with the y (or z) axis; a positive angle being measured anticlockwise.

This subroutine is largely self-explanatory and is listed below.

```
SUBROUTINE OUTPUT(IITER, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NELEM, NGAUS, NOFIX,
                                                                      OTPT
                                                                              1
                       NOUTP, NPOIN, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TREAC, EPSTN,
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             2
                                                                             3
                       NTYPE, NCHEK)
                                                                       OTPT
C##
         *****
                                                                      OTPT
                                                                              4
                                                                              5
С
                                                                       OTPT
C**** THIS SUBROUTINE OUTPUTS DISPLACEMENTS, REACTIONS AND STRESSES
                                                                              б
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                              7
8
                                                                       OTPT
OTPT
     DIMENSION NOFIX(MVFIX), NOUTP(2), STRSG(4, MTOTG), STRSP(3),
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                              9
               TDISP(MTOTV), TREAC(MVFIX, 2), EPSTN(MTOTG)
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             10
     KOUTP=NOUTP(1)
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             11
     IF(IITER.GT.1) KOUTP=NOUTP(2)
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             12
     IF(IITER.EQ.1.AND.NCHEK.EQ.0) KOUTP=NOUTP(2)
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             13
С
                                                                             14
                                                                       OTPT
C*** OUTPUT DISPLACEMENTS
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             15
С
                                                                             16
                                                                       OTPT
     IF(KOUTP.LT.1) GO TO 10
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             17
     WRITE(6,900)
                                                                             18
                                                                       OTPT
 900 FORMAT(1H0,5X,13HDISPLACEMENTS)
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             19
     IF(NTYPE.NE.3) WRITE(6,950)
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             20
 950 FORMAT(1H0,6X,4HNODE,6X,7HX-DISP.,7X,7HY-DISP.)
                                                                             21
                                                                       OTPT
     IF(NTYPE.EQ.3) WRITE(6,955)
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             22
  955 FORMAT(1H0,6X,4HNODE,6X,7HR-DISP.,7X,7HZ-DISP.)
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             23
     DO 20 IPOIN=1, NPOIN
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             24
     NGASH=IPOIN*2
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             25
                                                                             26
     NGISH=NGASH-2+1
                                                                       OTPT
  20 WRITE(6,910) IPOIN, (TDISP(IGASH), IGASH=NGISH, NGASH)
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             27
  910 FORMAT(I10,3E14.6)
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             28
                                                                       OTPT
   10 CONTINUE
                                                                             29
С
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             30
C*** OUTPUT REACTIONS
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             31
                                                                             32
                                                                       OTPT
     IF(KOUTP.LT.2) GO TO 30
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             33
 WRITE(6,920)
920 FORMAT(1H0,5X,9HREACTIONS)
                                                                             34
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             35
                                                                       OTPT
     IF(NTYPE.NE.3) WRITE(6,960)
                                                                       OTPT
                                                                             36
```

	960	FORMAT(1H0,6X,4HNODE,6X,7HX-REAC.,7X,7HY-REAC.)	OTPT	37
		IF(NTYPE.EQ.3) WRITE(6,965)	OTPT	38
	965	FORMAT(1H0,6X,4HNODE,6X,7HR-REAC.,7X,7HZ-REAC.)	OTPT	39
	ho	DO 40 IVFIX=1,NVFIX URITE(6.010) NOFIX(IVEIX) (TREAC(IVEIX IDOFN) IDOFN_1.2)	OTPT	40 Ji1
	40	CONTINUE	OTPT	112
r	20	CONTINUE	OTPT	42 113
c:	<b>⊦</b> ₩₩ (	NITPUT STRESSES	OTPT	44
č	`		OTPT	45
Ŭ		TE(KOUTP.LT.3) GO TO 50	OTPT	46
		IF(NTYPE.NE.3) WRITE(6.970)	OTPT	47
	970	FORMAT(1H0.1X.4HG.P6X.9HXX-STRESS.5X.9HYY-STRESS.5X.9HXY-STRESS.	OTPT	48
		.5X, 9HZZ-STRESS, 6X, 8HMAX P.S., 6X, 8HMIN P.S., 3X, 5HANGLE, 3X,	OTPT	49
		. 6HE.P.S.)	OTPT	50
		IF(NTYPE.EQ.3) WRITE(6,975)	OTPT	51
	975	FORMAT(1H0, 1X, 4HG. P., 6X, 9HRR-STRESS, 5X, 9HZZ-STRESS, 5X, 9HRZ-STRESS,	OTPT	52
		.5X,9HTT-STRESS,6X,8HMAX P.S.,6X,8HMIN P.S.,3X,5HANGLE,3X,	OTPT	53
		. 6HE.P.S.)	OTPT	54
			OTPT	55
		DO DO IELEMEI, NELEM	OIPT	50
		KELGS=U MDITE(6,020) TELEM	OTPT	- 57 - 58
	020	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	OTPT	50
	320	PORMAI(100,0X,1) DELEMENT NO. =,107	OTPT	60
		DO 60 JGAUS-1, NGAUS	OTPT	61
		KGAUS=KGAUS+1	OTPT	62
		KELGS=KELGS+1	OTPT	63
		XGASH=(STRSG(1,KGAUS)+STRSG(2,KGAUS))*0.5	OTPT	64
		XGISH=(STRSG(1,KGAUS)-STRSG(2,KGAUS))*0.5	OTPT	65
		XGESH=STRSG(3,KGAUS)	OTPT	66
		XGOSH=SQRT(XGISH*XGISH+XGESH*XGESH)	OTPT	67
		STRSP(1)=XGASH+XGOSH	OTPT	68
		STRSP(2)=XGASH-XGOSH	OTPT	69
		IF(XGISH.EQ.0.0) XGISH=0.1E=20	OTPT	70
	~~	STRSP(3)=ATAN(XGESH/XGISH)*28.64(889(5)	OTPT	71
	60	WRITE(6,940) KELGS, (STRSG(ISTR1,KGAUS), ISTR1=1,4),	OTPT	72
	010	• $(\text{DIROF}(\text{IDIRE}), \text{IDIRE}=1, 5), \text{EFOIN}(\text{NUAUD})$ EODMAT(TE OV (E11) ( E2 ) E11) ()	OTPT	- 75 - 71
	940 EA	FORMATATE	OTPT OTPT	75
	50	RETIRN	OTPT	76
		END	OTPT	77

- OTPT 11–13 Set the output indicator, KOUTP, according to whether or not this is the first iteration of a load increment or not. If it is the first iteration the results will be output according to NOUTP(1) but for a converged solution the results are output according to NOUTP(2).
- OTPT 17-29 For an output code value of 1 or greater, output the nodal displacements after printing the appropriate headings.
- **OTPT 33-42** For an output code of 2 or greater, output appropriate headings and the reactions at restrained nodal points.
- **OTPT 46** For an output code of 3 output the Gauss point stresses.
- OTPT 47-54 Write appropriate headings.
- OTPT 56-59 Loop over each element and write the element number.
- OTPT 60-61 Loop over each element Gauss point.
- OTPT 62-71 Evaluate the principal stresses and direction for each Gauss point according to (7.97).

259

OTPT 72-74 Output the Cartesian stress components, the principal stresses and direction and the total effective plastic strain for each Gauss point. This latter quantity gives an immediate indication whether the Gauss point has yielded or not, since it will be zero for all elastic points.

# 7.8.9 The main, master or controlling segment

This segment controls the calling, in order, of the other subroutines and is similar in structure to the segment described in Section 3.8 for one-dimensional situations. Its other function is to control the iterative process and also the incrementing of the applied loads.

The following channel numbers are employed by the program: 5 (card reader), 6 (line printer), 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 (scratch files).

This routine is self-explanatory and is presented below without further comment.

	MASTER PLAST	PLAS	1
Casai		PLAS	2
С	PROGRAM FOR THE ELASTO-PLASTIC ANALYSIS OF PLANE STRESS,	PLAS	- 3
C	PLANE STRAIN AND AXISYMMETRIC SOLIDS	PLAS	4
C###1	<b>╕╉╊╫╊╫╊╫╊╫╊╫╫┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼</b>	PLAS	- 5
	<pre>DIMENSION ASDIS(300),COORD(150,2),ELOAD(40,18),ESTIF(18,18),</pre>	PLAS	6
	EQRHS(10), EQUAT(80, 10), FIXED(300), GLOAD(80), GSTIF(3240),	PLAS	7
	. IFFIX(300), LNODS(40,9), LOCEL(18), MATNO(40),	PLAS	8
	NACVA(80), NAMEV(10), NDEST(18), NDFRO(40), NOFIX(30),	PLAS	- 9
	. NOUTP(2),NPIVO(10),	PLAS	10
	<pre>POSGP(4), PRESC(30,2), PROPS(5,7), RLOAD(40,18),</pre>	PLAS	11
	. STFOR(300), TREAC(30,2), VECRV(80), WEIGP(4),	PLAS	12
	. STRSG(4,360),TDISP(300),TLOAD(40,18),	PLAS	-13
	. TOFOR(300), EPSTN(360), EFFST(360)	PLAS	-14
C		PLAS	15
C###	PRESET VARIABLES ASSOCIATED WITH DYNAMIC DIMENSIONING	PLAS	16
С		PLAS	- 17
	CALL DIMEN(MBUFA, MELEM, MEVAB, MFRON, MMATS, MPOIN, MSTIF, MTOTG, MTOTV,	PLAS	18
~	. MVFIX, NDOFN, NPROP, NSTRE)	PLAS	19
C		PLAS	20
Casa	CALL THE SUBROUTINE WHICH READS MOST OF THE PROBLEM DATA	PLAS	21
C		PLAS	22
	CALL INPUT(COORD, IFFIX, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MEVAB, MFRON, MMATS,	PLAS	23
	. MPOIN, MIOTV, MVF1X, NALGO,	PLAS	24
	<ul> <li>NCRIT, NDFRO, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NGAU2,</li> </ul>	PLAS	25
	• NINCS, NMAIS, NNODE, NOF IX, NPUIN, NPROP, NSTRE,	PLAS	20
	• NSIRI, NIUIG, NIUIV,	PLAS	21
c	• NTIPE, NVFIX, POSGP, PRESC, PROPS, WEIGP)	PLAS	20
	CALL THE SUPPORTINE LETCH CONDUTED THE CONSTRUCTION LOAD RECTORS	PLHO DL IO	29
с с	CALL THE SUDROUTINE WHICH COMPUTES THE CONSISTENT LOAD VECTORS	DLRO	21
č	FOR EACH ELEMENT AFTER READING THE RELEVANT INPUT DATA	PLAS	32
•	CALL LOADPS(COORD, LNODS, MATNO MELEM MMATS, MPOTN, NELEM	PLAS	22
	NEVAR NCALLS NNODE NDOTN NETRE NTYDE DOSCD	DIAS	21
	• PROPS. RLOAD_WETGP_NDOEN)	PLAS	35
С		PLAS	36
C###	INITIALISE CERTAIN ARRAYS	PLAS	75
Ċ		PLAS	38

CALL ZERO(ELOAD, MELEM, MEVAB, MPOIN, MTOTG, MTOTV, NDOFN, NELEM, PLAS 39 NEVAB, NGAUS, NSTR1, NTOTG, EPSTN, EFFST, NTOTV, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TFACT, PLAS 40 PLAS 41 TLOAD, TREAC, MVFIX) PLAS 42 PLAS 43 CHAN LOOP OVER EACH INCREMENT PLAS 44 PLAS 45 С 46 PLAS DO 100 IINCS = 1,NINCS47 PLAS С C\*\*\* READ DATA FOR CURRENT INCREMENT PLAS 48 PLAS 49 С CALL INCREM(ELOAD, FIXED, IINCS, MELEM, MEVAB, MITER, MTOTV, PLAS 50 MVFIX, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NOUTP, NOFIX, NTOTV, PLAS 51 PLAS NVFIX, PRESC, RLOAD, TFACT, TLOAD, TOLER) 52 <u>5</u>3 PLAS C C### LOOP OVER EACH ITERATION PLAS 54 55 PLAS С DO 50 IITER = 1, MITER PLAS 56 PLAS 57 С PLAS 58 C\*\*\* CALL ROUTINE WHICH SELECTS SOLUTION ALORITHM VARIABLE KRESL PLAS 59 С PLAS CALL ALGOR(FIXED, IINCS, IITER, KRESL, MTOTV, NALGO, 60 NTOTV) PLAS 61 PLAS 62 C C\*\*\* CHECK WHETHER A NEW EVALUATION OF THE STIFFNESS MATRIX IS REQUIRED PLAS 63 PLAS 64 С PLAS IF(KRESL.EQ.1) CALL STIFFP(COORD, EPSTN, IINCS, LNODS, MATNO, 65 PLAS MEVAB, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTV, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, 66 PLAS NSTRE, NSTR1, POSGP, PROPS, WEIGP, MELEM, MTOTG, 67 STRSG, NTYPE, NCRIT) PLAS 68 PLAS 69 PLAS 70 C\*\*\* SOLVE EQUATIONS PLAS 71 С PLAS 72 CALL FRONT(ASDIS, ELOAD, EQRHS, EQUAT, ESTIF, FIXED, IFFIX, IINCS, IITER, PLAS 73 GLOAD, GSTIF, LOCEL, LNODS, KRESL, MBUFA, MELEM, MEVAB, MFRON, PLAS 74 MSTIF, MTOTV, MVFIX, NACVA, NAMEV, NDEST, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, PLAS NNODE, NOFIX, NPIVO, NPOIN, NTOTV, TDISP, TLOAD, TREAC, PLAS 75 76 VECRV) PLAS 77 PLAS 78 C### CALCULATE RESIDUAL FORCES PLAS 79 Ċ PLAS 80 CALL RESIDU(ASDIS, COORD, EFFST, ELOAD, FACTO, IITER, LNODS, PLAS 81 LPROP, MATNO, MELEM, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, MTOTV, NDOFN, PLAS 82 NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NSTR1, NTYPE, POSGP, PROPS, PLAS 83 NSTRE, NCRIT, STRSG, WEIGP, TDISP, EPSTN) 84 PLAS PLAS 85 C### CHECK FOR CONVERGENCE PLAS 86 С PLAS 87 CALL CONVER(ELOAD, IITER, LNODS, MELEM, MEVAB, MTOTV, NCHEK, NDOFN, PLAS 88 NELEM, NEVAB, NNODE, NTOTV, PVALU, STFOR, TLOAD, TOFOR, TOLER) PLAS 89 PLAS 90 C\*\*\* OUTPUT RESULTS IF REQUIRED PLAS 91 С PLAS 92 IF(IITER.EQ.1.AND.NOUTP(1).GT.0) PLAS 93 .CALL OUTPUT(IITER, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NELEM, NGAUS, NOFIX, NOUTP, PLAS 94 NPOIN, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TREAC, EPSTN, NTYPE, NCHEK) PLAS 95 Ĉ PLAS 96 C### IF SOLUTION HAS CONVERGED STOP ITERATING AND OUTPUT RESULTS PLAS 97 С **PLAS** 98 IF(NCHEK.EQ.0) GO TO 75 PLAS 99 **50 CONTINUE** PLAS 100 С PLAS 101 C### PLAS 102 C **PLAS 103** 

261

75 100	IF(NALGO.EQ.2) GO TO 75 STOP CALL OUTPUT(IITER,MTOTG,MTOTV,MVFIX,NELEM,NGAUS,NOFIX,NOUTP, NPOIN,NVFIX,STRSG,TDISP,TREAC,EPSTN,NTYPE,NCHEK) CONTINUE STOP END	PLAS PLAS PLAS PLAS PLAS PLAS PLAS	104 105 106 107 108 109 110
-----------	--	--	---

## 7.9 Numerical examples

The first numerical example considered is illustrated in Fig. 7.12(a). The problem studied is that of a thick cylinder subjected to a gradually increasing internal pressure, with plane strain conditions being assumed in the axial direction. A Von Mises yield criterion is assumed and the numerical solutions obtained compared with the theoretical results of Reference 14. The pressure/radial displacement characteristics are shown in Fig. 7.12(b) and good



Fig. 7.12 (a) Mesh and material properties employed in the elasto-plastic analysis of an internally pressurised thick cylinder under plane strain conditions. (b) Displacement of the inner surface with increasing pressure for the problem of Fig. 7.12(a).

agreement between the numerical and analytical solutions is evident. In the numerical studies, collapse was deemed to have occurred if the iterative procedure diverged for an incremental load increase.



Fig. 7.13 Hoop stress distributions at various pressure values for the problem of Fig. 7.12(a).

Fig. 7.13 shows the circumferential (hoop) stress distributions for specified pressure values. Again a good agreement is evident. In solution both a two-point and three-point Gaussian integration rule was considered. Whilst the nodal displacements obtained by use of both rules are practically identical, it is seen from Fig. 7.13 that use of a  $2 \times 2$  integrating rule gives superior stress values to a  $3 \times 3$  rule. This is a general result for elasto-plastic problems and therefore use of a two-point rule is recommended. This phenomenon is an example of the benefit of a reduced integration order for parabolic isoparametric elements.<sup>(15)</sup>



Fig. 7.14 Load/central deflection response for a uniformly loaded simply supported circular plate.

The second example considered is the simply supported circular plate shown in Fig. 7.14.

The plate is modelled by five axisymmetric elements and the loading takes the form of a progressively increasing uniformly distributed load. The growth in central deflection with increasing load is shown in Fig. 7.14. A converged solution was obtained for P = 270 but the numerical process diverged for P = 280 and consequently the collapse load is taken to be 270. This is in good agreement with the value of 260 quoted in Ref. 16, particularly in view of the coarse mesh employed in the present study. Fig. 7.15 shows the deflection profile with increasing applied load.



Fig. 7.15 Deflection profiles for the problem of Fig. 7.14 at various applied load values.

# 7.10 Problems

7.1 In Section 7.2.1 it was stated that the Von Mises law implies that yielding begins when the (recoverable) elastic energy of distortion, D, reaches a critical value. Prove this by showing that  $J_2'$  is proportional to D, since D can be written as

$$D = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ij}\,\epsilon_{ij} - \frac{(1-2\nu)}{12\mu(1+\nu)}(\sigma_{ii})^2. \tag{7.98}$$



Fig. 7.16 Geometric representation of the Berg yield criterion-Problem 7.2.

7.2 A yield criterion has been proposed by  $Berg^{(17)}$  which attempts to account for the tensile failure of a material due to the formation of voids at a sufficiently high strain level. The yield surface is illustrated in Fig. 7.16 and can be seen to be made up of two distinct portions. For stress levels below a mean hydrostatic tension of  $P_I$  the material yields according to the Von Mises cylinder of radius S. The yield surface in the tensile range is terminated by an elliptic cap whose extremity is defined by  $P_0$ . The three constants S,  $P_I$  and  $P_0$  are material constants and must be experimentally determined. The two distinct portions of the yield surface can be expressed as

$$\sqrt{2(J_2')^{\frac{1}{2}}} = S \qquad \text{for } \sigma_m \leq P_I$$
$$[2J_2' + H(\sigma_m - P_I)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} = S \qquad P_I \leq \sigma_m \leq P_0, \tag{7.99}$$

where  $H = S^2/(P_I - P_0)^2$  and  $\sigma_m$  is the mean hydrostatic pressure.

Show that this yield criterion can be expressed in the form of three constants  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  as indicated in Section 7.4 where

$$C_1 = 0, \quad C_2 = \sqrt{2}, \quad C_3 = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \sigma_m \leq P_I$$
  
 $C_1 = H(\sigma_m - P_I)/S, \quad C_2 = 2J_2'/S, \quad C_3 = 0 \quad P_I \leq \sigma_m \leq P_0.$ 

7.3 A certain material yields when the maximum principal stress reaches a critical value, Y. Assuming identical behaviour in tension and compression, determine the geometrical form of the yield surface. The solution is given in Fig. 7.17.



Fig. 7.17  $\pi$  plane representation of a yield criterion based on maximum principal stress values—Problem 7.3.

7.4 The assumption of a linear strain hardening material law may prove to be inadequate for certain situations. If the uniaxial stress/strain test curve for the material is known, then it is possible to represent the stress-plastic strain relationship in a piecewise linear fashion as shown in Fig. 7.18 and the instantaneous yield stress can be written in the form  $\sigma_Y = \sigma_Y^0 + S(\bar{\epsilon}_p)$  where  $S(\bar{\epsilon}_p)$  is the piecewise linear function describing the increase (or decrease) in the initial yield stress  $\sigma_Y^0$  with the increase of effective plastic strain  $\bar{\epsilon}_p$ . The program modifications required to describe this behaviour will all be included in subroutine RESIDU, except for changes in material property specification which will need to be made in subroutine INPUT. Carry out all necessary modifications.



Fig. 7.18 Piecewise-linear representation of material strain hardening-Problem 7.4.

- 7.5 By using the mesh of Fig. 7.12(a) and solving as an axisymmetric problem, use program PLANET (documented in Appendix II, Section A2.1) to determine the elasto-plastic stress and displacement distributions in a sphere when it is loaded by an incrementally applied internal pressure. The dimensions and material properties of the sphere are given by reference to Fig. 7.12. Assume a Tresca yield criterion for solution and compare your results with the solution given in Ref. 1.
- 7.6 Use program PLANET to solve the problem illustrated in Fig. 1.2, Chapter 1. Use both a Tresca and Von Mises yield criterion and compare the plastic zone distributions obtained with those of Fig. 1.2.
- 7.7 Subroutine CONVER, described in Section 6.5.4, bases convergence of the nonlinear solution process on the *global* norm of the residual force vector. Modify subroutine CONVER so that convergence is based on expression (3.27) in which the summation signs are absent; so that convergence is monitored *locally* at each of the nodes 1 to N in turn.
- 7.8 Modify subroutine CONVER, Section 6.5.4 so that convergence is monitored locally at each node according to the displacement changes that occur during a particular iteration, r, as follows.

$$\frac{|\Delta d^r|}{|d^1|} \times 100 \leq \text{TOLER}, \qquad (7.100)$$

where  $d^1$  is the elastic displacement occurring upon application of the load increment and  $\Delta d^r$  is the change in nodal displacement during the  $r^{\text{th}}$  iteration.

- 7.9 Modify program PLANET to undertake the elasto-plastic solution of three-dimensional solids. To simplify the task consider only the Von Mises yield criterion and assume that the solid is loaded by nodal point loads only.
- 7.10 The yield criterion to be employed in program PLANET is specified by means of control parameter NCRIT in subroutine INPUT described in Section 6.5.1. In some applications, such as steel-concrete composites, it is necessary to employ a different yield surface for different parts of the structure. Modify program PLANET so that the yield criterion governing elasto-plastic behaviour is separately specified for each element in the solid.

## 7.11 References

- 1. HILL, R., The Mathematical Theory of Plasticity, Oxford University Press, 1950.
- 2. PRAGER, W., An Introduction to Plasticity, Addison-Wesley, Amsterdam and London, 1959.
- 3. HOFFMAN, O. and SACHS, G., Introduction to the Theory of Plasticity for Engineers, McGraw-Hill, 1953.
- 4. BRIDGMAN, P. W., Studies in Large Plastic Flow and Fracture, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1952.
- 5. BISHOP, A. W., The strength of soils as engineering materials, *Geotechnique*, 16, 89-130 (1966).
- 6. DAVIS, E. M., Theories of plasticity and the failure of soil masses, Ch. 6 Soil Mechanics, Ed. I. K. Lee, Butterworths, London, 1968.
- 7. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., VALLIAPPAN, S. and KING, I. P., Elasto-plastic solutions of engineering problems; Initial stress finite element approach, *Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng.* 1, 75-100 (1969).
- 8. YAMADA, Y., YOSHIMURA, N. and SAKURAI, T., Plastic stress-strain matrix and its application for the solution of elastic-plastic problems by Finite Element Method, Int. J. Mech. Sci. 10, 343-354 (1968).
- 9. BLAND, D. R., The associated flow rule of plasticity, J. Mech. Phy. of Solids, 6, 71-78 (1957).
- 10. NAYAK, G. C. and ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., Convenient form of stress invariants for Plasticity, Journ. of the Struct. Div. Proc. of A.S.C.E., 949-953, April 1972.
- 11. FREDERICK, D. and CHANG, T. S., Continuum Mechanics, Allyn and Bacon, 1965.
- KOITER, W. T., Stress-strain relations, uniqueness and variational theorems for elastic-plastic materials with singular yield surface, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 11, 350-354 (1953).
- 13. HINTON, E. and OWEN, D. R. J., Finite Element Programming, Academic Press, London, 1977.
- 14. HODGE, P. G. and WHITE, G. N., A quantitative comparison of flow and deformation theories of plasticity' J. Appl. Mech. 17, 180-184 (1950).
- 15. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C. and HINTON, E., Reduced integration, function smoothing and non-conformity in finite element analysis (with special reference to thick plates), J. of the Franklin Institute, 302, Nos. 5 and 6, Nov./Dec. 1976.
- 16. ARMEN, H., Jr., PIFKO, A. and LEVINE, H. S., Finite element analysis of structures in the plastic range, N.A.S.A. Contractor Report, CR.1649 (1971).

- 17. BERG, C. A., Plastic dilation and void interaction, Battelle Inst. Material Science Colloquia, Sept. 1969. *Inelastic Behaviour of Solids*, Ed. M. F. Kanninen et al., McGraw-Hill, 171-210, 1970.
- KRIEG, R. D. and KRIEG, D. B., Accuracies of numerical solution methods for the elastic-perfectly plastic mode, *Trans. ASME, J. Pressure Vessel Technology*, 99, 4, 510-515 (1977).
- 19. SCHREYER, H. L., KULAK, R. F. and KRAMER, J. M., Accurate numerical solutions for elastic-plastic models, *Trans. ASME, J. Pressure Vessel Technology*, 101, 3, 226–234 (1979).
- 20. SAMUELSSON, A. and FRÖIER, M., Finite elements in plasticity—A variational inequality approach, in *The Mathematics of Finite Elements and Applications III*, Ed. J. R. Whiteman, Academic Press, 1979.

# Chapter 8 Elasto-viscoplastic problems in two dimensions

### 8.1 Introduction

In all inelastic deformations time rate effects are always present to some degree. Whether or not their exclusion has a significant influence on the prediction of the material behaviour depends upon several factors. In the study of structural components under static loading conditions at normal temperatures it is accepted that time rate effects are generally not important and the conventional theory of plasticity, as described in Chapter 7, then models the behaviour adequately. However metals, especially under high temperatures, exhibit simultaneously the phenomena of creep and viscoplasticity. The former is essentially a redistribution of stress and/or strains with time under elastic material response while the latter is a time dependent plastic deformation. Experimental observations cannot distinguish between the two phenomena and their separation has been largely an analytical convenience rather than a physical requirement. Numerical processes, as described in this chapter, allow the simultaneous description of both effects.

A further situation in which time rate effects are important is in the dynamic transient loading of structures. For example, it can be experimentally demonstrated that the instantaneous yield stress of materials under high strain rates can be significantly greater than the corresponding quasi-static value. This class of problem is dealt with in Chapter 10.

In this chapter we utilise the theory of viscoplasticity to provide a unified approach to problems of creep and plasticity. As well as providing solutions to time-dependent situations the viscoplastic algorithm can provide economic solution for classic elasto-plastic problems since it can be readily shown that the steady-state solution of the viscoplastic problem is identical to the corresponding conventional static elasto-plastic solution. Furthermore, by reducing the yield stress of the material to zero, elastic creep problems can be solved.

The concept of 'overlay models' is also introduced in this chapter. In this, the solid is assumed, for mathematical convenience only, to be composed of several layers or overlays each of which undergo the same deformation. By assigning different properties to each overlay a composite behaviour can be obtained which exhibits all the essential characteristics of the visco-elasticplastic response of many real materials.

The basic one-dimensional rheological model developed in Chapter 4 is now extended to the case of a general continuum and the essential steps employed in the numerical solution algorithm are discussed. Since most of the matrix expressions involved in viscoplastic analysis are common to conventional elasto-plastic theory, the majority of the subroutines developed in Chapter 7 can be again used with little or no change. The additional subroutines required are then constructed and assembled to form a working program. Finally it is briefly demonstrated how the overlay principle can be used to simulate a complex material response.

### 8.2 Theory of elasto-viscoplastic solids

#### 8.2.1 Basic expressions

In the usual manner for nonlinear continua problems it is assumed that the total strain,  $\epsilon$ , can be separated into elastic,  $\epsilon_e$ , and viscoplastic,  $\epsilon_{vp}$ , components, so that the total strain rate can be expressed as<sup>(1-3)</sup>

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_e + \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{vp}, \tag{8.1}$$

where (•) represents differentiation with respect to time. The total stress rate depends on the elastic strain rate according to

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}}_{\boldsymbol{e}}, \tag{8.2}$$

where D is the elasticity matrix. The onset of viscoplastic behaviour is governed by a scalar yield condition of the form

$$F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{vp}) - F_0 = 0, \tag{8.3}$$

in which  $F_0$  is the uniaxial yield stress which may itself be a function of a hardening parameter,  $\kappa$ . For frictional materials  $F_0$  is the equivalent yield stress as given by Column 4, Table 7.2. It is assumed that viscoplastic flow occurs for values of  $F > F_0$  only.

It is now necessary to choose a specific law defining the viscoplastic strains. The simplest option is one in which the viscoplastic strain rate depends only on the current stresses, so that

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{p}} = f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}). \tag{8.4}$$

This relationship can be generalised to include strain hardening and temperature dependence and the influence of state dependent variables, such as damage parameters for rupture theories, can also be considered. One explicit form of (8.4) which has wide applicability, is offered by the following viscoplastic flow rule.<sup>(4)</sup>

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{vp} = \gamma \langle \Phi(F) \rangle \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \qquad (8.5)$$

in which  $Q = Q(\sigma, \epsilon_{vp}, \kappa)$  is a 'plastic' potential and  $\gamma$  is a fluidity parameter controlling the plastic flow rate. The term  $\Phi(x)$  is a positive monotonic increasing function for x > 0 and the notation  $\langle \rangle$  implies

$$\langle \Phi(x) \rangle = \Phi(x) \text{ for } x > 0$$
  
 $\langle \Phi(x) \rangle = 0 \qquad x \leq 0.$  (8.6)

Comparison of (8.5) with (7.28) shows an analogy between the flow rule of conventional non-associated plasticity and the present definition of viscoplastic flow rate. If, once again, we restrict ourselves to associated plasticity situations, in which case  $F \equiv Q$ , expression (8.5) reduces to

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{vp} = \gamma \langle \Phi(F) \rangle \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma} = \gamma \langle \Phi \rangle \boldsymbol{a}, \qquad (8.7)$$

where the same definition of the flow vector a is employed as in (7.42). Different choices have been recommended<sup>(5)</sup> for the function  $\Phi$ . The two most common versions are

$$\Phi(F) = e^{M\left(\frac{F-F_{o}}{F_{o}}\right)} - 1, \qquad (8.8)$$

and

$$\Phi(F) = \left(\frac{F - F_0}{F_0}\right)^N,\tag{8.9}$$

in which M and N are arbitrary prescribed constants. The latter option, when employed in (8.7) can be made to model the Norton power law of metallic creep by assigning the threshold uniaxial yield value,  $F_0$ , to zero (or to an arbitrarily small value for numerical convenience).

### 8.2.2 The viscoplastic strain increment

With the strain rate law expressed by (8.7) we can define a strain increment  $\Delta \epsilon_{vp}{}^n$  occurring in a time interval  $\Delta t_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$  using an implicit time stepping scheme, as<sup>(6)</sup>

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{n}}} = \Delta t_{\boldsymbol{n}} [(1-\Theta) \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{n}}} + \Theta \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{n}+1}}]. \tag{8.10}$$

For  $\Theta = 0$  we obtain the Euler time integration scheme which is also referred to as 'fully explicit' (or forward difference method) since the strain increment is completely determined from conditions existing at time,  $t_n$ . On the other hand  $\Theta = 1$  gives a 'fully implicit' (or backward difference) scheme with the strain increment being determined from the strain rate corresponding to the end of the time interval. The case  $\Theta = \frac{1}{2}$  results in the so-called 'implicit trapezoidal' scheme which is also known generally as the Crank-Nicolson rule in the context of linear equations.

To define  $\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1}$  in (8.10) we can use a limited Taylor series expansion and write

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{vp}^{n+1} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{vp}^{n} + \boldsymbol{H}^{n} \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{n}, \qquad (8.11)$$

where

$$H^{n} = \left(\frac{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{vp}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right)^{n} = H^{n}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{n}), \qquad (8.12)$$

and  $\Delta \sigma^n$  is the stress change occurring in the time interval  $\Delta t_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$ . Thus (8.10) can be rewritten as

$$\Delta \epsilon_{vp}{}^n = \dot{\epsilon}_{vp}{}^n \Delta t_n + C^n \Delta \sigma^n, \qquad (8.13)$$

where

$$C^n = \Theta \Delta t_n H^n. \tag{8.14}$$

We draw the attention of the reader to the fact that the matrix H defined in (8.12) is the matrix whose eigenvalues determine the limiting time step length,  $\Delta t_n$  which can be employed in the explicit integration schemes. The matrix H depends on the stress level and no difficulty arises in its evaluation and specific forms will be developed in Section 8.5.

### 8.2.3 Stress increments

Using the incremental form of (8.2) we obtain

$$\Delta \sigma^n = D \Delta \epsilon_e^n = D(\Delta \epsilon^n - \Delta \epsilon_{vp}^n). \qquad (8.15)$$

Or expressing the total strain increment in terms of the displacement increment as

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^n = \boldsymbol{B}^n \Delta \boldsymbol{d}^n, \tag{8.16}$$

and substituting for  $\Delta \epsilon_{vp}^n$  from (8.13), then (8.15) becomes

$$\Delta \sigma^{n} = \hat{D}^{n} (\boldsymbol{B}^{n} \Delta \boldsymbol{d}^{n} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n} \Delta t_{n}), \qquad (8.17)$$

where

$$\hat{D}^n = (I + DC^n)^{-1}D = (D^{-1} + C^n)^{-1}.$$
 (8.18)

In (8.16) and (8.17) the notation  $B^n$  is employed to denote the possibility that the strain matrix may not be constant throughout the solution. For example, if large deformations are to be considered, the strain matrix for a Lagrangian formulation is nonlinear and can be written

$$\boldsymbol{B}^n = \boldsymbol{B}_0 + \boldsymbol{B}_{NL}^n, \tag{8.19}$$

where  $B_0$  represents the standard linear terms which do not vary during solution and  $B_{NL}^n$  contains the nonlinear quadratic terms. These latter expressions are dependent on the current displacements and therefore vary throughout the solution process.

The matrix  $D^n$  is a symmetric matrix when the visco-plastic law is associative. For the non-associated case, the matrix  $C^n$  is unsymmetric, requiring unsymmetric equation solvers for analysis.

For the solution of linear elastic problems by the explicit scheme ( $\Theta = 0$ ), equation (8.17) simplifies considerably to give

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{n} = \boldsymbol{D} (\boldsymbol{B} \Delta \boldsymbol{d}^{n} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{vp}{}^{n} \Delta t_{n}). \tag{8.20}$$

### 8.2.4 Equations of equilibrium

The equations of equilibrium to be satisfied at any instant of time,  $t_n$ , are

$$\int_{\Omega} [\boldsymbol{B}^n]^T \boldsymbol{\sigma}^n d\Omega + \boldsymbol{f}^n = \boldsymbol{0}, \qquad (8.21)$$

where  $f^n$  is the vector of equivalent nodal loads due to applied surface tractions, body forces, thermal loads, etc. During a time increment the equilibrium equations which must be satisfied are given by the incremental form of (8.21) to be

$$\int_{\Omega} [\boldsymbol{B}^n]^T \, \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}^n \, d\Omega + \Delta \boldsymbol{f}^n = \boldsymbol{0}, \qquad (8.22)$$

in which  $\Delta f^n$  represents the change in loads during the time interval  $\Delta t_n$ . In the majority of problems encountered in engineering the load increments are applied as discrete steps and thus  $\Delta f^n = 0$  for all time steps other than the first within an increment.

Using (8.13) and (8.20) the displacement increment occurring during time step  $\Delta t_n$  can be calculated as

$$\Delta d^{n} = [K_{T}^{n}]^{-1} \Delta V^{n}$$
$$\Delta V^{n} = \int_{\Omega} [B^{n}]^{T} \hat{D}^{n} \dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n} \Delta t_{n} d\Omega + \Delta f^{n}, \qquad (8.23)$$

where  $K_T^n$  is the tangential stiffness matrix with the following form

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{T^{n}} = \int_{\Omega} [\boldsymbol{B}^{n}]^{T} \, \boldsymbol{\hat{D}}^{n} \, \boldsymbol{B}^{n} \, d\Omega, \qquad (8.24)$$

and  $\Delta V^n$  are termed the incremental pseudo-loads. The displacement increments,  $\Delta d^n$ , when substituted back into (8.20) give the stress increments  $\Delta \sigma^n$  and thus

$$\sigma^{n+1} = \sigma^n + \Delta \sigma^n$$
  
$$d^{n+1} = d^n + \Delta d^n.$$
 (8.25)

Use of (8.15) and (8.16) gives

$$\Delta \epsilon_{vp}^{n} = B^{n} \Delta d^{n} - D^{-1} \Delta \sigma^{n}, \qquad (8.26)$$

and then

$$\epsilon_{vp}^{n+1} = \epsilon_{vp}^n + \Delta \epsilon_{vp}^n. \tag{8.27}$$

Arrival at stationary or steady state conditions can be monitored by examination of the strain rates. In particular  $\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}$ , as given by (8.7), is calculated at each time interval and the time marching process halted as soon as this quantity becomes tolerably small.

### 8.2.5 Equilibrium correction

The stress increment calculation is based on a linearised form of the incremental equilibrium equations (8.22). Therefore the total stresses,  $\sigma^{n+1}$ , obtained by accumulating all such stress increments are not strictly correct and will not exactly satisfy the equations of equilibrium, (8.21). There are several solution procedures available for applying the necessary correction and Reference 7 discusses the relative merits of various options. The simplest approach is to evaluate  $\sigma^{n+1}$  according to (8.20) and (8.25) and then compute the residual, or out-of-balance, forces,  $\psi$ , as

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}^{n+1} = \int_{\Omega} [\boldsymbol{B}^{n+1}]^T \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{n+1} d\Omega + \boldsymbol{f}^{n+1} \neq \boldsymbol{0}, \qquad (8.28)$$

noting, for geometrically nonlinear problems, that  $B^{n+1}$  is evaluated for a displacement state  $d^{n+1}$ . This residual force is then added to the applied force increment at the next time step. Such a technique avoids an iteration process and at the same time achieves a reduction in error.

## 8.3 Selection of the time step length

It can be shown<sup>(14)</sup> that the time integration scheme formally represented by (8.10) is *unconditionally stable* for values of  $\Theta \ge \frac{1}{2}$ . This implies that the time marching scheme is *numerically* stable but does not guarantee the *accuracy* of the solution at any stage; so that in practice even for values of  $\Theta \ge \frac{1}{2}$  limits must be placed on the time step length in order to achieve a valid solution.

For  $\Theta < \frac{1}{2}$  the integration process is only *conditionally stable* and numerical time integration can only proceed for values of  $\Delta t_n$  less than some critical value. We now proceed to establish rules for choosing the time step length for computation.

Schemes can be employed in which the time step length can be either constant or vary for each time interval. In the variable scheme the magnitude of the time step is controlled by a factor  $\tau$  which limits the maximum effective viscoplastic strain increment,  $\Delta \bar{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n}$  as a fraction of the total effective strain,  $\bar{\epsilon}^{n}$ , so that

$$\Delta \tilde{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n} = (\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}) \{ \dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^{n} \rangle_{vp} (\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^{n})_{vp} \}^{1/2} \Delta t_{n} \leqslant \tau \tilde{\epsilon}^{n}.$$
(8.29)

For isoparametric elements, all strains are evaluated at the Gaussian integration points. Therefore  $\Delta t_n$  must be computed to satisfy (8.29) at each such point and the least value taken for analysis. A variant on the above is to limit the time step length according to

$$\{\dot{\epsilon}_{ii}{}^n\}^{\frac{1}{2}}v_p\Delta t_n \leqslant \tau\{\epsilon_{ii}{}^n\}^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{8.30}$$

in which  $\epsilon_{ii}^n$  is the first total strain invariant and  $(\epsilon_{ii}^n)_{vp}$  is the first viscoplastic strain rate invariant. Thus  $\Delta t_n$  can be formally written for this case as

$$\Delta t_n \leqslant \tau [\epsilon_{ii}^n / (\dot{\epsilon}_{ii}^n)_{vp}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \min.$$
(8.31)

The minimum in (8.31) is that taken over all integrating points in the solid. The value of the time increment parameter  $\tau$  must be specified by the user and for explicit time marching schemes accurate results have been obtained<sup>(4,8)</sup> in the range  $0.01 < \tau < 0.15$ . For implicit schemes, values of  $\tau$  up to 10 have been found to be stable though the accuracy deteriorates.

Another useful limit can be imposed while using the variable time stepping scheme. The change in the time step length between any two intervals is limited according to

$$\Delta t_{n+1} \leqslant k \Delta t_n, \tag{8.32}$$

where k is a specified constant. Experience suggests a value of k = 1.5 to be suitable although there are no fixed criteria for its specification.

The above time step limiting values are basically empirical. Theoretical restrictions on the time step length have been provided by Cormeau<sup>(9)</sup> for specific forms of the viscoplastic flow rule and for explicit time integration only. In particular, for associated viscoplasticity  $Q \equiv F$  and a linear function  $\Phi(F) = F$  we have the following limits on the time step length.

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{(1+\nu)F_0}{\gamma E} \qquad \text{for Tresca materials}$$
  

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{4(1+\nu)F_0}{3\gamma E} \qquad \text{Von Mises}$$
  

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{4(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)F_0}{\gamma(1-2\nu+\sin^2\phi)E} \qquad \text{Mohr-Coulomb,} \qquad (8.33)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the fluidity parameter and  $\phi$  is the angle of internal friction. The term  $F_0$  is the uniaxial yield stress for Tresca and Von Mises solids and is the equivalent value  $(c \cos \phi)$  for Mohr-Coulomb materials where c is the cohesion. No simple expression exists for the limiting time step length in Drucker-Prager solids.

### 8.4 Computational procedure

The essential steps in the solution process can be summarised as follows. Solution to the problem must begin from the known initial conditions at time t = 0, which are, of course, the solution of the static elastic situation. At this stage  $d^0$ ,  $F^0$ ,  $\epsilon^0$ ,  $\sigma^0$  are known and  $\epsilon_{vp}^0 = 0$ . The time marching scheme described in Section 8.2.4 can then be employed to advance the solution by one timestep at a time. The solution sequence adopted is as follows.

Stage 1 Suppose at time  $t = t_n$  we have an equilibrium situation and  $d^n$ ,  $\sigma^n$ ,  $\epsilon^n$ ,  $\epsilon_{vp}^n$ ,  $F^n$  are known. The following quantities are assembled:

(a) 
$$B^n = B_0 + B_{NL}(d^n),$$

(b) 
$$C^n = C^n(\sigma^n, \Delta t_n),$$

(c) 
$$\hat{D}^n = (D^{-1} + C^n)^{-1},$$

(d) 
$$K_T^n = \int_{\Omega} [B^n]^T \hat{D}^n B^n d\Omega,$$

(e) 
$$\dot{\mathbf{\epsilon}}_{vp^n} = \gamma \langle \Phi \rangle \boldsymbol{a}^n.$$

Stage 2 i) Compute the displacement increments  $\Delta d^n$  according to (8.23) as

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{d}^n = [\boldsymbol{K}_T^n]^{-1} \Delta \boldsymbol{V}^n,$$

where

$$\Delta V^n = \int_{\Omega} [B^n]^T \hat{D}^n \dot{\epsilon}_{vp}{}^n \Delta t_n d\Omega + \Delta f^n.$$

ii) Calculate the stress increment  $\Delta \sigma^n$  as

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{n} = \hat{\boldsymbol{D}}^{n} (\boldsymbol{B}^{n} \Delta \boldsymbol{d}^{n} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{vp}^{n} \Delta t_{n}).$$

Stage 3 Determine the total displacements and stresses

$$d^{n+1} = d^n + \Delta d^n$$
  
$$\sigma^{n+1} = \sigma^n + \Delta \sigma^n.$$

Stage 4 Calculate the viscoplastic strain rate

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{vp}^{n+1} = \gamma \langle \Phi \rangle \boldsymbol{a}^{n+1}.$$

Stage 5 Apply the equilibrium correction. First calculate  $B^{n+1}$  using dis-
placements  $d^{n+1}$ . Substitute stresses  $\sigma^{n+1}$  into the equilibrium equations and evaluate the residual forces  $\psi^{n+1}$  as

$$\psi^{n+1} = \int_{\Omega} [B^{n+1}]^T \sigma^{n+1} d\Omega + f^{n+1}.$$

Add these to the vector of incremental pseudo loads for use in the next time step

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{V}^{n+1} = \int_{\Omega} [\boldsymbol{B}^{n+1}]^T \, \hat{\boldsymbol{D}}^{n+1} \, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1} \, \Delta t_{n+1} \, d\Omega + \Delta f^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\psi}^{n+1}. \tag{8.34}$$

Stage 6 Check to see if the viscoplastic strain rate  $\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1}$  is acceptably close to zero at each Gaussian integrating point throughout the structure (i.e. to within a specified tolerance).

If so, steady state conditions are deemed to have been achieved and the solution is either terminated or the next load increment is applied. If  $\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1}$  is non-zero return to Stage 1 and repeat the entire procedure for the next time step.

The above algorithm can be employed with either a constant or variable time step length. For the variable time step option the interval length  $\Delta t_{n+1}$ , for the next time step must be calculated according to (8.29) or (8.31) subject to the restriction of (8.32).

#### 8.5 Evaluation of matrix, H

For solution by the fully implicit or semi-implicit (trapezoidal) time stepping scheme, matrix  $C^n$  is required which in turn can be expressed in terms of  $H^n$  as indicated in (8.14). Matrix  $H^n$  must be explicitly determined for the yield criterion assumed for material behaviour. From (8.7) and (8.12) we have

$$H = \frac{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{vp}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}^n} = \gamma \left\{ \Phi \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{a}^T}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} + \frac{d\Phi}{dF} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{a}^T \right\}, \qquad (8.35)$$

where the symbols  $\langle \rangle$  on  $\Phi$  and the superscript *n* are dropped for convenience. Restricting discussion to the *Von Mises* yield criterion we have, from (7.64),

$$a^{\tau} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{\partial [(\sqrt{3})(J_2')^{1/2}]}{\partial \sigma}, \qquad (8.36)$$

$$\mathbf{a} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial J_{2'}} \frac{\partial J_{2'}}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2(J_{2'})^{1/2}} \{ \sigma_{\mathbf{x}'}, \sigma_{\mathbf{y}'}, \sigma_{\mathbf{z}'}, 2\tau_{yz}, 2\tau_{zx}, 2\tau_{xy} \}, \qquad (8.37)$$

ог

for a three dimensional situation. Thus

$$a a^T = \frac{3}{4J_{2'}} M_2, \qquad (8.38)$$

where

$$M_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} (\sigma_{x}')^{2} & \sigma_{x}' \sigma_{y}' & \sigma_{x}' \sigma_{z}' & 2\sigma_{x}' \tau_{yz} & 2\sigma_{x}' \tau_{zx} & 2\sigma_{x}' \tau_{xy} \\ (\sigma_{y}')^{2} & \sigma_{y}' \sigma_{z}' & 2\sigma_{y}' \tau_{yz} & 2\sigma_{y}' \tau_{zx} & 2\sigma_{y}' \tau_{xy} \\ (\sigma_{z}')^{2} & 2\sigma_{z}' \tau_{yz} & 2\sigma_{z}' \tau_{zx} & 2\sigma_{z}' \tau_{xy} \\ & 4(\tau_{yz})^{2} & 4\tau_{yz} \tau_{zx} & 4\tau_{yz} \tau_{xy} \\ Symmetric & 4(\tau_{zx})^{2} & 4\tau_{zx} \tau_{xy} \\ & 4(\tau_{xy})^{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(8.39)

Also from (8.37)

$$\frac{\partial a^{T}}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2(J_{2}')^{1/2}} M_{1} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4(J_{2}')^{3/2}} M_{2}, \qquad (8.40)$$

where

$$M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 5ymmetric & 2 & 0 \\ & & & & & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (8.41)

Substituting from (8.38) and (8.40) into (8.35), and restoring the symbols  $\langle \rangle$ , we have finally

$$H = p_1 M_1 + p_2 M_2, (8.42)$$

where

$$p_{1} = \gamma \left\langle \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2(J_{2}')^{1/2}} \cdot \Phi \right\rangle$$

$$p_{2} = \gamma \left\langle \frac{3}{4J_{2}'} \frac{d\Phi}{dF} - \frac{(\sqrt{3})\Phi}{4(J_{2}')^{3/2}} \right\rangle.$$
(8.43)

The form of  $d\Phi/dF$  depends on the explicit form of  $\Phi$  employed, examples of which were given in (8.8) and (8.9). Matrix  $H^n$  is then obtained by using stresses  $\sigma^n$  to evaluate  $J_2'$  and  $M_2$ .

For two-dimensional situations (plane stress, plane strain and axial symmetry) the only relevant stress terms are given in (7.72). In this case  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  reduce, on deletion of the appropriate terms, to

280

$$M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & | & -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} & 0 & | & -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} & 0 & | & -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{5ymmetric}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (8.44)$$

and

$$M_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} (\sigma_{x}')^{2} & \sigma_{x}' \sigma_{y}' & 2\sigma_{x}' \tau_{xy} & \sigma_{x}' \sigma_{z}' \\ (\sigma_{y}')^{2} & 2\sigma_{y}' \tau_{xy} & \sigma_{y}' \sigma_{z}' \\ Symmetric & 4(\tau_{xy})^{2} & 2\tau_{xy} \sigma_{z}' \\ (\sigma_{z}')^{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
(8.45)

and  $J_2'$  is given by (7.76). For plane stress and plane strain problems only the upper  $3 \times 3$  partition is employed while for axisymmetric situations the complete matrices are utilised with x and y being replaced by r and z respectively.

Similar expressions can be derived for the Tresca, Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager yield criteria by employing the appropriate expression for F in (8.36) and repeating the above calculations. The form of F is given in (7.63), (7.65) and (7.66) for the Tresca, Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager laws respectively.

#### 8.6 Program structure

The computation sequence for the program is shown in Fig. 8.1. The program structure follows closely that for static elasto-plastic analysis described in Chapter 7. In fact, the majority of the subroutines utilised are common to both applications and it is only the additional subroutines required that are described in this chapter. For the viscoplastic program the time stepping loop replaces the nonlinear solution iteration loop for conventional plasticity and subroutine STEPVP, whose main role is to evaluate quantities at the end of a timestep, replaces the plasticity subroutine **RESIDU.** In this chapter we need to describe in detail subroutines STIFVP. TANGVP, STEPVP, FLOWVP and STEADY. The descriptions of all other subroutines required for assembly of a working viscoplastic program have been given in Chapters 6 and 7. The version described is restricted to the case of infinitesimal strains. The modifications required to include large deformation effects are straightforward and are left as an exercise to the reader. Furthermore, for implicit schemes, only the Von Mises yield criterion is considered.

The list of material properties accepted in subroutine INPUT described in Section 6.5.1 must be extended beyond those required for elasto-plastic analysis, since additional material parameters are required to define the



Fig. 8.1 Flow sequence for the two-dimensional elasto-viscoplastic stress analysis program.

viscoplastic flow. This is accomplished by specifying the value of NPROP as 10 in subroutine DIMEN, described in Section 7.8.1, and inputting the following properties for each different material.

PROPS(NUMAT, 1)	Elastic modulus, E.
PROPS(NUMAT, 2)	Poissons ratio, v.
PROPS(NUMAT, 3)	Material thickness, t.
PROPS(NUMAT, 4)	Material mass density, $\rho$ .
PROPS(NUMAT, 5)	Uniaxial yield stress $\sigma_Y$ (Tresca and Von Mises solids);
<b>,</b>	Cohesion $c$ (Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager materials).
PROPS(NUMAT, 6)	Hardening parameter $H'$ for linear strain hardening.
PROPS(NUMAT, 7)	Angle of internal friction for Mohr-Coulomb and
•	Drucker-Prager materials only.
PROPS(NUMAT, 8)	The fluidity parameter, $\gamma$ .
PROPS(NUMAT, 9)	The coefficient $M$ in (8.8) or coefficient $N$ in (8.9).
<b>PROPS</b> (NUMAT, 10)	Indicator specifying type of flow function to be
·	employed:
	0 - Flow function (8.8)
	1 – Flow function (8.9)

#### 8.7 Formulation of the tangential stiffness matrix

The role of the subroutines described in this section is to calculate the tangential stiffness matrix for each element according to (8.24). The complete operation is shared between three subroutines which will now be described.

#### **8.7.1** Subroutine STIFVP

This subroutine controls the overall formulation of the tangential stiffness matrix for each element and is very similar to subroutine STIFFP, described in Section 7.8.5, which performs the same task for conventional plasticity. For the case of small deformations, matrix  $B^n$  is constant and equal to  $B_0$  the usual infinitesimal elastic value. Matrix  $B_0$  is given by subroutine BMATPS described in Section 6.4.7. To evaluate  $K_T^n$  it is necessary to find  $\hat{D}^n$  whose precise form is given by (8.18). With the normal elastic material matrix D replaced by  $\hat{D}^n$ , the stiffness evaluation follows the standard procedure described in Section 7.8.5. Subroutine STIFVP can now be presented and described.

<b></b>	SUBROUTINE STIFVP(COORD, IINCS, LNODS, MATNO, MEVAB, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTV, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NSTRE, NSTR1, POSGP, PROPS, WEIGP, MELEM, MTOTG, STRSG, NTYPE, NCRIT, TIMEX, DTIME)	STVP STVP STVP STVP STVP	1 2 3 4 5
C C#### C C	THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES THE STIFFNESS MATRIX FOR EACH ELEMENT IN TURN	STVP STVP STVP STVP	6 7 8 9
Cass	DIMENSION BMATX(4,18), CARTD(2,9), COORD(MPOIN,2), DBMAT(4,18), DERIV(2,9), DEVIA(4), DMATX(4,4), ELCOD(2,9), EPSTN(MTOTG), ESTIF(18,18), LNODS(MELEM,9), MATNO(MELEM), POSGP(4), PROPS(MMATS, 10), SHAPE(9), WEIGP(4), STRES(4), STRSG(4, MTOTG),	STVP STVP STVP STVP STVP	11 12 13 14 15

#### FINITE ELEMENTS IN PLASTICITY

```
STVP
                 DVECT(4), AVECT(4), GPCOD(2,9)
                                                                                      16
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      17
     - TWOPI=6.283185308
                                                                                      18
                                                                               STVP
      REWIND 1
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      19
      KGAUS=0
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      20
С
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      21
C*** LOOP OVER EACH ELEMENT
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      22
С
      DO 70 IELEM=1, NELEM
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      23
                                                                                      24
      LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      25
                                                                               STVP
                                                                               STVP
C*** EVALUATE THE COORDINATES OF THE ELEMENT NODAL POINTS
                                                                                      26
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      27
С
                                                                                      28
29
                                                                               STVP
      DO 10 INODE=1, NNODE
                                                                               STVP
      LNODE=IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))
                                                                                      30
      IPOSN=(LNODE-1)*2
                                                                               STVP
                                 3
      DO 10 IDIME=1,2 ---
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      31
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      32
      IPOSN=IPOSN+1
   10 ELCOD(IDIME, INODE) = COORD(LNODE, IDIME)
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      33
                                                                                      34
35
      THICK=PROPS(LPROP, 3)
                                                                               STVP
                                                                               STVP
С
C*** INITIALIZE THE ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRIX
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      36
                                                                               STVP
С
                                                                                      37
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      38
      DO 20 IEVAB=1,NEVAB
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      39
      DO 20 JEVAB=1,NEVAB
                                                                                      40
   20 ESTIF(IEVAB, JEVAB)=0.0
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      41
                                                                               STVP
      KGASP=0
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      42
С
  ** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      43
C*
                                                                                      44
C
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      45
      DO 50 IGAUS=1,NGAUS
                                                                               STVP
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      46
      EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)
                                                                                      47
      DO 50 JGAUS=1,NGAUS
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      48
      ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)
                                                                               STVP
      KGASP=KGASP+1
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      49
      KGAUS=KGAUS+1
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      50
С
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      51
C*** EVALUATE THE D-MATRIX
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      52
С
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      53
                                                                                      54
     CALL MODPS(DMATX, LPROP, MMATS, NTYPE, PROPS)
                                                                               STVP
С
                                                                                      55
                                                                               STVP
C*** EVALUATE THE SHAPE FUNCTIONS, ELEMENTAL VOLUME, ETC.
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      56
С
                                                                                      57
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      58
      CALL
                   SFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)
                                                                               STVP
      CALL
                   JACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP,
                                                                                      59
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      60
                          NNODE, SHAPE)
                                                                               STVP
      DVOLU=DJACB#WEIGP(IGAUS)#WEIGP(JGAUS)
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      61
      IF(NTYPE.EQ.3) DVOLU=DVOLU*TWOPI*GPCOD(1,KGASP)
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      62
                                                                                      63
      IF(THICK.NE.O.O) DVOLU=DVOLU*THICK
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      64
C
                                                                               STVP
C*** EVALUATE THE B AND DB MATRICES
                                                                                      65
                                                                               STVP
С
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      66
      CALL BMATPS(BMATX, CARTD, NNODE, SHAPE, GPCOD, NTYPE, KGASP)
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      67
                                                                                      68
      DO 25 ISTR1=1,NSTR1
                                                                               STVP
   25 STRES(ISTR1)=STRSG(ISTR1,KGAUS)
                                                                                      69
                                                                               STVP
       IF(TIMEX.GT.0.0) CALL TANGVP(LPROP, STRES, PROPS, TIMEX, DTIME,
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      70
                                      NSTRE, NTYPE, MMATS, NCRIT, DMATX)
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      71
      CALL
                   DBE(BMATX, DBMAT, DMATX, MEVAB, NEVAB, NSTRE, NSTR1)
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      72
                                                                               STVP
С
                                                                                      73
C*** CALCULATE THE ELEMENT STIFFNESSES
                                                                                      74
                                                                               STVP
С
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      75
      DO 30 IEVAB=1,NEVAB
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      76
      DO 30 JEVAB=IEVAB, NEVAB
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      77
                                                                                      78
       DO 30 ISTRE=1,NSTRE
                                                                               STVP
   30 ESTIF(IEVAB, JEVAB)=ESTIF(IEVAB, JEVAB)+BMATX(ISTRE, IEVAB)*
                                                                               STVP
                                                                                      79
      . DBMAT(ISTRE, JEVAB) *DVOLU
                                                                                      80
                                                                               STVP
```

с	50 CONTINUE	STVP STVP	81 82
Ċ*	** CONSTRUCT THE LOWER TRIANGLE OF THE STIFFNESS MATRIX	STVP	83
С		STVP	84
	DO 60 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	STVP	85
	DO 60 JEVAB=1,NEVAB	STVP	86
	60 ESTIF(JEVAB,IEVAB)=ESTIF(IEVAB,JEVAB)	STVP	87
C		STVP	88
C#	** STORE THE STIFFNESS MATRIX, STRESS MATRIX AND SAMPLING POINT	STVP	89
C	COORDINATES FOR EACH ELEMENT ON DISC FILE	STVP	90
С		STVP	91
	WRITE(1) ESTIF	STVP	92
	70 CONTINUE	STVP	93
	RETURN	STVP	-94
	END	STVP	95

- **STVP** 17 Compute the value of  $2\pi$ .
- **STVP 18** Rewind the disc file on which the element stiffness matrices will be stored in turn.
- **STVP 19** Set to zero the counter which indicates the *overall* Gauss point location.
- **STVP 23** Enter the loop over each element in the structure.
- **STVP 24** Identify the material property type of the current element.
- STVP 28-33 Store the element nodal coordinates in the local array ELCOD for convenient use later.
- **STVP 34** Identify the element thickness.
- **STVP 38–40** Zero the element stiffness array.
- **STVP 41** Set to zero the *element* Gauss point counter.
- **STVP 45-48** Enter the numerical integration loops and locate the position  $(\xi, \eta)$  of the current point.
- STVP 49-50 Increment the local and global Gauss point counters.
- STVP 54 Call subroutine MODPS to evaluate the elasticity matrix, D.
- **STVP 58** Evaluate the shape functions  $N_i$  and  $\partial N_i / \partial \xi$ ,  $\partial N_i / \partial \eta$  for the current Gauss point.
- **STVP 59-60** Evaluate the Gauss point coordinates, GPCOD(IDIME, KGASP), the determinant of the Jacobian matrix |J| and the Cartesian derivatives of the shape functions  $\partial N_i/\partial x$ ,  $\partial N_i/\partial y$ (or  $\partial N_i/\partial r$ ,  $\partial N_i/\partial z$  for axisymmetric problems).
- **STVP 61-63** Calculate the elemental volume for numerical integration as  $|J|W_{\xi}W_{\eta}$  taking care to multiply by the appropriate element thickness or by  $2\pi r$  for axisymmetric problems.
- **STVP 67** Evaluate the *B* matrix.
- STVP 68-69 Store the current stresses in a local array.
- **STVP 70-71** For an implicit or semi-implicit timestepping scheme ( $\Theta \neq 0$ ), call subroutine TANGVP to evaluate  $\hat{D}^n$  which is stored as DMATX.
- **STVP 72** Evaluate DB (or  $\hat{D}^n B$  for implicit schemes).
- STVP 76-80 Compute the upper triangle of the element stiffness matrix as

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{B}^T \, \boldsymbol{\hat{D}}^n \, \boldsymbol{B} \, d\Omega.$$

- STVP 81 End of loop for numerical integration.
- STVP 85-87 Complete the lower triangle of the element stiffness matrix by symmetry.
- STVP 92 Store the element stiffness matrix on disc file 1.
- STVP 93 Return to process the next element.

## 8.7.2 Subroutine TANGVP

The function of this subroutine is to evaluate  $\hat{D}^n$  for use in (8.24). Matrix  $\hat{D}^n$ , which is defined in (8.18), is stress dependent and therefore must be calculated for each Gaussian integrating point in turn. The computational sequence followed is:

a) Evaluate  $H^n$  according to (8.42)

b) Calculate  $C^n$  according to (8.14)

c) Evaluate  $\hat{D}^n$  according to (8.18)

Two forms of the flow function  $\Phi$  are considered as defined in (8.8) and (8.9). Thus, for use in (8.43), we have

 $M = (F - F_{0})$ 

оr

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dF} = \frac{N}{F_0} e^{M} \left( \frac{F}{F_0} \right)^{N-1}.$$

$$(8.46)$$

Array DMATX which originally contains the elastic matrix D is used to finally store  $\hat{D}^n$ . The matrix inversions required in (8.18) are performed by a separate subroutine, INVERT.

Subroutine TANGVP is now presented and described.

dΦ

	SUBROUTINE TANGVP(LPROP,STRES,PROPS,TIMEX,DTIME, NSTRE,NTYPE,MMATS,NCRIT,DMATX)	TGVP TGVP	1 2
C***	***************************************	TGVP	- 3
C		TGVP	4
C####	THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES THE PSEUDO D-MATRIX	TGVP	5
С		TGVP	6
C****	***********	TGVP	7
	DIMENSION STRES(4), CMATX(4,4), TMATX(4,4), TRIX1(4,4), TRIX2(4,4),	TGVP	8
	PROPS(MMATS, 10).DEVIA(4).DMATX(4,4)	TGVP	9
	ROOT3=1.73205080757	TGVP	10
	FDATM=PROPS(LPROP.5)	TGVP	11
	GAMMA=PROPS(LPROP.8)	TGVP	12
	DELTA - PROPS(I PROP Q)	TGVP	13
	NFLOW=PROPS(LPROP_10)	TGVP	14
	CALL INVAR(DEVIA   PROP_MMATS_NCRIT_PROPS_SINTS_STEEF_STRES_THETA.	TGVP	15
	VARIO VIEID)	TGVP	16
	FCURR=YIFID_FURTM	TGVP	17
	FNORM-FCURR/FDATM	TGVP	18
	TE(FNORM IE O O) RETURN	TGVP	10
	TE(NELOW FO 1) GO TO 10	TGVP	20
	CMULT = FYP(DFLTA#FNORM) = 1.0	TGVP	21
	CRADP-DELTA*(EVP(DELTA*ENORM))/EDATM	TGVP	22
	GO TO 20	TGVP	23

	10	CMULT=FNORM**DELTA	TGVP	24
		GRADP=DELTA*(FNORM**(DELTA=1.0))/FDATM	TGVP	25
	20	FACT1=GAMMA*ROOT3*CMULT/(2.0*STEFF)	TGVP	26
		FACT2=GAMMA*(0.75*GRADP/VARJ2=3.0*CMULT/(4.0*ROOT3*STEFF*VARJ2))	TGVP	27
С			TGVP	28
Č*I	H#	MATRICES M1 AND M2 FOR A VON MISES MATERIAL	TGVP	29
С			TGVP	30
		TRIX1(1,1)=0.6666666667	TGVP	31
		TRIX1(1,2)=-0.333333333	IGVP	32
		TRIX1(1,3) = 0.0	IGVP	55
		TRIX1(2,2)=0.6666666667	TGVP	34
		TRIX1(2,3)=0-0		- 30 - 26
		TRIX1(3,3)=2.0		20
		IF(NTYPE.NE.3) GO TO 30	TONE	- <u>3</u> 7
		TK1X1(1,4)=-0.5353535355	TOVE	20
		TRIX1(2,4) = -0.333333333333333333333333333333333333	TOVE	23
		TRLX1(3,4)=0.0	TOVE	40
	20		TGVP	42
	30	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	TGVP	42
		TRIAZ(1,2)=DEVIA(1)*DEVIA(2) TRIVO(1,2)=O (*REVIA(1)*DEVIA(2)	TGVP	- 44
		$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	TGVP	45
		$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	TGVP	46
		TRIX2(3,3)=4.0*DEVIA(3)*DEVIA(3)	TGVP	47
	-	-TE(NTYPE.NE.3) GO TO 40	TGVP	48
		TRIX2(1,4)=DEVIA(1)*DEVIA(4)	TGVP	49
		TRIX2(2,4)=DEVIA(2)*DEVIA(4)	TGVP	50
		TRIX2(3,4)=2.0*DEVIA(3)*DEVIA(4)	TGVP	-51
		TRIX2(4,4)=DEVIA(4)*DEVIA(4)	TGVP	52
	40	) DO 50 ISTRE=1,NSTRE	TGVP	53
		DO 50 JSTRE=1,NSTRE	TGVP	-54
		TRIX1(JSTRE, ISTRE)=TRIX1(ISTRE, JSTRE)	TGVP	55
	50	TRIX2(JSTRE,ISTRE)=TRIX2(ISTRE,JSTRE)	TGVP	56
		DO 60 ISTRE=1,NSTRE	TGVP	57
		DO 60 JSTRE=1,NSTRE		50
	60	CMATX(ISTRE, JSTRE)=TIMEX*DTIME*(FACTI*TRIX1(ISTRE, JSTRE)		29
		+FACT2*TRIX2(ISTRE, JSTRE))	TOVP	61
		CALL INVERT(DMATX, TMATX, NSTRE)	TOVE	60
		DO (O ISIRE=1,NSIRE	TOVI	62
	70	DU 70 JSTKET,NSTKE ) TMATY(ISTRE ISTRE)_TMATY(ISTRE ISTRE)	TOVP	- 64 - 64
	R	CALL THURDETITE ADDARY INATY NEEDED	TOVP	65
		DETIDA	TOVP	66
			TGVP	67

- **TGVP 10** Evaluate  $\sqrt{3}$ .
- **TGVP 11** Identify the yield stress F as FDATM.
- **TGVP 12** Identify the fluidity parameter  $\gamma$  as GAMMA.
- **TGVP 13** For flow law (8.8) store the index *M* as DELTA, or for flow law (8.9) store the index *N* as DELTA.
- TGVP 14 Identify the type of flow function to be used as governed by material property PROPS(LPROP,10) supplied as input:
   NFLOW = 0 Flow function (8.8) to be used,
   NFLOW = 1 Flow function (8.9) to be used.
- **TGVP 15–16** Call subroutine INVAR to evaluate the effective stress components, the effective stress level and  $J_2'$ .
- **TGVP** 17–18 Evaluate  $F-F_0/F_0$  as FNORM.

- **TGVP 21–22** Evaluate  $\Phi$  and  $d\Phi/dF$  for flow function (8.8).
- **TGVP 24–25** Evaluate  $\Phi$  and  $d\Phi/dF$  for flow function (8.9).
- **TGVP 26–27** Compute  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  according to (8.43).
- TGVP 31-41 Evaluate  $M_1$  according to (8.44) taking the full  $4 \times 4$  matrix for axisymmetric situations.
- TGVP 42-52 Evaluate  $M_2$  according to (8.45) taking the full  $4 \times 4$  matrix for axisymmetric situations.
- TGVP 53-56 Complete the lower triangle of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  by symmetry.
- TGVP 57-60 Compute matrix  $C^n$  according to (8.14) and (8.42).
- **TGVP 61** Call subroutine INVERT to evaluate  $D^{-1}$  and store as TMATX.
- TGVP 62-64 Compute  $D^{-1}+C^n$ .
- TGVP 65 Call subroutine INVERT to evaluate  $(D^{-1}+C^n)^{-1}$  and store as DMATX.

## 8.7.3 Subroutine INVERT

The function of this subroutine is to determine the inverse of any arbitrary square matrix. In particular, the subroutine accepts a matrix AMATX with dimensions NARAY  $\times$  NARAY and evaluates the inverse as BMATX. The procedure employed is the standard method of reduction in which starting from the original matrix AMATX and assuming an identity matrix for BMATX, an elimination process is followed until AMATX is reduced to an identity form. Then at this stage BMATX is the inverse of AMATX.

The subroutine is presented below without further comment.

SUBROUTINE INVERT(AMATX, BMATX, NARAY)	INVT	1
C	INVI	23
C*** TO PROVIDE THE INVERSE OF AMATX AS BMATX	INVT INVT	4 5
C*************************************	INVT	6
DIMENSION AMATX(4,4),BMATX(4,4) DO 10 IARAY=1,NARAY	INVT INVT	7 8
DO 10 JARAY=1,NARAY	INVT	9
BMATX(IARAY,JARAY)=0.0 10 IF(IARAY.EQ.JARAY) BMATX(IARAY,JARAY)=1.0 DO 20 IARAY-1 NARAY	INVT INVT INVT	10 11 12
DO 20 IARAI=1,NARAI DENOM=AMATX(IARAY,IARAY) DO 30 JARAY=1.NARAY	INVT INVT	13 14
AMATX(IARAY, JARAY) = AMATX(IARAY, JARAY)/DENOM	INVT	15
30 BMATX(IARAY, JARAY)=BMATX(IARAY, JARAY)/DENOM KARAY=IARAY+1	INVT INVT	16 17
IF(KARAY.GT.NARAY) GO TO 40	INVT	18
DO 20 JARAY=KARAY,NARAY CONST=AMATX(JARAY,IARAY)	INVT INVT	19 20
DO 20 LARAY=IARAY,NARAY AMATX(JARAY,LARAY)=AMATX(JARAY,LARAY)-AMATX(IARAY,LARAY) . *CONST	INVI INVT INVT	21 22 23
<pre>20 BMATX(JARAY,LARAY)=BMATX(JARAY,LARAY)-BMATX(IARAY,LARAY)</pre>	INVT INVT	24 25
40 CONTINUE DO EO TARAY-O NARAY	LNVI	20 27
KARAY=NARAY-IARAY+2	INVT	28

LIMIT=KARAY-1	INVT	29
DO 50 LARAY=1,LIMIT	INVT	-30
CONST=AMATX(LARAY,KARAY)	INVT	-31
DO 50 JARAY=1,KARÀY	INVT	- 32
AMATX(LARAY,JARAY)=AMATX(LARAY,JARAY)-AMATX(KARAY,JARAY)	INVT	-33
. *CONST	INVT	- 34
50 BMATX(LARAY, JARAY)=BMATX(LARAY, JARAY)-BMATX(KARAY, JARAY)	INVT	- 35
. *CONST	INVT	- 36
RETURN	INVT	37
END	INVT	-38

# 8.8 Subroutine STEPVP for the evaluation of end of time step quantities and equilibrium correction terms

With reference to Fig. 8.1, this subroutine evaluates quantities, such as stresses and viscoplastic strains, at the end of the current timestep and also calculates the loading to be applied during the next timestep. The subroutine is structured to perform the following operations sequentially:

- v (a) All quantities at the end of timestep *n* are calculated as  $()^{n+1}$ .
- (b) Subroutine INVAR, YIELDF and FLOWVP are called to evaluate the current viscoplastic flow rate,  $\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1}$ .
  - (c) The maximum permissible interval length,  $\Delta t_{n+1}$ , for the next timestep as governed by (8.29) and (8.32) is calculated.
  - (d) The residual forces,  $\psi^{n+1}$ , are evaluated and the loads,  $\Delta V^{n+1}$ , for the next timestep then calculated.

In the program presented we restrict ourselves to loads applied in discrete increments. An increment of load is applied and the time stepping process is followed until either steady state conditions are achieved, or a specified number of timesteps is reached. Then a further increment of load is applied and the process repeated. Thus in (8.23),  $\Delta f^n = 0$  for all stages other than the first timestep of a particular load increment.

The attainment of steady state conditions can be monitored by accumulating some measure of the viscoplastic strain rate for all Gauss points in the structure. At steady state this quantity will become zero. The degree of total viscoplastic flow at any point is best monitored by evaluating the total effective viscoplastic strain rate at all Gauss points according to

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{vp} = (\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}})\{(\dot{\epsilon}_{ij})_{vp}(\dot{\epsilon}_{ij})_{vp}\}^{1/2}.$$
 (8.47)

Subroutine STEPVP is now presented and described.

	SUBROUTINE STEPVP(ASDIS, COORD, ELOAD, ISTEP, LNODS, LPROP, TIMEX,	SPVP	1
	<ul> <li>MATNO, MELEM, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, TAUFT, DTIME,</li> </ul>	SPVP	2
	<ul> <li>MTOTV, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NSTR1,</li> </ul>	SPVP	3
	<ul> <li>NTYPE, POSGP, PROPS, NSTRE, NCRIT, STRSG, WEIGP,</li> </ul>	SPVP	4
	<ul> <li>TDISP, VISTN, VIVEL, TLOAD, FTIME, DTINT, IINCS)</li> </ul>	SPVP	5
	<b>F####################################</b>	SPVP	6
C		SPVP	7
0****	EVALUATES QUANTITIES AT END OF TIME STEP AND CALCULATES THE	SPVP	8
C	RESIDUAL FORCES AND PSEUDO FORCES FOR THE NEXT STEP	SPVP	9
C		SPVP	10
C####	************	SPVP	11

## FINITE ELEMENTS IN PLASTICITY

.

		DIMENSION ASDIS(MTOTV), AVECT(4), CARTD(2,9), COORD(MPOIN, 2),	SPVP	12
		. DEVIA(4), ELCOD(2,9), ELDIS(2,9), ELOAD(MELEM, 18),	SPVP	13
		LNODS(MELEM, 9), POSGP(4), PROPS(MMATS, 10), STRAN(4),	SPVP	14
		• SIRES(4), SIRSG(4, MIOIG), VIVEL(5, MIOIG),	SPVP	15
		$\sim$ VISIN(4,MIUIG),WEIGP(4),DMAIX(4,4),ILDIS(2,9), DEPTV(2,0) SUMPE(0) CECOD(2,0) TETER(MTCTV)	SPVP	10
		$MATNO(MELEW) DIACM(2,2) DMATY(\mu 18) DESTN(\mu)$	SDVD	18
		TI (AD) (MELEM 12) SUP(T(B))	SPVP	10
		TWOPI=6.283185308	SPVP	20
		DO 10 IELEM=1.NELEM	SPVP	21
		DO 10 IEVAB=1.NEVAB	SPVP	22
	10	ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0	SPVP	23
		KGAUS=0	SPVP	24
		DNEXT=FTIME*DTIME	SPVP	25
		DO 80 IELEM=1, NELEM	SPVP	26
_		LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	SPVP	27
-C C#	** *	STORE COORDINATES AND INCREMENTAL DISCHAGEMENTS OF THE	SPVP	28
с- С	·	STORE COORDINATES AND INCREMENTAL DISPLACEMENTS OF THE	SDAD	29
ř			SPVD	21
Č		DO 20 INODE=1.NNODE	SPVP	32
		LNODE=IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))	SPVP	33
		NPOSN=(LNODE-1)*NDOFN	SPVP	34
		DO 20 IDOFN=1, NDOFN	SPVP	- 35
		NPOSN=NPOSN+1	SPVP	36
		ELCOD(IDOFN, INODE) = COORD(LNODE, IDOFN)	SPVP	37
	20	FLDIS(IDUFN, INODE) = TDISP(NPUSN)	SPVP	38
	20	ELDIS(IDUFN, INUDE) = ASDIS(NPUSN)	SPVP	39
		KGASP-0	SPVP	-40 
		DO 70 IGAUS=1.NGAUS	SPVP	42
		DO 70 JGAUS=1 NGAUS	SPVP	75
		EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)	SPVP	44
		ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)	SPVP	45
		KGAUS=KGAUS+1	SPVP	46
		KGASP=KGASP+1	SPVP	47
		CALL MODPS(DMATX, LPROP, MMATS, NTYPE, PROPS)	SPVP	48
	20	DO 30 ISTR1=1,NSTR1 STRES(ISTR1), ORDOG(ISTR1, VOLUE)	SPVP	49
	20	SINCO(ISINI)=SINSO(ISINI,KUAUS)	SPVP	50
		VARIA VIAN VIEND	SPVP	51
		TE(TIMEX.GT.O.O) CALL TANGVP(LPROP_STRES_PROPS_TIMEX_DTIME	SPVP	- 52 - 53
		NSTRE. NTYPE. MMATS. NCRIT. DMATX)	SPVP	54
		CALL SFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)	SPVP	55
		CALL JACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)	SPVP	56
		DVOLU=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)	SPVP	57
		IF(NTYPE.EQ.3) DVOLU=DVOLU*TWOPI*GPCOD(1,KGASP)	SPVP	58
		LF(THICK.NE.0.0) DVOLU=DVOLU*THICK	SPVP	- 59
		CALL SIRESS(DMAIX, LPROP, NTIPE, PROPS, NDUFN, CARTD, ELDIS, SHAPE,	SPVP	60
		SUFET NUCHE NETEL CAUSE TIMES	SDVD	62
		DO 60 ISTRI-1 NSTRI	SPVP	63
		DESTN(ISTR1)=VIVEL(ISTR1.KGAUS)*DTIME	SPVP	64
	60	VISTN(ISTR1,KGAUS)=VISTN(ISTR1,KGAUS)+DESTN(ISTR1)	SPVP	65
		DEBAR=SQRT((2.0*(DESTN(1)*DESTN(1)+DESTN(2)*DESTN(2)+DESTN(4)*	SPVP	66
		_ DESTN(4))+DESTN(3)*DESTN(3))/3.0)	SPVP	67
	<u> </u>	DO 65 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	SPVP	68
	05	STRES(ISTR1)=STRSG(ISTR1,KGAUS)	SPVP	69
		VIVELUD, AUGUEVIVELUD, KUGUED HUEBAK	SPVP	- (U - 74
		UARIO VARIA VARIA, UNIT, MARIS, NUKIT, PROPS, SINTS, STEPF, STRES, THETA,	SUAS	71
		CALL YIELDF(AVECT. DEVIA, LPROP. MMATS_NCRTT_NSTR1_	SPVP	72
		• PROPS, SINT3, STEFF. THETA. VARJ2)	SPVP	74
		CALL FLOWVP(AVECT, PROPS, LPROP, STEFF. NSTR1.MTOTG.VIVFL.	SPVP	75
		<ul> <li>YIELD, KGAUS, MMATS, NCRIT, FNORM, ALLOW)</li> </ul>	SPVP	76

			0.01/0	~~
		IF(FNORM.LT.ALLOW) GO TO YO	SPVP	-77
		EPBAR=SQRT((2.0*(AVECT(1)*AVECT(1)+AVECT(2)*AVECT(2)+AVECT(4)	SPVP	78
		*AVECT(4))+AVECT(3)*AVECT(3))/3.0)	SPVP	79
		TSBAR=SORT((2.0*(SVECT(1)*SVECT(1)+SVECT(2)*SVECT(2)+SVECT(4)	SPVP	80
			CDVD	81
	•		SDAD	01 00
			SFVF	02
		IF (DELIM.LI.DNEXI) DNEXT=DELIM	SPVP	83
	70	CONTINUE	SPVP	84
	80	CONTINUE	SPVP	85
		DTIME=DNEXT	SPVP	86
		TELEVELA	enun	07
		ICIJIEF.EQ.I) DIIMEDIINI	SPVP	- 8(
			SPVP	00
		DO 140 IELEM=1,NELEM	SPVP	89
		LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	SPVP	90
		DO 90 INODE=1.NNODE	SPVP	91
		INODE-TABS(INODS(IELEM_INODE))	SDVD	02
			ODUD	92
		NPOSN=(LNODE-I)*NDOFN	SPVP	93
		DO 90 LDOFN=1, NDOFN	SPVP	94
		NPOSN=NPOSN+1	SPVP	95
	90	ELCOD(IDOFN, INODE)=COORD(LNODE, IDOFN)	SPVP	96
		THICK-PROPS(LPROP 3)	SPVP	97
			COVD	
			SPAL	90
		DO 130 IGAUS=1,NGAUS	SPVP	- 99
		DO 130 JGAUS=1,NGAUS	SPVP	100
		EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)	SPVP	101
		ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)	SPVP	102
		KGAUS-KGAUS-1	SPVP	107
			CDVD	100
			SFVF	104
		CALL SFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)	SPVP	105
		CALL JACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)	SPVP	106
		DVOLU=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)	SPVP	107
		IF(NTYPE.EQ.3) DVOLU=DVOLU*TWOPI*GPCOD(1.KGASP)	SPVP	108
		TE(THICK NE ( ()) DVOLU-DVOLU*THICK	SPVP	100
			ODUD	110
		CALL BMATPS(BMATX, CARTD, NNODE, SHAPE, GPCOD, NTTPE, KGASP)	SPVP	110
		CALL MODPS(DMATX, LPROP, MMATS, NTYPE, PROPS)	SPVP	111
		DO 100 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	SPVP	112
•	100	STRES(ISTR1)=STRSG(ISTR1,KGAUS)	SPVP	113
		CALL INVAR(DEVIA, LPROP, MMATS, NCRIT, PROPS, SINT3, STEFF, STRES, THETA,	SPVP	114
			SPVP	115
	•	T = T = T = T = T = T = T = T = T = T =	CDUD	116
		IF (IIMEX.GI.O.O) CALL TANGVP(LPROP, SIRES, PROPS, IIMEX, DIAME,	STVP	110
~	•	NDIKE, NIIPE, MMATS, NCKII, DMAIX)	STVP	111
U			SPVP	118
C#1	H# (	CALCULATE THE RESIDUAL FORCES AND INCREMENTAL PSEUDO LOADS	SPVP	119
С			SPVP	120
		DO 110 ISTRE=1.NSTRE	SPVP	121
		STRES(ISTRE)_0 0	SDUD	122
			CUUD	122
		DO THO JSIRE I, NSIRE	SEVE	123
	110	SIRES(ISTRE)=STRES(ISTRE)+DMATX(ISTRE,JSTRE)*VIVEL(JSTRE,KGAUS)	SPVP	124
		*DTIME	SPVP	125
		MGASH=0	SPVP	126
		DO 120 INODE=1, NNODE	SPVP	127
		DO 120 TDOEN-1 NDOEN	SPVP	128
-		MGASH-MGASH+1	SPUP	120
			CUMP-	120
	100	DU IZU IDIRET, NOIRE	SPVP	130
	1 <i>2</i> ∪	CLUAD(IELEM, MGASH)=ELOAD(IELEM, MGASH)+BMATX(ISTRE, MGASH)	SPVP	131
	• •••	<pre>*(STRES(ISTRE)_STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS))*DVOLU</pre>	SPVP	132
•	130	CONTINUE	SPVP	133
•	140	CONTINUE	SPVD	12/1
		DO 150 TELEM-1. NELEM	SDAD	125
		DO 150 TEVAR_1 NEVAR		100
	160	ELOAD (TELEVADE L'AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	SPVP	130
	120	CLUAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)+TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)	SPVP	<u>137</u>
		NE LUKN	SPVP	138
		END	SPVP	139

292	FINITE ELEMENTS IN PLASTICITY
SPVP 20	Compute $2\pi$ .
SPVP 21-23	Zero the array in which the pseudo loads for the next time- step will be stored.
SPVP 24	Zero the Gauss point counter over all elements.
SPVP 25	Increase the timestep length from the value used for the
	previous step by the factor FTIME. If this new value is less than that predicted later in this routine, this step length will
	be employed for the next time step.
SPVP 26	Loop over each element.
SPVP 27	Identify the element material property number.
SPVP 32-39	Store the element coordinates in array ELCOD, the incre-
	mental displacements $\Delta d^n$ in ELDIS and the total displacements $d^n$ in TLDIS
SPVP 40	Identify the element thickness
SDVD 41	Zero the local Gauss point counter
SI VI 41 SDVD /2 /5	Enter the loops for numerical integration and evaluate the
51 11 42-45	Liner the loops for numerical integration and evaluate the local coordinates $(\xi, \eta)$ at the sampling point.
SPVP 46_47	Increment the local and global Gauss point counters
ST VI 40-47	Compute the elacticity matrix D
SEVE 40 50	Store the total current stresses $\sigma^n$ locally in STRES
SEVE 47-30	Store the total current stresses and $L'$
SEVE $JI - JZ$	Evaluate the deviatoric stresses and J <sub>2</sub> .
5PVP 33-34	evaluate $\hat{D}^n$ .
SPVP 55	Evaluate the shape functions $N_i$ and the derivatives $\partial N_i / \partial \xi$ , $\partial N_i / \partial \eta$ .
SPVP 56	Evaluate the Gauss point coordinates GPCOD(IDIME,
	KGASP), the determinant of the Jacobian matrix $ J $ and
	the Cartesian derivatives of the shape functions.
SPVP 57-59	Calculate the elemental volume for numerical integration as
	$ J W_{\xi}W_{\eta}$ taking care to multiply by $2\pi r$ for axisymmetric
SPVP 60_62	Call subroutine STRESS to evaluate the stress increment
51 11 00-02	$\Delta \sigma^n$ according to (8.20) and also $\sigma^{n+1} = \sigma^n + \Delta \sigma^n$ .
SPVP 63-65	Evaluate the incremental viscoplastic strain and the total
	current viscoplastic strain, $\epsilon_{vp}^{n+1}$ .
SPVP 66-67	Accumulate the absolute value of the viscoplastic strain
	increment. This will allow us to monitor whether or not
	steady state conditions are being approached.
SPVP 70	Also calculate the total current effective viscoplastic strain
	$\bar{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1}$ according to (8.47).
SPVP 71–76	Evaluate the current viscoplastic flow rate $\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1}$ according
	to (8.7).
SPVP 77	If the Gauss point is elastic, avoid calculation of the new time
	step length.

- SPVP 78-79 Calculate  $\overline{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1}$ , the effective value of the viscoplastic strain rate.
- SPVP 80-81 Calculate  $\tilde{\epsilon}^{n+1}$ , the total effective strain.
- SPVP 82-83 Evaluate the interval length for the next time step according to (8.29) as

$$\Delta t_{n+1} = \tau \left[ \frac{\bar{\epsilon}^{n+1}}{\bar{\epsilon}_{vp} n+1} \right]_{\min}^{1/2},$$

where TFACT is the parameter  $\tau$  and the minimum value of  $\Delta t_{n+1}$  is taken with respect to all Gauss points throughout the structure.

- SPVP 84-85 Termination of loops over Gauss points and elements respectively.
- **SPVP 87** For the first time step of a load increment reset the step length equal to the initial value input.
- SPVP 88 Zero the Gauss point counter over all elements.
- SPVP 89 Loop over each element.
- SPVP 90 Identify the element material property number.
- **SPVP 91–96** Store the element coordinates in array ELCOD.
- **SPVP 97** Identify the element thickness.
- SPVP 98 Zero the local Gauss point counter.
- **SPVP 99–102** Enter the loops for numerical integration and evaluate the local coordinates  $(\xi, \eta)$  at the sampling point.
- SPVP 103-104 Increment the local and global Gauss point counters.
- **SPVP 105** Evaluate the shape functions and their local derivatives.
- **SPVP 106** Evaluate the Gauss point coordinates, determinant of the Jacobian matrix and the Cartesian derivatives of the shape functions.
- SPVP 107–109 Calculate the elemental volume for numerical integration.
- **SPVP** 110 Evaluate the *B* matrix.
- **SPVP** 111 Evaluate the *D* matrix.
- **SPVP 112–113** Store the total current stresses  $\sigma^{n+1}$  locally in STRES.
- **SPVP** 114–115 Calculate the deviatoric stresses and  $J_2'$ .
- **SPVP 116–117** For the implicit or semi-implicit time stepping scheme evaluate  $\hat{D}^{n+1}$ .
- **SPVP** 121–125 Calculate  $\hat{D}^{n+1} \epsilon_{vp}^{n+1} \Delta t_{n+1}$  and store locally in STRES.
- **SPVP 126–132** Evaluate the pseudo loads to be applied for the next timestep,  $\Delta V^{n+1}$  according to (8.28) and (8.34) as

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{V}^{n+1} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{B}^{T} \{ \hat{\boldsymbol{D}}^{n+1} \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{vp}^{n+1} \Delta \boldsymbol{I}_{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{n+1} \} d\Omega + \boldsymbol{f}^{n+1}.$$

SPVP 133-134 Termination of loops over Gauss points and elements respectively.

SPVP 135-137 Complete the computations of SPVP 126-132 by adding the term  $f^{n+1}$ .

Subroutine INVAR which calculates the deviatoric stresses and  $J_{2}'$  is identical to that employed in Chapter 7 for elasto-plastic problems and is described in detail in Section 7.8.3. Subroutine YIELDF has been previously described in Section 7.8.4.1.

### 8.9 Subroutine FLOWVP

The function of this subroutine is to determine the viscoplastic strain rate according to (8.7).

Subroutine FLOWVP is now presented and described.

	SUBROUTINE FLOWVP(AVECT, PROPS, LPROP, STEFF, NSTR1, MTOTG, VIVEL, YIELD, KGAUS, MMATS, NCRIT, FNORM, ALLOW)	FLVP FLVP	1 2
C#####	***************************************	FLVP	3
С		FLVP	4
C****	THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES THE VISCOPLASTIC STRAIN RATE	FLVP	5
С		FLVP	6
C####1	***************************************	FLVP	7
	DIMENSION AVECT(4), PROPS(MMATS, 10), VIVEL(5, MTOTG)	FLVP	8
	ALLOW=0.01	FLVP	9
	IF(STEFF.EQ.0.0) GO TO 90	FLVP	10
	YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 1)	FLVP	11
	POISS=PROPS(LPROP, 2)	FLVP	12
	HARDS=PROPS(LPROP,6)	FLVP	13
	FRICT=PROPS(LPROP,7)	FLVP	14
	GAMMA=PROPS(LPROP,8)	FLVP	15
	DELTA=PROPS(LPROP, 9)	FLVP	16
	NFLOW=PROPS(LPROP, 10)	FLVP	17
	ROOT3=1.73205080757	FLVP	18
	FDATM=PROPS(LPROP,5)	FLVP	19
	FRICT=FRICT*0.017453292	FLVP	20
	IF(NCRIT.EQ.3) FDATM=FDATM*COS(FRICT)	FLVP	21
	IF(NCRIT.EQ.4) FDATM=6.0*FDATM*COS(FRICT)/(ROOT3*(3.0-SIN(FRICT)))	FLVP	22
	IF(HARDS.GT.0.0) FDATM=FDATM+VIVEL(5,KGAUS)*HARDS	FLVP	23
	IF(FDATM.LT.0.001) FDATM=1.0	FLVP	24
	FCURR=YIELD-FDATM	FLVP	25
	FNORM=FCURR/FDATM	FLVP	26
	LF(FNORM.LT.ALLOW) GO TO 90	FLVP	27
	IF(NFLOW.EQ.1) GO TO 50	FLVP	28
	CMULT=GAMMA*(EXP(DELTA*FNORM)-1.0)	FLVP	- 29
50		FLVP	30
50	CMULT=GAMMA*(FNORM**DELTA)	FLVP	31
50	DU (U ISIRIEI, NSTRI	FLVP	34
10	AVECI(ISIRI)=CMULT#AVECT(ISIRI)	FLVP	55
80	DU 60 ISIRI= (,NSTR) NTVEL (ISTD1 VCANE) AVECT(ISTD1)	FLVP	54 ⊃⊑
00	RETIRN	L V L	- 20
00		FLVI	ע דר
100	VIVEL (ISTRI KCAUS)-0 0		- 2R - 2R
100	PETIDN (CRUD) = 0.0	L PAL.	20
		LIND	- UC
		1 2 41	-0

- FLVP 9 Specify ALLOW, the permitted tolerance by which the stress point is allowed to deviate from the yield surface.
- FLVP 10 For the (unlikely) case of a Gauss point with zero stress (identified by  $J_{2'} = J_{3'} = 0$ ) avoid all viscoplastic calculations.
- FLVP 11 Identify YOUNG as the elastic modulus, E.
- FLVP 12 Identify POISS as the Poissons ratio, v.
- FLVP 13 Identify HARDS as H' for linear strain hardening.
- FLVP 14 Identify FRICT as the friction angle  $\phi$  for Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager materials.
- **FLVP 15** Identify GAMMA as the fluidity parameter,  $\gamma$ .
- **FLVP** 16 Identify DELTA as the index *M* in (8.8) or *N* in (8.9), according to the flow function specified.
- FLVP 17 Identify NFLOW as the parameter specifying type of flow function:

NFLOW = 0 -flow function (8.8) to be used,

NFLOW = 1 -flow function (8.9) to be used.

- **FLVP 18** Compute  $\sqrt{3}$ .
- **FLVP 19-22** Identify FDATM as the effective yield stress,  $\sigma_{Y}^{0}$ , according to Column 4, Table 7.2.
- **FLVP 23** Evaluate the current yield stress as  $F_0 = \sigma_Y^0 + H' \bar{\epsilon}_{vp}$ , where  $\bar{\epsilon}_{vp}$  is the current effective viscoplastic strain, according to (8.47).
- **FLVP 24** For elastic creep problems, solved by setting  $F_0 = 0$ , reset  $F_0$  as a low value to avoid overflow in (8.8) and (8.9).
- **FLVP 25–26** Calculate  $(F-F_0)/F_0$  where F is the effective stress value evaluated as YIELD in subroutine INVAR.
- **FLVP 27** If  $(F F_0)/F_0$  is less than ALLOW avoid any further viscoplastic calculations, i.e. the stress point is assumed to be sufficiently close to the yield surface.
- **FLVP 29** Evaluate  $\gamma \langle \Phi \rangle$  for flow function (8.8).
- **FLVP 31** Evaluate  $\gamma \langle \Phi \rangle$  for flow function (8.9).
- **FLVP 32-35** Use flow vector **a** to form  $\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1} = \gamma \langle \Phi \rangle a^{n+1}$ .

FLVP 37-38 For elastic points only, set the viscoplastic strain rate to zero.

### 8.10 Subroutine STRESS

The function of this subroutine is to evaluate the increment in stress occurring during a time step according to (8.20).

Subroutine STRESS is presented below:

SUBROUTINE STRESS (DMATX, LPROP, NTYPE, PROPS, NDOFN, CARTD, ELDI	S, STRS 1
SHAPE, GPCOD, NSTRE, VIVEL, DTIME, STRSG, KGAS	P, STRS 2
MIDIG, MMAIS, SVECI, NNODE, NSIRI, KGAUS, ILDI	S) SIRS 3
C	****** STRS 4
C	STRS 5
C <sup>#####</sup> EVALUATE THE INCREMENTS OF STRAIN AND STRESS	STRS 6
C	STRS 7
C <b>*****</b> *******************************	****** STRS 8

		DIMENSION SVECT(4), PROPS(MMATS, 10), ELDIS(2,9), CARTD(2,9),	STRS	- 9
		DMATX(4,4), AGASH(2,2), STRES(4), STRAN(4), STRSG(4, MTOTG),	STRS	10
		SHAPE(9), VIVEL(5, MTOTG), TLDIS(2,9), CGASH(2,2),	STRS	11
		GPCOD(2,9)	STRS	12
		POISS=PROPS(LPROP.2)	STRS	13
		DO 10 TDOFN=1, NDOFN	STRS	14
		DO 10 JDOFN=1.NDOFN	STRS	15
		BGASH-0 0	STRS	16
		DCASH-0.0	STRS	17
		DO 20 INODE-1 NNODE	STRS	18
		DCASEL-DCASEL, CARTO LIDOCH INODE HIT DIS ( TOOFN INODE )	STRS	10
	$\sim$	DCASH_DCASH-CANTD(JDOFN, INODE)*ILDIS(IDOFN, INODE)	STRS	20
	20	CCASH(TDOFN_IDOFN)-BCASH	STRS	21
	10	ACASH ( TOPN, DOPN) -DOASH	STRS	22
~	10	AGAGI(LIOFN, JUOFN) =DGAGI	PRTP	22
ີ. ເ_#≀	<b>#</b> # 7		STRS	25
C	~~ (	ALCOLATE THE TOTAL AND INCHEMENTAL STRAINS	STRO	27
L			STIDS	20
		SVECT(1)=ACASU(2,2)	STIC	20
		OVECT(2) = ACASU(2, 2)	OTIC	21
		SVECI(3)=AUADH(1,2)+AUADH(2,1)	STRO	20
		IF(NIIPE.NE.3) GU IU (U	ST IS	29
			SILS	- <u>5</u> U
		DU DU INUDE=;,NNUDE SUECT(H) SUECT(H).TEDIC(1 INODE)#SUADE(INODE)/CDCOD(1 KCASE)	0110 0110	21
	60	SVEUI(4)=SVEUI(4)+ILDIS(1,INUDE)*SHAPE(INUDE)/GPCUD(1,KGASF/	STIC	22
	00	CONTINUE	OT DO	בכ יור
	10		STRS	24
		STRAN(1) = C(ASU(2, 2))	SINS	- 22
		STRAW(2) = OASU(4, 2)	OTDO	20
		SIRAN(3)=CGASH(1,2)+CGASH(2,1)	SIRS	36
		TF(NIIPE.NE.3) GU IU YU STPAN(U)-0.0	0110 9970	
			SINS	צכ -
		DU OU INUDE=1,NNUDE	SIKS	40
	00	SIRAN(4)=SIRAN(4)+ELDIS(1, INODE)*SHAPE(INODE)/GPCOD(1,KGASP)	SINS	41
	00		SIRS	42
	90	CONTINUE	STRS	- 43
	EO	DU 50 ISTRE=1,NSTRE	STRS	44
~	50	SIRAN(ISIRE)=SIRAN(ISIRE)=VIVEL(ISIRE)KGAUS)*DIIME	2142	45
С С#	** .		2182	40
<u>2</u>	~ ~ ]	AND THE INCREMENTAL STRESSES	STRS	- 47
C		DA 20 TURDE 4 NORDE	SINS	40
		DU 30 ISIRE=1,NSIRE	SIRS	49
		DO DO JETER 1 NETER	SIKS	50
	20	DO JO JOINE= ,MOINE PTDEQ(IGTORDE) ONDEQ(IGTORDE JORDE) XOTDAN(JORDE)	SINS	21
	20	JIRES(ISIRE)=SIRES(ISIRE)+DMAIX(ISIRE,JSIRE)*SIRAN(JSIRE)	SIKS	52
		IT (NIIPE.EQ.1) STRES(4)=0.0	STRS	53
		LF(NTYPE.EQ.2) STRES(4)=POISS*(STRES(1)+STRES(2))	STRS	54
		DU 40 151K1=1,NSTR1	STRS	55
	4Q	STRSG(ISTR1,KGAUS)=STRSG(ISTR1,KGAUS)+STRES(ISTR1)	STRS	56
			STRS	-57
		ENV	STRS	-58

- STRS 13 Identify POISS as the material Poisson's ratio.
- STRS 14–22 Evaluate the Cartesian derivatives of both the displacement increment and the total displacement.
- STRS 26-33 Evaluate the total and incremental strains  $Bd^n$  and  $B\Delta d^n$ .
- STRS 34-45 Calculate the elastic portion of the strains,  $B \triangle d^n \dot{\epsilon}_{vp}{}^n \triangle t_n$ .
- STRS 49–52 Calculate the stresses according to (8.20).
- STRS 53-54 For plane stress and plane strain problems evaluate the out-ofplane stress component.
- STRS 55-56 Finally calculate the total current stress as  $\sigma^{n+1} = \sigma^n + \Delta \sigma^n$ .

#### 8.11 Subroutine ZERO

This subroutine performs the same task as the subroutine described in Section 7.8.2 for elasto-plastic problems. It merely initializes to zero some arrays required for the accumulation of data. Subroutine ZERO is presented below without further comment.

	SUBROUTINE ZERO(ELOAD, MELEM, MEVAB, MPOIN, MTOTG, MTOTV, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NSTR1, NTOTG, NTOTV, NVFIX, STRSG,	ZRO2 ZRO2	1 2
	. TDISP, VIVEL, VISTN, TIIME, TLOAD, TREAC,	ZKUZ	<u>ک</u>
C####	. TFACT, MVFIX)	ZKO2	4
0	***************	7202	26
		2002	0 7
C	THIS SUBROUTINE INITIALISES VARIOUS ARRAIS TO ZERO	ZR02	ģ
	***********	7802	0
<b>C</b>	DIMENSION FLOAD (MELEM MEVAR) STOSC( // MTOTO) TOTSP(MTOTV)	7802	10
	TI OAD (MEI EM MEVAB) TREAC (MVETY 2) VIVEI (5 MTOTO).	7R02	11
	VISTN(4 MTOTC)	7R02	12
	TTTME-0 0	7R02	13
	TFACT-0.0	ZRO2	14
	DO 30 TELEM=1.NELEM	ZRO2	15
	DO 30 IEVAB=1.NEVAB	ZRO2	16
	ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0	ZRO2	17
30	TLOAD (IELEM, IEVAB) = 0.0	ZRO2	18
	DO 40 ITOTV=1.NTOTV	ZRO2	19
40	TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0	ZRO2	20
	DO 50 IVFIX=1,NVFIX	ZRO2	21
	DO 50 IDOFN=1, NDOFN	ZRO2	22
50	TREAC(IVFIX, IDOFN)=0.0	ZRO2	-23
	DO 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG	ZRO2	24
	VIVEL(5,ITOTG)=0.0	ZRO2	- 25
	DO 60 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	ZRO2	26
	VISTN(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0	ZRO2	-27
60	VIVEL(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0	ZRO2	28
00	SIRSG(ISTR1, ITOIG)=0.0	ZRO2	29
		ZKO2	30
	LND	ZRO2	31

## 8.12 Subroutine STEADY for monitoring steady state convergence

The role of this subroutine is to check whether or not steady state conditions have been achieved at the end of each time step. Convergence to a steady state condition is monitored according to the increment in viscoplastic strain which occurs during the time step. For checking purposes the effective viscoplastic strain rate,  $\bar{\epsilon}_{vp}^{n+1}$ , defined by (8.47) is employed and steady state conditions are deemed to have been achieved at the end of time step *n*, if

$$\left(\Delta t_{n+1} \sum_{\substack{\text{All Gauss}\\\text{points}}} \overline{\dot{\epsilon}}_{vp}^{n+1} \middle| \Delta t_1 \sum_{\substack{\text{All Gauss}\\\text{points}}} \overline{\dot{\epsilon}}_{vp}^{1} \right) \times 100 \leq \text{TOLER}, \quad (8.48)$$

where TOLER is a convergence tolerance value prescribed as input in Subroutine INCREM, described in Section 6.5.3. From (8.48) it is seen that a global measure of convergence is taken in the subroutine presented in this section. A local steady state convergence condition could alternatively be enforced by requiring (8.48) to be satisfied for each Gauss point in the structure which is yielding viscoplastically.

The structure of this subroutine is identical to that of subroutine CONVP, presented in Section 4.9, for one-dimensional structures.

Subroutine STEADY is now presented.

	SUBROUTINE STEADY(NELEM, NGAUS, NCHEK, VIVEL, ISTEP, FIRST, TOLER, PVALU, MTOTG.DTIME.NSTR1.TTIME)	, STDY STDY	1
C#####	***************************************	STDY	3
С		STDY	4
C****	THIS SUBROUTINE CHECKS FOR ATTAINMENT OF STEADY STATE CONDITIONS	STDY	- 5
С		STDY	6
C****	***************************************	STDY	7
	DIMENSION VIVEL(5, MTOTG), DESTN(4)	STDY	8
	NCHEK=1	STDY	- 9
	NTOTG=NELEM*NGAUS*NGAUS	STDY	10
	TOTAL=0.0	STDY	11
	DO 10 ITOTG=1,NTOTG	STDY	12
	DO 40 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	STDY	-13
40	DESTN(ISTR1)=VIVEL(ISTR1,ITOTG)*DTIME	STDY	14
10	TOTAL=TOTAL+SQRT((2.0*(DESTN(1)*DESTN(1)+DESTN(2)*DESTN(2)+	STDY	15
	. DESTN(4)*DESTN(4))+DESTN(3)*DESTN(3))/3.0)	STDY	16
	IF(ISTEP.EQ.1) FIRST=TOTAL	STDY	17
	IF(FIRST.EQ.0.0) GO TO 15	STDY	18
	RATIO=100.0*TOTAL/FIRST	STDY	19
	GO TO 25	STDY	20
15	RATIO=0.0	STDY	21
25	CONTINUE	STDY	22
	IF(ISTEP.EQ.1) GO TO 20	SIDY	23
	IF(RATIO.LE.TOLER) NCHEK=0	STDY	24
	IF(RATIO.GT.PVALU) NCHEK=999	STDY	25
20	PVALUERATIO	SIDI	20
000	WRITE(6,900) TTIME	SIDI	21
900	FORMAT(1HU,5X,12HTOTAL TIME =,E17.6)	STDI	20
30	WALLEVO, 507 NUMER, KALLU, KEMAA FORMAT(140 2V 184000000000000000000000000000000000000	SIDI	29
0	PATTO FALL ( ) A TORUNYLERULE CODE =,14,54,200000 OF RESIDUAL SUM	SIDI	20
	RETURN	STDY	32
	END	STDY	- 22
		0101	55

## 8.13 The main, master or controlling segment

This segment controls the timestepping process and accesses all the other subroutines appropriately. In particular it controls the incremention of the applied loads and the output of results at selected time intervals. The frequency of output is controlled by means of two parameters NOUTP(1) and NOUTP(2) which are specified as input data for every load increment in subroutine INCREM described in Section 6.5.3. The precise specification of these parameters is however somewhat different for the present application. In this case NOUTP(1) controls the frequency of output of the displacements and NOUTP(2) the frequency of output of the stresses and viscoplastic strains. In particular, if NOUTP(1) is specified as 7 for a particular load increment, then the displacements will be output every 7th timestep within that increment. This is accomplished by evaluating for every timestep, ISTEP, the quantity

## (ISTEP/NOUTP(1))\*NOUTP(1)

and then checking this value against ISTEP. The two will be equal only when ISTEP is an exact multiple of NOUTP(1). A similar check for stress output is undertaken for NOUTP(2).

The parameter MSTEP specifies the maximum number of timesteps to be considered for the load increment. If steady state conditions are achieved before MSTEP timesteps, the next load increment, is applied immediately condition (8.48) is satisfied.

The role of the load incrementing factor, FACTO, is identical to that described in Section 6.5.3.

In this segment input data is also received which controls the timestepping algorithm to be employed. The following information is input:

**TIMEX** Parameter,  $\Theta$ , which controls the type of timestepping algorithm to be employed:

TIMEX = 0.0—Explicit scheme,

- = 0.5-Semi-implicit or trapezoidal scheme,
- = 1.0—Fully implicit.

**TAUFT** The parameter  $\tau$  discussed in Section 8.3.

- **DTINT** The initial time step length. This specifies the step length for the first time step of each load increment. The time step length needs to be readjusted at the beginning of a new load increment since the step length computed as steady state conditions are approached in the previous time step will in general be too large.
- **FTIME** The factor by which it is attempted to increase the step length from the value used for the previous time step. This parameter is generally input as 1.5 as mentioned in Section 8.3.

The following channel numbers are employed by the program: 5 (card reader), 6 (line printer), 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 (scratch files). This main segment is now presented and descriptive notes provided where necessary.

C####	MASTER VISCO	VISC VISC	1
Č.	PROCRAM FOR THE FLASTO-VISCOPLASTIC ANALYSIS OF PLANE STRESS.	VISC	3
C	DI ANE STRATN AND AYTSYMMETRIC SOLIDS	VISC	ŭ
	FLANE DIRATN AND AATDIWEIKID CONTDO	VISC	5
0	DIMENSION ASDIS(120) COOPD(60.2) FLOAD(20.18) FSTIF(18.18).	VISC	6
	$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	VISC	7
	(LQAD(40), LQOAT(40, 10), LAD(120), GLOAD(40), CSTTF(986).	VISC	8
	IFFIX(120) INODS(20,9) IOCEL(18) MATNO(20).	VISC	9
	NACVA(40) NAMEV(10) NDEST(18) NDERO(20) NOFTX(25).	VISC	10
	NOUTP(2), NPTVO(10), $NPTVO(10)$ .	VISC	11
	POSGP(4) PRESC(25,2), PROPS(5,10), RLOAD(20,18),	VISC	12
	STEOR(120) TREAC(25, 2), VECRV(40), WEIGP(4),	VISC	13
	STRSG( $\mu$ 180) TDTSP(120).	VISC	14
	T(OAD(20, 18), VIVEL(5, 180), VISTN(4, 180)	VISC	15
c		VISC	16
C###	PRESET VARIABLES ASSOCIATED WITH DYNAMIC DIMENSIONING	VISC	17
č		VISC	18
-	CALL DIMEN(MBUFA.MELEM.MEVAB.MFRON.MMATS.MPOIN.MSTIF.MTOTG.MTOTV,	VISC	19
	MVFIX, NDOFN, NPROP, NSTRE)	VISC	20
С		VISC	21
C***	CALL THE SUBROUTINE WHICH READS MOST OF THE PROBLEM DATA	VISC	22
Ċ		VISC	23
	CALL INPUT(COORD, IFFIX, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MEVAB, MFRON, MMATS,	VISC	24
	. MPOIN, MTOTV, MVFIX, NALGO,	VISC	25
	. NCRIT, NDFRO, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NGAU2,	VISC	26
	. NINCS, NMATS, NNODE, NOFIX, NPOIN, NPROP, NSTRE,	VISC	27
	. NSTR1, NTOTG, NTOTV,	VISC	-28
	. NTYPE, NVFIX, POSGP, PRESC, PROPS, WEIGP)	VISC	29
С		VISC	30
Ç <b>≭</b> ¥¥	CALL THE SUBROUTINE WHICH COMPUTES THE CONSISTENT LOAD VECTORS	VISC	31
C	FOR EACH ELEMENT AFTER READING THE RELEVANT INPUT DATA	VISC	32
С		VISC	33
	CALL LOADPS(COORD, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MMATS, MPOIN, NELEM,	VISC	34
	. NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NPOIN, NSTRE, NTYPE, POSGP,	VISC	35
_	• PROPS, RLOAD, WEIGP, NDOFN)	VISC	30
C		VISC	31
0	INITIALISE CERTAIN ARRAYS	VISC VISC	30
L		ATOC	39
	VALL ZERU(ELUAD, MELEM, MEVAB, MPUIN, MIDIG, MIDIV, NUOFN, NELEM,	AT2C	40
	WIVEL UTONA TELLE TO AD TELAC TEACT META)	VIEC	112
	• VIVEL, VISIN, HIME, HOAD, HREAU, HRACI, MVFIA)	VISC	-42 JID
	NEAD(),900) IIMEA,IAUFI,DIINI,FIIME	VISC	- 7-5 - 1111
000	WALIC(0,910) IIMEA,INUFI,DIINI,FIIME	VISC	15
010	FORMAT(140 SY SELETTME STEPDING DARAMETER - F10 2 SY	VISC	46
510	284TIME STEP STARILITY FACTOR = F10 5 //	VISC	47
	5Y 26HINTTAL TIME STED LEMCTH - E10 E EY 20UTIME STED INCREMENT	VISC	цЯ
	PARAMETER = F10.5	VISC	щq
С		VISC	50
C***	LOOP OVER EACH INCREMENT	VISC	51
č		VISC	52
	DO 100 IINCS = 1,NINCS	VISC	53
C		VISC	-54
0	READ DATA FOR CURRENT INCREMENT	VISC	55
C		VISC	56
	CALL INCREM (ELOAD, FIXED, IINCS, MELEM, MEVAB, MITER, MTOTV,	VISC	57
	• MVFIX, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NOUTP, NOFIX, NTOTV,	VISC	58
c	<ul> <li>NVFIX, PRESC, RLOAD, TFACT, TLOAD, TOLER)</li> </ul>	VISC	59
U C≣¥≖	LOOD OVER FACIL THERMORE	VISC	60
C	LOUP OVER EACH ITERATION	VISC	61
ι.		VISC	62
	DIIME=U.U DO 50 TSTED-1 MITTED	VISC	603
	DO JO IDIEFE(,MITER	ATRC	04

```
VISC
                                                                                       65
      TTIME=TTIME+DTIME
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       66
С
C*** CALL ROUTINE WHICH SELECTS SOLUTION ALORITHM VARIABLE KRESL
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       67
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       68
С
      CALL ALGOR(FIXED, IINCS, ISTEP, KRESL, TIMEX, MTOTV, NALGO, NTOTV)
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       69
C*** CHECK WHETHER A NEW EVALUATION OF THE STIFFNESS MATRIX IS REQUIRED VISC
                                                                                       70
                                                                                VISC
С
                                                                                       71
       IF(KRESL.EQ.1) CALL STIFVP(COORD, IINCS, LNODS, MATNO,
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       72
                 MEVAB, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTV, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE,
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       73
                NSTRE, NSTR1, POSGP, PROPS, WEIGP, MELEM, MTOTG,
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       74
                STRSG, NTYPE, NCRIT, TIMEX, DTIME)
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       75
                                                                                       76
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       77
С
C*** SOLVE EQUATIONS
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       78
                                                                                       79
                                                                                VISC
С
      CALL FRONT(ASDIS, ELOAD, EQRHS, EQUAT, ESTIF, FIXED, IFFIX, IINCS, ISTEP,
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       80
                   GLOAD, GSTIF, LOCEL, LNODS, KRESL, MBUFA, MELEM, MEVAB, MFRON,
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       81
                   MSTIF, MTOTV, MVFIX, NACVA, NAMEV, NDEST, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB,
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       82
                                                                                       83
                   NNODE, NOFIX, NPIVO, NPOIN, NTOTV, TDISP, TLOAD, TREAC,
                                                                                VISC
                   VECRV)
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       84
С
                                                                                       85
                                                                                VISC
C*** CALCULATE RESIDUAL FORCES
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       86
C
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       87
       CALL STEPVP(ASDIS, COORD, ELOAD, ISTEP, LNODS, LPROP, TIMEX,
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       88
                    MATNO, MELEM, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, TAUFT, DTIME,
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       89
                    MTOTV, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NSTR1,
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       90
                    NTYPE, POSGP, PROPS, NSTRE, NCRIT, STRSG, WEIGP,
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       91
                    TDISP, VISTN, VIVEL, TLOAD, FTIME, DTINT, IINCS)
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       92
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       93
C*** CHECK FOR CONVERGENCE TO STEADY STATE
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       94
C
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       95
      CALL STEADY(NELEM, NGAUS, NCHEK, VIVEL, ISTEP, FIRST, TOLER, PVALU,
                                                                                       96
                                                                                VISC
                    MTOTG, DTIME, NSTR1, TTIME)
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       97
С
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       98
C*** OUTPUT RESULTS IF REQUIRED
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                       99
С
                                                                                VISC 100
       IF(NOUTP(1).EQ.0) GO TO 110
                                                                                VISC 101
      KOUTD=(ISTEP/NOUTP(1))*NOUTP(1)
                                                                                VISC 102
       KOUTS=(ISTEP/NOUTP(2))*NOUTP(2)
                                                                                VISC 103
       IF(KOUTD.NE.ISTEP.OR.KOUTS.NE.ISTEP) GO TO 110
                                                                                VISC 104
       KOUTP=2
                                                                                VISC 105
       IF(KOUTS.EQ.ISTEP) KOUTP=3
                                                                                VISC 106
       CALL OUTPUT(ISTEP, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NELEM, NGAUS, NOFIX, NOUTP,
                                                                                VISC 107
                    NPOIN, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TREAC, NTYPE, NCHEK, VIVEL,
                                                                                VISC 108
                    KOUTP)
                                                                                VISC 109
  110 CONTINUE
                                                                                VISC
                                                                                      110
С
                                                                                VISC 111
C*** IF SOLUTION HAS CONVERGED STOP ITERATING AND OUTPUT RESULTS
                                                                                VISC 112
C
                                                                                VISC 113
       IF(NCHEK, EQ. 0) GO TO 75
                                                                                VISC 114
   50 CONTINUE
                                                                                VISC 115
C
                                                                                VISC 116
C###
                                                                                VISC 117
C
                                                                                VISC 118
   75 CALL OUTPUT(ISTEP, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NELEM, NGAUS, NOFIX, NOUTP,
                                                                                VISC 119
                    NPOIN, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TREAC, NTYPE, NCHEK, VIVEL,
                                                                                VISC 120
                                                                                VISC 121
                    KOUTP)
  100 CONTINUE
                                                                                VISC 122
       STOP
                                                                                VISC 123
       END
                                                                                VISC 124
```

301

- VISC 64 For each load increment, initialise the time step length.
- VISC 65 Enter the time-stepping loop for the current load increment.
- VISC 66 Compute the total time elapsed.
- VISC 70 For the first timestep of the first load increment prepare for a full equation solution rather than a resolution for an explicit formulation. For the implicit or semi-implicit algorithm a complete equation solution is required each and every timestep.
- VISC 73-85 Formulate the element stiffnesses and solve the resulting equations.
- VISC 89-94 Calculate quantities at the end of the timestep and evaluate the loads for the next timestep.
- VISC 98–99 Check for convergence of the time stepping process to steady state conditions.
- VISC 103–105 Check to see if either displacement or stress output is required for this timestep.
- VISC 106-107 Set KOUTP = 2 for displacement output only and KOUTP = 3 for both stress and displacement output.

VISC 108-110 Output the results.

VISC 115 If steady state conditions have been reached, output the converged results, increment the loads and proceed with the time-stepping process.

## 8.14 General comparison of implicit and explicit time integration schemes

Before discussing the general case of a two-dimensional continuum it is instructive to consider the behaviour of a single degree of freedom system. In particular we will consider the response of a simple linear Maxwell model, as illustrated in Fig. 8.2. This situation is equivalent to the uniaxial viscoplastic model when the initial yield or threshold value,  $F_0$ , is reduced to zero. Figure 8.2 shows the stress relaxation histories for different time integration schemes when the model is subjected to a constant total strain. It is observed that all results obtained using the fully implicit scheme ( $\Theta = 1$ ) lie to one side of the theoretical solution while the semi-implicit method ( $\Theta = \frac{1}{2}$ ) gives results which lie to either side of the true curve. It is also evident that the explicit method ( $\Theta = 0$ ) gives an oscillatory solution with the rate of convergence decreasing as the time step stability limit is approached. However, in each case the steady state solution is eventually correctly predicted. For the solution of elasto-plastic problems by use of the viscoplastic algorithm it is only the steady state solution that is of importance. Similarly in problems of creep, the transient stage may not be of interest in itself, as long as the steady state values are correctly arrived at.

For problems which are geometrically linear the solution process simplifies considerably. The strain matrix  $B^n$  is then constant throughout the analysis and from (8.19) it is seen to be equal to  $B_0$ . For solution by the explicit time



Fig. 8.2 Characteristics of explicit and implicit time stepping algorithms when applied to a linear Maxwell model.

marching scheme,  $\Theta = 0$  and from (8.14) we have that  $C^n = 0$ . Consequently, from (8.18),  $\hat{D}^n = D$  and (8.24) implies that the tangential stiffness matrix becomes the linear elastic stiffness matrix and is constant throughout the solution process. Thus for the equation solution demanded by (8.23), a complete reduction and back-substitution is only required for the first time step and subsequent time intervals only require equation resolution.

Experience to date<sup>(2)</sup> indicates that solution by the implicit method increases the computation time by approximately a factor of 4–5 in comparison with the explicit approach, for the same solution tolerance factor (or time step length). This cost differential must be balanced against the greater time step lengths permitted by the unconditionally stable implicit method. However, increasing the time step length beyond prescribed limits results in a deterioration in solution accuracy. Where a variable stiffness approach is employed for some other reasons, such as to include geometric nonlinearity effects or time dependent material properties, solution by an implicit scheme entails little or no additional computing effort and such an approach is particularly advantageous. Modification of the program presented to account for large deformation effects is set as an exercise to the reader in Section 8.17.

Implicit and explicit time integration schemes are considered further in Chapters 10 and 11 for the solution of dynamic transient problems.

#### 8.15 The overlay method for improved material response

The viscoplastic model described in the previous sections gives a material response whose general form is in keeping with experimental observations. However the precise strain/time histories (or creep curves) of many real materials cannot be accurately represented by a simple viscoplastic model. This is particularly so for materials whose strain response curves are non-linear with regard to the applied stress level, so that a doubling of the applied stress does not result in twice the strain at any given time.

A more elaborate material response can be modelled by use of the so-called overlay or mechanical sublayer method<sup>(10-13)</sup> in which the solid to be analysed is assumed to be composed of several layers or overlays each of which undergoes the same deformation. The total stress field is obtained by summing the different contributions of each overlay. By introducing a suitable number of overlays and assigning different material characteristics to each, a variety of sophisticated composite actions can be reproduced. In this section it is demonstrated how time-dependent overlay models can be used to simulate some experimentally observed material behaviours.



Fig. 8.3 Strain/time relationship at constant stress for many typical materials.

The strain-time relationship at constant stress which most materials exhibit to some degree or other is illustrated in Fig. 8.3. The instantaneous elastic strain, OA, is followed by a primary creep AB during which if unloading takes place an instantaneous elastic recovery results, followed by delayed elastic recovery, CD. If the load is not removed at time  $T_1$  secondary creep begins which is accompanied by permanent deformation. Unloading at any time on the curve *BE* leaves a permanent set in the material. On continued loading past time  $T_2$  tertiary creep begins, leading almost inevitably to failure.



Fig. 8.4 Material models for simulation of the material behaviour of Fig. 8.3. (a) Standard visco-elastic model. (b) Four parameter model.

This behaviour can be numerically simulated by use of the rheological models shown in Fig. 8.4. The standard linear solid illustrated in Fig. 8.4(a) provides a visco-elastic response and represents the behaviour of the material up to time  $T_1$ . After this time the behaviour is closely approximated by the five parameter model shown in Fig. 8.4(b) where a friction slider component in parallel with a viscous dashpot has been added. This component becomes active only if the applied stress exceeds some limiting value, Y and the friction slider provides the permanent deformation or viscoplastic effect. For use in the overlay method it is desirable to consider 'Maxwell equivalents' of these models. Figure 8.5(a) shows the equivalent model to that of Fig. 8.4(a) both being governed by the differential equation

$$p_1 D\sigma + p_0 \sigma = q_1 D\epsilon + q_0 \epsilon, \qquad (8.49)$$

where  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  are constants and D denotes the differential operator with **respect** to time. Similarly Fig. 8.5(b) illustrates the Maxwell equivalent of **Fig. 8.4(b)**, the governing equation for this case being

$$p_2 D^2 \sigma + p_1 D \sigma + p_0 \sigma = q_2 D^2 \epsilon + q_1 D \epsilon + q_0 \epsilon.$$
(8.50)



Fig. 8.5 Equivalent representation of the models of Fig. 8.4 using Maxwell type components.

The constants for the various components of the models in Figs. 8.4 and 8.5 are different but unique relationships exist. The configurations of Fig. 8.5 immediately suggest the use of overlay models. By employing at least one viscoplastic overlay and one Maxwell overlay (i.e. setting the threshold uniaxial yield value,  $F_0 = 0$ ) the complete behaviour in the visco-elastic range as well as irrecoverable creep deformation can be generated. The model behaves as a 'standard linear solid' until failure of the friction slider in the visco-plastic overlay after which it behaves as a four parameter solid. In fact a fifth parameter, the yield limit of the slider must also be defined. These parameters are material characteristics and their values must be experimentally determined.



Fig. 8.6 The overlay model in two-dimensional situations.

## 8.15.1 Basic expressions of the overlay concept

The overlay model in a two-dimensional situation is illustrated schematically in Fig. 8.6. Each overlay can have a different thickness and material behaviour. With the nodes in each overlay coincidental, the same strain pattern is produced in each component. This results in a different stress field  $\sigma_j$  in each layer which contribute to the total stress field  $\sigma$  according to the overlay thickness,  $t_i$ , so that

$$\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j t_j, \qquad (8.51)$$

in which k is the total number of overlays in the model, and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} t_j = 1.$$
 (8.52)

The equilibrium equations (8.21) which must be satisfied at each stage become

$$\int_{\Omega} [\boldsymbol{B}^n]^T \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j^n t_j d\Omega + \boldsymbol{f}^n = \boldsymbol{0}.$$
(8.53)

Also the element stiffnesses (8.24) are the sum of each overlay contribution so that

$$K_T^n = \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\mathcal{Q}} [B^n]^T (D^n)_j B^n d\Omega, \qquad (8.54)$$

where  $(\hat{D}^n)_j$  is the value of  $\hat{D}^n$  for each overlay in turn. Matrix  $(\hat{D}^n)_j$  will differ from overlay to overlay according to the material properties of each. The solution process is then identical to that described in the preceding sections with stress and strain terms being calculated for each overlay separately. It should be noted that the viscoplastic strain in each overlay will generally be different due to differences in threshold yield values and flow rates but the total strains must be the same.

Although the name overlay model arises from the physical interpretation of the two-dimensional situation the technique is essentially a mathematical convenience and can be readily extended to three-dimensional problems. In such cases the thickness can no longer be interpreted as a physical quantity and becomes merely a weighting parameter for combining the contribution of individual overlays. Indeed this is also the case in two-dimensional problems where negative thicknesses can be employed to simulate strainsoftening conditions.<sup>(12)</sup>

## 8.15.2 Overlay models for some standard material behaviours

In this section we reproduce some standard material responses by combining different viscoplastic components through the overlay concept.<sup>(13)</sup>



Fig. 8.7 Use of the overlay concept for the simulation of some standard material behaviours.

#### (i) Visco-elastic response

A two overlay model with  $F_0$  set to zero for one overlay and infinitely large in the other reproduces a standard linear visco-elastic solid (Fig. 8.7). Any higher order time dependent constitutive relation can be simulated by the introduction of more overlays of the Maxwell type (i.e.  $F_0 = 0$ ). Quite generally a stress-strain relationship of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k D^k \sigma = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k D^k \epsilon, \qquad (8.55)$$

in which  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  are real valued functions of the spatial coordinates and D denotes the differential time operator, can be modelled by the use of *n* Maxwell type overlays. The overlay approach reduces the  $n^{\text{th}}$  order differential equation (8.55) to *n* first order equations.

(ii) Four parameter viscous model

Two overlays with  $F_0$  set to zero in each case provides a four parameter viscous model of the first kind (Fig. 8.7). Three overlays with  $F_0$  set to (a) zero for one overlay (b) infinitely large for the second unit, (c) zero for the third overlay together with a small prescribed elastic modulus, reproduces a four parameter model of the second kind.

(iii) Three element viscous model

A two overlay model with  $F_0$  set to zero in both and the elastic modulus assigned to be infinitely large in one reproduces the three element viscous model.

(iv) Visco-elastic-plastic four parameter model

This two overlay model is capable of reproducing the behaviour of most real engineering materials and is achieved by setting the threshold yield value of one overlay to zero. Before yielding of the friction slider, the material behaviour is visco-elastic followed by a viscoplastic response after initial yielding. By choosing the viscosity coefficients of the two dashpots appropriately the rate of straining after first yield can be controlled.

In order to illustrate how the combination of two simple material responses by the overlay method can simulate a more complex material behaviour the load cycling problem indicated in Fig. 8.8 is presented. One elastic (yield value set very large) and one viscoplastic overlay are considered. A static analysis of the load cycling of this model was performed by allowing steady state conditions to be achieved after application of each increment of load. The results are shown in Fig. 8.8 where the material properties employed are also included. A Bauschinger effect is immediately apparent on reversal of loading with yielding in compression commencing at a reduced value compared with initial yield in tension. Thus although each overlay has been assumed to be non-strain hardening with equal yield stress in tension and compression, the composite model exhibits a kinematic hardening behaviour.

As a further demonstration of the overlay approach, Fig. 8.9 shows how two overlays can be used to simulate the response of a real engineering material. The solid lines represent experimentally obtained creep curves for a rock salt and it is evident that the material behaviour is highly nonlinear with regard to the strain obtained at any time for a given applied load. The broken lines are the numerical material response obtained by using two overlays with material properties as shown in Fig. 8.9. The agreement obtained is acceptable for engineering purposes but a closer correspondence could be readily achieved by the use of additional overlays. The main advantage of the overlay technique is that it allows the description of complex material behaviours by the use of components which individually exhibit a simple response.

All the program changes required to implement the overlay method in the viscoplastic program described earlier in this chapter are of a minor nature. Almost all the changes are associated with the summation process over each overlay demanded by (8.51), (8.53) and (8.54). Several array sizes must also be extended to allow separate storage of quantities for each overlay. Modification of the program is set as an exercise for the reader in Section 8.17.

#### 8.16 Numerical examples

The first problem considered is the elasto-viscoplastic deformation of a thick tube under the action of internal pressure loading with the exterior surface remaining free. The mesh of Fig. 7.12(a) is employed in analysis with



Fig. 8.8 Load cycling response of an overlay composite illustrating the Bauschinger effect.





311

plane strain conditions being assumed in the axial direction. The material properties employed are identical to the case of Fig. 7.12(a) and the fluidity parameter is chosen as  $\gamma = 0.001$ . Again a Von Mises yield surface is adopted in solution and the flow function  $\Phi(F) = F$  is assumed. An explicit time stepping algorithm ( $\Theta = 0$ ) is initially employed and the radial displacement of the inner surface with time is shown in Fig. 8.10 for two increments of applied pressure. Steady state conditions are allowed to develop for an applied pressure of 12 dN/mm<sup>2</sup> before a further pressure increment of 2 dN/mm<sup>2</sup> is added. For each increment the time stepping parameter values  $\tau = 0.01$ , k = 1.5 were employed, the initial time-step length was chosen as 0.1 days and the steady state convergence tolerance parameter taken as 0.1%. Also shown in Fig. 8.10 are the results for the situation when an internal pressure of P = 14 dN/mm<sup>2</sup> is instantaneously applied. The steady state displacement is seen to be in good agreement with that obtained from the two-load



Fig. 8.10 Displacement of the inner surface with time of an elasto-viscoplastic cylinder subjected to an incrementally applied internal pressure (Mesh of Fig. 7.12(a)).

increment solution. The problem was reanalysed for an applied pressure,  $P = 14 \text{ dN/mm}^2$  using larger time-step lengths as governed by  $\tau = 0.05$ . The loss of accuracy is immediately apparent, with the larger time steps overestimating the viscoplastic strain rates.

The problem was then resolved using in turn, the implicit trapezoidal time stepping scheme  $(\Theta = \frac{1}{2})$  and the full implicit or backward difference scheme  $(\Theta = 1)$ . Good agreement between the three time integration schemes



Fig. 8.11 Comparison of various time integration schemes for the internally pressurised cylinder of Fig. 8.10.



Fig. 8.12 Steady state tangential stress distribution in an elasto-viscoplastic internally pressurised cylinder.

is evident in Fig. 8.11 with the steady state displacement in each case comparing well with the corresponding elasto-plastic value of Fig. 7.12(b).

The steady state hoop stress distributions are shown in Fig. 8.12 for the time integration schemes  $\Theta = 0$  and  $\Theta = 1$ , and the results are compared with the elasto-plastic solution of Fig. 7.13. Excellent agreement is obtained

as required; since theoretically the steady state viscoplastic solution coincides with the corresponding elasto-plastic solution.

The problem of the stresses induced in the vicinity of an excavated underground storage cavity is illustrated in Fig. 8.13. Applications in this area include oil and gas reservoirs, nuclear waste disposal and geothermal energy problems. The cavity is assumed to be axisymmetric and Fig. 8.13



Fig. 8.13 Elasto-viscoplastic analysis of a subterranean cavity, showing zones of plasticity and steady state radial displacement at mid-height.

shows the finite element idealisation of a cylindrical portion of the surrounding rock mass. Before excavation of the cavity the tectonic stress field in the rock is assumed to be hydrostatic. This condition is simulated by a gravity loading together with a lateral hydrostatic pressure applied to the cylindrical face of the model. The material properties employed are indicated in Fig. 8.13. The cavity is assumed to be instantaneously excavated at time t = 0and viscoplastic solution to steady state conditions performed by explicit time integration ( $\Theta = 0$ ). Steady state conditions are achieved in 0.7 years and the zones of viscoplastic deformation at this time are illustrated in Fig. 8.13. It should be emphasised that since the fluidity parameter  $\gamma$  only enters the viscoplastic expressions through the product  $\gamma.t$ , then solution for different material fluidity values simply necessitates an adjustment of the time scale. Figure 8.13 also shows the radial displacement along section AB at steady state. The displacement distribution is seen to be made up of a


Fig. 8.14 Radial and tangential stress distributions for the problem of Fig. 8.13.

linear field caused by the external applied pressure, superimposed on which is the effect of the cavity presence (the shaded area).

Finally, Fig. 8.14 shows the steady state radial and tangential stress distributions along the line of Gaussian integration points nearest section **AB**. It is noted that away from the vicinity of the cavity, the hydrostatic condition  $\sigma_r = \sigma_0$  is reproduced.

#### 8.17 Problems

8.1 Use program VISCOUNT documented in Appendix II, Section A2.2 to solve the thick sphere considered in Problem 7.5 for the viscoplastic case. Employ the same material properties and load increment sizes as used in the elasto-plastic analysis. Assume the fluidity parameter

 $\gamma = 0.001$  and flow function  $\Phi(F) = F$ . Use explicit time integration ( $\Theta = 0$ ) and compare your steady state solutions with the results of Problem 7.5.

- 8.2 Repeat Problem 8.1 for different limiting time step lengths employing explicit time integration. Take the factor  $\tau$ , described in Section 8.3, in the range  $0.01 \le \tau \le 0.5$ . Comment on the accuracy of solution in each case.
- 8.3 Repeat Problem 8.1 using the flow functions (8.8) and (8.9). Take the indices M and N in the range 2 to 4. Comment on the solutions.
- 8.4 Repeat Problem 8.1 using (a) Fully implicit method (Θ = 1) and (b) Implicit trapezoidal rule (Θ = 1/2). Comment on the accuracy and computational costs of solution.
- 8.5 Modify program VISCOUNT to include the strain-hardening law considered in Problem 7.4.
- 8.6 Undertake all the coding changes required to program VISCOUNT to include the overlay concept described in Section 8.15.
- 8.7 Test the modified program of Problem 8.6 by employing it in the solution of the uniaxial problem of Fig. 8.15. A constant stress of 100 is applied at time t = 0 to the plane stress model shown. Determine the development of strain with time. Verify the numerical solution by noting Figs. 8.4 and 8.5 and hence comparing with the analytical solution of Problem 4.2.



Fig. 8.15 Overlay model example-Problem 8.7.

8.8 In Section 8.2.3 it was stated that large deformation effects could be included, adopting a Lagrangian formulation, by including both the linear and nonlinear terms of the general quadratic relationship between strains and displacements according to (8.19). Details of geometrically nonlinear expressions can be found in Chapters 10 and 11. Modify program VISCOUNT to include such geometrically nonlinear behaviour.

8.9 Employ the modified program of Problem 8.8 to solve the creep buckling problem illustrated in Fig. 8.16. The creep law employed is indicated in Fig. 8.16 and is a particular form of expression (8.9). Using the finite element mesh shown, apply the eccentric load to the cantilever at time, t = 0, and employ the implicit time integration algorithm  $(\Theta = 1)$  to determine the deformation with increasing time. At some stage of the solution process the structure will become unstable due to creep buckling. Carry out the analysis for  $\lambda = 1.0, 1.5, 2.0$  and 2.5 and compare the lateral deflection/time relationships with those provided in Ref. 6.



Fig. 8.16 Creep buckling example—Problem 8.9.

- 8.10 Modify program VISCOUNT to undertake the elasto-viscoplastic solution of three-dimensional solids. The majority of the subroutines required have been already modified in Problem 7.9.
- 8.11 Repeat Problem 7.10 for the elasto-viscoplastic program VISCOUNT.

## 8.18 References

- 1. OLSZAK, W. and PERZYNA, P., Stationary and non-stationary visco-plasticity. In: M. F. Kanninen *et al.* (Eds), *Inelastic Behaviour of Solids*, McGraw-Hill, 1970.
- 2. OLSZAK, W. and PERZYNA, P., On elasto-viscoplastic soils, In: *Rheology and* Soil Mechanics, IUTAM Symposium, Springer-Verlag, Grenoble, 1966.
- 3. PERZYNA, P., Fundamental problems in visco-plasticity, In: Recent Advances in Applied Mechanics, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- 4. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C. and CORMEAU, I. C., Visco-plasticity—plasticity and creep in elastic solids—a unified numerical solution approach, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 8, 821-845 (1974).
- 5. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., OWEN, D. R. J. and CORMEAU, I. C., Analysis of viscoplastic effects in pressure vessels by the finite element method, *Nuclear Engineering & Design*, 28(2), 278-288 (1974).

- 6. KANCHI, M. B., ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C. and OWEN, D. R. J., The visco-plastic approach to problems of elasticity and creep involving geometric nonlinear effects. Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 12, 169-181 (1978).
- 7. STRICKLIN, J. A., HAISLER, W. and REISEMANN, W., Evaluation of solution procedures of material and/or geometrically non-linear structural analysis, *AIAA J.* 11, 292-299 (1973).
- 8. DINIS, L. M. S. and OWEN, D. R. J., Elastic-viscoplastic analysis of plates by the finite element method, *Computers & Structures*, 8, 207-215 (1978).
- 9. CORMEAU, I., Numerical stability in quasistatic elasto-visco-plasticity, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 9, 109-127 (1975).
- 10. DUWEZ, P., On the Plasticity of Crystals, Physical Revjew, 47(6), 494-501 (1935).
- 11. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., NAYAK, G. C. and OWEN, D. R. J., Composite and overlay models in numerical analysis of elasto-plastic continua, *Int. Symp. Foundations of Plasticity*, Warsaw (1972).
- 12. OWEN, D. R. J., PRAKASH, A. and ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., Finite element analysis of non-linear composite materials by use of overlay systems, *Computers & Structures* 4, 1251-1267 (1974).
- 13. PANDE, G. N., OWEN, D. R. J and ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., Overlay models in time-dependent nonlinear material analysis. *Computers & Structures*, 7, 435-. 443 (1977).
- 14. HUGHES, T. J. R. and TAYLOR, R. L., Unconditionally stable algorithms for quasi-static elasto/viscoplastic finite element analysis, *Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng.* (to be published).

## Chapter 9 Elasto-plastic Mindlin plate bending analysis

Written in collaboration with M. M. Huq

## 9.1 Introduction

In Chapter 5 we introduced some elastoplastic Timoshenko beam formulations. In this chapter we introduce some related elasto-plastic Mindlin plate bending formulations.

There are basically three theories which we could use as a basis for elastic plate bending:

- (i) Kirchhoff classical thin plate theory This theory, which takes no account of transverse shear deformation, is usually favoured by engineers because of its simplicity. It is the plate bending equivalent of Euler-Bernoulli beam theory. Many conforming C(1) and non-conforming C(0) plate elements are available.
- (ii) Mindlin (or Reissner) plate theory Mindlin and the related Reissner plate theories allow for transverse shear effects. Mindlin plate theory is the plate bending equivalent of Timoshenko beam theory. Several Mindlin plate elements have been presented in the literature and it emerges that the most convenient one is the 'Heterosis' element of Hughes.<sup>(1)</sup>
- (iii) Full three-dimensional theory For the greatest accuracy, full threedimensional theory should be employed. Many 3D hexahedral and tetrahedral elements have been presented. Unfortunately when the aspect ratio of the element is very large as in thin plates, an ill-conconditioned stiffness matrix results and roundoff problems predominate. Several schemes for avoiding this difficulty have been presented and undoubtedly an analysis based on this procedure is the most accurate.

Let us now consider the various possibilities for elasto-plastic analysis.

- (i) We could use a full 3D analysis with a yield function  $F(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z, \tau_{xy}, \tau_{xz}, \tau_{yz})$ .
- (ii) In a Mindlin plate formulation we can also use the yield function  $F(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z, \tau_{xy}, \tau_{xz}, \tau_{yz})$ . It should be noted that  $\sigma_z$  is taken as zero in

Mindlin plates. This approach allows for the spread of plasticity from the extreme fibre over the entire plate thickness. In the evaluation of the internal virtual work integrals we may sample the stresses of the Gauss-Legendre or Lobatto integration points. Alternatively we may divide the plate into layers and use a mid-ordinate rule.

- (iii) In a Mindlin or Kirchhoff formulation we can use a yield function  $F(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \tau_{xy})$ . In Mindlin plate theory we ignore the effect of  $\tau_{xz}$  and  $\tau_{yz}$  on the plastic behaviour. Since, in the absence of inplane forces, the inplane stresses are a maximum at the extreme fibres where the transverse shear stresses are a minimum and the inplane stresses are a minimum at the mid-plane where the transverse shears are a maximum, this is a reasonable assumption. (There is also further evidence to suggest that it is likely to lead to insignificant errors.) This approach also allows for the spread of plasticity over the depth of the plate. In the evaluation of the internal virtual work integrals we may sample the stresses at the Gauss-Legendre or Lobatto integration points. Alternatively we may divide the plate into layers\* and use a mid-ordinate rule. This 'layered' approach has been described in Chapter 5 for a Timoshenko beam element and is a very popular method.
- (iv) In a Mindlin or Kirchhoff formulation we can adopt in the absence of inplane forces a yield function  $F(M_x, M_y, M_{xy})$  which is a function of the bending moments. Here it is assumed that at a point the whole plate section becomes plastic simultaneously. A similar approach was described in Chapter 5 for Timoshenko beam elements.

The elasto-plastic analysis of Mindlin plates is considered in this chapter, where both layered and non-layered approaches are treated in detail.

Finite elements based on Mindlin's assumptions have one important advantage over elements based on classical thin plate theory. Mindlin plate elements require only C(0) continuity of the lateral displacement w and the two independent nodal rotations  $\theta_x$  and  $\theta_y$ . However elements based on classical Kirchhoff thin plate theory require C(1) continuity; in other words  $\partial w/\partial x$  and  $\partial w/\partial y$  as well as w must be continuous across element interfaces. Thus, Mindlin plate elements are simpler to formulate and they have the added advantage of being able to model shear-weak as well as shear-stiff plates. Consequently, if transverse shear deformations are present they are automatically modelled with Mindlin elements.

Recent research<sup>(1)</sup> indicates that the use of a 'Heterosis' quadrilateral Mindlin plate element with quadratic Lagrangian interpolation for  $\theta_x$  and  $\theta_y$  and quadratic Serendipity interpolation for w together with selective integration of the stiffness matrix, gives the best overall performance. It

<sup>\*</sup> These layers are symmetric about the midsurface of the plate in the present formulation.

avoids locking and contains no spurious mechanisms. The Heterosis element is implemented here using a hierarchical formulation described later.

We have already considered elastic Mindlin plate finite element analysis in Chapter 6. Nonlinear Mindlin plate finite element analysis is now considered.

#### 9.2 Equilibrium equations

#### 9.2.1 Three-dimensional equilibrium equations

Let us begin with the equilibrium equations of three-dimensional stress analysis. We will assume that, for convenience, no tractions are present on the boundary  $\Gamma_t$  of the three-dimensional domain  $\Omega$ . The virtual work equation may be expressed as

$$\int_{\Omega} \{ [\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}]^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} - [\delta \boldsymbol{u}]^T \boldsymbol{b} \} d\Omega = 0$$
(9.1)

where the vector of virtual displacements in the x, y and z directions is  $\delta u = [\delta u, \delta v, \delta w]^T$ , the vector of associated virtual strains is  $\delta \epsilon = [\delta \epsilon_x, \delta \epsilon_y, \delta \epsilon_z, \delta \gamma_{xy}, \delta \gamma_{xz}, \delta \gamma_{yz}]^T$ , the vector of stress is  $\sigma = [\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z, \tau_{xy}, \tau_{xz}, \tau_{yz}]^T$  and the vector of applied body forces is  $b = [b_x, b_y, b_z]^T$ . Displacements u are prescribed on boundary  $\Gamma_u$  of domain  $\Omega$ .

The stress-strain relationships for an isotropic material are given as

$$\boldsymbol{D} = a_{1} \begin{bmatrix} a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{3} & a_{2} & a_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{3} & a_{3} & a_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(9.2)

where  $a_1 = E/(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)$ ,  $a_2 = 1-\nu$ ,  $a_3 = \nu$  and  $a_4 = (1-2\nu)/2$ . Note that E is the elastic modulus and  $\nu$  is Poisson's ratio.

#### 9.2.2 Mindlin plate equilibrium equations

In Mindlin plate theory, the domain of interest  $\Omega$  is of the special form

$$\Omega = \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | z \in [-t/2, t/2], (x, y) \in A \in \mathbb{R}^2 \}$$

$$(9.3)$$

where t is the plate thickness which may be a function of x and y and A is the plate area. The boundary of A is denoted by  $\Gamma$ .

We also make the following set of assumptions:

(i) Normals to the midsurface (i.e., z = 0) before deformation remain straight but not necessarily normal to the midsurface after deformation. If  $\theta_x$  and  $\theta_y$  are the rotations of the midsurface normal in the xz- and yz- plane respectively, then

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u(x, y, z) \\ v(x, y, z) \\ w(x, y, z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -z\theta_x(x, y) \\ -z\theta_y(x, y) \\ w(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$$
(9.4)

The sign convention is illustrated in Fig. (9.1). Right hand rotations  $\tilde{\theta}_x$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_y$  are defined by the expression

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_x \\ \theta_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\theta}_x \\ \tilde{\theta}_y \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (9.5)

It is usually more convenient to develop the theory in terms of  $\theta_x$  and  $\theta_y$  rather than  $\dot{\theta}_x$  and  $\dot{\theta}_y$  since the resulting algebra is greatly simplified.

(ii) The normal stress  $\sigma_z$  is assumed equal to zero. The virtual work statement may be expressed as

$$\int_{\Omega} [\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}']^T \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \, d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} [\delta \boldsymbol{u}]^T \, \boldsymbol{b} \, d\Omega = 0 \qquad (9.6)^*$$

in which

$$[\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}'] = [\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_x, \ \delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_y, \ \delta \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{xy} \ | \ \delta \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{xz}, \ \delta \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{yz}]^T = [(\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_f)^T, \ (\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_s)^T]^T$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}' = [\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z \mid \tau_{xz}, \tau_{yz}]^T = [(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_f)^T, (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_s)^T]^T.$$

Note that

$$\delta \epsilon_f = z \left[ -\frac{\partial (\delta \theta_x)}{\partial x}, -\frac{\partial (\delta \theta_y)}{\partial y}, -\left( \frac{\partial (\delta \theta_x)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\delta \theta_y)}{\partial x} \right) \right]^T = z \, \delta \hat{\epsilon}_f \qquad (9.7)^{\dagger}$$

• In Mindlin plate theory a reduced form of the constitutive relations is obtained by making  $\sigma_z = 0$  and subsequently eliminating  $\epsilon_z$ . Thus

$$\sigma' = D'\epsilon'$$

where for elastic isotropic situations

$$D' = \frac{E}{(1-\nu^2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu & 0 & | & 0 & 0 \\ \nu & 1 & 0 & | & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-\nu)}{2} & | & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & \frac{(1-\nu)}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & \frac{(1-\nu)}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_f' & 0 \\ 0 & D_{s'} \end{bmatrix}$$

<sup>†</sup> Terms symbolised thus (^) denote quantities integrated over the thickness.

and

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{s} = \left[ \frac{\partial (\delta w)}{\partial x} - \delta \theta_{x}, \quad \frac{\partial (\delta w)}{\partial y} - \delta \theta_{y} \right]^{T} = \delta \boldsymbol{\hat{\epsilon}}_{s}. \tag{9.8}$$

Using (9.7) and (9.8) we find that (9.6) can be rewritten as

$$\int_{A} \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \left[ z(\delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{f})^{T} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f} + (\delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{s})^{T} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s} - (\delta \boldsymbol{u})^{T} \, \boldsymbol{b} \right] dz \, dA = 0 \tag{9.9}$$

This equation is adopted in the layered approach. After integration over the thickness of the plate (9.9) can be rewritten in the form

$$\int_{A} \left[ (\delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{f})^{T} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{f} - (\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{s})^{T} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s} - (\delta \boldsymbol{u})^{T} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{b}} \right] dA = 0 \qquad (9.10)$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}_f = \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} z \, \sigma_f \, dz$$
$$\hat{\sigma}_s = \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \sigma_s \, dz$$
$$\hat{b} = \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} b \, dz.$$

and

We interpret  $\hat{\sigma}_f = [M_x, M_y, M_{xy}]^T$  as the bending moments and  $\hat{\sigma}_s = [Q_x, Q_y]^T$  as the shear force. Usually we take  $\hat{b} = [q, 0, 0]^T$  in which q is the lateral distributed loading acting on the plate. We use (9.10) in the non-layered plate formulation.



Fig. 9.1 Sign convention for Mindlin plate theory.

#### 9.3 Discretisation

## 9.3.1 Standard representation

If we adopt a standard C(0) finite element representation then the displacements can be written as

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i d_i \qquad (9.11)$$

in which the shape function matrix is  $N_i = N_i I_3$  and the vector of nodal values  $d_i = [w_i, \theta_{xi}, \theta_{yi}]^T$ .

The flexural strain displacement equations are given as

$$\delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_f = \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{B}_{fi} \, \delta \boldsymbol{d}_i \tag{9.12}$$

in which

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{fi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial y} \\ 0 & -\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial y} & -\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix}$$

The shear strain displacement equations have the form

$$\delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_s = \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{B}_{si} \, \delta \boldsymbol{d}_i \tag{9.13}$$

in which

•

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{si} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x} & -N_i & 0\\ \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial y} & 0 & -N_i \end{bmatrix}$$

If we substitute (9.11)–(9.13) in (9.9) we obtain the expression

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} [\delta d_{i}]^{T} \left\{ \int_{A} \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} [B_{fi}]^{T} \sigma_{f} z + [B_{si}]^{T} \sigma_{s} - [N_{i}]^{T} b] dz dA \right\} = 0. \quad (9.14)$$

Since (9.14) must be true for any set of virtual displacements we obtain the expression

$$\int_{A} \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \left[ [\mathbf{B}_{fi}]^{T} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f} \, z + [\mathbf{B}_{si}]^{T} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s} - [N_{i}]^{T} \, \boldsymbol{b} \right] dz \, dA = 0 \qquad (9.15)$$

or

We use (9.15) in the layered approach. If we integrate the terms in square brackets over the thickness of the plate then we obtain the following equation

$$\int_{A} \left[ [\boldsymbol{B}_{fi}]^{T} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{f} + [\boldsymbol{B}_{si}]^{T} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s} - [N_{i}]^{T} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{b}} \right] dA = 0 \qquad (9.16)$$

or

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_i(\boldsymbol{d})=0.$$

 $\boldsymbol{\psi}_i(\boldsymbol{d}) = 0.$ 

Equation (9.16) is used in the nonlayered approach.

Note that we obtain equations for the residual force vector  $\psi_i(d)$  for every node in the finite element discretisation. When the stresses are nonlinear then both (9.15) and (9.16) are sets of nonlinear simultaneous equations.

Contributions to the residual force vector  $\boldsymbol{\psi} = [\boldsymbol{\psi}_1^T, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_n^T]^T$  may be evaluated at the element level and then assembled to form  $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ . We may use any standard C(0) two-dimensional isoparametric element. Several elements have been presented in the literature and it emerges that the most convenient one is the 8/9 node 'Heterosis' element of Hughes.<sup>(1)</sup> In the programs described later we use 4, 8 and 9-noded isoparametric quadrilateral elements (see Chapter 6), as well as the Heterosis element. Selective integration is adopted and this will be described later.

#### 9.3.2 Hierarchical formulation of the Heterosis element

In the implementation of the Heterosis and the 9-node element a hierarchical formulation is adopted. The first 8 shape functions are borrowed from the 8-noded Serendipity element and the shape function for the central 9<sup>th</sup> node is the bubble function

$$N_{9}^{(e)}(\xi,\eta) = (1-\xi^2)(1-\eta^2) \tag{9.17}$$

which is already available from the quadratic Lagrangian element. This means that all variables associated with the central node are hierarchical in nature. In other words, they are departures from the interpolated Serendipity values. The hierarchical representation can be used for geometrical representation as well as for interpolating displacements.

In order to implement the heterosis element we adopt a hierarchical formulation either by adding a stiff spring (large number) to the leading

diagonal term of the stiffness matrix associated with the lateral displacement parameter for node 9, or by prescribing displacement at this centre node to zero. This has the effect of forcing w to behave as though it was represented by Serendipity quadratic shape functions. Thus the desired effect is achieved.

It is worth noting that if no spring is added the element obtained is identical to the 9-noded Lagrangian element provided that care is taken in evaluating the consistent nodal forces. Furthermore if stiff springs are added to all the terms of the leading diagonal associated with node 9, then the element reverts to a Serendipity 8-noded element.

For convenience, in the present case, when representing the geometry of the heterosis element, the x and y coordinate departures from the interpolated Serendipity values are taken as equal to zero. In other words, as Serendipity geometrical representation is adopted this distinction is only of importance when elements with curved boundaries are present. (N.B. This is automatically taken care of by a modified version of Subroutine NODEXY described in Section 6.4.1).

#### 9.4 Solution of nonlinear equations

#### 9.4.1 Plasticity in layered plates

For Mindlin plates we may assume that the yield function F is a function of  $\sigma_f$ , the direct stresses associated with flexure, but not of the transverse shear stresses  $\sigma_s$ . The yield function F is also a function of the hardening parameter, H. When yielding occurs at some point, it is assumed that, unless unloading takes place, the stresses always remain on the yield surface so that

$$F(\sigma_f, H) = 0 \tag{9.18}$$

Thus the incremental stress-strain relationship is given as

$$\begin{bmatrix} d\sigma_f \\ d\sigma_s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (D_{ep}')_f & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & D_{s'} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d\epsilon_f \\ d\epsilon_s \end{bmatrix}$$
(9.19)
$$d\sigma' = D_{ep}' d\epsilon'$$

or

in which  $(D_{ep'})_f$  is identical to  $D_{ep}$  given in Chapter 7 for the elasto-plastic plane stress problem. Note that  $D_{s'}$  always remains elastic. Recall from equation (7.47) that

$$(D_{ep}')_f = D_f' - \frac{d_D d_D^T}{A + d_D^T a'}$$
(9.20)

where

$$\boldsymbol{a}' = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma_x}, & \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma_y}, & \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau_{xy}} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$d_D = D_f' a'$$
$$A = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial F}{\partial H} dH$$

in which  $\lambda$  is the proportionality constant. Here we cater for Von Mises and Tresca materials only. We can thus use a slightly modified version of the coding described in Chapter 7 when evaluating  $(D_{ep}')_f$  and when testing for yielding etc.

#### 9.4.2 Solution of the nonlinear equilibrium equations for layered plates

The incremental equilibrium equations for the plate can be written at some stage in the solution (i.e., at an iteration during a load increment) as

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{d}^p) + \boldsymbol{K}_T(\boldsymbol{d}^p) \Delta \boldsymbol{d}^p = 0 \tag{9.21}$$

where  $\psi$  is obtained from (9.15) and  $K_T(d^p)$  is the tangential stiffness matrix which may be approximated as

$$K_T(d^p) = \int_A \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \{ [B_f]^T [D_{ep}']_f B_f + [B_s]^T D_s' B_s \} dz \, dA.$$
(9.22)

Since  $[D_{ep}']_f$  is a function of z we may employ a numerical integration technique to evaluate the integral over the thickness of the plate. Here, we divide the plate into layers and use a mid-ordinate rule as described in Chapter 5 for the Timoshenko beam. We use a similar method to evaluate  $\psi(d^p)$ . Thus we have

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{T}(\boldsymbol{d}^{p}) = \int_{A} \{ [\boldsymbol{B}_{f}]^{T} [\hat{\boldsymbol{D}}_{ep}]_{f} \boldsymbol{B}_{f} \cdots [\boldsymbol{B}_{s}]^{T} \hat{\boldsymbol{D}}_{s} \boldsymbol{B}_{s} ] \} dA \qquad (9.23)$$

where

$$[\hat{\boldsymbol{D}}_{ep}]_f = \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} [\boldsymbol{D}_{ep}']_f dz$$

and

$$\hat{D}_s = \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} D_s' \, dz.$$

We now use the standard procedure to solve (9.21). Instead of using  $K_T(d^p)$  we may use some previously calculated value of  $K_T$  just as in the other applications.

## 9.4.3 Plasticity in nonlayered plates

In Chapter 5 we considered the elasto-plastic nonlayered analysis of Timoshenko beams in which we assumed that when the bending moment

reaches the yield moment  $M_0$ , the whole cross-section of the beam becomes plastic instantaneously. We noted that this is a convenient fiction as in reality there is always a gradual spread of plasticity over the depth of the beam. In elasto-plastic nonlayered Mindlin plate analysis we make a similar approximation. Here we assume that the yield function  $\hat{F}$  is expressed as a function of the bending moments  $\hat{\sigma}_f$ , but not of the shear forces  $\hat{\sigma}_s$ . The yield function is also assumed to be a function of a hardening parameter  $\hat{H}$ . During yield it is assumed that the stress resultants  $\hat{\sigma}_f$  must remain on the yield surface so that

$$\hat{F}(\hat{\sigma}_f, \hat{H}) = 0 \tag{9.24}$$

where for the Tresca and Von Mises materials under consideration

$$\hat{F}(\hat{\sigma}_f, \hat{H}) = \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} F(\sigma_f, H) dz. \qquad (9.25)$$

Therefore, although  $\hat{F}$  replaces F,  $(M_x, M_y, M_{xy})$  replace  $(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \tau_{xy})$  and  $M_0 = \sigma_0 t^2/4$  replaces  $\sigma_0$ , everything else remains unchanged and we can again make use of the coding given in Chapter 7.

The incremental stress-strain resultant relationships are given as

$$\begin{bmatrix} d\hat{\sigma}_f \\ d\hat{\sigma}_s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [\hat{D}_{ep}]_f & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{D}_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d\hat{\epsilon}_f \\ d\hat{\epsilon}_s \end{bmatrix}$$
(9.26)

in which

$$[\hat{D}_{ep}]_f = \hat{D}_f - \frac{\hat{d}_D \, \hat{d}_D^T}{\hat{A} + \hat{d}_D^T \, \hat{a}}$$
(9.27)

in which

$$\hat{a} = \left[\frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial M_x}, \frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial M_y}, \frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial M_{xy}}\right]^2$$
$$\hat{d}_D = \hat{D}_f \hat{a}$$
$$\hat{A} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial \hat{H}} d\hat{H}$$

and

$$\widehat{D}_f = \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} D_f' z \, dz.$$

Note also that

$$\hat{D}_s = \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} D_s' \, dz.$$

# 9.4.4 Solution of nonlinear equilibrium equations for nonlayered Mindlin plates

For the nonlayered plates the equilibrium equations are identical to (9.21). Here, however, the tangential stiffness matrix is given as

$$K_{T} = \int_{A} \{ [B_{f}]^{T} [\hat{D}_{ep}]_{f} B_{f} + [B_{s}]^{T} \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{s} B_{s} \} dA.$$
(9.28)

Apart from this modification the solution procedure is unchanged.

#### 9.4.5 Summary of solution procedures

The solution procedures for elasto-plastic Mindlin plate analysis are summarised in Tables 9.1–9.3. The overall process is given in Table 9.1. The iteration loop is shown for the nonlayered and layered plates in Tables 9.2 and 9.3 respectively.

Table 9.1	Equation solving	technique for	layered and	nonlayered	Mindlin	plates
-----------	------------------	---------------	-------------	------------	---------	--------

1	Begin new load increment, $f = f + \Delta f$ .
2	Set $\Delta f$ equal to the current load increment vector.
3	Set $d^0$ equal to 0 for the first increment or equal to the total displacement vector at the end of the last load increment.
4	Set $\psi^0$ equal to the residual force vector at the end of the last immement or equal to 0 for the first load increment.
5	Set $\psi^0 = \psi^0 + \Delta f$ .
6	Solve $\Delta d^0 = -[K_T]^{-1} \psi^0$ . Use old or updated value $K_T$ .
7	Set $d^1 = d^0 + \Delta d^0$ .
8	Evaluate $\psi^{1}(d^{1})$ .
9	If solution has converged go to 11; otherwise continue.
10	Iterate until solution has converged.
11	If this is not the last increment go to 1; otherwise stop.

a de 3.2 The heration loop for elastic-plastic homayered withdrift plat	Table 9.2	The iteration le	oop for el	lasto-plastic	nonlayered	Mindlin	plates
---	-----------	------------------	------------	---------------	------------	---------	--------

1	Set iteration number $i = 1$ .
2	Solve $\Delta d^i = -[K_T]^{-1} \psi^i$ .
	Use old or updated $K_T$ .
3	Set $d^{i+1} = d^i + \Delta d^i$ .
4	For each Gauss point, evaluate the increments in strain resultants
	$\Delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{f}^{i} = \boldsymbol{B}_{f} \Delta \boldsymbol{d}^{i}$
	$\Delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{s}^{i} = \boldsymbol{B}_{s} \Delta \boldsymbol{d}^{i}.$

Table 9.2-continued

5 Using the elastic rigidities estimate, at each Gauss point, the increments in stress resultants and hence the total stress resultants

 $\Delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{f}^{i} = \hat{\boldsymbol{D}}_{f} \Delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{f}^{i} \quad \text{hence} \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{f}^{i+1} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{f}^{i} + \Delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{f}^{i}$  $\Delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s}^{i} = \hat{\boldsymbol{D}}_{s} \Delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{s}^{i} \quad \text{hence} \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s}^{i+1} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s}^{i} + \Delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s}^{i}.$ 

- 6 At each Gauss point, depending on the states of  $\hat{\sigma}_{f}^{i}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_{f}^{i+1}$ , adjust  $\hat{\sigma}_{f}^{i+1}$  to satisfy the yield criterion and preserve the normality condition.
- 7 Evaluate the residual force vector

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}^{i+1} = \int_A \{ [\boldsymbol{B}_f]^T \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_f + [\boldsymbol{B}_s]^T \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_s \} dA - f.$$

- 8 If the solution has converged, continue, otherwise set i = i+1and go to 2.
- 9 Move to next load increment.

 Table 9.3
 The iteration loop for elasto-plastic layered Mindlin plates.

- 1 Set iteration number i = 1.
- 2 Solve  $\Delta d^i = -[K_T]^{-1} \psi^i$ .
- Use old or updated  $K_T$ .
- 3 Set  $d^{i+1} = d^i + \Delta d^i$ .
- 4 For each Gauss point in each layer evaluate the increment in strain

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{f}^{i} = \boldsymbol{z} \boldsymbol{B}_{f} \Delta \boldsymbol{d}^{i}$$
$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{s}^{i} = \boldsymbol{B}_{s} \Delta \boldsymbol{d}^{i}.$$

5 Estimate the increments in stress at each Gauss point in each layer using the elastic stress-strain matrix. Hence evaluate the total stress value.

$$\begin{split} \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f}^{i} &= \mathbf{D}_{f}^{\prime} \Delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{f}^{\prime}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f}^{i+1} &= \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f}^{i} + \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f}^{i} \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s}^{i} &= \mathbf{D}_{s}^{\prime} \Delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{s}^{\prime}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s}^{i+1} &= \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s}^{i} + \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s}^{i}. \end{split}$$

- 6 Depending on the states of  $\sigma_f^{i}$  and  $\sigma_f^{i+1}$ , adjust  $\sigma_f^{i+1}$  to satisfy the yield criterion and preserve the normality condition.
- 7 Evaluate the stress resultants  $\hat{\sigma}_{t}^{i+1}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_{s}^{i+1}$  at each Gauss point.
- 8 Evaluate the residual force vector

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}^{t+1} = \int_{A} \{ [\boldsymbol{B}_f]^T \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_f + [\boldsymbol{B}_s]^T \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_s \} dA - f.$$

- 9 If the solution has converged continue, otherwise set i = i+1 and go to 2.
- 10 Move to next load increment.

In this application we recommended the following convergence criteria. Let

$$E_{\delta} = \frac{\sum_{j} (\Delta \delta_{j}^{(l)})^{2}]^{1/2}}{[\sum_{j} (\delta_{j}^{(l+1)})^{2}]^{1/2}}$$
(9.29)

where  $\delta_j$  may equal  $w_j$ ,  $\theta_{xj}$  or  $\theta_{yj}$ . We take in any combination

$$E_w, E_{\partial x}, E_{\partial y}, (E_w + E_{\partial x} + E_{\partial y}) \leq \text{TOLER}$$
(9.30)

where TOLER is a specified tolerance. We can also take the residual force equivalents of  $w_j$ ,  $\theta_{xj}$  or  $\theta_{yj}$  in (9.29) and (9.30).

#### 9.5 Software for the non-layered approach

#### 9.5.1 Overall program structure

The overall program structure for the elasto-plastic Mindlin plate bending analysis program MINDLIN using a nonlayered approach is given in Fig. 9.2.

The dimensions given in subroutine FEMP agree with those given in subroutine DIMMP and limit the program to the following maximum size problems in the present form

MELEM – maximum number of elements	=	25
MEVAB – maximum number of variables per element	=	27
MFRON – maximum front width	=	40
MMATS – maximum number of material sets	=	10
MPOIN – maximum number of nodal points	=	80
MTOTV – maximum total number of degrees of freedom	=	240
MVFIX – maximum number of prescribed boundary nodes	=	40

To modify these values the DIMENSION statement in FEMP and the appropriate statements in DIMMP should be *carefully changed and checked*. All new routines are now documented and these include: FEMP, CONVMP, DIMMP, FLOWMP, GRADMP, INVMP, MINDPB, OUTMP, SFR2,\* RESMP, STIFMP, STRMP, SUBMP, VZERO and ZEROMP. The other routines, which have been described earlier, include ALGOR, BMATPB, CHECK1,<sup>†</sup> CHECK2, ECHO, FRONT, INCREM, INPUT, JACOB2, MODPB and NODEXY.\*

The files which are used in the program are 5 (cardreader), 6 (lineprinter) and 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 (scratch files).

<sup>•</sup> Note we include the modified versions of SFR2 and NODEXY to allow for hierarchical representation.

**<sup>†</sup>** We include a very slightly modified version of CHECK 1. Note also that for 4-node Mindlin plate elements, GAUSSQ is modified to allow for a single point Gauss rule. See Section 6.4.2.





Fig. 9.2 Overall structure of program MINDLIN.

## 9.5.2 Subroutine FEMP

This routine controls the calling sequence of all of the other main routines as indicated in Fig. 9.2.

	PROGRAM FE	EMP(INPUT,O	UTPUT,TAPE5=INPUT,TAPE6=OUTPUT, PE4,TAPE8,TAPE9)	FEMP FEMP	1 2
C***	*********	**********	***************************************	*FEMP	3
C###	FLASTO-PL		STS OF NON-LAYFRED MENDLEN PLATES USING	FFMP	יי ה
C###	489	NODED OR H	ETEROSIS ISOPARAMETRIC OUADRILATERALS	FEMP	6
č	,-,o- , ,-	-110200 011 11		FEMP	7
C####	*********	*****	********	*FEMP	. 8
	DIMENSION	ASDIS(240)	,COORD(80,2),EFFST(225),ELOAD(25,27),	FEMP	9
	•	EPSTN(225)	,ESTIF(27,27),	FEMP	10
	•	EQRHS(10),	EQUAT(40,10),FIXED(240),	FEMP	11
	•	IFFIX(240)	,GLOAD(40),GSTIF(860),LNODS(25,9),LOCEL(27),	FEMP	12
	•	MDEST(27)	NACVA(40),NAMEV(10),NCDIS(4),NCRES(4), NDEPO(25) NOETY(40) NOUTD(2) NETVO(10)	EEMP EEMD	15
	•	POSCP(1) P	RFSC(40,3) PROPS(10,8) RFFOR(240)	FEMP	14
	•	RLOAD(25.2	7).STRSG(5.225).TOFOR(240).	FEMP	16
	•	TDISP(240)	,TLOAD(25,27),TREAC(40,3),VECRV(40),	FEMP	17
	•	WEIGP(4)		FEMP	-18
С				FEMP	19
C***	PRESET VARI	LABLES ASSO	CIATED WITH DYNAMIC DIMENSIONS	FEMP	20
С	<b>0</b> 411	DTIMO	AND USA MELEN MOTAD MEDON MANGA MOOTH	FEMP	21
	CALL	DIMMP	(MBUF A, MELLEM, ME VAB, MF KUN, MMAIS, MPUIN,	FEMP	22
	•		MOTE, MICIG, MICIV, MVEIX, NDIME, NDOEN,	FEMP	<u>ر</u> ک ارد
c	•		NERVE, NOTRE /	FEMP	24
C###	CALL THE SI	IBROUTTNE W	HICH READS MOST OF THE PROBLEM DATA	FEMP	26
č				FEMP	27
	CALL	INPUT	(COORD, IFFIX, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MEVAB,	FEMP	28
	•		MFRON, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTV, MVFIX, NALGO,	FEMP	- 29
	•		NCRIT, NDFRO, NDIME, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB,	FEMP	30
	-		NGAUS, NLAPS, NINCS, NMAIS, NNUDE, NOFIX,	FEMP	31
	•		NFOIN, MERUP, NOIKE, NOIKE, NOWLI, NIUIG,	FEMP	- 34 - 22
	•		WEIGP)	FEMP	- 22 - 34
С	-			FEMP	35
C###	INITIALIZE	ARRAYS TO	ZERO	FEMP	36
С				FEMP	37
	CALL	ZEROMP	(EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, MELEM, MEVAB, MTOTG,	FEMP	38
	•		MTOTV, MVFIX, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS,	FEMP	- 39
	•		NTOIG, NTOTV, NVF1X, STRSG, TDISP, TFACT,	FEMP	40
c	•		ILUAD, IKEAC)	FEMP	41
C###				FEMP	43
С				FEMP	44
	CALL	MINDPB	(IFDIS, IFFIX, IFRES, LNODS, MELEM, MTOTV,	FEMP	45
	•		NCDIS, NCRES, NELEM, NTYPE)	FEMP	46
C				FEMP	-47
C				FEMP	48
C###				FEMF	49
Č Č	COMPUTE LOA	NU AFIER RE	ADING RELEVANT EXIKA DATA	r CMP FFMP	50
-	CALL	LOADPB	(COORD, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MMATS, MPOTN	FEMP	52
	•		NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NPOTN, PROPS.	FEMP	53
			RLOAD)	FEMP	54
С				FEMP	55
C***	LOOP OVER E	EACH INCREM	ENT	FEMP	56
С				FEMP	57

DO 70 IINCS=1,NINCS 58 FEMP С FEMP 59 C\*\*\* READ DATA FOR CURRENT INCREMENT FEMP 60 С FEMP 61 CALL INCREM (ELOAD, FIXED, IINCS, MELEM, MEVAB, MITER, FEMP 62 MTOTV, MVFIX, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NOUTP, 63 FEMP NOFIX, NTOTV, NVFIX, PRESC, RLOAD, TFACT, FEMP 64 TLOAD, TOLER) 65 FEMP С FEMP 66 C\*\*\* LOOP OVER EACH ITERATION FEMP 67 С FEMP 68 DO 90 IITER=1,MITER FEMP 69 С FEMP 70 C\*\*\* CALL ROUTINE WHICH SELECTS SOLUTION ALGORITHM VARIABLE KRESL FEMP 71 С FEMP 72 CALL ALGOR (FIXED, IINCS, IITER, KRESL, MTOTV, NALGO, FEMP 73 NTOTV) FEMP 74 C FEMP 75 C\*\*\* CHECK WHETHER A NEW EVALUATION OF THE STIFFNESS MATRICES IS NEEDED FEMP 76 С FEMP 77 IF(KRESL.EQ.1) 78 FEMP .CALL STIFMP (COORD, EPSTN, IINCS, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, 79 FEMP MEVAB, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, NCRIT, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, PROPS, STRSG) 80 FEMP 81 FEMP C FEMP 82 C SOLVE EQUATIONS FEMP 83 84 FEMP CALL FRONT (ASDIS, ELOAD, EQRHS, EQUAT, ESTIF, FIXED, 85 FEMP IFFIX, IINCS, IITER, GLOAD, GSTIF, KRESL, 86 FEMP LNODS,LOCEL,MBUFA,MELEM,MEVAB,MFRON, 87 FEMP MSTIF, MTOTV, MVFIX, NACVA, NAMEV, NDEST, 88 FEMP NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NNODE, NOFIX, NPIVO, FEMP 89 NPOIN, NTOTV, TDISP, TLOAD, TREAC, VECRV) FEMP 90 С FEMP 91 C\*\*\* CALCULATE RESIDUAL FORCES FEMP 92 С 93 FEMP CALL RESMP (ASDIS, COORD, EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, LNODS, FEMP 94 MATNO, MELEM, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, MTOTV, 95 FEMP NCRIT, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, PROPS, FEMP 96 STRSG) FEMP 97 С FEMP 98 C 릇볶 CHECK FOR CONVERGENCE FEMP 99 Ç FEMP 100 CALL CONVMP (ASDIS, ELOAD, IITER, IFDIS, IFRES, LNODS, **FEMP 101** MELEM, MEVAB, MTOTV, NCHEK, NCDIS, NCRES, FEMP 102 NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NNODE, NPOIN, NTOTV, **FEMP 103** REFOR, TOFOR, TDISP, TLOAD, TOLER) **FEMP** 104 С **FEMP 105** C\*\*\* OUTPUT RESULTS IF REQUIRED FEMP 106 FEMP 107 С **FEMP 108** IF(IITER.EQ.1.AND.NOUTP(1).GT.0) **FEMP** 109 .CALL OUTMP (EPSTN, IITER, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NELEM, FEMP 110 NGAUS, NOFIX, NOUTP, NPOIN, NVFIX, STRSG, FEMP 111 TDISP, TREAC) **FEMP 112** С FEMP 113 \*\* IF SOMITION HAS CONVERGED STOP ITERATING AND OUTPUT RESULTS C# FEMP 114 С FEMP 115 IF(NCHEK.EQ.0) GO TO 100 FEMP 116 90 CONTINUE FEMP 117 С FEMP 118 C\*\*\* FEMP 119 C FEMP 120 IF(NALGO.EQ.2) GO TO 100 FEMP 121

	STOP			FEMP	122
100	CALL	OUTMP	(EPSTN, IITER, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NELEM,	FEMP	123
	•		NGAUS, NOFIX, NOUTP, NPOIN, NVFIX, STRSG,	FEMP	124
	•		TDISP, TREAC)	FEMP	125
70	CONTINUE			FEMP	126
20	CONTINUE			FEMP	127
10	CONTINUE			FEMP	128
	STOP			FEMP	129
	END	-		FEMP	130

## 9.5.3 Subroutine CONVMP

This routine establishes whether a solution has converged with reference to some displacement or residual force norm.

	SUBROUTINE CONVMP (ASDIS, ELOAD, IITER, IFDIS, IFRES, LNODS,	CONV	1
	. MELEM. MEVAB. MTOTV. NCHEK. NCDIS, NCRES,	CONV	2
	NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NNODE, NPOIN, NTOTV,	CONV	3
	. REFOR, TOFOR, TDISP, TLOAD, TOLER)	CONV	- <u>4</u>
C####	***************	CONV	5
Ċ		CONV	6
C###	ESTABLISHES WHETHER A SOLUTION HAS CONVERGED WITH	CONV	7
C###	REFERENCE TO SOME DISPLACEMENT OR RESIDUAL FORCE NORM	CONV	8
č		CONV	ğ
Č####	*************	CONV	1Ó
	DIMENSION ADIDF(3).ASDIS(MTOTV).ELOAD(MELEM.MEVAB).LNODS(MELEM.9).	CONV	11
	. NCDIS(4), NCRES(4), REFDF(3), REFOR(MTOTV), TDIDF(3).	CONV	12
	TDISP(MTOTV), TLOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), TOFDF(3), TOFOR(MTOTV)	CONV	13
	WRITE(6,606) ITTER	CONV	14
606	FORMAT(///_ IN CONVER! 10X. TTERATION NUMBER! 13./)	CONV	15
C###	COMPUTE ELEMENT RESIDUAL FORCES	CONV	16
	DO 10 IELEM=1.NELEM	CONV	17
	DO 10 IEVAB=1.NEVAB	CONV	18
10	ELOAD(IELEM.IEVAB)=TLOAD(IELEM.IEVAB)=ELOAD(IELEM.IEVAB)	CONV	19
C###	SET CONVERGENCE CODE TO ZERO	CONV	20
	NCHEK=0	CONV	21
C###	DISPLACEMENT CONVERGENCE CHECK	CONV	22
	IF(IFDIS.EQ.0) GOTO 1000	CONV	23
C###	COMPUTE TOTAL AND DIRECTIONAL NORMS OF DISPLACEMENTS	CONV	24
	ADITO=0.0	CONV	25
	TDITO=0.0	CONV	26
	CALL VZERO (NDOFN, ADIDF)	CONV	21
	CALL VZERO (NDOFN, TDIDF)	CONV	28
	NPOSI=0	CONV	29
	DO: 20 IPOIN=1, NPOIN	CONV	- 30
	DO~20 IDOFN=1, NDOFN	CONV	31
	NPOSI=NPOSI+1	CONV	32
~~	ADIDF(IDOFN)=ADIDF(IDOFN)+ASDIS(NPOSI)*ASDIS(NPOSI)	CONV	33
20	) 1DLDF(LDOFN)=TDLDF(LDOFN)+TDLSP(NPOSL)*TDLSP(NPOSL)	CONV	34
	DO 30 LDOFN=1, NDOFN	CONV	-35
	ADITO=ADITO+ADIDF(IDOFN)	CONV	36
	IDTIO=IDITO+IDIDF(IDOFN)	CONV	-51
	ADIDF(IDOFN)=SQRT(ADIDF(IDOFN))	CONV	38
30	(DDDF(DDFN)=SQRT(TDDF(DDFN))	CONV	-39
	ADTIO=SQRI(ADTIO)	CONV	40
	IDTIO-SQRT(TDITO)	CONV	41
6444	CHECK FOR CONVERGENCE AND PRINT ERRORS PER CENT	CONV	42
	DU = 40  LDUr N = 1, NDUr N	CONV	43
	r(1DDr(1DOFN).EQ.0.0) GOTO 40	CONV	-44

		CONT	<u>н</u> Е
	TDIDF(IDOFN)=100.*ADIDF(IDOFN)/TDIDF(IDOFN)	CONV	45
	TE(NCDIS(TDOEN) NE O AND. TDIDE(TDOEN).GT. TOLER) NCHEK=1	CONV	46
	$\Gamma(ACDIS(IDORN) = 0)$ TRIDE(IDORN), TRIDE(IDORN)	CONV	117
	IF(NCDIS(IDOFN), EQ.0) IDID $F(IDOFN) = IDIDF(IDOFN)$	CONV	11
40	CONTINUE	CONV	48
	TE(TDITO,EQ.0.0) GOTO 50	CONV	49
		CONV	60
		CONV	00
	IF(NCDIS(4).NE.O.AND.TDITO.GT.TOLER) NCHEK=1	CONV	51
	TF(NCDIS(4), EQ, 0) TDITO=-TDITO	CONV	52
EO	CONTINUE	CONV	52
50		CONV	<u>נ</u> ייד
	WRITE(6,600)	CONV	-54
	WRITE(6 601) (TDIDE(TDOEN) TDOEN-1 NDOEN)	CONV	55
(00		CONV	56
600	FORMATCIX, DISPLACEMENT CHANGE NORM', 77)		20
601	FORMAT(1X,5(E10.3,5X))	CONV	-57
	WRITE(6 602)	CONV	-58
600		CONV	ćň
602	FORMAT(SX, IOTAL)	CONV	22
	WRITE(6,603) TDITO	CONV	60
603	FORMAT(3X F10 3)	CONV	61
~***		CONV	60
	(ESIDUAL CONVERGENCE CHECK	CONV	02
1000	IF(IFRES.EQ.0) GOTO 2000	CONV	63
C### )	SSEMBLE TOTAL AND RESIDUAL FORCE VECTORS	CONV	-64
• •		CONV	66
•	DO T TIOIV=1,NIOIV		00
	REFOR(ITOTV)=0.0	CONV	60
1	TOFOR(TTOTV) = 0	CONV	67
•		CONV	68
	DO GO TELEMET, NELEM	CONV	00
	KEVAB=0	CONV	69
	DO 60 INODE-1.NNODE	CONV	70
	LOCHO-TARS(LNORS(TELEM_TNORE))	CONV	71
		CONV	11
	DU BU IDUFN=I, NDOFN	CONV	(2
	KEVAB=KEVAB+1	CONV	-73
	NEOSI-(LOCNO 1)*NDOEN, TOOEN	CONV	71
		CONV	7
	TOFOR(NPOSI)=TOFOR(NPOSI)+TLOAD(IELEM,KEVAB)	CONV	-75
60	REFOR(NPOST)-REFOR(NPOST)+FLOAD(TELEM_KEVAB)	CONV	76
	ALL ON THE OTAL AND STREET ONAL MODELS OF REPUBLICAND TOTAL FORCE	CONV	77
C***	COMPUTE TOTAL AND DIRECTIONAL NORMS OF RESIDUAL AND TOTAL FORCE	CONV	11
	REFTO=0.0	CONV	-78
		CONV	79
		CONV	
	CALL VZERO (NDOFN, REFDF)	CONV	00
	CALL VZERO (NDOFN, TOFDF)	CONV	81
	NPOSI=0	CONV	- 82
	DO TO TROIN-1 NEOTN	CONV	82
	DO TO IPOINET, NPOIN	CONV	01
	DO (U IDOFN=I, NDOFN	CONV	84
	NPOSI=NPOSI+1	CONV	- 85
	BEEDE(IDOEN) BEEDE(IDOEN), BEEOB(NBOST) #BEEOB(NBOST)	CONV	86
	REFDF(IDOFN)=REFDF(IDOFN)+REFOR(NPOSI)	CONV	00
70	IOFDF(IDOFN)=TOFDF(IDOFN)+TOFOR(NPOSL)*TOFOR(NPOSL)	CONV	81
	DO 80 IDOFN=1.NDOFN	CONV	- 88
	REFTO-REFTO, REFRE(IDOEN)	CONV	80
	NET TO=REF TO=REFDF(TDOFN)	CONV	09
	IOFIO=IOFIO+TOFDF(IDOFN)	CONV	- 90
	REFDE(TDOEN) = SORT(REFDE(TDOEN))	CONV	91
80	TOEDE (IDOEN) SOPT(TOEDE (IDOEN))	COMV	်ာ
00	IDEPT (IDEPN) = SQRI (IDEPN))	CONV	92
	REFTU=SQRT(REFTO)	CONV	- 93
	TOFTO=SORT(TOFTO)	. CONV	- 94
C##*	CHECK FOR CONVERCENCE AND DELNT EDDORS DED CENT	CONV	05
•	DO TON CONVERGENCE AND FRINI ERRORS PER CENT	CONV	90
	DO 90 IDOFN=1,NDOFN	CONV	- 96
	IF(TOFDF(IDOFN).EQ.0.0) GOTO 90	CONV	- 97
	TOFDE (TDOEN) - 100 *REFIDE (TDOEN) (TOFDE (TDOEN)	CONV	08
	TE MODES (TOOR) NE AND TOPECTOORN OF TOTEL NOUPLA	CONV	
	TRANCRESCIDORNJ.NE.U.AND.IOFDF(IDUFN).GI.IULER) NCHEK=	CONV	99
	IF(NCRES(IDOFN).EQ.0) TOFDF(IDOFN)=-TOFDF(IDOFN)	CONV	100
٩n	CONTINUE	CONV	101
	<b>TEGENERA</b> FOR $A = 0$ FOR $A = 0$	CONT	101
		CONV	102
	TUFTU=100.*REFTO/TOFTO	CONV	103
			101
	IF(NCRES(4), NE.O. AND. TOFTO.GT. TOLER) NCHEK-1	CONV	1110
	IF(NCRES(4).NE.O.AND.TOFTO.GT.TOLER) NCHEK=1	CONV	104
100	IF(NCRES(4).NE.O.AND.TOFTO.GT.TOLER) NCHEK=1 IF(NCRES(4).EQ.O) TOFTO=-TOFTO	CONV	104
100	IF(NCRES(4).NE.O.AND.TOFTO.GT.TOLER) NCHEK=1 IF(NCRES(4).EQ.O) TOFTO=-TOFTO CONTINUE	CONV CONV CONV	104 105 106
100	IF(NCRES(4).NE.O.AND.TOFTO.GT.TOLER) NCHEK=1 IF(NCRES(4).EQ.O) TOFTO=-TOFTO CONTINUE WRITE(6,604)	CONV CONV CONV CONV	104 105 106 107
100	IF(NCRES(4).NE.0.AND.TOFTO.GT.TOLER) NCHEK=1 IF(NCRES(4).EQ.0) TOFTO=-TOFTO CONTINUE WRITE(6,604) WRITE(6,604)		104 105 106 107

WRTTE(6,602)	CONV 409
WRITE(6.603) TOFTO	CONV 110
604 FORMAT(1X, 'RESIDUAL NORM',//)	CONV 111
C*** PRINT CONVERGENCE CODE	CONV 112
2000 WRITE(6.605) NCHEK	CONV 113
605 FORMAT(1X, 'CONVERGENCE CODE', I4,//)	CONV 114
RETURN	CONV 115
END	CONV 116

#### 9.5.4 Subroutine DIMMP

This subroutine sets up the dimensions which must agree with the size of the arrays in subroutine FEMP.

	SUBROUTINE DIMMP	(MBUFA, MELEM, MEVAB, MFRON, MMATS, MPOIN,	DIMP	1
	•	MSTIF, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NDIME, NDOFN,	DIMP	- 2
	•	NPROP, NSTRE)	DIMP	- 3
C***	*****	***************************************	****DIMP	4
С			DIMP	- 5
C###	SETS UP DYNAMIC DIME	ENSIONS - MUST AGREE WITH DIMENSIONS	DIMP	6
C###	IN FEMP		DIMP	- 7
С			DIMP	8
C####	******	***************************************	****DIMP	9
	MBUFA = 10		DIMP	10
	Melem = $25$		DIMP	11
	MFRON = 40		DIMP	12
	MMATS = 10		DIMP	13
	MPOIN = 80		DIMP	14
	MSTIF=(MFRON*MFRON-I	MFRON)/2.0+MFRON	DIMP	- 15
	MTOTG = MELEM*9		DIMP	16
	NDOFN = 3		DIMP	17
	MTOTV = MPOIN*NDOFN		DIMP	18
	MVFIX = 40		DIMP	19
	NDIME=2		DIMP	20
	NPROP = 8		DIMP	21
	NSTRE = 5		DIMP	22
	MEVAB = NDOFN*9		DIMP	23
	RETURN		DTWD	24 25
	END		DIMP	20

#### 9.5.5 Subroutine FLOWMP

This subroutine determines the yield function derivatives  $[\partial F/\partial M_x, \partial F/\partial M_y, \partial F/\partial M_{xy}]^T$  for nonlayered Mindlin plates of Von Mises or Tresca material. This routine is almost identical to the corresponding one given in Chapter 7 for plane stress, plane strain and axisymmetric problems.

	SUBROUTINE	FLOWMP	Y (ABET NCRI	TA, AVECT, DEV. IT, SINT3, STE	EA,DM/ FF,THE	ATX,DVECT ETA,VARJ2	,HARDS, 2)	FLOW FLOW	1
C##### C### C### C### C	DETERMINES 1 VON MISES 2 TRESCA	******* YIELD 3	FUNCTION	DERIVATIVES	FOR	**************************************	PLATES	****FLOW FLOW FLOW FLOW FLOW FLOW ****FLOW	

С		FLOW	10
	<pre>DIMENSION AVECT(5),DEVIA(4),DMATX(3,3),DVECT(5),</pre>	FLOW	11
	. VECA1(3), VECA2(3), VECA3(3)	FLOW	12
С		FLOW	13
C**	* DETERMINE THE VECTOR DERIVATIVE OF F FOR VON-MISES	FLOW	14
	SINTH-SIN(THETA)	FLOW	15
	COSTH=COS(THETA)	FLOW	10
-	ROOT3=1.73205080757	FLOW	18
C C##	* CALOULATE RECTOR AT	r LOW FLOW	10
C	~ CALCOLATE VECTOR A)	FLOW	20
C	NECA1(1)_A 22222223333	FLOW	21
	VECAT(2)-0 33333333333	FLOW	22
	VFCA1(3) - 0.0	FLOW	23
С		FLOW	24
Č**	* CALCULATE VECTOR A2	FLOW	25
С		FLOW	26
	DO 10 ISTRE=1,3	FLOW	27
	10 VECA2(ISTRE)=DEVIA(ISTRE)/(2.0*STEFF)	FLUW	28
	VECA2(3)=DEVIA(3)/STEFF		29
0		FLOW	 ⊋1
с	" CALCULATE VECTOR AS	FLOW	32
C	$VECA2(1) = DEVIA(2) * DEVIA(4) \cdot VAEJ2/2 0$	FLOW	22
	VECA3(2) = DEVIA(1) * DEVIA(4) + VARJ2/3.0	FLOW	34
	$VECA3(3) = -2.0 \times DEVIA(3) \times DEVIA(4)$	FLOW	35
	GO TO (1.2) NCRIT	FLOW	36
С		FLOW	37
C**	* VON MISES	FLOW	38
С		FLOW	39
	1 CONS1=0.0	FLOW	40
	CONS2=ROOT3	FLOW	41 カウ
		FLOW	42 213
c	00 10 40	FLOW	<u>и</u> ц
C#1	• TRESCA	FLOW	45
č	INLOCA	FLOW	46
	2 CONS1=0.0	FLOW	47
	ABTHE=ABS(THETA*57.29577951308)	FLOW	48
	IF(ABTHE.LT.29.0) GO TO 20	FLOW	49
	CONS2=ROOT3	FLOW	50
	CONS3=0.0	FLOW	51
	GO TO 40	FLOW	52
	20 CONS2=2.0*(COSTH+SINTH*SINT3/SQRT(1.0-SINT3*SINT3))	FLOW	53
	CONS3=ROOT3*SINTH/(VARJ2*SQRT(1.0-SINT3*SINT3))	FLOW	54
	40 CONTINUE	FLOW	55
	DU DU ISIKE=1,5 50 AVECT(ISTRE) CONSIMUTIONALIETRE) CONSEMUTIONOLIETREN CONSEMUTION	FLOW	50
	VECNOINTENEDEUNSTAVECATUISIREDEUNSZAVECAZUISIREDEUNSZA VECNOINTENED	FLOW	27 50
С	. ATCHO/TOLUE)	5 LOW	70 50
-C#I	** DETERMINE THE VECTOR D	FLOW	60
С		FLOW	61
	DENOM=HARDS	FLOW	62
	DO 120 ISTRE=1,3	FLOW	63
	DVECT(ISTRE)=0.0	FLOW	64
	DO 110 JSTRE=1,3	FLOW	65
	DVECT(ISTRE)=DVECT(ISTRE)+DMATX(ISTRE,JSTRE)*AVECT(JSTRE)	FLOW	66
	LCU DENOM=DENOM+AVECT(ISTRE)*DVECT(ISTRE)	FLOW	67
	- ADELATI, UTBENOM Dettion	FLOW	68
		FLOW	69
	LUN CONTRACTOR CONTRACT	FLOW	- 70

#### 9.5.6 Subroutine GRADMP

This subroutine evaluates displacement gradients  $\partial w/\partial x$ ,  $\partial w/\partial y$ ,  $\partial \theta_x/\partial x$ ,  $\partial \theta_x/\partial x$ ,  $\partial \theta_x/\partial y$ ,  $\partial \theta_y/\partial x$  and  $\partial \theta_y/\partial y$ .

	SUBROUTINE GRADMP (CARTD, DGRAD, ELDIS, NDOFN, NNODE)	GRAD	1
C####	***************************************	*GRAD	2
С		GRAD	3
C***	FORM TOTAL DISPLACEMENTS GRADIENTS	GRAD	- Ũ
С		GRAD	5
C#***	***************************************	*GRAD	6
	DIMENSION CARTD(2,9),DGRAD(6),ELDIS(3,9)	GRAD	7
C#*#	ZERO DGRAD	GRAD	8
	CALL VZERO(6,DGRAD)	GRAD	9
C#*#	FORM TOTAL DISPLACEMENTS GRADIENTS	GRAD	10
	DO 10 INODE=1, NNODE	GRAD	11
	DNIDX=CARTD(1,INODE)	GRAD	12
	DNIDY=CARTD(2, INODE)	GRAD	13
	DO 10 IDOFN=1, NDOFN	GRAD	14
	IPOSN=NDOFN+IDOFN	GRAD	- 15
	CONST=ELDIS(IDOFN, INODE)	GRAD	- 16
	DGRAD(IDOFN)=DGRAD(IDOFN)+DNIDX*CONST	GRAD	- 17
10	D DGRAD(IPOSN)=DGRAD(IPOSN)+DNIDY*CONST	GRAD	- 18
	RETURN	GRAD	19
	END	GRAD	20

## 9.5.7 Subroutine INVMP

This subroutine evaluates the Mindlin plate bending moment invariants. It also evaluates the effective moment for the Tresca and Von Mises materials.

	SUBROUTINE	E INVMP	(DEVIA,NCRIT,SINT3,STEFF,STEMP,THETA, VARJ2,YIELD)	INVR INVR	1 2
C	CALCULATE	MINDLIN PI	LATE STRESS RESULTANT INVARIANTS	INVR INVR INVR INVR	54 567
C***** 1 C**** 2	DIMENSION SMEAN=(STH DEVIA(1)=S DEVIA(2)=S DEVIA(2)=S DEVIA(2)=S DEVIA(3)=S DEVIA(4)=- VARJ2=DEV +DEVIA(4) VARJ3=DEV STEFF=SQRT SINT3=-2.5 THETA=ASIN GO TO (1,2 VON MISES YIELD=1.7 RETURN TRESCA YIELD=2.0 RETURN END	STEMP(5),I STEMP(1)+STEM STEMP(1)-SI STEMP(2)-SI STEMP(3) -SMEAN IA(3)*DEVIA )*DEVIA(4) IA(4)*(DEVIA (VARJ2) 5980762113 V(SINT3)/3 2) NCRIT 3205080757 *COS(THETA)	DEVIA(4) AP(2))/3.0 AEAN A(3)+0.5*(DEVIA(1)*DEVIA(1)+DEVIA(2)*DEVIA(2) A(4)*DEVIA(4)-VARJ2) VARJ3/(VARJ2*STEFF) .0 *STEFF )*STEFF	INVR INVR INVR INVR INVR INVR INVR INVR	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

340

#### 9.5.8 Subroutine MINDPB

This subroutine simply reads some additional information required for controlling the convergence check and inserting additional constraints for the Heterosis element.

	SUBROUTINE MINDPB (IFDIS, IFFIX, IFRES, LNODS, MELEM, MTOTV,	MIND MIND	1
	**************************************	***MTND	2
C	********	MIND	4
 C###	READS ADDITIONAL DATA FOR MINDLIN PLATE ANALYSIS	MIND	5
č		MIND	6
C****	***************************************	€¥₩MIND	- 7
	DIMENSION DERIV(2,9), IFFIX(MTOTV),	MIND	8
	LNODS(MELEM, 9), NCDIS(4), NCRES(4), SHAPE(9)	MIND	- 9
С		MIND	10
C*** )	READ DATA CONTROLLING CONVERGENCE CHECK	MIND	11
Ċ		MIND	12
- 10	READ(5,900) IFDIS,(NCDIS(1),I=1,4)	MIND	13
		MIND	14
900	FORMAT(511)	MIND	15
• • •	WRITE(6,901) IFDIS,(NCDIS(1),I=1,4)	MIND	16
		MIND	17
901	FORMAT(/.23H CONVERGENCE PARAMETERS,/,	MIND	18
	. 8H IFDIS =, 12,5X,8H NCDIS =, 411,/,	MIND	19
	8H IFRES = 12.5X.8H NCRES = .411.//)	MIND	20
C***	INSERT ADDITIONAL CONSTRAINT FOR HETEROSIS ELEMENT	MIND	21
-	IF(NTYPE.NE.5) GO TO 30	MIND	22
	DO 20 IELEM=1.NELEM	MIND	23
	LNODE=LNODS(IELEM.9)	MIND	24
	NLOCA=LNODE*3-2	MIND	25
20	IFFIX(NLOCA)=-1	MIND	26
30	CONTINUE	MIND	27
50	RETURN	MIND	- 28
	END	MIND	29

### 9.5.9 Subroutine NODEXY

This subroutine evaluates midside nodes for straight sided 8 and 9-node quadrilateral elements. In the original subroutine described in Section 6.4.1 this routine also evaluated the coordinates of the central node. Here, as we are choosing a hierarchical formulation, the values at the central node and the departures from the interpolated Serendipity values are always taken as zero.

Thus the revised subroutine NODEXY is almost identical to its namesake given earlier in Section 6.4.1 and is listed below.

	SUBROUTINE NODEXY	(COORD,LNODS,MELEM,MPOIN,NDIME,NELEM, NNODE)	NODE NODE	1 2
C#####	******************	***************************************	**NODE	3
C			NODE	- 4
C###	INTERPOLATES MIDSIDE	NODE COORDINATES FOR 8-NODED ELEMENTS	NODE	5
C###	INTERPOLATES CENTRAL	AND MIDSIDE NODE COORDINATES FOR	NODE	6
C###	9-NODE ELEMENTS PROV	IDED THAT THE SIDES ARE STRAIGHT	NODE	7
С			NODE	- 8
C####	₩₩ <u>₩</u> ₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	***************************************	**NODE	9

341

	DIMENSION COORD(MPOIN,2),LNODS(MELEM,9)	NODE	10
	IF(NNODE.EQ.4) GO TO 60	NODE	11
С		NODE	-12
⊂ <b>č</b> ##	HE LOOP OVER EACH ELEMENT	NODE	13
·C		NODE	-14
	DO 30 IELEM=1.NELEM	NODE	15
С		NODE	- 16
C##	HE LOOP OVER EACH ELEMENT EDGE	NODE	17
Č		NODE	18
-	NNOD1=NNODE	NODE	- 19
	IF(NNODE.EQ.8), NNOD1=9	NODE	20
	DO 20 INODE=1, NNOD1, 2	NODE	21
	IF(INODE.EQ.9.AND.NNODE.EQ.8) GO TO 30	NODE	22
	IF(INODE.EQ.9) GO TO 50	NODE	-23
С		NODE	24
_ C#3	** COMPUTE THE NODE NUMBER OF THE FIRST NODE	NODE	25
C		NODE	26
	NODST=LNODS(IELEM, INODE)	NODE	-27
	IGASH=INODE+2	NODE	28
	IF(IGASH.GE.NNODE) IGASH=1	NODE	29
C		NODE	- 30
C#1	* COMPUTE THE NODE NUMBER OF THE LAST NODE	NODE	31
С		NODE	32
	NODFN=LNODS(IELEM, IGASH)	NODE	- 33
_	MIDPT=INODE+1	NODE	- 34
С		NODE	- 35
_ Č#1	** COMPUTE THE NODE NUMBER OF THE INTERMEDIATE NODE	NODE	36
C		NODE	- 31
	NODMD=LNODS(IELEM, MIDPT)	NODE	38
~	TUTAL=ABS(COORD(NODMD, 1))+ABS(COORD(NODMD, 2))	NODE	- 39
6		NODE	40
U**	THE COORDINATES OF THE INTERMEDIATE NODE ARE BOTH ZERO	NODE	41
C C	INTERPOLATE BY A STRAIGHT LINE	NODE	42
C		NODE	- 45
	LF (TOTAL.GI.U.U) GU TU ZU	NODE	- 44 - 115
	$\frac{1000011}{10000000000000000000000000000$	NODE	- 40 - Ji 6
	VOINT KOUNT 1	NODE	40
	$\mathbf{TE}(\mathbf{KO}) = \mathbf{TE}(\mathbf{N}) =$	NODE	- 11 8
		NODE	- 40 - 110
	EQ (NODE L NODE )	NODE	50
		NODE	50
	SO CONTINUE	NODE	52
	RETION	NODE	52
		NODE	55 58
	CUL CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACT	NODE	

## 9.5.10 Subroutine OUTMP

This subroutine outputs nodal displacements and reactions and also the Gauss point stress resultants.

	SUBROUTINE OUTMP	(EPSTN, IITER, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NELEM, NGAUS, NOFIX, NOUTP, NPOIN, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TREAC)	OUTP OUTP OUTP	1 2 3
C#### C C### C C C C C C C C C C C C C	OUTPUT DISPLACEMENTS RESULTANTS FOR EP MI	REACTIONS AND GAUSS POINT STRESS	*OUTP OUTP OUTP OUTP OUTP OUTP	4 56 7 8 9

		<pre>DIMENSION EPSTN(MTOTG),GPCOD(2,9),NOFIX(MVFIX),NOUTP(2), STRSG(5,MTOTG),TDISP(MTOTV),TREAC(MVFIX,3)</pre>	OUTP OUTP	10 11
		KOUTP=NOUTP(1) IF(IITER.GT.1) KOUTP=NOUTP(2)	OUTP OUTP	12 13
C C	**	DITPUT DISPLACEMENTS	OUTP	14 15
C			OUTP	16
		IF(KOUTP.LT.1) GO TO 10	OUTP	17 18
	900	FORMAT(1H0,5X,13HDISPLACEMENTS)	OUTP	19
		WRITE(6,950)	OUTP	20
	950	FORMAT(1H0,6X,4HNODE,6X,5HDISP.,8X,7HXZ-ROT.,7X,7HYZ-ROT.)	OUTP	21 22
		NGASH=IPOIN*3	OUTP	23
		NGISH=NGASH-3+1	OUTP	24
	20	WRITE(6,910) IPOIN,(TDISP(IGASH),1GASH=NGISH,NGASH)	OUTP	25
	10	CONTINUE	OUTP	27
C			OUTP	28
C	**	OUTPUT REACTIONS	OUTP	29
C		IF(KOUTP.LT.2) GO TO 30	OUTP	31
		WRITE(6,920)	OUTP	32
	920	FORMAT(1H0,5X,9HREACTIONS) WRITE(6,960)	OUTP	33 34
	960	FORMAT(1H0,6X,4HNODE,6X,5HFORCE,3X,9HXZ-MOMENT,5X,9HYZ-MOMENT)	OUTP	35
		DO 40 IVFIX=1,NVFIX	OUTP	36
	40 20	WRITE(6,910) NOFIX(IVFIX),(TREAC(IVFIX,IDOFN),IDOFN=1,3)	OUTP	- 37 - 38
с	0	CONTINUE	OUTP	39
C,	**	OUTPUT STRESSES	OUTP	40
С		TE(KOUTPIT 2) CO TO EO	OUTP	41
		REWIND 3	OUTP	43
		WRITE(6,970)	OUTP	44
	970	FORMAT(1H0,5X,8HSTRESSES)	OUTP	45
	980	FORMAT(1H0.4HG.P., 2X.8HX_COORD., 2X.8HY_COORD., 3X.8HX_MOMENT.4X.	OUTP	47
		.8HY_MOMENT, 3X, 9HXY_MOMENT, 3X,	OUTP	48
		.13HEFF.PL.STRAIN)	OUTP	49
		DO 60 IELEM=1.NELEM	OUTP	51
		READ(3)GPCOD	OUTP	52
		KELGS=0	OUTP	53
	930	FORMAT(1H0.5X.13HELEMENT NO T5)	OUTP	54
		DO 60 IGAUS=1,NGAUS	OUTP	56
		DO 60 JGAUS=1, NGAUS	OUTP	57
		KGAUS=KGAUS+T KELGS=KELGS+1		58 50
		WRITE(6,940)KELGS,(GPCOD(1DIME,KELGS),IDIME=1,2),	OUTP	60
	0.00	.(STRSG(ISTRE, KGAUS), ISTRE=1, 3), EPSTN(KGAUS)	OUTP	61
	940 60	CONTINUE	OUTP	62 62
	50	CONTINUE	OUTP	64
		RETURN	OUTP	65
		LND	OUTP	66

#### 9.5.11 Subroutine RESMP

This subroutine evaluates the residual nodal forces. The structure of this routine is similar to that given in Chapter 7 for the other two dimensional elasto-plastic applications and it is illustrated in Fig. 9.3.

```
RESP
      SUBROUTINE RESMP
                           (ASDIS, COORD, EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, LNODS,
                                                                                1
                                                                         RESP
                                                                                2
                            MATNO, MELEM, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, MTOTV,
                            NCRIT, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, PROPS,
                                                                         RESP
                                                                                3
                                                                                ų
                                                                         RESP
                            STRSG)
C*******
                      5
                                                                        *RESP
                                                                                6
                                                                         RESP
C###
      EVALUATES EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES FOR THE STRESS RESULTANTS
                                                                         RESP
                                                                                7
C***
      IN MINDLIN PLATES DURING EP ANALYSIS
                                                                         RESP
                                                                                8
                                                                         RESP
                                                                                9
С
10
      DIMENSION ASDIS(MTOTV), AVECT(5), CARTD(2,9),
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               11
                COORD(MPOIN,2), DERIV(2,9), DESIG(5), DEVIA(4),
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               12
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               13
                DVECT(5),
                                                                               14
                                                                         RESP
                EFFST(MTOTG), ELCOD(2,9),
                ELDIS(3,9), ELOAD(MELEM, 27), EPSTN(MTOTG), GPCOD(2,9),
                                                                               15
                                                                         RESP
                LNODS(MELEM, 9), MATNO(MELEM), POSGP(4),
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               16
                PROPS(MMATS, 8), SGTOT(5), SHAPE(9), SIGMA(5),
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               17
                STRES(5), STRSG(5, MTOTG), WEIGP(4),
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               18
                DFLEX(3,3),DSHER(2,2),BFLEI(3,3),BSHEI(2,3),
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               19
                                                                         RESP
                DUMMY(3,3), FORCE(3), DGRAD(6)
                                                                               20
      NTIME=1
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               21
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               22
      DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM
      DO 10 IEVAB=1,NEVAB
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               23
   10 ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               24
      KGAUS=0
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               25
      LGAUS=0
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               26
      DO 20 IELEM=1,NELEM
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               27
                                                                               28
      LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)
                                                                         RESP
С
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               29
C*** COMPUTE COORDINATE AND INCREMENTAL DISPLACEMENTS OF THE
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               30
С
     ELEMENT NODAL POINTS
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               31
C
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               32
      DO 190 INODE =1, NNODE
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               33
      LNODE=IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               34
      NPOSN=(LNODE-1)*3
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               35
      DO 30 IDOFN=1,3
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               36
                                                                               37
      NPOSN=NPOSN+1
                                                                         RESP
   30 ELDIS(IDOFN, INODE) = ASDIS(NPOSN)
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               38
      DO 180 IDIME=1,2
                                                                               39
                                                                         RESP
  180 ELCOD(IDIME, INODE) = COORD(LNODE, IDIME)
                                                                               40
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               41
  190 CONTINUE
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               42
      KGASP=0
                                                                         RESP
      CALL
                MODPB
                                                                               43
                          (DFLEX, DUMMY, DSHER, LPROP, MMATS, PROPS,
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               44
                               0,
                                     1,
                                                                         RESP
                                           1)
      CALL GAUSSQ
                                                                               45
                       (NGAUS, POSGP, WEIGP)
                                                                         RESP
      DO 40 IGAUS=1,NGAUS
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               46
      DO 40 JGAUS=1, NGAUS
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               47
      BRING=1.0
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               48
      KGAUS=KGAUS+1
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               49
      EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               50
      ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)
                                                                               51
                                                                         RESP
      CALL
                                                                               52
                 SFR2
                           (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)
                                                                         RESP
      KGASP=KGASP+1
                                                                               53
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               54
      CALL
                 JACOB2
                           (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM,
                                                                         RESP
                                                                         RESP
                                                                               55
                            KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)
```

	DAREA=DJA	CB*WEIGP(IG)	AUS)#WEIGP(JGAUS)	RESP 56
	CALL	GRADMP	(CARTD, DGRAD, ELDIS, 3, NNODE)	RESP 57
	CALL	STRMP	(CARTD, DFLEX, DGRAD, DSHER, ELDIS, NNODE,	RESP 58
	•		SHAPE, STRES, 1, 0)	RESP 59
	PREYS=PRO	PS(LPROP,6)	+EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7)	RESP 60
	DO 150 IS	IRE=1,3		RESP 61
	DESIG(IST	RE)=STRES(I	STRE)	RESP 62
150	SIGMA(IST	RE)=STRSG(I	STRE, KGAUS)+STRES(ISTRE)	RESP 63
	CALL	INVMP	(DEVIA, NCRIT, SINT3, STEFF, SIGMA, THETA,	KESP 04
			VARJ2, YIELD)	RESP 05
	ESPREELFF	SI(KGAUS) = P	REIS	DESD 67
	IF (ESPRE.)	UE.U.U/ UU	10 50	RESP 68
	ESCOREITE	LD-FREIS (F 0 0) CO '	ro 60	RESP 69
	REACT-ESCI	UR/(YIFID_F	FEST(KCAUS))	RESP 70
	GO TO 70		1 51 (R0A057)	RESP 71
50	ESCUR=YIE	LD-EFFST(KG	AUS)	RESP 72
•	IF(ESCUR.	LE.O.O) GO '	TO 60	RESP 73
	RFACT=1.0			RESP 74
70	MSTEP=ESC	UR*8.0/PROP	S(LPROP,6)+1.0	RESP 75
	ASTEP=MST	EP		RESP 76
	REDUC=1.0	-RFACT		RESP 77
	DO 80 IST	RE=1,3		RESP (0
0.0	SGTOT(IST	RE)=STRSG(1	STRE,KGAUS)+REDUC*STRES(ISTRE)	RESP 79
80	STRES(IST	KE)=KFACI®S FP=1 MSTFP	TRES(ISTRE)/ASTEP	RESP 81
	DO 90 131.		(DEVIA MODIT SINTS STEER SCTOT THETA	RESP 82
	CALL	THALL	VARI2 YTEID)	RESP 83
	HARDS=PRO	PS(LPROP.7)	(AROZ, IIELD)	RESP 84
	CALL	FLOWMP	(ABETA, AVECT, DEVIA, DFLEX, DVECT, HARDS,	RESP 85
	•		NCRIT, SINT3, STEFF, THETA, VARJ2)	RESP 86
	AGASH=0.0		, , , ,	RESP 87
	DO 100 IS	TRE=1,3		RESP 88
100	AGASH=AGA	SH+AVECT(IS	TRE)*STRES(ISTRE)	RESP 89
	DLAMD=AGA	SH*ABETA		RESP 90
	IF(DLAMD.	LT.O.O) DLA	MD=0.0	RESP 91
	BGASH=0.0			KESP 92
	DU TIU IS	IKE=1,3		REOF 93
110	DUNOR DUN	DD+AVEUI(10 DE)_SCTOT(I	IKE/"BUIUI(IBIKE/ STDE),STDES(ISTDE) DIAMDËDVECT(ISTDE)	RESF 94
90	FPSTN(KGA	NE)=SGIUI(I	CALLS AD AND * BCASH / YTELD	RESP 96
	DO 120 IS	TRF-1.3		RESP 97
120	DESIG(IST	RE) = SGTOT(T)	STRE)_STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)	RESP 98
	CALL	INVMP	(DEVIA.NCRIT.SINT3.STEFF.SGTOT.THETA.	RESP 99
	•		VARJ2, YIELD)	RESP 100
	CURYS=PRO	PS(LPROP.6)	+EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7)	RESP 101
	IF(YIELD.	GT.CURYS) B	RING=CURYS/YIELD	RESP 102
60	DO 130 IS	TRE=1,3		RESP 103
130	SGTOT(IST	RE)=BRING*(	STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)+DESIG(ISTRE))	RESP 104
130	STRSG(IST	RE,KGAUS)=S	GTOT(ISTRE)	RESP 105
c	EFFST(KGA	US)=BRING*Y	IELD	RESP 106
C###	CALOUR 100	@110 0017147		RESP 107
с	CALCULATE	THE EQUIVAL	ENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE	RESP 100
U U	DO 110 TN	NES KODE-1 NNODE		RESP 109
C###	ZERO FORCE	UPDE= 1, NNUUD VECTOP		RESP 111
-	CALI	VZERO	(3 FORCE)	RESP 112
	CALL	BMATPB	(BFLET_DUMMY_BSHET_CARTD_INODE_SHAPE.	RESP 113
	•		0, 1, 0)	RESP 114
	FORCE(2)=	(BFLEI(1.2)	*SGTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA	RESP 115
	•	+FORCE(2)	-,	RESP 116
	FORCE(3)=	(BFLEI(2,3)	*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA	RESP 117
	-	+FORCE(3)		RESP 118
	IPOSN=(TK)	1()I)E=1)#3+1		KESP 119

135 140 40	DO 135 IDOFN=2,3 IPOSN=IPOSN+1 ELOAD(IELEM,IPOSN)= CONTINUE CONTINUE	ELOAD(IELEM,IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN)	RESP 120 RESP 121 RESP 122 RESP 123 RESP 124 RESP 124
C C### C	CALCULATE FORCES ASS	DCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION	RESP 126 RESP 127
Ū	NGAUM=NGAUS-1 CALL GAUSSQ (NG	GAUM, POSGP, WEIGP)	RESP 128 RESP 129
C G***	ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA	NUMERICAL INTEGRATION	RESP 130 RESP 131 RESP 132
L	KGASP=0 DO 300 IGAUS=1,NGAU	м	RESP 133 RESP 134
	DO 300 JGAUS=1, NGAU	M	RESP 135
	LGAUS=LGAUS+1		RESP 136
	EXISP=PUSGP(IGAUS)		RESP 137
	CALL SER2	(DERTV FTASP FXTSP. NNODE, SHAPE)	RESP 130
	KGASP=KGASP+1		RESP 140
	CALL JACOB2	(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM,	RESP 141
		KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)	<b>RESP</b> 142
	DAREA=DJACB#WEIGP(I	GAUS) #WEIGP(JGAUS)	RESP 143
	CALL GRADMP	(CARTD, DGRAD, ELDIS, 3, NNODE)	RESP 144
	CALL STRMP	(CARTD, DFLEX, DGRAD, DSHER, ELDIS, NNODE,	RESP 145
	•	SHAPE, STRES, 0, 1)	RESP 146
	DO 310 ISTRE=4,5		RESP 147
210	SGIUT(ISTRE)=STRSG(	ISIRE, LGAUS)+SIRES(ISIRE)	RESP 140 RESP 140
_ <u>5</u> 10	SING(ISINE,LUAUS)=	SGIUI(ISIRE)	RESP 149
C###	CALCULATE THE FOULTRA	I ENT NODAL EODOES	RESP 151
с	CALCODATE THE EQUIVA	LENI NODAL FORCES	RESP 152
Ŷ	DO 320 INODE-1 NNOD	F	RESP 153
C###	ZERO FORCE VECTOR		RESP 154
•	CALL VZERO(3.FORCE)		RESP 155
	CALL BMATPB	(BFLEI.DUMMY.BSHEI.CARTD.INODE.SHAPE.	RESP 156
	•	0, 0, 1)	RESP 157
	FORCE(1)=(BSHEI(1,1	)*SGTOT(4)+BSHEI(2,1)*SGTOT(5))*DAREA	RESP 158
	<pre>. +FORCE(1)</pre>		RESP 159
	FORCE(2)=(BSHEI(1,2	)*SGTOT(4))*DAREA+FORCE(2)	RESP 160
	FORCE(3)=(BSHEI(2.3	)*SGTOT(5))*DAREA+FORCE(3)	RESP 161
	IPOSN=(INODE-1)*3	•	RESP 162
	DO 315 IDOFN=1,3		RESP 163
	IPOSN=IPOSN+1		RESP 164
315	ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN) =	ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN)	RESP 165
320	) CONTINUE		RESP 100
300	) CONTINUE		KESP 10/
20	DETURN		NEOF 100 Degd 160
	REIURN END		107 170
	CND		UEDI IIV

#### 9.5.12 Subroutine SFR2

This subroutine evaluates the shape functions and their derivatives for 4, 8 and 9-node quadrilateral isoparametric elements. The 9-node element is treated as a hierarchical element as described in Section 9.3.2. This enables the Heterosis element to be easily incorporated.

347



Fig. 9.3 Overall structure of subroutine RESMP.



Fig. 9.3 Overall structure of subroutine RESMP (continued).

Subroutine SFR2 is identical to its namesake given earlier in Section 6.4.3 except that SFR2 72–118 are replaced by SFRH 67–73.

	IF(NNODE.EQ.8) RETURN	SFR2	67
C###	BUBBLE FUNCTION FOR HIERARCHICAL AND HETEROSIS ELEMENTS	SFRH	68
	SHAPE(9)=(1.0-SS)*(1.0-TT)	SFRH	69
	DERIV(1,9)=-S2*(1.0-TT)	SFRH	-70
	DERIV(2,9) = T2*(1.0 - SS)	SFRH	-71
	RETURN	SFRH	72
	END	SFRH	73

## 9.5.13 Subroutine STIFMP

This routine evaluates the stiffness matrix for the nonlayered elasto-plastic Mindlin plate elements. The overall structure is shown in Fig. 9.4.





Fig. 9.4 Overall structure of subroutine STIFMP (continued).
	SUBROUTINE :	STIFMP	(COORD, EPSTN, IINCS, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MEVAB, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, NCRIT, NELEM,	STIF STIF	1 2
C####	• •	*****	NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, PROPS, STRSG)	STIF ¥STIE	3
č				-1116- 	4 5
Č***	EVALUATE ST	IFFNESS MA	TRICES FOR NON-LAYERED	STIF	6
C***	ELASTO-PLAS	TIC MINDLI	N PLATE ELEMENTS	STIF	7
C				STIF	8
C***i	***********	*********	***************************************	*STIF	9
	DIMENSION AV	VECT(5),	COORD (MROIN A)	STIF	10
	, U	FRTV(2 0)	DEVIA(h) DVECT(E) ELCOD(2.0)	SILL	12
	. El	PSTN(MTOTG	DEVIA(47, DVECT(57, 27), EECOD(2, 9), UNODS(MELEM, 9).	STIF	12
	. M/	ATNO(MELEM	(), POSGP(4), PROPS(MMATS, 8), SHAPE(9), STRES(5),	STIF	14
	. S.	TRSG(5,MTO	TG),WEIGP(4),	STIF	15
	• DI	FLEX(3,3),	DSHER(2,2),BFLEI(3,3),BFLEJ(3,3),	STIF	16
	. BS	SHEI(2,3),	BSHEJ(2,3),DUMMY(3,3)	STIF	17
	REWIND 3			STIF	18
	KGAUS-O			STIF	20
С				STIF	21
C***	LOOP OVER EAG	CH ELEMENT		STIF	22
С				STIF	23
	DO 70 IELEM:	=1,NELEM		STIF	24
c	LPROP=MATNO	(IELEM)		STIF	25
с С***	EVALHATE THE	COORDINAT	TS OF THE FLEMENT NODAL POINTS	STIF	20 27
č	LUMBOAIL INC	COOLDINAL	ED OF THE ELEMENT NODAE FOINTS	STIF	- 28
	DO 10 INODE:	=1,NNODE		STIF	29
	LNODE=LNODS	(IELEM, INO	DE)	STIF	30
	LNUDE=IABS(I	LNODE		STIF	31
10	DU TU IDIME:	=1,2 INODE\_CO		STIF	- <u>5</u> 2 - 22
C	ELCOD(IDIME,	, INODE/ECO		STIF	- 33 - 34
C***	INITIALIZE TH	HE ELEMENT	STIFFNESS MATRIX	STIF	35
С				STIF	36
	DO 20 IEVAB:	=1,NEVAB		STIF	- 37
20	DO 20 JEVAB:	=1,NEVAB		STIF	38
<u>کر</u>	ESTECIEVAB	,JEVAB)=0.	0	STIF	- 39
C###	EVALUATE PART	T OF STIFF	NESS MATRIX	STIC	40 51
č	ASSOCIATED WI	TTH BENDIN	G DEFORMATION	STIF	41
С				STIF	43
_	KGASP=C			STIF	44
C				STIF	45
0	ENTER LOOPS F	FOR AREA N	UMERICAL INTEGRATION	STIF	46
č				STIF	- 47 - 118
C¥##	SET UP GAUSSI	IAN INTEGR	ATION CONSTANTS	STIF	49
С				STIF	50
	CALL GA	AUSSQ	(NGAUS, POSGP, WEIGP)	STIF	51
				STIF	52
	DO 50 IGAUS:	=1,NGAUS		STIF	53
	KGASP-KCASP.	=1,NGAUS		STIF	54
	EXISP-POSOP	TGAUS)		STIF	55
	ETASP=POSGP(	(JGAUS)		STIF	50
C				STIF	58
C###	EVALUATE THE	SHAPE FUN	CTIONS, ELEMENTAL AREA, ETC	STIF	59
ι'	CA11 -			STIF	60
	CALL S	SF K2	(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)	STIF	61
	CALL D	ACUB2	KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE	STIF	62
	DAREA=DJACB*	WEIGP(IGA	US)*WEIGP(JGAUS)	STIF	- 05 - 64
					~ ,

STIF 65 C\*\*\* EVALUATE THE B AND DB MATRICES STIF 66 STIF 67 С (DFLEX, DUMMY, DSHER, LPROP, MMATS, PROPS, STIF 68 MODPB CALL 1, 0) STIF 69 0, STIF 70 IF(IINCS.EQ.1) GO TO 80 STIF 71 KGAUS=KGAUS+1 IF(EPSTN(KGAUS).EQ.0.0) GO TO 80 STIF 72 STIF 73 DO 90 ISTRE=1,3 74 90 STRES(ISTRE)=STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS) STIF STIF 75 HARDS=PROPS(LPROP,7) CALL INVMP (DEVIA, NCRIT, SINT3, STEFF, STRES, THETA, STIF 76 STIF 77 VARJ2,YIELD) (ABETA, AVECT, DEVIA, DFLEX, DVECT, HARDS, 78 CALL FLOWMP STIF NCRIT, SINT3, STEFF, THETA, VARJ2) STIF 79 DO 100 ISTRE=1,3 DO 100 JSTRE=1,3 80 STIF STIF 81 100 DFLEX(ISTRE, JSTRE)=DFLEX(ISTRE, JSTRE)-ABETA\*DVECT(ISTRE)\* STIF 82 STIF 83 DVECT(JSTRE) **80 CONTINUE** STIF 84 С STIF 85 C\*\*\* CALCULATE THE ELEMENT STIFFNESSES STIF 86 87 STIF STIF 88 DO 30 INODE=1.NNODE (BFLEI, DUMMY, BSHEI, CARTD, INODE, SHAPE, 89 BMATPB STIF CALL 1, 0, 0) 90 STIF DO 30 JNODE=INODE, NNODE STIF 91 (BFLEJ, DUMMY, BSHEJ, CARTD, JNODE, SHAPE, BMATPB STIF 92 CALL 1, 0, 0) STIF 93 30 CALL SUBMP (BFLEI, BFLEJ, DAREA, DFLEX, ESTIF, INODE, STIF 94 STIF JNODE. 3, 3, 3) 95 **50 CONTINUE** 96 STIF С STIF 97 C\*\*\* EVALUATE PART OF STIFFNESS MATRIX STIF 98 С ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION STIF 99 С **STIF 100** KGASP=0 STIF 101 NGAUM=NGAUS-1 STIF 102 **STIF 103** С STIF 104 C\*\*\* ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA INTEGRATION С STIF 105 С STIF 106 **STIF 107** C\*\*\* SET UP GAUSSIAN INTEGRATION CONSTANTS **STIF 108** С STIF 109 (NGAUM, POSGP, WEIGP) CALL GAUSSQ **STIF 110** DO 51 IGAUS=1, NGAUM STIF 111 DO 51 JGAUS=1,NGAUM STIF 112 KGASP=KGASP+1 STIF 113 EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS) STIF 114 ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS) 115 STIF С STIF 116 C\*\*\* EVALUATE THE SHAPE FUNCTIONS, ELEMENTAL AREA, ETC STIF 117 C STIF 118 CALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, **STIF 119** CALL JACOB2 STIF 120 KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE) **STIF 121** DAREA=DJACB\*WEIGP(IGAUS)\*WEIGP(JGAUS) STIF 122 С STIF 123 C\*\*\* EVALUATE THE B AND DB MATRICES STIF 124 С STIF 125 (DFLEX, DUMMY, DSHER, LPROP, MMATS, PROPS, CALL MODPB STIF 126 1) Ο, 0, STIF 127 C STIF 128 C\*\*\* EVALUATE ELEMENT STIFFNESSES

С					STIF	129
		DO 31	INODE=1, NNODE	2	STIF	130
		CALL	BMATPB	(BFLEI, DUMMY, BSHEI, CARTD, INODE, SHAPE,	STIF	131
				0, 0, 1)	STIF	132
		DO 31	JNODE=INODE,N	NODE	STIF	133
		CALL	BMATPB	(BFLEJ, DUMMY, BSHEJ, CARTD, JNODE, SHAPE,	STIF	134
		•		0, 0, 1)	STIF	135
	31	CALL	SUBMP	(BSHEI, BSHEJ, DAREA, DSHER, ESTIF, INODE,	STIF	136
		•		JNODE, 3, 2, 3)	STIF	137
	51	CONTIN	IUE		STIF	138
С					STIF	139
C*	¥¥	CONSTRU	ICT THE LOWER	TRIANGLE OF THE STIFFNESS MATRIX	STIF	140
С					STIF	14 <b>1</b>
		DO 60	IEVAB=1,NEVA	3	STIF	142
		DO 60	JEVAB=IEVAB,	VEVAB	STIF	143
	60	ESTIF(	JEVAB, IEVAB):	=ESTIF(IEVAB,JEVAB)	STIF	144
С					STIF	145
C*	¥¥	STORE 1	THE STIFFNESS	MATRIX, STRESS MATRIX AND SAMPLING POINT	STIF	146
С		COORDIN	IATES FOR EAC	H ELEMENT ON DISC FILE	STIF	147
С					STIF	148
С					STIF	149
		WRITE(	1) ESTIF		STIF	150
		WRITE(	3) GPCOD		STIF	151
	70	CONTIN	IUE		STIF	152
		RETURN	1		STIF	153
		END			STIF	154

# 9.5.14 Subroutine STRMP

This subroutine evaluates the bending moments and shear forces for Mindlin plates.

	SUBROUTINE STRMP	(CARTD, DFLEX, DGRAD, DSHER, ELDIS, NNODE,	STRP	1
	-	SHAPE, STRES, IFFLE, IFSHE)	STRP	2
C***!	*****	***************************************	*****STRP	3
С			STRP	ц
C#**	EVALUATES STRESS RES	ULTANTS FOR MINDLIN PLATE	STRP	- 5
С			STRP	6
C###	*****	***************************************	*****STRP	- 7
	DIMENSION CARTD(2.9	), $DFLEX(3,3)$ , $DGRAD(6)$ , $DSHER(2,2)$ ,	STRP	8
	. ELDIS(3,9	)),SHAPE(9),STRES(5)	STRP	- 9
C***	ZERO STRESS VECTOR	,	STRP	10
	CALL VZERO	(5.STRES)	STRP	11
C***	EVALUATE ROTATIONS A	T GAUSS POINT , IF NEEDED	STRP	12
	IF(IFSHE.EQ.0) GOTO	) 50	STRP	13
	XZROT=0.0		STRP	14
	YZROT=0.0		STRP	15
	DO 30 INODE=1.NNODE		STRP	16
	XZROT=XZROT+SHAPE(1	NODE) *ELDIS(2.INODE)	STRP	17
30	O YZROT=YZROT+SHAPE()	NODE) *ELDIS(3, INODE)	STRP	18
C###	EVALUATE BENDING STR	RESS RESULTANTS	STRP	19
5	0 IF(IFFLE.EO.O) GOTO	0.60	STRP	20
	EFLXX=-DGRAD(2)		STRP	21
	EFLYY = -DGRAD(6)		STRP	22
	EFLXY = -(DGRAD(3) + DC	GRAD(5))	STRP	23
	STRES(1)=DFLEX(1,1)	*EFLXX+DFLEX(1.2)*EFLYY	STRP	- 24
	STRES(2)=DFLEX(2,1)	*EFLXX+DFLEX(2,2)*EFLYY	STRP	25
	STRES(3)=DFLEX(3,3)	*EFLXY	STRP	26

C*** EVALUATE SHEAR STRESS RESULTANTS 60 IF(IFSHE.EQ.0) RETURN	STRP STRP	27 28
ESHXX=DGRAD(1)-XZROT	STRP	29
ESHYY=DGRAD(4)-YZROT STRES(4)=DSHER(1,1)*ESHXX	STRP STRP	30 31
STRES(5)=DSHER(2,2)*ESHYY	STRP	32
RETURN	STRP STRP	33 34
	DIM	74

### 9.5.15 Subroutine SUBMP

This subroutine evaluates  $[B_i]^T D[B_j] det J \times Gauss$  weights and is used in the evaluation of the element stiffness matrices.

	SUBROUTINE SUBMP	(BIMAT, BJMAT, DAREA, DMATX, ESTIF, INODE,	SUBP	1
	•	JNODE, NCOLI, NROIJ, NCOLJ)	SUBP	2
C****	****************	***************************************	****SUBP	3
Ċ			SUBP	4
C***	CARRY OUT MATRIX MULT	IPLICATION	SUBP	- 5
С			SUBP	6
C***	*****	***************************************	*****SUBP	7
	DIMENSION BIMAT(NRO)	IJ, NCOLI), BJMAT(NROIJ, NCOLJ),	SUBP	- 8
	. DMATX(NRO]	J,NROIJ),DBMAT(3,3),	SUBP	- 9
	. ESTIF(27,2	27),SBSTF(3,3)	SUBP	10
C***	EVALUATE D*BJ		SUBP	11
	DO 10 J=1,NCOLJ		SUBP	12
	DO 10 I=1,NROIJ		SUBP	13
	DBMAT(I,J)=0.0		SUBP	14
	DO 10 K=1,NROIJ		SUBP	- 15
10	D DBMAT(I,J)=DBMAT(I,J	)+DMATX(I,K)*BJMAT(K,J)	SUBP	16
C***	EVALUATE BIT*(D*BJ)		SUBP	- 17
	DO 20 J=1,NCOLJ		SUBP	18
	DO 20 I=1,NCOLI		SUBP	19
	SBSTF(I,J)=0.0		SUBP	- 20
	DO 20 K=1,NROIJ	······································	SUBP	21
20	D SBSTF(1,J)=SBSTF(1,J	J)+BIMAT(K,I)*DBMAT(K,J)	SUBP	22
C***	ASSEMBLE SBSTF INTO E	ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRIX	SUBP	- 23
	IFROW=0		SUBP	-24
	JFCOL=0		SUBP	25
	IFROW=(INODE-1)*3+IF	FROW	SUBP	26
	JFCOL=(JNODE-1)*3+JE	FCOL	SUBP	27
	DO 30 IEI,NCOLI		SUBP	20
	IRSUBEIFROW+1		SUBP	- 29
	DO 30 JEI, NCOLJ		SUBP	
2	JCSUDEJFCUL+J	CONTRACTORING TOOLD & COOPERATE IN ADDR	SUBP	יכ
اک	U LOITE(IKOUB, JCSUB)=	STIF(INSUB, JCSUB)+SESTF(1, J)*DAREA	SUBP	34
			SUBP	55 110
	END		JUDE	- 34

# 9.5.16 Subroutines VZERO and ZEROMP

These routines simply set to zero the components of various vectors and arrays.

	SUBROUTINE VZERO	(NCOMP, VECTO)	ZERO	1
C¥ŧ¥¥ł	*****	*******	ZERO	2
C			ZERO	3
C###	ZEROES VECTOR VECTO		ZERO	- 4
С			ZERO	- 5
C¥¥¥¥1	****	***************	ZERO	6
	DIMENSION VECTO(NCOM	P)	ZERO	7
	DO 10 ICOMP=1,NCOMP		ZERO	8
10	VECTO(ICOMP)=0.0		ZERO	9
	RETURN	;	ZERO	10
	END		ZERO	11

355

. MTOTV, MVFIX, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, ZE NTOTG, NTOTV, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TFACT, ZE TLOAD, TREAC) ZE C**** ZERO EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, STRSG, TDISP, TFACT, TLOAD, TREAC ZE C**** ZERO EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, STRSG, TDISP, TFACT, TLOAD, TREAC ZE C************************************		SUBROUTINE	ZEROMP	(EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, MELEM, MEVAB, MTOTG,	ZERP	1
NTOTG, NTOTV, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TFACT,         ZE           TLOAD, TREAC)         ZE           C         ZE           DIMENSION ELOAD, EPSTN, STRSG, TDISP, TFACT, TLOAD, TREAC         ZE           DIMENSION ELOAD (MELEM, MEVAB), STRSG(5, MTOTG), TDISP(MTOTV),         ZE           DIMENSION ELOAD (MELEM, MEVAB), STRSG(5, MTOTG), TDISP(MTOTV),         ZE           DIMENSION ELOAD (MELEM, MEVAB), STRSG(5, MTOTG), TDISP(MTOTV),         ZE           DO 30 IELEM=1, NELEM         ZE           DO 30 IELEM=1, NELEM         ZE           DO 30 IELEM, IEVAB) =0.0         ZE           S0 TLOAD (IELEM, IEVAB) =0.0         ZE           D0 40 ITOTV=1, NTOTV         ZE           D0 50 IVFIX=1, NVFIX         ZE           D0 50 IVFIX=1, NOFN         ZE           50 TREAC(IVFIX, IDOFN)=0.0         ZE           <				MTOTV, MVFIX, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS,	ZERP	2
TLOAD, TREAC)         ZE           C         ZE         ZE           DIMENSION ELOAD, (MELEM, MEVAB), STRSG(5, MTOTG), TDISP(MTOTV),         ZE           DIMENSION ELOAD (MELEM, MEVAB), STRSG(5, MTOTG), TDISP(MTOTV),         ZE           DO 30 IELEM=1, NELEM         ZE           DO 30 IELM=1, NELEM         ZE           DO 30 IELAM=1, NEVAB         ZE           ELOAD (IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0         ZE           DO 40 ITOTV=1, NTOTV         ZE           40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0         ZE           D0 50 IDOFN=1, NDOFN         ZE           50 TREAC(IVFIX, IDOFN)=0.0         ZE           D0 60 ITOTG=1, NTOTG         ZE           EFFST(ITOTG)=0.0         ZE           EFFST(ITOTG)=0.0         ZE           D0 60 IST				NTOTG, NTOTV, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TFACT,	ZERP	3
C*************************************	-			TLOAD, TREAC)	ZERP	Ц
C       ZE         C       ZERO EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, STRSG, TDISP, TFACT, TLOAD, TREAC       ZE         C       ZE         DIMENSION ELOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), STRSG(5, MTOTG), TDISP(MTOTV),       ZE         .       EFFST(MTOTG)       ZE         D0 30 IELEM=1,NELEM       ZE       ZE         D0 30 IELEM, IEVAB =0.0       ZE       ZE         30 TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0       ZE       ZE         D0 40 ITOTV=1,NTOTV       ZE       ZE         40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0       ZE       ZE         D0 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN       ZE       ZE         50 TREAC(IVFIX,IDOFN)=0.0       ZE	C****	*******	*********	***************************************	*ZERP	5
C****ZERO EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, STRSG, TDISP, TFACT, TLOAD, TREACZECZECZEDIMENSION ELOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), STRSG(5, MTOTG), TDISP(MTOTV),ZE.TLOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), TREAC(MVFIX, 3), EPSTN(MTOTG),ZE.EFFST(MTOTG)ZEDO 30 IELEM=1, NELEMZEDO 30 IELEM=1, NEVABZEBELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0ZE30 TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0ZEDO 50 IVFIX=1, NTOTVZE40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0ZEDO 50 INFIX=1, NUFIXZEDO 60 ITOTG=1, NTOTGZEEPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0ZEEFST(ITOTG)=0.0ZEDO 60 ISTR1=1,5ZE60 STRSG(ISTR1, ITOTG)=0.0ZEENDZEZEZEZEZEZEZEDE SONZEZEZEZEZEZEZEDO 60 ISTR1=1,5ZE	č				ZERP	6
C       ZE         C************************************	C***	ZERO EFFST	.ELOAD EPS	IN.STRSG, TDISP, TFACT, TLOAD, TREAC	ZERP	7
C************************************	Ċ		, ,		ZERP	8
DIMENSION ELOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), STRSG(5, MTOTG), TDISP(MTOTV),       ZE         .       TLOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), TREAC(MVFIX, 3), EPSTN(MTOTG),       ZE         .       EFFST(MTOTG)       ZE         TFACT=0.0       ZE       DO 30 IELEM=1, NELEM       ZE         DO 30 IELEM=1, NEVAB       ZE       ZE         DO 30 IEVAB=1, NEVAB       ZE       ZE         DO 40 ITOTV=1, NEVAB       ZE       ZE         DO 40 ITOTV=1, NTOTV       ZE       ZE         DO 50 IVFIX=1, NVFIX       ZE       ZE         DO 50 IDOFN=1, NDOFN       ZE       ZE         50 TREAC(IVFIX, IDOFN)=0.0       ZE       ZE         DO 60 ITOTG=1, NTOTG       ZE       ZE         EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0       ZE       ZE         DO 60 ISTR1=1,5       ZE       ZE         60 STRSG(ISTR1, ITOTG)=0.0       ZE       ZE         MD       ZE       ZE       ZE         DD       DE       ZE       ZE         DD       DE	_ C****	********	******	***************************************	*ZERP	- 9
TLOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), TREAC(MVFIX, 3), EPSTN(MTOTG),         ZE           EFFST(MTOTG)         ZE           DO 30 IELEM=1, NELEM         ZE           DO 30 IELEM=1, NEVAB         ZE           DO 30 IELEM, IEVAB         ZE           ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0         ZE           30 TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0         ZE           30 TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0         ZE           40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0         ZE           DO 50 IVFIX=1,NTOTV         ZE           40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0         ZE           DO 50 IVFIX=1,NOFN         ZE           DO 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN         ZE           DO 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG         ZE           EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0         ZE           DO 60 ISTR1=1,5         ZE           60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0         ZE           RETURN         ZE           END         ZE	•	DIMENSION	ELOAD (MELE	M,MEVAB),STRSG(5,MTOTG),TDISP(MTOTV),	ZERP	10
EFFST(MTOTG)         ZE           TFACT=0.0         ZE           DO 30 IELEM=1,NELEM         ZE           DO 30 IEVAB=1,NEVAB         ZE           ELOAD(IELEM,IEVAB)=0.0         ZE           30 TLOAD(IELEM,IEVAB)=0.0         ZE           DO 40 ITOTV=1,NTOTV         ZE           40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0         ZE           DO 50 IVFIX=1,NVFIX         ZE           DO 50 IVFIX=1,NVFIX         ZE           DO 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN         ZE           50 TREAC(IVFIX,IDOFN)=0.0         ZI           DO 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG         ZI           EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0         ZI           DO 60 ISTR1=1,5         ZI           60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0         ZI           RETURN         ZI           END         ZI			TLOAD (MELE	M, MEVAB), TREAC(MVFIX, 3), EPSTN(MTOTG),	ZERP	11
TFACT=0.0       ZE         D0 30 IELEM=1,NELEM       ZE         D0 30 IEVAB=1,NEVAB       ZE         ELOAD(IELEM,IEVAB)=0.0       ZE         30 TLOAD(IELEM,IEVAB)=0.0       ZE         40 TDISP(ITOTV=1,NTOTV       ZE         40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0       ZE         D0 50 IVFIX=1,NVFIX       ZE         D0 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN       ZE         50 TREAC(IVFIX,IDOFN)=0.0       ZE         D0 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG       ZE         EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0       ZE         D0 60 ISTR1=1,5       ZE         60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0       ZE         END       ZE			EFFST(MTOT	G	ZERP	12
DO 30 IELEM=1,NELEM       ZE         DO 30 IEVAB=1,NEVAB       ZE         ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0       ZE         30 TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0       ZE         DO 40 ITOTV=1,NTOTV       ZE         40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0       ZE         DO 50 IVFIX=1,NVFIX       ZE         DO 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN       ZE         50 TREAC(IVFIX,IDOFN)=0.0       ZE         DO 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG       ZE         EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0       ZE         DO 60 ISTR1=1,5       ZE         60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0       ZE         RETURN       ZE         END       ZE		TFACT=0.0			ZERP	13
DO 30 IEVAB=1,NEVAB       ZE         ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0       ZE         30 TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0       ZE         DO 40 ITOTV=1,NTOTV       ZE         40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0       ZE         DO 50 IVFIX=1,NVFIX       ZE         DO 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN       ZE         50 TREAC(IVFIX,IDOFN)=0.0       ZE         DO 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG       ZE         EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0       ZE         DO 60 ISTR1=1,5       ZE         60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0       ZE         RETURN       ZE         END       ZE		DO 30 IELE	M=1.NELEM		ZERP	14
ELOAD(IELEM, IÉVAB)=0.0       26         30 TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0       26         D0 40 ITOTV=1, NTOTV       26         40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0       26         D0 50 IVFIX=1, NVFIX       26         D0 50 IDOFN=1, NDOFN       26         50 TREAC(IVFIX, IDOFN)=0.0       21         D0 60 ITOTG=1, NTOTG       21         EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0       21         EFFST(ITOTG)=0.0       21         D0 60 ISTR1=1,5       21         60 STRSG(ISTR1, ITOTG)=0.0       21         RETURN       21         END       21		DO 30 IEVA	B=1,NEVAB		ZERP	-15
30 TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0       26         D0 40 ITOTV=1, NTOTV       26         40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0       27         D0 50 IVFIX=1, NVFIX       26         D0 50 IDOFN=1, NDOFN       27         50 TREAC(IVFIX, IDOFN)=0.0       21         D0 60 ITOTG=1, NTOTG       21         EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0       21         EFFST(ITOTG)=0.0       21         D0 60 ISTR1=1,5       21         60 STRSG(ISTR1, ITOTG)=0.0       21         RETURN       21         END       21		ELOAD(IELE	M,IÉVAB)=0	.0	ZERP	16
D0 40 ITOTV=1,NTOTV       ZE         40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0       ZE         D0 50 IVFIX=1,NVFIX       ZE         D0 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN       ZE         50 TREAC(IVFIX,IDOFN)=0.0       ZE         D0 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG       ZE         EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0       ZE         D0 60 ISTR1=1,5       ZE         60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0       ZE         RETURN       ZE         END       ZE	30	TLOAD(IELE	M, IEVAB)=0	.0	ZERP	17
40 TDISP(ITOTV)=0.0       ZI         D0 50 IVFIX=1,NVFIX       ZI         D0 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN       ZI         50 TREAC(IVFIX,IDOFN)=0.0       ZI         D0 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG       ZI         EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0       ZI         EFFST(ITOTG)=0.0       ZI         D0 60 ISTR1=1,5       ZI         60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0       ZI         RETURN       ZI         END       ZI	-	DO 40 ITOT	V=1,NTOTV		ZERP	18
D0 50 IVFIX=1,NVFIX       24         D0 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN       25         50 TREAC(IVFIX,IDOFN)=0.0       21         D0 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG       21         EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0       21         EFFST(ITOTG)=0.0       21         D0 60 ISTR1=1,5       21         60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0       21         RETURN       21         END       21	40	TDISP(ITOT	V)=0.0		ZERP	19
D0 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN         Z1           50 TREAC(IVFIX,IDOFN)=0.0         Z1           D0 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG         Z1           EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0         Z1           EFFST(ITOTG)=0.0         Z1           D0 60 ISTR1=1,5         Z1           60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0         Z1           RETURN         Z1           END         Z1		DO 50 IVFI	IX=1,NVFIX		ZERP	20
50 TREAC(IVFIX,IDOFN)=0.0       21         D0 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG       21         EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0       21         EFFST(ITOTG)=0.0       21         D0 60 ISTR1=1,5       21         60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0       21         RETURN       21         END       21		DO 50 IDOF	N=1,NDOFN		ZERP	21
D0 60 ITOTG=1,NTOTG         21           EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0         21           EFFST(ITOTG)=0.0         21           D0 60 ISTR1=1,5         21           60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0         21           RETURN         21           END         21	50	TREAC(IVFI	(X,IDOFN)=O	.0	ZERP	22
EPSTN(ITOTG)=0.0       Z1         EFFST(ITOTG)=0.0       Z1         D0 60 ISTR1=1,5       Z1         60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0       Z1         RETURN       Z1         END       Z1		DO 60 ITOT	G=1,NTOTG		ZERP	-23
EFFST(ITOTG)=0.0       21         DO 60 ISTR1=1,5       21         60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0       21         RETURN       21         END       21		EPSTN(ITOT	(G)=0.0		ZERP	24
DO 60 ISTR1=1,5         ZI           60 STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.0         ZI           RETURN         ZI           END         ZI		EFFST(ITOI	(G)=0.0		ZERP	- 25
60STRSG(ISTR1,ITOTG)=0.021RETURN21END21		DO 60 ISTR	R1=1,5		ZERP	26
RETURN ZI END ZI	60	STRSG(ISTF	R1,ITOTG)=0	0.0	ZERP	-27
END		RETURN			ZERP	- 28
		END			ZEKP	29

#### 9.6 Software for the layered approach

#### 9.6.1 Overall program structure .

The overall program structure for the elasto-plastic Mindlin plate bending analysis program using the layered approach is given in Fig. 9.5. This program is named MINDLAY.

The program can solve problems of the same size as those solved by program MINDLIN. A maximum of 26 layers is allowed.

All new routines are now documented and these include: FEAM, DEPMPA, LAYMPA, MDMPA, OUTMPA, RESMPA, STIMPA and STRMPA. The outer routines, which have been described earlier, include ALGOR, BMATPB, CHECK1, CHECK2, ECHO, FRONT, INCREM, INPUT, JACOB2 and NODEXY.

The files which are used in the program are 5 (cardreader), 6 (lineprinter) and 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 (scratch files).

### 9.6.2 Subroutine FEAM

This routine organises the calling of the main routines in sequence.



Fig. 9.5 Overall program structure of program MINDLAY.



Fig. 9.5 Overall program structure of program MINDLAY (continued).

	PROGRAM FI	EAM(INPUT,	OUTPUT, TAPE5=INPUT, TAPE6=OUTPUT,	FEAM	1
	.TAPE1,TAP	E2,TAPE3,T	APE4,TAPE8,TAPE9)	FEAM	2
C###1	**********	*********	***************************************	*FEAM FEAM	3 4
C###	ELASTO-PL	ASTIC ANAL	YSIS OF LAYERED MINDLIN PLATES USING	FEAM	5
C###	4-,8-, 9-1	NODED OR H	ETEROSIS ISOPARAMETRIC QUADRILATERALS	FEAM	6
С				FEAM	7
C***!		********	⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇	FEAM	8
	DIMENSION	ASDIS(240 FPSTN(225	),COURD(80,2),EFFSI(225),ELOAD(25,27),	FEAM	. 9
	•	EORHS(10)	-FOLLAT(40.10).FTXFD(240)	FEAM	10
	•	IFFIX(240	),GLOAD(40),GSTIF(860),LNODS(25,9),LOCEL(27).	FEAM	12
	•	MATNO(25)	,NACVA(40),NAMEV(10),NCDIS(4),NCRES(4),	FEAM	13
	•	NDEST(27)	,NDFRO(25),NOFIX(40),NOUTP(2),NPIVO(10),	FEAM	14
	•	POSGP(4),	PRESC(40,3), PROPS(10,8), REFOR(240),	FEAM	15
	•	TDISP(20)	2/7, 31R3G(3,223), 10FOR(240), TEAAD(35, 27) TREAC(10, 2) VECRV(10)	FEAM FEAM	10
	•	WEIGP(4)	, ILOAD(2), 2//, IREAC(40, 5/, VECRV(40/,	FFAM	18
С	-			FEAM	19
C*** C	PRESET VARI	IABLES ASS	OCIATED WITH DYNAMIC DIMENSIONS	FEAM FFAM	20 21
•	CALL	DIMMP	(MBUFA, MELEM, MEVAB, MFRON, MMATS, MPOIN,	FEAM	22
	•		MSTIF, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NDIME, NDOFN,	FEAM	23
~	-		NPROP, NSTRE)	FEAM	24
		IDDOUTTINE 1		FEAM	25
C	CALL THE SU	DRECOLLINE 1	NHICH READS MOST OF THE PROBLEM DATA	FEAM	26
Ŭ	CALL	INPUT	(COORD, IFFIX, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MEVAB,	FEAM	28
	•		MFRON, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTV, MVFIX, NALGO,	FEAM	29
	•		NCRIT, NDFRO, NDIME, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB,	FEAM	30
	•		NGAUS, NLAPS, NINCS, NMATS, NNODE, NOFIX,	FEAM	31
	•		NPOLN, NPROP, NSTRE, NSTR1, NSWIT, NTOTG,	FEAM	32
	•		WEIGP)	F LAM FFAM	22 27
С				FEAM	- 35
C***	INITIALIZE	ARRAYS TO	ZERO	FEAM	36
С	<b></b>			FEAM	- 37
	CALL	ZEROMP	(EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, MELEM, MEVAB, MTOTG,	FEAM	38
	•		NTOTO NTOTY NVETY STRSC TOTSP TEACT	FEAM FEAM	- 39
	•		TLOAD, TREAC)	FFAM	40
C				FEAM	42
C###				FEAM	43
Ç	CALL	UTUBBB		FEAM	44
	CALL	MINDPB	NCDIS NCRES NELEM NTVRE	FEAM	45
С	•		NODIO, NOREO, NELEM, NITED	FEAM	40 117
С				FEAM	48
C				FEAM	49
C***	COMPUTE LOA	D AFTER RI	EADING RELEVANT EXTRA DATA	FEAM	50
C	CALL		(COOPD LHODS MATHO HELEN MATE MOOTH	FEAM	51
	•	LOADID	NFLEM NEVAR NGAUS NNODE NEOTN PRODS	F CAM	52
			RLOAD)	FEAM	54
С				FEAM	55
C*** C	LOOP OVER E	EACH INCREM	YENT	FEAM	56
c	DO 70 IINC	CS=1,NINCS		FEAM	58
с С###		OR CHODEN		FEAM	59
ç	THE PAIR I	on contell.	T THATELENI	Г САМ БЕЛМ	61
	CALL	INCREM	(ELOAD, FIXED, IINCS. MELEM, MEVAB, MITER.	FEAM	62
	•		MTOTV, MVFIX, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NOUTP,	FEAM	63
	•		NOFIX, NTOTV, NVFIX, PRESC, RLOAD, TFACT,	FEAM	64
	•		TLOAD, TOLER)	FEAM	65

FEAM -66 С FEAM C\*\*\* LOOP OVER EACH ITERATION 67 FEAM 68 С FEAM 69 DO 90 IITER=1,MITER FEAM 70 С FEAM 71 C\*\*\* CALL ROUTINE WHICH SELECTS SOLUTION ALGORITHM VARIABLE KRESL FEAM 72 С (FIXED, IINCS, IITER, KRESL, MTOTV, NALGO, FEAM 73 CALL ALGOR FEAM 74 NTOTV) 75 FEAM С 76 C\*\*\* CHECK WHETHER A NEW EVALUATION OF THE STIFFNESS MATRICES IS NEEDED FEAM FEAM 77 С FEAM 78 IF(KRESL.EQ.1) (COORD, EPSTN, IINCS, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, FEAM 79 STIMPA .CALL FEAM 80 MEVAB, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, NCRIT, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NLAPS, PROPS, STRSG) FEAM 81 82 FEAM C 83 SOLVE EQUATIONS FEAM C\* FEAM 84 85 FEAM CALL FRONT (ASDIS, ELOAD, EQRHS, EQUAT, ESTIF, FIXED, IFFIX, IINCS, IITER, GLOAD, GSTIF, KRESL, FEAM 86 87 LNODS, LOCEL, MBUFA, MELEM, MEVAB, MFRON, FEAM MSTIF, MTOTV, MVFIX, NACVA, NAMEV, NDEST, FEAM 88 NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NNODE, NOFIX, NPIVO, FEAM 89 90 NPOIN, NTOTV, TDISP, TLOAD, TREAC, VECRV) FEAM FEAM 91 С 92 C#\*\* CALCULATE RESIDUAL FORCES FEAM 93 FEAM С 94 (ASDIS, COORD, EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, LNODS, FEAM CALL RESMPA MATNO, MELEM, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, MTOTV, FEAM 95 FEAM NCRIT, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NLAPS, 96 97 PROPS, STRSG) FEAM 98 FEAM Ć C\*\*\* 99 FEAM CHECK FOR CONVERGENCE С FEAM 100 **FEAM 101** CONVMP (ASDIS, ELOAD, IITER, IFDIS, IFRES, LNODS, CALL MELEM, MEVAB, MTOTV, NCHEK, NCDIS, NCRES, FEAM 102 NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NNODE, NPOIN, NTOTV, **FEAM 103** REFOR, TOFOR, TDISP, TLOAD, TOLER) FEAM 104 С FEAM 105 C\*\*\* OUTPUT RESULTS IF REQUIRED FEAM 106 **FEAM 107** С **FEAM 108** FEAM 109 **IF**(IITER.EQ.1.AND.NOUTP(1).GT.0) (EPSTN, IITER, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NELEM, FEAM 110 .CALL OUTMPA FEAM 111 NGAUS, NLAPS, NOFIX, NOUTP, NPOIN, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TREAC) FEAM 112 С FEAM 113 C\*\*\* IF SOLUTION HAS CONVERGED STOP ITERATING AND OUTPUT RESULTS FEAM 114 C FEAM 115 IF(NCHEK.EQ.0) GO TO 100 FEAM 116 90 CONTINUE **FEAM 117** С **FEAM 118** C### FEAM 119 С FEAM 120 IF(NALGO.EQ.2) GO TO 100 **FEAM 121** STOP **FEAM 122** 100 CALL (EPSTN, IITER, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NELEM, OUTMPA **FEAM 123** NGAUS, NLAPS, NOFIX, NOUTP, NPOIN, NVFIX, FEAM 124 STRSG, TDISP, TREAC) FEAM 125 70 CONTINUE FEAM 126 20 CONTINUE **FEAM 127** - 10 CONTINUE FEAM 128 STOP FEAM 129 END FEAM 130

#### 9.6.3 Subroutine CHECK1 (revised)

In program MINDLAY we remove card CEK1 25 from subroutine CHECK1 because NLAPS (the number of layers) replaces NSTRE in subroutine INPUT. The variable NSTRE is set in subroutine DIMMP (see Section 9.5.4).

## 9.6.4 Subroutine DEPMPA

This subroutine sets up the layered discretisation.

	SUBROUTINE DEPMPA (DEPTH, LPROP, MMATS, NLAYR, PROPS)	DEPT	1
C****	***************************************	**DEPT	- 2
С		DEPT	3
C###	SET UP LAYRED DISCRETIZATION	DEPT	4
С		DEPT	5
C####	******	<b>∉</b> ¥DEPT	6
	DIMENSION PROPS(MMATS,8), DEPTH(26)	DEPT	7
С		DEPT	8
С		DEPT	9
	NLAY1=NLAYR+1	DEPT	10
	ALAYR=NLAYR	DEPT	11
	THICK=PROPS(LPROP, 3)	DEPT	12
	CONS1=THICK/ALAYR	DEPT	13
	CONS2=-THICK/2.0	DEPT	14
	KOUNT=0	DEPT	15
	DO 10 ILAYR=1,NLAY1	DEPT	- 16
	DEPTH(ILAYR)=CONS2+CONS1*KOUNT	DEPT	17
10	KOUNT=KOUNT+1	DEPT	- 18
	RETURN	DEPT	19
	END	DEPT	20

# 9.6.5 Subroutine LAYMPA

This subroutine evaluates  $\hat{D}_f$  and  $\hat{D}_s$  using the mid-ordinate rule.

```
SUBROUTINE LAYMPA
                         (DEPTH, DFLEF, DSHES, EPSTN, IINCS, KGAUS,
                                                                   LAYR
                                                                         1
                          LPROP, MMATS, MTOTG, NCRIT, NLAYR, PROPS,
                                                                   LAYR
                                                                         2
         STRSG, JFFLE) LAYR
                                                                         3
C######
                                                                         4
С
                                                                         5
                                                                   LAYR
C*** CALCULATES THE D-MATRIX INTEGRATED OVER
                                                                         6
                                                                   LAYR
C### THE DEPTH
                                                                         7
                                                                   LAYR
С
                                                                         8
                                                                   LAYR
9
     DIMENSION AVECT(3), DEPTH(26), DEVIA(4), DFLEF(3,3),
                                                                   LAYR
                                                                        10
              DPLAN(3,3), DVECT(3),
                                                                  LAYR
                                                                        11
    ,
              DSHER(2,2), DSHES(2,2), EPSTN(MTOTG), PROPS(MMATS,8),
                                                                   LAYR
                                                                        12
    ,
              SGTOT(5), STRSG(5, MTOTG)
                                                                        13
                                                                   LAYR
    ,
С
                                                                  LAYR
                                                                        14
С
                                                                        15
                                                                  LAYR
     IF(JFFLE.EQ.0) GO TO 100
                                                                        16
                                                                   LAYR
     HARDS=PROPS(LPROP,7)
                                                                        17
                                                                   LAYR
С
                                                                        18
                                                                   LAYR
C*** ZERO D MATRIX FOR FLEXURE
                                                                        19
                                                                   LAYR
С
                                                                   LAYR
                                                                        20
     DO 20 ISTRE=1,3
                                                                   LAYR
                                                                        21
     DO 20 JSTRE=1,3
                                                                   LAYR
                                                                        22
  20 DFLEF(ISTRE, JSTRE)=0.0
                                                                   LAYR
                                                                        23
С
                                                                        24
                                                                   LAYR
C*** LOOP AROUND LAYERS
                                                                        25
                                                                   LAYR
С
                                                                   LAYR
                                                                        26
```

		TAVD	27
	DO 30 ILAIREI,NLAIR KGAUS-KGAUS-1	LAIR	28
		LAYR	20
c	OLAIN-ILAIN+I	LAYR	30
C###	EVALUATE 7-COORDINATES FOR CURRENT LAYER	LAYR	31
č		LAYR	32
U I	DEPT1-DEPTH(TLAYR)	LAYR	33
	DEPT2=DEPTH(JLAYR)	LAYR	34
	CONS3=(DEPT2+DEPT1)*(DEPT2**2-DEPT1**2)/4.0	LAYR	35
С		LAYR	36
C***	EVALUATE ELASTO-PLASTIC D MATRIX FOR CURRENT LAYER	LAYR	37
С		LAYR	38
	CALL MDMPA(DPLAN, DSHER, LPROP, MMATS, PROPS, 1, 0)	LAYR	-39
	IF(IINCS.EQ.1)GO TO 40	LAYR	40
	IF(EPSTN(KGAUS).EQ.0.0)GO TO 40	LAYR	41
	DO 50 ISTRE=1,5	LAYR	42
50	) SGTOT(ISTRE)=STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)		43
	CALL INVMP(DEVIA, NCRIT, SINT3, STEFF, SGTOI, THEIA, VARJ2, YIELD)	LAIR	44
	CALL FLOWMP(ABETA, AVECT, DEVIA, DPLAN, DVECT, HARDS, NURII, SINI3,		45
	, DIEFF, INDIA, VARUZ)		40
	DU OU ISIREEI, $3$		<u>п</u> В
6(	DU OU JEIREI,) N DPLAN(ISTRE ISTRE)-DPLAN(ISTRE ISTRE)_ARETA*DVFCT(ISTRE)*	LAYR	70
0.	DVECT(ISTRE)	LAYR	50
Цr	CONTINIE	LAYR	51
c		LAYR	52
C#**	SUM D MATRIX OVER ELEMENT DEPTH	LAYR	53
ċ		LAYR	54
	DO 70 ISTRE=1.3	LAYR	55
	DO 70 JSTRE=1.3	LAYR	56
70	D DFLEF(ISTRE, JSTRE)=DFLEF(ISTRE, JSTRE)+CONS3*DPLAN(ISTRE, JSTRE)	LAYR	-57
30	D CONTINUE	LAYR	58
	GO TO 200	LAYR	59
С		LAYR	60
C***	ZERO D MATRIX FOR SHEAR	LAYR	61
C		LAYR	62
100	DO 80 ISTRE=1,2	LAIR	03
0,	DU 80 JSTRE=1,2		04 65
r <sup>ol</sup>	J DSHES(ISTRE,JSTRE)=0.0		- 07 - 66
C###	FUNITIATE ELASTIC D. MATRIX	LAYR	67
č	LANDALC ELASTIC D PAIRIA	LAYR	68
-	CALL MDMPA(DPLAN_DSHER_LPROP_MMATS, PROPS, 0, 1)	LAYR	69
С		LAYR	70
C###	LOOP AROUND LAYERS	LAYR	71
С	,	LAYR	72
	DO 90 ILAYR=1,NLAYR	LAYR	-73
~	JLAYR=ILAYR+1	LAYR	74
C	<b>B1</b>	LAYR	- 75
0	EVALUATE Z-COORDINATES FOR CURRENT LAYER	LAYR	76
6		LAYK	-77
	DEPTO DEPTU(U AVD)		70
	CONSULDEDTO DEDT1		۲) مع
С		LAYR	81
C≚¥¥	SUM D MATRIX OVER ELEMENT DEPTH	LAYR	82
C		LAYR	83
	DO 110 ISTRE=1,2	LAYR	84
	DO 110 JSTRE=1,2	LAYR	85
11	<b>DSHES</b> (ISTRE, JSTRE)=DSHES(ISTRE, JSTRE)+CONS4*DSHER(ISTRE, JSTRE)	LAYR	86
9	U CONTINUE	LAYR	87
20	U CONTINUE	LAYR	88
		LAYR	89
		LAIK	- 90

- LAYR 10 If JFFLE is zero  $D_f$  is not evaluated. If it is one  $D_s$  is not evaluated.
- LAYR 15–17 Initializes  $D_f'$ .
- LAYR 21 Starts the summation loop to form DFLEF, i.e.

$$\hat{D}_f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{4} (z_{i+1} + z_i) (z_{i+1}^2 - z_i^2) D_f'.$$

- LAYR 22 Increases the counter for Gauss points in each layer by 1. It is needed to use the effective plastic strain (EPSTN) stresses (STRSG) calculated in RESMPA.
- LAYR 27-29 Forms  $\frac{1}{4}(z_{i+1}+z_i)(z_{i+1}^2-z_i^2)$ .
- LAYR 33-45 Calls MDMPA to get DPLAN and  $D_{ep}'$  is formed using INVMP and FLOWMP.
- LAYR 49-51 DFLEF is formed.
- LAYR 57-59 DSHES is initialised.
- LAYR 63 Calls MDMPA to form DSHER.
- LAYR 67-74 Starts the summation loop and the integrating constant for DSHES is evaluated, i.e.

$$\hat{D}_s = \sum_{i=1}^n (z_{i+1}-z_i) D_s.$$

LAYR 78-81 DSHES is formed.

#### 9.6.6 Subroutine MDMPA

This subroutine evaluates  $D_{f}$  and  $D_{s}$ .

	SUBROUTINE	MDMPA	(1	DPLAN,	DSHER, LPRO	P,MMAT	CS, PROPS,		MODL	1
	•			IFPLA,	IFSHE)				MODL	2
C#***	**********	******	*****	*****	*********	*****	*********	***********	€MODL	- 3
Ċ									MODL	Ц
C#**	CALCULATES I	MATRIX	OF EL	ASTIC	RIGIDITIES	FOR E	EACH LAYER		MODL	- 5
C#*#	OF MINDLIN	PLATE							MODL	6
č									MODL	- 7
C#*#	*********	*****	*****	*****	**********	*****	********	*********	MODL	- 8
-	DIMENSION 1		2.3).D	SHERC	2.21.				MODL	- 9
	-	PROPS	MATS.	8)	_,_,,				MODL	10
	YOUNG-PROP	S(L PROF	P.1)	~,					MODL	11
	POTSS-PROP	SLIPRO	22						MODL	12
	THICK-PROP	S(LPROI	2						MODL	-13
C###	FORM DDI AN	D/LI IIOI	, , , ,						MODL	14
v	TE(TEPLA F	0 0) G	ר מדר ו	٥					MODL	15
	DO 1 TROWS	-1.3	, 10 ,	Ŭ					MODL	16
		-12							MODL	17
	1 DPI AN(TROW		<u>م م-</u> د						MODL	- 18
	CONST-YOUN	G/(1 A.	POISS	*POTS	(2				MODL	19
	DPLAN(1 1)	-001 CT	-, 0100	1010	0,				MODL	20
									MODL	21
	DPLAN(1,2)	-CONST	POTSS						MODL	, 22

DPLAN(2,1)=CONST*POISS	MODL	23
DPLAN(3,3)=CONST*(1.0-POISS)/2.0	MODL	24
C*** FORM DSHER	MODL	25
10 IF(IFSHE.EQ.O) RETURN	MODL	26
DO 3 IROWS=1,2	MODL.	27
DO 3 JCOLS=1,2	MODL	28
3 DSHER(IROWS, JCOLS)=0.0	MODL	29
DSHER(1,1)=YOUNG/(2.4+2.4*POISS)	MODL	30
DSHER(2,2)=YOUNG/(2.4+2.4*POISS)	MODL	31
RETURN	MODL	32
END	MODL	33

#### 9.6.7 Subroutine OUTMPA

This subroutine outputs nodal displacements and reactions and also the Gauss point stress resultants and the stresses within each layer. It is very similar to subroutine OUTMP which was described in Section 9.5.7. Statements OUTP 1-3 are replaced by OUTL 1-3 and statements OUTP 56-66 are replaced by statements OUTL 56-67.

		SUBROUTINE OUTMPA	(EPSTN, IITER, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NELEM, NGAUS, NLAPS, NOFIX, NOUTP, NPOIN, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TREAC)	OUTL OUTL OUTL	1 2 3
C#	***	***************	***************************************	**OUTL	4
С				OUTL	5
C*	÷.	OUTPUT DISPLACEMENTS	, REACTIONS AND GAUSS POINT STRESSES	OUTL	б
C*	¥#	IN EACH LAYER FOR EP	' MINDLIN PLATE ANALYSIS	QUTL	- 7
C				OUTL	8
C#	***	******************	***************************************	**OUTL	9
		DIMENSION EPSTN(MTOT	G),GPCOD(2,9),NOFIX(MVFIX),NOUTP(2),	OUTL	10
		. STRSG(5,MI	OTG),TDISP(MTOTV),TREAC(MVFIX,3)	OUTL	11
		KOUTP=NOUTP(1)		OUTL	12
		IF(IITER.GT.1) KOUTF	P=NOUTP(2)	OUTL	13
C.				OUTL	14
C3	** (	DUTPUT DISPLACEMENTS		OUTL	- 15
C				OUTL	16
		IF(KOUTP.LT.1) GO TO	0 10	OUTL	17
		WRITE(6,900)		OUTL	18
	900	FORMAT(1H0,5X,13HDIS	SPLACEMENTS)	OUTL	19
	~	WRITE(6,950)		OUTL	20
	950	FORMAT(1H0,6X,4HNODE DO 20 IPOIN=1,NPOIN	C,6X,5HDISP.,8X,7HXZ_ROT.,7X,7HYZ_ROT.)	OUTL OUTL	21 22
		NGASH=IPOIN*3		OUTL	23
		NGISH=NGASH-3+1		OUTL	24
	20	WRITE(6,910) IPOIN,(	TDISP(IGASH), IGASH=NGISH, NGASH)	OUTL	25
	910	FORMAT(I10,3E14.6)		OUTL	26
~	10	CONTINUE		OUTL	27
C a				OUTL	28
C1	188 (	DUTPUT REACTIONS		OUTL	29
C				OUTL	- 30
		LF(KOUTP.LT.2) GO TO	) 30	OUTL	31
		WRITE(6,920)		OUTL	32
	920	FORMAT(1H0,5X,9HREAC	TIONS)	OUTL	- 33
	060	WRITE(6,960)		OUTL	-34
	900	FORMAT(1H0,6X,4HNODE	C,6X,5HFORCE,3X,9HXZ-MOMENT,5X,9HYZ-MOMENT)	OUTL	35
	IIA	DO 40 IVFIX=1,NVFIX		OUTL	36
	40	WALLE(0,910) NOFIX(1	VFIX),(TREAC(IVFIX,IDOFN),IDOFN=1,3)	OUTL	_ 37
c	30	CONTINUE		OUTL	- 38
Či	<b>:*</b> *	OUTPUT STRESSES		OUTL	39 40

363

С			OUTL	41
		IF(KOUTP.LT.3) GO TO 50	OUTL	42
		REWIND 3	OUTL	43
		WRITE(6,970)	OUTL	-44
	970	FORMAT(1H0,5X,8HSTRESSES)	OUTL	45
		WRITE(6,980)	OUTL	46
	980	FORMAT(1H0,4HG.P.,2X,8HX-COORD.,2X,8HY-COORD.,3X,8HX-MOMENT,4X,	OUTL	-47
		.8HY_MOMENT, 3X, 9HXY_MOMENT, 3X,	OUTL	-48
		.13HEFF.PL.STRAIN)	OUTL	-49
		KGAUS=0	OUTL	-50
		DO 60 IELEM=1, NELEM	OUTL	51
		READ(3)GPCOD	OUTL	52
		KELGS=0	OUTL	-53
		WRITE(6,930)IELEM	OUTL	-54
	930	FORMAT(1H0,5X,13HELEMENT NO. =,15)	OUTL	55
		DO 60 IGAUS=1, NGAUS	OUTL	56
		DO 60 JGAUS=1, NGAUS	OUTL	57
		KELGS=KELGS+1	OUTL	-58
		DO 60 ILAYR=1, NLAPS	OUTL	-59
			OUTL	60
		WRITE(6,940)KELGS, (GPCOD(IDIME, KELGS), IDIME=1,2),	OUTL	61
	ດແດ່	(STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS),ISTRE=1,3),EPSTN(KGAUS)	OUTL	62
	50	$r_{ONTTAUL}$	OUTL	63
	00		OUTL	64
	50		OUTL	65
			OUTL	66
		LNU	OUTL	67

# 9.6.8 Subroutine RESMPA

This routine evaluates the residual forces for the layered Mindlin plate. It is very similar to RESMP described in Section 9.5.10.

	SUBROUTINE RESMPA	(ASDIS, COORD, EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, LNODS,	RESL	1
	•	MATNO, MELEM, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, MTOTV,	RESL	2
	•	NCRIT, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NLAPS,	RESL	3
	•	PROPS, STRSG)	RESL	4
C###4	**************	***************************************	***RESL	5
С			RESL	6
C#**	EVALUATES EQUIVALEN	IT NODAL FORCES FOR THE STRESSES	RESL	- 7
C###	IN LAYERED MINDLIN	PLATES DURING EP ANALYSIS	RESL	- 8
С			RESL	9
C###1	******************	***************************************	***RESL	10
	DIMENSION ASDIS(MTC	TV),AVECT(5),CARTD(2,9),	RESL	11
	COORD(MPC	DIN,2),DERIV(2,9),DESIG(5),DEVIA(4),	RESL	12
	• DEPTH(26)	,DVECT(5),	RESL	-13
	• EFFST(MTC	TG),ELCOD(2,9),	RESL	14
	• ELDIS(3,9	), ELOAD(MELEM, 27), EPSTN(MTOTG), GPCOD(2,9),	RESL	-15
	<ul> <li>LNODS(MÉL</li> </ul>	.EM, 9), MATNO(MELEM), POSGP(4),	RESL	16
	<ul> <li>PROPS(MM/</li> </ul>	TS, 8, $SGTOT(5)$ , $SHAPE(9)$ , $SIMA(5)$ ,	RESL	-17
	• STRES(5),	STRSG(5,MTOTG),TOSPB(5),WEIGP(4),	RESL	-18
	• DPLAN(3,3	),DSHER(2,2),BFLEI(3,3),BSHEI(2,3),	RESL	- 19
	. DUMMY(3,3	),FORCE(3),DGRAD(6)	RESL	20
	NTIME=1		RESL	21
	DO 10 IELEM=1, NELEM	ſ	RESL	22
	DO 10 IEVAB=1, NEVAE	l de la construcción de	RESL	23
10	) ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=	:0.0	RESL	24
	KGAUS=0		RESL	25
	LUAUS=0		RESL	26
	DO 20 IELEM=1,NELEM		RESL	27
	LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)		RESL	-28

C C*** C	COMPUTE ( ELEMENT )	COORDINATE AN NODAL POINTS	D INCREMENTAL	DISPLACEMENTS OF THE	RESL 29 RESL 30 RESL 31
С	DO 190 LNODE=I NPOSN=(1 DO 30 II	INODE =1,NNOD ABS(LNODS(IEL LNODE-1)*3 DOFN=1,3	E EM,INODE))		RESL 32 RESL 33 RESL 34 RESL 35 RESL 36 RESL 36
30	NPUSNEN ELDIS(I) DO 180	PUSN+1 DOFN,INODE)=A IDIME=1 2	SDIS(NPOSN)		RESL 37 RESL 38 RESL 30
180	ELCOD(I)	DIME, INODE) =C	OORD(LNODE,ID	IME)	RESL 40 RESL 41
. )0	KGASP=0 CALL DE	└ PMPA(DEPTH.LP	ROP.MMATS.NLA	PS.PROPS)	RESL 42 RESL 43
	CALL	MDMPA	(DPLAN,DSHER, 1, 1)	LPROP, MMATS, PROPS,	RESL 44 RESL 45
	CALL GA DO 40 I DO 40 J	USSQ (NG GAUS=1,NGAUS GAUS=1,NGAUS	AUS,POŚGP,WEI	GP)	RESL 46 RESL 47 RESL 48
	EXISP=P ETASP=P	OSGP(IGAUS) OSGP(JGAUS)	(DERTH FTASE	EVICE NAME CHARE)	RESL 49 RESL 50 RESL 51
	KGASP=K	GASP+1 JACOB2	(CARTD DERIV	DUACE FLOOD GPCOD TELEM	RESL 52 RESL 53
	DAREA-D	JACB*WEIGP(IG	KGASP, NNODE	,SHAPE) AUS)	RESL 54 RESL 55
400	DO 400 TOSPB(I DO 410	ISTRE=1,3 STRE)=0.0 ILAYR=1.NLAPS			RESL 56 RESL 57 RESL 58
	BRING=1 KGAUS=K JLAYR=T	.0 GAUS+1 LAYR+1			RESL 59 RESL 60 RESL 61
	DEPT1=D DEPT2=D CONST-0	EPTH(ILAYR) EPTH(JLAYR) .5*(DEPT2+DEF	YT1)		RESL 62 RESL 63 RESL 64
	CALL CALL	GRADMP STRMPA	(CARTD, DGRAD, (CARTD, CONST, NNODE, SHAPE,	ELDIS, 3,NNODE) DPLAN,DGRAD,DSHER,ELDIS, STRES, 1, 0)	RESL 65 RESL 66 RESL 66
	PREYS=P DO 150	ROPS(LPROP,6) ISTRE=1,3	+EPSTN(KGAUS)	*PROPS(LPROP,7)	RESL 68 RESL 69
150	DESIG(I ) SIGMA(I CALL	STRE)=STRES(I STRE)=STRSG(I INVMP	STRE) STRE,KGAUS)+S (DEVIA,NCRIT	TRES(ISTRE) ,SINT3,STEFF,SIGMA,THETA,	RESL 70 RESL 71 RESL 72
	ESPRE=E IF(ESPR	FFST(KGAUS)-F E.GE.0.0) GO	VARJ2,YIELD REYS TO 50	)	RESL 73 RESL 74 RESL 75
	ESCUR=Y IF(ESCU RFACT=E	IELD-PREYS R.LE.O.O) GO SCUR/(YIELD-F	TO 60 FFST(KGAUS))		RESL 76 RESL 77 RESL 78
50	GO TO 7 ESCUR=Y IF(ESCU	0 IELD-EFFST(KC R.LE.O.O) GO	AUS) TO 60		RESL 79 RESL 80 RESL 81
70	RFACT=1 MSTEP=E ASTEP=M	.0 SCUR*8.0/PROF STEP	S(LPROP,6)+1.	0	RESL 82 RESL 83 RESL 84
	REDUC=1 DO 80 I	.0-RFACT STRE=1,3	STDE KCAUCA D		RESL 85 RESL 86
80	) STRES(I DO 90 T	STRE)=STRSU(1 STRE)=RFACT*S STEP=1.MSTEP	TRES(ISTRE)/A	EDUCTSTRES(ISTRE) STEP	RESL 87 RESL 88
	CALL I	INVMP	(DEVIA,NCRIT VARJ2,YIELD	,SINT3,STEFF,SGTOT,THETA,	RESL 90 RESL 90 RESL 91
	HARDS=P CALL	ROPS(LPROP,7) FLOWMP	(ABETA, AVECT	,DEVIA,DPLAN,DVECT,HARDS,	RESL 92 RESL 93

ACASH=0.0 RESL 95 DO 100 JISTRE=1,3 RESL 95 IT (DLAMD_LT_0.0) DLAMD=0.0 RESL 95 DLAMD_ACASH+AARETA RESL 100 DLAMD_LT_0.0) DLAMD=0.0 RESL 100 RESL 100 DLAMD_LT_0.0) DLAMD=0.0 RESL 100 DLAMD_ACASH+AARETA RESL 15TRE) -DLAMD+DVECT(ISTRE) RESL 101 DLAMD_ACKAUS_JEPSTNKCGUISHE)-STRESG(ISTRE)-DLAMD+DVECT(ISTRE) RESL 103 DLAMD_ACKAUS_JEPSTNKCGUISHE)-STRESG(ISTRE,KGAUS) RESL 106 CLALL INVMP (DEVIA,NCRIT,SINT3,STEFF,SGTOT,THETA, RESL 107 IT (VIELD.CT.CURTS) BRING-CURTSYTIELD RESL 100 CLAYS_PROPS(LPROP,6)_EPSTNKCGUISHEPPOPS(LPROP,7) RESL 106 CLAYS_PROPS(LPROP,6)_EPSTNKCGUISHEP, SCHOT(ISTRE) RESL 104 DC 100 IJ 0J STRE:-1,3 SCTOT(ISTRE,JSSCTOT(ISTRE) SCHOT(ISTRE) RESL 105 IT (VIELD.CT.CURTS) BRING-CURTSYTIELD RESL 110 CONTINUE DETTIFF2)/2.0 RESL 110 DLAUD ISTRE:-1,3 RESL 111 CONTACUET2**COMPTI**2)/2.0 RESL 112 RESL 113 DD 440 ISTRE:-1,3 440 OSTPHCISTRE)-IOSPB(ISTRE)+SCTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA RESL 117 CC** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 121 CC** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 122 CC ELLEVENT NODES CLALL DAMOPED (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INODE,SHAPE, RESL 123 DO 140 INDDE:_1NNODE CALL DAMOPED (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INDDE,SHAPE, RESL 123 DO 135 IDORN=2,3 IF OOKCE(2) FORCE(1) (PSTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SCTOT(3))*DAREA +FORCE(2) FORCE(2) (BFLEIT(1,2)*SCTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SCTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 133 DO 135 IDORN=2,3 IF OOKCE(2) (MCAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) RESL 136 C*** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION RESL 137 C*** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION RESL 137 C*** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION RESL 137 C*** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION RESL 143 DO 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUM DO 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUM DC 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUM RESL 135 CALL JACOEX (CARTD,DERLY,DA		•	NCRIT, SINT3, STEFF, THETA, VARJ2)	RESL	94
D. DU DU STRES, 13         RESL 99           DLAND-AGASH-#ARETA         RESL 99           DLAND-AGASH-#ARETA         RESL 99           DI OLAND-AGASH-#ARETA         RESL 99           DO LAND-AGASH-#ARETA         RESL 99           DO LAND-AGASH-#ARETA         RESL 100           DO LIO STRE-1,3         RESL 100           CALL         INVMP (DEVIA, NCRIT, SINT3, STEFF, SGTOT, THETA, RESL 100           CALL         INVMP (DEVIA, NCRIT, SINT3, STEFF, SGTOT, THETA, RESL 100           DI LO T, CURTS-PROPS(LEROP, 6) -EPSTNK(KGAUS) +PROPS(LEROP, 7)         RESL 100           IF (YILEN)- GRUENTS YTELD         RESL 111           STRESCI STRE, NGAUS) -SOTOT (ISTRE)         RESL 111           STRESCI STRE, NGAUS) -SOTOT (ISTRE) + SOTOT (ISTRE)         RESL 111           STRESCI STRE, NGAUS) -SOTOT (ISTRE) + SOTOT (ISTRE) + SOTO		AGASH=0.0		RESL	95
100     DAADAAGASHABETA     RESL 99       110     DAADAAGASHABETA     RESL 99       1110     DEGASH-0.0     RESL 101       110     DEGASH-0.0     RESL 101       110     BOASH-BACSH-AVECT (ISTRE) *SGTOT (ISTRE)     RESL 102       110     DEGASH-SASH-AVECT (ISTRE) *SGTOT (ISTRE)     RESL 102       110     DEGASH-SASH-AVECT (ISTRE) *SGTOT (ISTRE)     RESL 102       120     DESIG (ISTRE) -SGTOT (ISTRE) -STREG (ISTRE, KGAUS)     RESL 100       120     DESIG (ISTRE) -SGTOT (ISTRE) -STREG (ISTRE, KGAUS)     RESL 100       120     DESIG (ISTRE) -SGTOT (ISTRE) -STREG (ISTRE, KGAUS)     RESL 100       121     DEGASHABETA     RESL 101     RESL 101       120     DESIG (ISTRE) -SGTOT (ISTRE) -STREG (ISTRE, KGAUS)     RESL 111       121     DEGASHABETA     RESL 111     RESL 111       122     DEGASHABETA     RESL 111     RESL 111       123     DISTRE 1, 3     RESL 111     RESL 111       124     DESTER 1, 3     RESL 111     RESL 111       125     DEGASHABETA     RESL 111     RESL 111       125	100	ACASH-ACASH.AVECT(IS	TDE\ SCTDES/ TOTDE\	RESL	96
IF(DLAMD_LT.O.G)DLAMD=0.0RESL 39BGASH=0.0RESL 100DO 110 ISTRE=1,3RESL 100BGASH=SGASH=AVECT(ISTRE)*SGTOT(ISTRE)*SGTOT(ISTRE)RESL 10290 EPSTN(KGAUS)=EPSTN(KGAUS)+DLAMD*BCASH/YIELDRESL 104DD 120 ISTRE=1,3RESL 104100 CIGU ISTRE]=SGTOT(ISTRE)-STRS(ISTRE)-GLAMD*DVECT(ISTRE)RESL 105120 DESIG(ISTRE)=SGTOT(ISTRE)-STRS(ISTRE, KGAUS)RESL 106CALLINVMP(DEVIA, NCRIT, SINTS, STEFF, SGTOT, THETA,VARJ2, VIELD)RESL 106CURYS=PROPS(LPROP,6)=EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7)RESL 106CONTS=FORS(ISTRE)-SGTOT(ISTRE)RESL 106CURYS=PROPS(LPROP,6)=EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7)RESL 11060 D0 130 ISTRE=1,3RESL 110SGTOT(ISTRE)=BRING*(STRSG(ISTRE, KGAUS)+DESIG(ISTRE))RESL 111SGTOT(ISTRE)=BRING*(STRSG)/SCTOT(ISTRE)RESL 111CONSA=(DEPT2**2=DEPT1**2)/2.0RESL 111DO 3TRSG(ISTRE)+SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSARESL 111DO 3U ISTRE=1,3RESL 111DO 3U ISTRE=1,3RESL 112CCALLUNDEC*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THEDO 430 ISTRE=1,3RESL 121CCALLVECTORCCALLNATPBCALLVECTORCCALLCCALLCCALLCCALLCCALLCCALLCCALLCCALLCCALLCCALLCCALL<	100	DLAMD=AGASH#ABETA	INC/"SINES(ISINE)	RESL	- 97
BGASH=0.0 HESL 10 BGASH=0.0 HESL 10 BGASH=0.0SH=AUECT(ISTRE)*SGT0T(ISTRE) HESL 101 BGASH=0.0SH=AUECT(ISTRE)*SGT0T(ISTRE)-DLAMD*DVECT(ISTRE) HESL 101 PD 120 ISTRE)=SGT0T(ISTRE)+STRES(ISTRE)-DLAMD*DVECT(ISTRE) PD 120 ISTRE)=SGT0T(ISTRE)-STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS) HESL 103 CALL INVMP (DEVIA,WCRIT,SINT3,STEF,SGTOT,THETA, HESL 107 VARJ2,VIELD) HESL 103 CURYS=PROPS(LPROP,6)=PESTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7) HESL 109 IF(YIELD,CT.CURYS) BRING=CURYSYYIELD HESL 104 SGT0T(ISTRE)=BRING*(STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)+DESIG(ISTRE)) HESL 112 SGT0T(ISTRE)=BRING*(STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)+DESIG(ISTRE)) HESL 112 SGT0T(ISTRE)=BRING*(STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)+DESIG(ISTRE)) HESL 112 SGT0T(ISTRE)=BRING*(STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)+DESIG(ISTRE)) HESL 112 SGT0T(ISTRE)=BRING*(STRSG(ISTRE)/CONSA HESL 114 OCONSA-DEPT1**2)/2.0 HESL 115 DO 440 ISTRE=1,3 HESL 114 CONTACLEPT2**2-DEPT1**2)/2.0 HESL 115 DO 440 ISTRE=1,3 HESL 115 CC + 4LOBENTI,STRE)=SGT0T(ISTRE)*CONSA HESL 116 CC + 4LOBENT NODES HESL 117 400 COSTICUSTRE)=TOSPB(ISTRE) +SGT0T(ISTRE)*CONSA HESL 116 CC + 4LOBENT NODES HESL 117 400 COSTICUSTRE)=TOSPB(ISTRE) +SGT0T(ISTRE)*CONSA HESL 117 410 CONTINUE C ELDEWIT NODES C ELDEWIT NODES HESL 100 C ELDEWIT NODES HESL 100 C CALL WATEP B(DFLEI,DUMMY,SGTOT(3))*DAREA HESL 120 C FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SGTOT(1)+EFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA HESL 123 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA HESL 133 IFOSN=(INODE=1)*3+1 DO 350 IGENE=1,3 C CALL UZERO (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) HESL 137 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA HESL 133 IFOSN=1POSN+1 C CALL UZERO (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) HESL 142 C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) HESL 144 C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) HESL 142 C CALL GAUSSQ (CART		IF(DLAMD.LT.O.O) DLA	MD=0.0	RESL	90
D0 110 ISTRE-1,3 BGASH-AVECT (ISTRE)*SCTOT (ISTRE) BGASH-BCASH-AVECT (ISTRE)*SCTOT (ISTRE) D0 120 ISTRE-1,3 CALL INVMP (DEVIA,WCHT,SINT3,STEFF,SCTOT,THETA, VARJ2,VIELD) CALL INVMP (DEVIA,WCHT,SINT3,STEFF,SCTOT,THETA, VARJ2,VIELD) CURYS-PROPS(LPROP,6)+EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7) IF(YIELD.CT,CURYS) BRING-CURYS/YIELD CORYS-PROPS(LPROP,6)+EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7) IF(YIELD.CT,CURYS) BRING-CURYS/YIELD CORYS-PROPS(LPROP,6)+EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7) IF(YIELD.CT,CURYS) BRING-CURYS/YIELD CORYS-PROPS(LPROP,6)+EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7) IF(YIELD.CT,CURYS) BRING-CURYS/YIELD CORYS-PROPS(LPROP,6)+EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7) IF(YIELD.CT,CURYS) BRING-CURYS/YIELD CORSA-(DEFT2**2-DEPT1**2)/2.0 D0 130 ISTRE=1,3 D0 140 ISTRE=1,3 CONSA-(DEFT2**2-DEPT1**2)/2.0 CONSA-(DEFT2**2)/2.0 CONSA-(DEFT2**2)/2.0 CONSA-(DEFT2**2)/		BGASH=0.0		RESL	100
BGASH=BGASH=AVECT (ISTRE)*SCTOT (ISTRE) = STRES (ISTRE)-DLAMD*DVECT (ISTRE) = SEL 102 90 EPSTN(KGAUS)=EPSTN(KGAUS)+DLAMD*BGASH/YIELD RESL 103 120 DESIG(ISTRE)=SGTOT(ISTRE)-STRES(ISTRE,KGAUS) RESL 104 CALL INVMP (DEVIA,NCRIT,SINT3,STEFF,SGTOT,THETA, RESL 107 VARJZYIELD) RESL (ISTRE)-SGTOT(ISTRE)-STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS) RESL 106 CURTS=PROPS(LPROP,6)=EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7) RESL 109 IF(YIELD,GT.CURTS) BRING-CURSYYIELD RESL 109 IF(YIELD,GT.CURTS) BRING-CURSYYIELD RESL 111 SGTOT(ISTRE)=BRING*(STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)+DESIG(ISTRE)) RESL 111 SGTOT(ISTRE)=BRING*(STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)+DESIG(ISTRE)) RESL 113 SGTOT(ISTRE)=BRING*(STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)+DESIG(ISTRE)) RESL 113 DG 140 ISTRE=1,3 DG 140 ISTRE=1,3 CC (SGAUS)=BRING*(ISTRE)+SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA RESL 116 DG 040 ISTRE=1,3 CC (LDEMET,13) RESL 120 CC (LDEMET,12)*SCTOT(1)+EFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 120 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SCTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 135 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 135 FORCE(3)=(CALL,1,0CAU,1,0CAU,1,0CAU,1,0CAU,1,0CAU,1,0CAU,1,0CAU,1,0CAU,1,0CAU,1,0CAU,1,0C		DO 110 ISTRE=1,3		RESL	101
110 SGIDI(LSTRE)=SGIDI(LSTRE)=ATRES(LSTRE)=DLAMP*DUECT(ISTRE) 90 EFSTN(KGAUS)=DEPTN(KGAUS)=DLAMP*BGASH/YIELD 120 DESIG(ISTRE)=SGIDI(LSTRE)=STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS) CALL INVMP (DEVIA,NCRIT,SINT3,STEFF,SGIDT,THETA, HESL 107 VARU2,YIELD) CLIYS=PROPS(LPROP,6)+EFSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7) IF(YIELD.GT.CLIYS) BRING=CURYSYIELD CGIVS=PROPS(LPROP,6)+EFSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7) IF(YIELD.GT.CLIYS) BRING=CURYSYIELD SGIDI(ISTRE,F1,3 SGIDI(ISTRE,F1,3) SGIDI(ISTRE,F1,3) SGIDI(ISTRE,F1,3) SGIDI(ISTRE,F1,3) SGIDI(ISTRE,F1,3) SGIDI(ISTRE,F1,3) HEFST(KGAUS)=BRING*YIELD COUSA-CDEPT1**2)/2.0 D0 440 ISTRE=1,3 H40 TOSPH(ISTRE)=TOSPH(ISTRE)+SGITI(ISTRE)*CONSA H40 TOSPH(ISTRE)=TOSPH(ISTRE)+SGITI(ISTRE)*CONSA H40 TOSPH(ISTRE)=TOSPH(ISTRE) C C***CACULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE PO 430 ISTRE=1,3 D0 430 ISTRE=1,3 C C+**CACULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE CALL EMATPH (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INDE,SHAPE, RESL 121 C CALL DHATPH (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INDE,SHAPE, RESL 126 C CALL DHATPH (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INDE,SHAPE, RESL 128 C CALL DHATPH (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INDE,SHAPE, RESL 130 FORCE(3) (BFLEI,J,DUSHY,BSHEI,CARTD,INDE,SHAPE, RESL 136 C CALL DHATPH (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INDE,SHAPE, RESL 137 C CALL JACOSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) C CALL SAUCHAE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION C RESL 137 C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) C CALL SFR2 (DERIV,ETASP,EXISP,NNODE,SHAPE) RESL 141 C CALL JACOBS (CARTD,DERIV,DIACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 14		BGASH=BGASH+AVECT(IS	TRE)*SGTOT(ISTRE)	RESL	102
<pre>yD Print(NSD)=Print(NSDD)=PLCAP(PECASH) TIELD</pre>	110	SGIUT(ISTRE)=SGIUT(I EBSTN(KCAUS)=EBSTN(K	STRE)+STRES(ISTRE)-DLAMD*DVECT(ISTRE)	RESL	103
120 DESIG(ISTRE)=SGTOT(ISTRE)=STRSC(ISTRE,KGAUS) CALL INVMP (DEVIA,NCHIT,SINT3,STEFF,SGTOT,THETA, VARU2,YIELD) CURYS_PROPS(LPROP,6)+EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7) RESL 100 CURYS_PROPS(LPROP,6)+EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7) RESL 112 SGTOT(ISTRE)=BRING=CURYS/YIELD SGTOT(ISTRE)=BRING=CURYS/YIELD SGTOT(ISTRE)=BRING=CURYS/YIELD RESL 113 SGTOT(ISTRE)=BRING=CURYS/YIELD RESL 114 CONSA-CDEPT2#20/2.0 D0 440 ISTRE=1,3 HO CONSTRE:1,3 CONSA-CDEPT2#20/2.0 C RESL 115 CONSA-CDEPT2#20/2.0 C RESL 117 400 CONSTRE:1,3 CONSA-CDEPT2#20/2.0 C RESL 117 400 CONSTRE:1,3 CC*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 122 C C4** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 122 C C4** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 122 C C4LL BMATPB (BFLE],DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INODE,SHAPE, FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SGTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 123 PONS+(INODE-1)*3-1 RESL 123 IPOSN=(INODE-1)*3-1 RESL 131 C ALL VZERO (3,FORCE) CALL VZERO (1,FORCE) FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 123 IPOSN=(INODE-1)*3-1 RESL 133 C CALL GAUSSQ (NCAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) CALL GAUSSQ (NCAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) CALL GAUSSQ (NCAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) CALL GAUSSQ (NCAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) CALL GAUSSQ (NCAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) CALL SFR2 (DERIV,DIACE) RESL 133 C CALL GAUSSQ (NCAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) CALL GAUSSQ (RCAUM, RESL 143 DO 300 IGAUS=1,NGAUM RESL 144 CALL GAUSSQ (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 143 CALL GAUSSQ (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 143 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 143 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 145 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 155 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 155 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 155	90	DO 120 ISTRE=1.3	GRUS / +DLAMD * DGRON/ ITELD	RESL	104
CALL INVMP (DEVIA, NCRIT, SINT3, STEFF, SGTOT, THETA, VAR22XIELD) VAR22XIELD CURYS_PROPS(LPROP, 6) + EFSTN(KGAUS) * PROPS(LPROP, 7) RESL 100 UF(YIELD.GT.CURYS) BRING=CURYS/YIELD RESL 113 SGTOT(ISTRE) = BRING*(STRSC(ISTRE, KGAUS) + DESIG(ISTRE)) RESL 113 SGTOT(ISTRE) = BRING*(STRSC(ISTRE) RESL 113 CONSA=(DEPT2**2-DEPT1**2)/2.0 D 440 ISTRE=1.3 H40 COSPECIENCE) = DOSPS(ISTRE) + SGTOT(ISTRE) * CONSA H40 COSPECIENCE) = DOSPS(ISTRE) + SGTOT(ISTRE) * CONSA H40 COSPECIENCE) = TOSPS(ISTRE) + SGTOT(ISTRE) * CONSA H40 COSPECIENCE) = TOSPS(ISTRE) + SGTOT(ISTRE) * CONSA H40 COSPECIENCE) = TOSPS(ISTRE) + SGTOT(ISTRE) * CONSA H40 COSPECIENCE) = TOSPS(ISTRE) C ELEMENT NODES C ELEMENT ELEMENT C ELEMENT C	120	DESIG(ISTRE)=SGTOT(I	STRE)-STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)	RESL	105
VARUZ VIELDÍ VARUZ VIELDÍ RESL 109 CURYS_PROPS(LPROP, 6) + EPSTN(KGAUS) *PROPS(LPROP, 7) IF (YIELD.GT.CURYS) BRING~(STRSG(ISTRE, KGAUS) + DESIG(ISTRE)) RESL 110 GO DO 130 ISTRE=1,3 EFFST(KGAUS)=BRING*(STRSG(ISTRE, KGAUS) + DESIG(ISTRE)) RESL 112 130 STRSG(ISTRE)=ENIG*(STRSG) * SGTOT(ISTRE) DO 440 ISTRE=1,3 HO CONSA: (DEFT2*#2-DEPT1*2/2.0 DO 440 ISTRE=1,3 HO CONSA (ISTRE)=DSPB(ISTRE) + SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA RESL 115 DO 440 ISTRE=1,3 HO 0 O ISTRE=1,3 HO 0 O ISTRE=1,3 C*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 120 C*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 122 C*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 122 C*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 122 C C4LL BMATTB (BFLEI, OMMY, BSHEI, CARTD, INODE, SHAPE, C4LL BAUSSON (INODE, 1) + BFLEI(1, 2) * SGTOT(2) + BFLEI(3, 3) * SGTOT(3)) * DAREA C4LL (2, 3) * SGTOT(2) + BFLEI(3, 3) * SGTOT(3)) * DAREA C4LL AGUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) C4L CAULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION C4LL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) C4LL GAUSSQ (CARTD, DERIV, DAREA, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, C4LL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DAREA, SHAPE) RESL 140 CALL SFR2 (DERIV, FTASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 144 C4ALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DAREA, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, C4LL JACOB2 (CARTD,		CALL INVMP	(DEVIA.NCRIT.SINT3.STEFF.SGTOT.THETA.	RESL	107
CURYS_PROPS(LPROP,6)_EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7) IF(YIELD.GT.CURYS) BRING=CURYS/YIELD SGTUT(ISTRE)=BRING*(STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)+DESIG(ISTRE)) RESL 111 SGTUT(ISTRE)=BRING*(STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)+DESIG(ISTRE)) RESL 113 SGTUT(ISTRE)=BRING*YIELD DO 440 (STRE=1,3 440 TOSPB(ISTRE)=TOSPB(ISTRE)+SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA 440 TOSPB(ISTRE)=TOSPB(ISTRE)+SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA 440 TOSPB(ISTRE)=TOSPB(ISTRE)+SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA 440 TOSPB(ISTRE)=TOSPB(ISTRE) DO 440 (ISTRE)=TOSPB(ISTRE) 430 SGTOT(ISTRE)=TOSPB(ISTRE) C C C C ELEMENT NODES DO 140 (INODE=1,NNODE C ELEMENT NODES DO 140 (INODE=1,NNODE C CALL VZERO (3,FORCE) C CALL SUSSCOT(1)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 132 IPOSN=(INODE-1)*3+1 C C RESL 132 C C RESL 134 C C RESL 144 C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) C C RESL 144 C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) C C RESL 144 C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) C C RESL 145 C CALL JACOB2 (CARTD,DERIY,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 145 C CALL JACOB2 (CARTD,DER		•	VARJ2, YIELD)	RESL	108
IF(YIELD.GT.CURYS) BRING-CURYS/YIELD         RESL 110           60 D0 30 ISTREL-1,3         RESL 111           SCTDT(ISTRE)-BRING*YIELD         RESL 111           130 STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)=SGTOT(ISTRE)         RESL 111           CONSA-(DEPT2**2-DEFT1**2)/2.0         RESL 111           DO 440 ISTRE-1,3         RESL 111           DO 440 ISTRE-1,3         RESL 111           DO 430 ISTRE-1,3         RESL 111           C         RESL 111           D0 430 ISTRE-1,3         RESL 111           C         RESL 122           CALL         BMATPB		CURYS=PROPS(LPROP,6).	+EPSTN(KGAUS)*PROPS(LPROP,7)	RESL	109
60 D0 130 LSINE=1,3       RESL 111         STRSG(ISTRE, KGAUS)=SCTOT(ISTRE)       RESL 112         130 STRSG(ISTRE, KGAUS)=SCTOT(ISTRE)       RESL 111         140 CONSA=(DEPT2**2=DEPT1**2)/2.0       RESL 111         DO 440 ISTRE=1,3       RESL 111         400 TOSPE(ISTRE)=TOSPE(ISTRE)+SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA       RESL 111         140 TOSPE(ISTRE)=TOSPE(ISTRE)+SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA       RESL 111         140 TOSPE(ISTRE)=TOSPE(ISTRE)       RESL 112         C       RESL 112       RESL 122         C       RESL 122       RESL 122         C       RESL 123       RESL 123         FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*S	6.	IF(YIELD.GT.CURYS) B	RING=CURYS/YIELD	RESL	110
SJOULTSINE/EMDIVESTIGUESTIC, REAL STOULTSINE, REAL STOULTSINE, REAL TO SOULTSINE/EMDIVESTIGUESTIC, REAL STOULTSINE, REAL TO EFFST(KGAUS)=BRING*YIELD CONSAC(DEPT2***=2-DEPT1**2)/2.0 RESL 117 BUD 440 ISTRE=1,3 TO D440 ISTRE=1,3 D0 430 ISTRE=T,3 H10 CONTINUE C ELEMENT NODES (ISTRE) + SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA C ELEMENT NODES C ELEMENT NODES D0 140 INODE=1, NNODE FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 122 C*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 124 C*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE C ELEMENT NODES C C ELEMENT NODES C CALL VZERO (3,FORCE) C CALL VZERO (3,FORCE) FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SGTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA +FORCE(2) FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA +FORCE(2) FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA +FORCE(3) FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 132 DO 135 IDOFN=2,3 13 POSN=FINOSN-1 RESL 134 14 POSN=FINOSN-1 RESL 135 C C C CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION C C RESL 134 C CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION C C RESL 142 C CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION C C RESL 144 C CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION C C RESL 144 C CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION C C RESL 144 DO 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUM P EXISP=POSOF(IGAUS) C C C CALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 144 D C 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUM P EXISP=POSOF(IGAUS) C C C CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DIACE, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 145 C CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DIACE, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 151 C CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DIACE, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 154 C CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DIACE, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*MEIGP(IGAUS) RESL 157 C CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DIACE, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 157 C CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DIACE, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 155	60	DO 130 ISTRE=1,3	CTRC(ICTRC VCAUC), DECIC(ICTRC))	RESL	111
Image: construction of the second state of the sec	130	STRSG(ISTRE/EBRING*()	GTOT(ISTRE)	RESL	112
CONSA-(DEPT2**2-DEPT1**2)/2.0 D0 440 ISTRE=1,3 H0 TOSPE(ISTRE=1,3 H0 TOSPE(ISTRE)=TOSPE(ISTRE)+SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA H30 SGTOT(ISTRE)=TOSPE(ISTRE) H30 SGTOT(ISTRE)=TOSPE(ISTRE) C C C C C C C C C C C C C	00	EFFST(KGAUS)=BRING*Y	IELD	RESL	114
D0 440 ISTRE=1,3 RESL 116 440 TOSPB(ISTRE)=TOSPB(ISTRE)+SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA RESL 117 410 CONTINUE RESL 1,3 RESL 119 430 SGTOT(ISTRE)=TOSPB(ISTRE) RESL 121 C*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 122 C ELEMENT NODES RESL 121 C ELEMENT NODES RESL 122 C ALL VZERO (3,FORCE) RESL 124 FORCE VECTOR RESL 124 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SGTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 127 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 130 FORCE(3)=(BFLEI,2)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 132 I POSN=(INODE=1)*3+1 RESL 133 C C LEMENT NODES A RESL 132 C CALL VZERO RESL 124 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 C FORCE(3)=(BFLEI,2)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 132 I POSN=(INODE=1)*3+1 RESL 135 I POSN=IPOSN+1 RESL 135 C C CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION RESL 136 C C RESL 134 C C RESL 134 C CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION RESL 136 C C RESL 134 C C RESL 134 C C RESL 134 C C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 141 RESL 141 RESL 144 C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 143 C C RESL 144 C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 144 RESL 144 C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 144 C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 144 C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 145 C C RESL 145 C C RESL 145 C C RESL 145 C C RESL 145 RESL 146 RESL 146 RESL 147 RESL 146 RESL 147 RESL 146 RESL 146 RESL 146 RESL 146 RESL 146 RESL 146 RESL 145 RESL 146 RESL 145 RESL 151 C CALL GAUSSQ (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 151 C CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, RAPE) RESL 151 C CALL JACOB2		CONSA=(DEPT2**2-DEPT	1**2)/2.0	RESL	115
440 TOSPB(ISTRE)-TOSPB(ISTRE)+SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA       RESL 117         410 CONTINUE       RESL 118         b0 430 ISTRE=1,3       RESL 119         430 SGTOT(ISTRE)=TOSPB(ISTRE)       RESL 121         C*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE       RESL 122         c*** ZERO FORCE VECTOR       RESL 122         c CALL       VZERO (3,FORCE)       RESL 122         c CALL       VZERO (3,FORCE)       RESL 122         c CALL       VZERO (1,FORCE(2))       RESL 122         c FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SGTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA       RESL 128         c +FORCE(2)       (BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA       RESL 132         i +FORCE(2)       RESL 132       RESL 133         DO 135 IDOFN=2,3       RESL 135       RESL 135         i JDO CONTINUE       RESL 135       RESL 135         135 ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)=ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN)       RESL 133         140 CONTINUE       RESL 139         C *** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION       RESL 141         NGAUM=NGAUS-1       (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP)       RESL 142         C *** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION       RESL 142         C *** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION       RESL 144 <t< td=""><td></td><td>DO 440 ISTRE=1,3</td><td></td><td>RESL</td><td>116</td></t<>		DO 440 ISTRE=1,3		RESL	116
410 CONTINUEHESL 113D0 30 ISTRE=1,3RESL 119CRESL 120CRESL 120CRESL 121C*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THERESL 122C ELEMENT NODESRESL 122C CALL VZERO (3,FORCE)RESL 122C CALL BMATPB (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INODE,SHAPE,RESL 122C CALL BMATPB (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INODE,SHAPE,RESL 122FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SCTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SCTOT(3))*DAREARESL 122FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SCTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SCTOT(3))*DAREARESL 132FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SCTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SCTOT(3))*DAREARESL 131+FORCE(2)RESL 132IPOSN=(INDE-1)*3+1RESL 133D0 135 IDOFN=2,3RESL 134IPOSN=IPOSN+1RESL 134135 ELCAD(IELEM,IPOSN)=ELOAD(IELEM,IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN)RESL 133C*** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATIONRESL 141CRESL 144RESL 141C4LL GAUSSQ (NCAUM,POSCP,WEICP)RESL 145CRESL 144C4LL GAUSSQ (NCAUM,POSCP,WEICP)RESL 145CRESL 144C4LL JACOBS (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM,RESL 149DE XISP=POSCP(IGAUS)RESL 149EXISP=POSCP(IGAUS)RESL 150CALL JACOBS (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM,RESL 155CALL JACOBS (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM,RESL 156CALL JACOBS (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM,RESL 155CALL JACOBS (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM,RESL 155CA	440	TOSPB(ISTRE)=TOSPB(I	STRE)+SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSA	RESL	117
<pre>430 STOT(ISTRE)=TOSPB(ISTRE) C ## CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE C ELEMENT NODES D0 140 INODE: 1,NNODE C ELEMENT NODES D0 140 INODE: 1,NNODE CALL VZERO (3,FORCE) CALL VZERO (3,FORCE) CALL WZERO (3,FORCE) CALL WZERO (3,FORCE) CALL WZERO (3,FORCE) CALL BMATPB (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INODE,SHAPE,</pre>	41Q	CONTINUE DO 120 ISTRE-1 2		RESL	118
C UNDERVISION OF CONTINUE CALL AND ALL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 121 C*** CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE RESL 122 C ELEMENT NODES RESL 124 C*** ZERO FORCE VECTOR RESL 124 C*** ZERO FORCE VECTOR RESL 124 CALL BMATPB (BFLEI, DUMMY, BSHEI, CARTD, INODE, SHAPE, RESL 126 CALL BMATPB (BFLEI, DUMMY, BSHEI, CARTD, INODE, SHAPE, RESL 127 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SGTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 130 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 132 IPOSN=(INODE-1)*3+1 RESL 132 IPOSN=IPOSN+1 RESL 132 135 ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)=ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN) RESL 136 C C RESL 131 C*** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION RESL 140 C MCAUM=NGAUS=1 RESL 132 C ALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 143 C *** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION RESL 142 C *** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION RESL 144 D 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUM RESL 144 C *** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION RESL 144 D 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUM RESL 144 C *** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION RESL 143 C *** CALCULATE SPR2C (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 143 C *** CALL JACOBY (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 149 EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS) RESL 151 C ALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 152 C ALL JACOBY (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 154 C ALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 154 C ALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 155 C ALL JACOBY (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 155 CALL JACOBY (SARE)=0.0 RESL 155 C ALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 155 CALL JACOBY (SARE)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 155 CALL JACOBY (SARE)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 155 CALL JACOBY (SARE)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 155 CALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 155 CALL SFR2 (	430	SGTOT(ISTRE)-TOSPB(I	STRF)	RESI	120
C### CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE C ELEMENT NODES D0 140 INODE:1,NNODE CALL VZERO (3,FORCE) CALL BMATPB (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INODE,SHAPE, CALL BMATPB (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INODE,SHAPE, FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SGTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FESL 133 FOSN=1DOSN=1	c		SINC)	RESL	121
C ELEMENT NODES RESL 123 DO 140 INODE-1,NNODE RESL 124 C### ZERO FORCE VECTOR RESL 126 CALL VZERO (3,FORCE) RESL 126 CALL BMATPB (BELEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INODE,SHAPE, RESL 127 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SGTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 129 FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 130 FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 . +FORCE(3) RESL 134 IPOSN=(INODE-1)*3+1 RESL 135 DO 135 IDOFN=2,3 RESL 134 IPOSN=IPOSN+1 RESL 135 135 ELOAD(IELEM,IPOSN)=ELOAD(IELEM,IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN) RESL 136 140 CONTINUE RESL 137 C C RESL 141 C CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) RESL 141 CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) RESL 144 CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) RESL 144 C RESL 144 CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) RESL 144 C RESL 144 CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) RESL 144 C RESL 144 C RESL 1, NGAUM RESL 144 C RESL 144 C RESL 1, NGAUM RESL 144 C RESL 1, NGAUM RESL 144 C RESL 1, NGAUM RESL 145 C RESL 144 C RESL 1, GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) RESL 144 C RESL 144 C RESL 144 C RESL 144 C RESL 144 C RESL 1, NGAUM RESL 145 C RESL 145 C RESL 1, NGAUM RESL 145 C RESL 146 RESL 147 DO 300 IGAUS=1,NGAUM RESL 145 C RESL 144 DO 300 IGAUS=1,NGAUM RESL 145 C RESL 145 C RESL 146 RESL 146 RESL 147 D 0 300 IGAUS=1,NGAUM RESL 145 C RESL 146 RESL 146 D 300 IGAUS=1,NGAUM RESL 145 C RESL 146 RESL 146 D 300 IGAUS=1,NGAUM RESL 145 C RESL 146 RESL 146 RESL 147 D 0 300 IGAUS=1,NGAUM RESL 145 C RESL 146 RESL 146 RESL 146 C RESL 150 C RESL 1	C***	CALCULATE THE EQUIVAL	ENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE	RESL	122
DO 140 INODE:1,NNODE C*** ZERO FORCE VECTOR CALL VZERO (3,FORCE) CALL WZERO (3,FORCE) CALL BMATPB (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INODE,SHAPE, 	С	ELEMENT NODES		RESL	123
CALL VZERO FORCE VECTOR (3,FORCE) CALL VZERO (3,FORCE) CALL BMATPB (BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INODE,SHAPE, PORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SGTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA +FORCE(2) FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA +FORCE(3) FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA +FORCE(3) FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 POSN=(INODE-1)*3+1 NODE-1)*3+1 RESL 133 DO 135 IDOFN=2,3 IJOSN=1POSN+1 RESL 133 135 ELOAD(IELEM,IPOSN)=ELOAD(IELEM,IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN) RESL 136 CONTINUE CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM,POSCP,WEIGP) CALL SFR2 (DERIV,ETASP,EXISP,NNODE,SHAPE) RESL 140 CALL SFR2 (DERIV,ETASP,EXISP,NNODE,SHAPE) RESL 151 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 152 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, CALL JACOB2 (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS) CALL SFR2=0, CALL GASP=0, RESL 156 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 152 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM, RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 156 DO 610 ISTRE=4,5 C10 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0 CALS CALS CALS CARTS, SHAPE) RESL 156 C10 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0 C10 RESL 157 C10 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0 C10 RESL 150 C10	C###	DO 140 INODE=1,NNODE		RESL	124
CALL BMATPB (BFLEI, DUMMY, BSHEI, CARTD, INODE, SHAPE, C, 1, 0) RESL 120 FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SGTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 129 +FORCE(2) STOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 -FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 DO 135 IDOFN=2,3 RESL 134 IPOSN=IPOSN+1 RESL 134 IPOSN=IPOSN+1 RESL 135 135 ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)=ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN) RESL 136 140 CONTINUE RESL 137 C*** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION RESL 140 C RESL 140 C RESL 144 CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSGP, WEIGP) RESL 143 C *** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION RESL 144 C** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION RESL 145 C MGASP=0 RESL 144 CALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 152 KGASP=NOSGP(IGAUS) RESL 152 KGASP=NOSGP(IGAUS) RESL 152 KGASP=NOSGP(IGAUS) RESL 152 KGASP=NOSGP(IGAUS) RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 157 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 157 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 157 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 157 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 157 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 157 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 154 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 154 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 154 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 157 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 157 C ALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 154 C ALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 15	C	CALL VZERO	(3 FORCE)	RESL	120
Interformed0, 1, 00, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		CALL BMATPB	(BFLET.DUMMY.BSHET.CARTD.INODE.SHAPE.	RESL	127
FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)*SGTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA +FORCE(2) FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 132 IPOSN=(INODE-1)*3+1 RESL 133 DO 135 IDOFN=2,3 IPOSN=IPOSN+1 RESL 135 135 ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)=ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN) RESL 136 140 CONTINUE CALL GUISSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) C**** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION C RESL 140 C*** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION C RESL 144 CALL GUISSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) C RESL 145 C RESL 144 CALL GUISSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 144 CALL GUISSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 144 CALL GUISSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 145 C RESL 144 CALL GUISSQ (CARTD, DERIV, DIACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 150 RESL 150 RESL 150 RESL 150 RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB#WEIGP(IGAUS) *WEIGP(JGAUS) DO 610 ISTRE=4,5 610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0 RESL 158		•	0, 1, 0	RESL	128
<pre>FORCE(2) FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA FORCE(3) FORCE(3)=(BFLEI(2,3)*SGTOT(2)+BFLEI(3,3)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA RESL 131 POSN=(INODE-1)*3+1 RESL 133 DO 135 IDOFN=2,3 IPOSN=(INODE-1)*3+1 RESL 134 IPOSN=IPOSN+1 RESL 137 FORCE(1DOFN) RESL 135 FORCE(1DOFN)=ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN) RESL 135 FORCE(1DOFN)=ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN) RESL 137 40 CONTINUE RESL 137 40 CONTINUE C RESL 138 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C</pre>		FORCE(2)=(BFLEI(1,2)	*SGTOT(1)+BFLEI(3,2)*SGTOT(3))*DAREA	RESL	129
<ul> <li>PORCE(3)=(DFLETC, 5)=SOTOT(2)+DFLET(3, 5)=SOTOT(3))=DAREA</li> <li>FORCE(3)</li> <li>FORCE(3)</li> <li>RESL 132</li> <li>RESL 133</li> <li>DO 135 IDOFN=2,3</li> <li>RESL 134</li> <li>IPOSN=IPOSN+1</li> <li>RESL 135</li> <li>T35 ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)=ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN)</li> <li>RESL 137</li> <li>CONTINUE</li> <li>CONTINUE</li> <li>CALL GAUSSQ</li> <li>(NGAUM REAL NUMERICAL INTEGRATION</li> <li>RESL 143</li> <li>C</li> <li>RESL 144</li> <li>CALL GAUSSQ</li> <li>CALL SFR2</li> <li>CONTINUE</li> <li>CALL SFR2</li> <li>CALL JACOB2</li> <li>CARTD, DERIV, DIACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 150</li> <li>CALL JACOB2</li> <li>CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 153</li> <li>CALL JACOB2</li> <li>CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 155</li> <li>DAREA=DJACB#WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)</li> <li>RESL 157</li> <li>CALL STRE=4,5</li> <li>RESL 153</li> </ul>		• +FORCE(2)		RESL	130
IPOSN=(INODE-1)*3+1RESL 133D0 135 IDOFN=2,3RESL 134IPOSN=IPOSN+1RESL 135135 ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)=ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN)RESL 135140 CONTINUERESL 13740 CONTINUERESL 138CRESL 138CRESL 139C*** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATIONRESL 140CRESL 141NGAUM=NGAUS-1RESL 142CALL GAUSSQ(NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP)CRESL 143CRESL 144C*** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATIONRESL 145CRESL 144D0 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUMRESL 144D0 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUMRESL 144D0 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUMRESL 144DC ALLSFR2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM,CALLSFRE:157CALLJACOB2CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM,CALLJACOB2CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM,CALLJACOB2CALLSFRE:157CALLSFRE:157		- + FORCE(3)	-SGIOI(2)+BrLEI(5,5)-SGIOI(5))-DAREA	RESL	132
DO 135 TDOFN=2,3 IPOSN=IPOSN+1 RESL 134 IPOSN=IPOSN+1 RESL 135 RESL 135 RESL 136 RESL 136 RESL 136 RESL 137 RESL 136 RESL 137 RESL 136 RESL 139 C *** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION C RESL 140 C RESL 141 NGAUM=NGAUS-1 C ALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) C RESL 142 CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) C RESL 144 C RESL 144 C RESL 144 C RESL 144 C RESL 145 C RESL 145 C RESL 146 RESL 146 RESL 146 RESL 147 DO 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUM DO 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUM EXISP=POSCP(IGAUS) ETASP=POSCP(IGAUS) CALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 151 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP=KGASP+1 C RESL 154 KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE) DAREA=DJACB*WEICP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) D 610 ISTRE=4,5 610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0 RESL 158		IPOSN=(INODE-1)*3+1		RESL	133
IPOSN=IPOSN+1RESL 135135 ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)=ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN)RESL 136140 CONTINUERESL 13740 CONTINUERESL 138CRESL 139C**** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATIONRESL 140CRESL 141NGAUM=NGAUS-1RESL 142CALL GAUSSQ(NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP)CRESL 143CRESL 144CALL GAUSSQ(NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP)CRESL 144CRESL 145CRESL 144CRESL 144CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 146CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 146KGASP=0RESL 147D0 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUMRESL 148D0 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUMRESL 149EXISP=POSCP(IGAUS)RESL 150ETASP=POSCP(JGAUS)RESL 151CALLSFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL 152KGASP=KGASP+1RESL 152CALLJACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM,.KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL 155DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)RESL 156D0 610 ISTRE=4,5RESL 156610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0RESL 158		DO 135 IDOFN=2,3		RESL	134
135ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)=ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN)RESL 136140CONTINUERESL 13740CONTINUERESL 138CRESL 138CRESL 140CRESL 141NGAUM=NGAUS=1RESL 141CALL GAUSSQ(NGAUM, POSGP, WEIGP)RESL 143CRESL 144C***ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATIONRESL 145CRESL 146RESL 146KGASP=0RESL 147DO 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUMRESL 148DO 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUMRESL 149EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)RESL 149EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)RESL 151CALLSFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL 152KGASP=KGASP+1RESL 154RESL 154CALLJACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL 157610TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0RESL 157		IPOSN=IPOSN+1		RESL	135
140CONTINUERESL 13740CONTINUERESL 138CRESL 139CRESL 140CRESL 140CRESL 141NGAUM=NGAUS=1RESL 142CALL GAUSSQ(NGAUM, POSGP, WEIGP)CRESL 143CRESL 144C***ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATIONCRESL 145CRESL 144C***ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATIONCRESL 144C***ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATIONCRESL 144CRESL 145CRESL 144CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 144CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 145CRESL 150EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)RESL 151CALLSFR2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLCARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM,RESL 153DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)DO 610ISTRE=4,5610TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0	135	ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)=E	LOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN)	RESL	136
C C RESL 130 C RESL 130 C*** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION RESL 140 C RESL 141 CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 143 C C RESL 144 C*** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION RESL 145 C RESL 144 C*** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION RESL 145 C RESL 144 C*** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION RESL 145 C RESL 144 DO 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUM RESL 147 DO 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUM RESL 149 EXISP=POSCP(IGAUS) RESL 149 EXISP=POSCP(IGAUS) RESL 150 ETASP=POSCP(IGAUS) RESL 151 CALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 152 KGASP=KGASP+1 RESL 154 C KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB*WEICP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 155 DO 610 ISTRE=4,5 610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0 RESL 158	140			RESL	128
C**** CALCULATE FORCES ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATIONRESL 140CRESL 141NGAUM=NGAUS=1RESL 142CALL GAUSSQ(NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP)RESL 143CRESL 144C**** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATIONRESL 145CRESL 144RESL 146CRESL 147DO 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUMRESL 148DO 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUMRESL 149EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)RESL 150ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)RESL 151CALLSFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL 152KGASP=KGASP+1RESL 152CALLJACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM,.KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL 155DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)RESL 157610 TOSPB(ISTRE=4,5RESL 158	c <sup>-0</sup>	CONTINUE		RESL	130
C RESL 141 NGAUM=NGAUS=1 CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 142 CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSCP, WEIGP) RESL 144 C**** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION RESL 145 C RESL 146 KGASP=0 RESL 147 DO 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUM RESL 147 DO 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUM RESL 148 DO 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUM RESL 149 EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS) RESL 150 ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS) RESL 150 CALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 151 CALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 152 KGASP=KGASP+1 RESL 154 . KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 154 . KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 156 DO 610 ISTRE=4,5 RESL 157 610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0 RESL 158	C###	CALCULATE FORCES ASSO	CIATED WITH SHEAR DEFORMATION	RESL	140
NGAUM=NGAUS=1 CALL GAUSSQ(NGAUM, POSGP, WEIGP)RESL 142 RESL 143CRESL 144C****ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION CRESL 145 RESL 146CKGASP=0RESL 147 RESL 146DO 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUM DO 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUMRESL 148 RESL 148 DO 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUM EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)RESL 149 RESL 150 RESL 150ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS) CALLGeriv, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL 151 RESL 151 CALLCALLJACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL 153 RESL 155 RESL 155DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) DO 610 ISTRE=4,5RESL 157 RESL 158	С			RESL	141
CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM, POSGP, WEIGP) C C C C KGASP=0 DO 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUM DO 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUM DO 300 JGAUS=1, NGAUM EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS) ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS) CALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) KGASP=KGASP+1 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP=KGASP+1 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE) DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) DO 610 ISTRE=4,5 610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0 RESL 150 RESL 143 RESL 143 RESL 143 RESL 145 RESL 145 RESL 150 RESL 151 RESL 151 RESL 152 RESL 154 RESL 155 RESL 156 RESL 156 RESL 157 RESL 158		NGAUM=NGAUS-1		RESL	142
CRESL 144C*** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATIONRESL 145CRESL 146KGASP=0RESL 147DO 300 IGAUS=1,NGAUMRESL 148DO 300 JGAUS=1,NGAUMRESL 149EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)RESL 150ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)RESL 151CALLSFR2KGASP=KGASP+1RESL 152CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLJACOB2CALLSFR2CALLJACOB2CALLSFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2SFR2	<u> </u>	CALL GAUSSQ (NG.	AUM, POSGP, WEIGP)	RESL	143
C LATER LOOPS FOR AREA NOMERICAL INTEGRATION RESL 143 RESL 144 KGASP=0 RESL 147 DO 300 JGAUS=1,NGAUM RESL 148 DO 300 JGAUS=1,NGAUM RESL 149 EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS) RESL 149 EXISP=POSGP(JGAUS) RESL 150 ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS) RESL 150 CALL SFR2 (DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) RESL 151 CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, RESL 154 KGASP=KGASP+1 RESL 155 DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 156 D0 610 ISTRE=4,5 RESL 157 610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0 RESL 158	נ ∩### ∣	ENTER LOODS FOR AREA		RESL	144
KGASP=0RESL 147DO 300 IGAUS=1,NGAUMRESL 148DO 300 JGAUS=1,NGAUMRESL 149EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)RESL 150ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)RESL 151CALLSFR2(DERIV,ETASP,EXISP,NNODE,SHAPE)RESL 152KGASP=KGASP+1RESL 153CALLJACOB2(CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM,RESL 154DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)RESL 156D0 610 ISTRE=4,5RESL 157610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0RESL 158	č	LATER LOOPS FOR AREA	NUMERICAL INTEGRATION	RESL	146
D0 300 IGAUS=1,NGAUMRESL 148D0 300 JGAUS=1,NGAUMRESL 149EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)RESL 150ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)RESL 151CALLSFR2(DERIV,ETASP,EXISP,NNODE,SHAPE)KGASP=KGASP+1RESL 152CALLJACOB2(CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM,KGASP,NNODE,SHAPE)RESL 154DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)RESL 156D0 610 ISTRE=4,5RESL 157610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0RESL 158		KGASP=0		RESL	147
DO 300 JGAUS=1,NGAUMRESL 149EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)RESL 150ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)RESL 151CALLSFR2(DERIV,ETASP,EXISP,NNODE,SHAPE)RESL 152KGASP=KGASP+1RESL 153RESL 153CALLJACOB2(CARTD,DERIV,DJACB,ELCOD,GPCOD,IELEM,RESL 154.KGASP,NNODE,SHAPE)RESL 155DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)RESL 156D0 610 ISTRE=4,5RESL 157610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0RESL 158		DO 300 IGAUS=1, NGAUM		RESL	148
EATSF=POSGP(IGAUS)RESL 150ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)RESL 151CALLSFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL 152KGASP=KGASP+1RESL 153CALLJACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL 154DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)RESL 156D0 610ISTRE=4,5RESL 157610TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0RESL 158		DO 300 JGAUS=1,NGAUM		RESL	149
CALLSFR2(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL152KGASP=KGASP+1RESL153CALLJACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL154DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)RESL155D0 610ISTRE=4,5RESL157610TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0RESL158		EAISTERUSUR(IGAUS)		RESL	150
KGASP=KGASP+1RESL 153CALLJACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL 154DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)RESL 155D0 610ISTRE=4,5RESL 157610TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0RESL 158		CALL SFR2	(DERIV. ETASP. EXISP. NNODE. SHAPE)	RESL	152
CALLJACOB2(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)RESL 154DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)RESL 155D0 610 ISTRE=4,5RESL 157610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0RESL 158		KGASP=KGASP+1		RESL	153
KGASP,NNODE,SHAPE)RESL 155DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)RESL 156DO 610 ISTRE=4,5RESL 157610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0RESL 158		CALL JACOB2	(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM,	RESL	154
DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS) RESL 156 DO 610 ISTRE=4,5 RESL 157 610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0 RESL 158			KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)	RESL	155
610 TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0 RESL 158		DAKLA=DJACB*WEIGP(IG,	AUS)#WEIGP(JGAUS)	RESL	150
	610	TOSPB(ISTRE)=0.0	*	RESL	158

С				RESL 15	59
C***	LOOP AROU	ND LAYRS		RESL 16	0
С				RESL 16	)1
	DO 600 I	LAYR=1,NLAP	S	RESL 16	2
	LGAUS=LG	AUS+1		RESL 16	×3
	JLAYR=IL	AYR+1		RESL 16	)4
	DEPT1=DE	PTH(ILAYR)		RESL 16	5
	DEPT2=DE	PTH(JLAYR)		RESL 16	6
	CONST=1.	0		RESL 16	)7
	CALL	GRADMP	(CARTD,DGRAD,ELDIS, 3,NNODE)	RESL 16	8
	CALL	STRMPA	(CARTD, CONST, DPLAN, DGRAD, DSHER, ELDIS,	RESL 16	,9
	•		NNODE, SHAPE, STRES, 0, 1)	RESL 17	'0
	DO 310 I	STRE=4,5		RESL 17	1
	SGTOT(IS	TRE)=STRSG(	ISTRE,LGAUS)+STRES(ISTRE)	RESL 17	2
31(	D STRSG(IS	TRE,LGAUS)=	SGTOT(ISTRE)	RESL 17	'3
	CONSB=DE	PT2-DEPT1		RESL 17	74
	DO 620 I	STRE=4,5		RESL 17	75
620	D TOSPB(IS	TRE)=TOSPB(	ISTRE)+SGTOT(ISTRE)*CONSB	RESL 17	/6
600	O CONTINUE			RESL 17	17
	DO 605 I	STRE=4,5		RESL 17	78
60	5 SGTOT(IS	STRE)=TOSPB(	ISTRE)	RESL 17	<u>1</u> 9
С				RESL 18	30
C***	CALCULATE	THE EQUIVA	LENT NODAL FORCES	RESL 18	31
С				RESL 18	32
	DO 320 I	NODE=1,NNOE	)E	RESL 18	33
C***	ZERO FORC	E VECTOR		RESL 1	34
	CALL VZE	RO(3,FORCE)		RESL 18	35
	CALL	BMATPB	(BFLEI, DUMMY, BSHEI, CARTD, INODE, SHAPE,	RESL 18	36
			0, 0, 1)	RESL IC	57 20
	FORCE(1)	=(BSHEI(1,1	)*SGTOT(4)+BSHEI(2,1)*SGTOT(5))*DAREA	RESL 18	38
		+FORCE(1)		RESL 18	39
	FORCE(2)	=(BSHEI(1,2	<pre>//*SGTOT(4))*DAREA+FORCE(2)</pre>	RESE 19	30
	FORCE(3)	=(BSHEI(2,3	<pre>})*SGTOT(5))*DAREA+FORCE(3)</pre>	RESL 19	91
	IPOSN=(1	NODE-1)*3		RESL 19	35
	DO 315 1	DOFN=1,3		RESL TY	13
	IPOSN=IF	POSN+1		RESL 19	94
31	5 ELOAD(IE	LEM,IPOSN):	ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSN)+FORCE(IDOFN)	RESL 19	<u>95</u>
32	U CONTINUE			RESL 19	96 96
30	U CONTINUE			RESL 19	<u>97</u>
2	U CONTINUE			RESL 19	98
	RETURN			RESL 19	99
	END			RESL 20	JO

# 9.6.9 Subroutine STIFMPA

This routine evaluates the stiffness matrices for layered elasto-plastic Mindlin plate elements.

	SUBROUTINE	E STIMPA	(COORD, EPSTN, IINCS, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM,	STFL	1
			MEVAB, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, NCRIT, NELEM,	STFL	2
	•		NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NLAPS, PROPS, STRSG)	STFL	3
C***	*********	********	{*************************************	f*STFL	4
С				STFL	- 5
C***	EVALUATE S	STIFFNESS	MATRICES FOR LAYREED ELASTO-PLASTIC	STFL	- 6
C***	MINDLIN PI	LATE ELEME	ENTS	STFL	- 7
С				STFL	8
C****	*******	********	***************************************	**STFL	9
	DIMENSION	CARTD(2,9	),COORD(MPOIN,2),	STFL	10
-		DERIV(2,9	), DEPTH(26), ELCOD(2,9),	STFL	11
		EPSTN(MTC	TG), ESTIF(27,27), GPCOD(2,9), LNODS(MELEM,9),	STFL	12
		MATNO(MEL	LEM), POSGP(4), PROPS(MMATS, 8), SHAPE(9),	STFL	-13
	•	STRSG(5,N	TTOTG),WEIGP(4),	STFL	14
	•	DFLEX(3,	3),DSHER(2,2),BFLEI(3,3),BFLEJ(3,3),	STFL	- 15

367

	•	BSHEI(2,3)	,BSHEJ(2,3),DUMMY(3,3)	STFL	16
	REWIND 1			STFL STFL	17 18
	KGAUS=0			STFL	19
С	••••			STFL	20
C###	LOOP OVER I	EACH ELEMEN	Ť	STFL	22
C	DO 70 IELI	EM=1.NELEM		STFL	23
	LPROP=MATI	NO(IELEM)		STFL	24
C		IC COODTHA		STFL	25
C###	EVALUATE TH	HE COURDINA	TES OF THE ELEMENT NODAL POINTS	STFL	20
L L	DO 10 INO	DE=1,NNODE		STFL	28
	LNODE=LNOI	DS(IELEM, IN	ODE)	STFL	29
	LNODE=IAB	S(LNODE)		STFL	30 31
10	) ELCOD(IDI)	ME.INODE)=C	OORD(LNODE, IDIME)	STFL	32
C				STFL	33
C###	INITIALIZE	THE ELEMEN	T STIFFNESS MATRIX	STEL	34
Ç	DO 20 TEV	AB-1.NEVAB		STFL	36
	DO 20 JEV	AB=1, NEVAB		STFL	37
20	D ESTIF(IEV	AB,JEVAB)=C		STFL	38
c	CALL DEPM	PA(DEPTH,LF	ROP, MMATS, NLAPS, PROPS)	STFL	39 40
C##¥	EVALUATE P	ART OF STIF	FNESS MATRIX	STFL	41
C	ASSOCIATED	WITH BENDI	NG DEFORMATION	STFL	42
С	KCASD O			STFL	43 ЛЛ
С	KGASP=U			STFL	45
Č***	ENTER LOOP	S FOR AREA	NUMERICAL INTEGRATION	STFL	46
C				STFL	47
C	SET UP CAU	SSTAN THTE	PATTON CONSTANTS	SIFL STFL	40
C	SET OF GRO	SSTAN INIC	INATION CONSTRUIS	STFL	50
	CALL	GAUSSQ	(NGAUS, POSGP, WEIGP)	STFL	51
				STEL	52
	DO 50 IGA	US=1,NGAUS		STEL	54
	KGASP=KGA	SP+1		STFL	55
	EXISP=POS	GP(IGAUS)		STFL	56
c	ETASP=POS	GP(JGAUS)		STFL	57
C###	EVALUATE T	HE SHAPE FL	INCTIONS, FLEMENTAL, AREA, ETC	STFL.	59
č			HOTTOHO, DADA BATTA MARTINE	STFL	60
	CALL	SFR2	(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE)	STFL	61
	CALL	JACOB2	(CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP NNODE SHAPE)	STFL	- 62 - 63
	DAREA=DJA	CB*WEIGP(IC	GAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)	STFL	64
C				STFL	65
()### ()	EVALUATE T	HE B AND DE	3 MATRICES	STFL	60 67
C	CALL LAYM	PA(DEPTH.DE	LEX.DSHER.EPSTN.IINCS.KGAUS.LPROP.	STFL	68
	*	MMATS, MTO	TG, NCRIT, NLAPS, PROPS, STRSG, 1)	STFL	69
C				STFL	70
C	CALCULATE	IME ELEMENT	SITEENESSES	STFL STFL	72
	DO 30 INO	DE=1,NNODE		STFL	73
	CALL	BMATPB	(BFLEI, DUMMY, BSHEI, CARTD, INODE, SHAPE,	STFL	74
	- DO 30 JMO	DE-TNODE NO	0, 1, 0) IODE	1416 1772	() 76
	CALL	BMATPB	(BFLEJ, DUMMY, BSHEJ, CARTD, JNODE, SHAPE,	STFL	77
-	•	0110-10	0, 1, 0)	STFL	78
31	JUCALL	SUBMP	(BFLEI, BFLEJ, DAKEA, DFLEX, ESTIF, INODE, JNODE, 3, 3, 3)	STFL STFL	79 80

_	50 CONTINUE		STFL 81
C C** C	* EVALUATE PART OF STIFF ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAR	FNESS MATRIX DEFORMATION	STFL 83 STFL 84 STFL 85
-	KGASP=0 NGAUM=NGAUS-1		STFL 86 STFL 87
С С** С	* ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA	INTEGRATION	STFL 80 STFL 89 STFL 90
C C** C	* SET UP GAUSSIAN INTEG	RATION CONSTANTS	STFL 91 STFL 92 STFL 93
6	CALL GAUSSQ DO 51 IGAUS=1,NGAUM DO 51 JGAUS=1,NGAUM KGASP=KGASP+1 EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS) ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)	(NGAUM, POSGP, WEIGP)	STFL 94 STFL 95 STFL 96 STFL 97 STFL 98 STFL 98 STFL 99 STFL 100
с С** С	* EVALUATE THE SHAPE FU	NCTIONS, ELEMENTAL AREA, ETC	STFL 100 STFL 101 STFL 102
	CALL SFR2 CALL JACOB2	(DERIV, ETASP, EXISP, NNODE, SHAPE) (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE)	STFL 103 STFL 104 STFL 105
с	DAREA=DJACB*WEIGP(IG	AUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)	STFL 106 STFL 107
C <del>*</del> i C	** EVALUATE THE B AND DB	MATRICES	STFL 108 STFL 109
С	, CALL LAIMPA(DEPIH,DF	LEX, DSHER, EPSIN, IINCS, RGAUS, EPROP, TG, NCRIT, NLAPS, PROPS, STRSG, 0)	STFL 111 STFL 112
C*:	** EVALUATE ELEMENT STIF	FNESSES	STFL 113 STFL 114
	DO 31 INODE=1,NNODE CALL BMATPB	(BFLEI,DUMMY,BSHEI,CARTD,INODE,SHAPE, 0, 0, 1)	STFL 115 STFL 116 STFL 117
	DO 31 JNODE=INODE,NN CALL BMATPB	ODE (BFLEJ, DUMMY, BSHEJ, CARTD, JNODE, SHAPE,	STFL 118 STFL 119 STFL 120
	31 CALL SUBMP	(BSHEI, BSHEJ, DAREA, DSHER, ESTIF, INODE, JNODE, 3, 2, 3)	STFL 121 STFL 122
С	51 CONTINUE		STFL 123 STFL 124
С <b>*</b> С	** CONSTRUCT THE LOWER 1	RIANGLE OF THE STIFFNESS MATRIX	STFL 125 STFL 126
c	DO 60 IEVAB=1,NEVAB DO 60 JEVAB=IEVAB,NE 60 ESTIF(JEVAB,IEVAB)=E	CVAB STIF(IEVAB,JEVAB)	STFL 127 STFL 128 STFL 129 STFL 129
C# C C C C	** STORE THE STIFFNESS N COORDINATES FOR EACH	MATRIX,STRESS MATRIX AND SAMPLING POINT ELEMENT ON DISC FILE	STFL 130 STFL 131 STFL 132 STFL 133 STFL 134
	WRITE(1) ESTIF WRITE(3) GPCOD 70 CONTINUE RETURN END		STFL 135 STFL 136 STFL 137 STFL 138 STFL 139

# 9.6.10 Subroutine STRMPA

This subroutine evaluates the stresses within each layer.

	SUBROUTINE STRMPA (CARTD, CONST, DFLEX, DGRAD, DSHER, ELDIS, NNODE,	STRL	1
	. SHAPE, STRES, IFFLE, IFSHE)	STRL	2
C###!	***************************************	*STRL	3
Ċ		STRL	- 4
C###	EVALUATES STRESSES FOR MINDLIN PLATE	STRL	5
С		STRL	6
C***	***************************************	*STRL	- 7
	<pre>DIMENSION CARTD(2,9),DFLEX(3,3),DGRAD(6),DSHER(2,2),</pre>	STRL	- 8
	ELDIS(3,9), SHAPE(9), STRES(5)	STRL	9
C***	ZERO STRESS VECTOR	STRL	10
	CALL VZERO (5,STRES)	STRL	11
C***	EVALUATE ROTATIONS AT GAUSS POINT , IF NEEDED	STRL	12
	IF(IFSHE.EQ.0) GOTO 50	STRL	13
	XZROT=0.0	STRL	14
	YZROT=0.0	STRL	- 15
	DO 30 INODE=1,NNODE	STRL	16
	XZROT=XZROT+SHAPE(INODE) #ELDIS(2, INODE)	STRL	17
3(	) YZROT=YZROT+SHAPE(INODE) #ELDIS(3, INODE)	STRL	18
C***	EVALUATE BENDING STRESS RESULTANTS	STRL	19
50	IF(IFFLE.EQ.0) GOTO 60	STRL	20
	EFLXX=DGRAD(2)*CONST	STRL	21
	EFLII=DGKAD(0)*CONSI	STRL	- 22
	EFLXY = -(DGRAD(3) + DGRAD(5)) * CONST	STRL	23
	STRES(1)=DFLEX(1,1)*EFLXX+DFLEX(1,2)*EFLYY	STRL	24
		SIRL	25
	SIRES(3)=DFLEX(3,3)*EFLXY	STRL	20
U==== 61	EVALUATE SHEAR STRESS RESULTANTS	STRL	21
0	EQUYY DODD(4) VIDOT	DINC	20
		SIRL	29
	EDNII=EDNAD(4)-IZKUI STDES(A)_DSUED(1 1)#CSUVY	SIKL	<u>3</u> U
	SIRES(4/=VOHER(1,1/*EOHAA STRES(E) DEURD(2,2)#ROHWA		51
	SIRES(S)=DORER(2,2)*ESHII	SIKL	<u>5</u> 2
		SIKL	<u>55</u>
	CINT.	JUIC	- 54

## 9.7 Examples

To test the program, the elasto-plastic analysis of a simply supported plate is performed and 9 noded and Heterosis elements are used. The geometry, material properties of the plate are shown in Fig. 9.6.



Fig. 9.6 Geometry and material properties of simply supported square plate.

371

Typical input for the nonlayered approach is given in Appendix IV together with lineprinter output of results. Figures 9.7 and 9.8 show the load displacement curves for both layered and nonlayered approaches.



Fig. 9.7 Load displacement curves for nonlayered approach.



Fig. 9.8 Load displacement curves for layered approach.



Fig. 9.9 Infinite clamped plate strip under uniform lateral load q.

# 9.8 Problems

9.1 Consider the uniformly loaded, clamped plate shown in Fig. 9.9. Using programs MINDLIN and MINDLAY find the collapse load for the plate which has the following properties:
Election modulus E = 10000.0 Princept's ratio

Elastic modulus E = 10000.0, Poisson's ratio  $\nu = 0.3$ , thickness t = 0.01, length L = 1.00 and yield stress  $\sigma_0 = 1000.0$ . Check your solution using program PLANET.

- 9.2 Use program MINDLIN to find the value of the uniformly distributed load intensity q at which yielding first occurs for rectangular, simply supported plates of aspect ratios 1.0, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 2.0 and 2.2. Assume a thickness/span ratio of 0.05 and locate also the position of first yielding. Compare your results with those of Turvey<sup>(9)</sup> for a Von Mises material.
- 9.3 Modify program MINDLAY to allow for in-plane deformation of the plate mid-plane. Use a displacement pattern of the form

$$u(x, y, z) = u_0(x, y) - z\theta_x(x, y)$$
(9.31)

$$v(x, y, z) = v_0(x, y) - z\theta_y(x, y)$$
(9.32)

in which  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  are the in-plane deflections of the plate mid-plane in the x and y directions respectively.

9.4 Modify programs MINDLIN and MINDLAY to allow for an elastic Winkler foundation of modulus K. The appropriate virtual work term is

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta w \, K \, w \, d\Omega$$

in which  $\delta w$  is the virtual lateral displacement.

- 9.5 Solve the beam problem in Example 5.1 of Chapter 5 using programs MINDLIN and MINDLAY.
- 9.6 Develop a program for the nonlayered elastoplastic analysis of axisymmetric Mindlin plates using 2-node radial finite elements. The

virtual work expression for an annular plate of internal and external radii  $r_0$  and  $r_1$  respectively is given as

$$2\pi \int_{r_0}^{r_1} \left[ -\frac{d(\delta\theta)}{dr} M_r - \frac{\delta\theta}{r} M_\theta + \left(\frac{d(\delta w)}{dr} - \theta\right) Q \right] r \, dr$$
$$-2\pi \int_{r_0}^{r_1} \delta w q \, r \, dr \qquad (9.33)$$

in which the radial bending moment  $M_r = -D[d\theta/dr + v \theta/r]$  the circumferential bending moment  $M_{\theta} = -D[\theta/r + v d\theta/dr]$  the shear force  $Q = [Gt(dw/dr - \theta)]/1.2$ ,  $\theta$  is the normal rotation in the radial rz plane and w is the lateral displacement in the z direction.

#### 9.9 References

- 1. HUGHES, T. J. R. and COHEN, M., The 'Heterosis' finite element for plate bending, *Computers and Structures* 9, 445–450 (1978).
- 2. BHAUMIK, A. K. and HANLEY, J. T., Elasto-plastic plate analysis by finite differences, J. Struct. Div. ASCE, 93, 575 (1967).
- 3. ARMEN, H., PIFKO, A. and LEVINE, H. S., A finite element method for the plastic bending analysis of structures, *Proc. of the Second Conf. on Matrix Methods* in *Struct. Mech., Wright-Patterson Air Force Base*, Dayton, Ohio, 1301-1339 (1968).
- 4. LOPEZ, L. A. and ANG, A. H. I., Flexural analysis of elastic-plastic rectangular plates, *Civil Engineering Studies*, Structural Research Series No. 305, University of Illinois (1966).
- 5. MCNIECE, G. M. and KEMP, K. O., Comparison of finite element and unique limit analysis solutions for certain reinforced concrete slabs. *Proc. Instn. Civ. Engrs.* 43, 629-640 (1969).
- 6. BACKLUND, J., Mixed finite element analysis of elastic and elasto-plastic plates in bending, *Chalmers University of Technology*, *Department of Structural Mechanics*, *Publication* 71: 1, 30, Göteborg (1971).
- WEGMULLER, A. W. and KOSTEM, C. N., Finite element analysis of elastic-plastic plates and eccentrically stiffened plates, *Fritz Engineering Laboratory Report No.* 376A4, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania (1973).
- 8. HINTON, E. and OWEN, D. R. J., *Finite Element Programming*, Academic Press (1977).
- 9. TURVEY, G. J., First yield analysis of laterally loaded, rectangular, Levy plates with unsymmetric, side boundary conditions, *Proc. Instn. Civ. Engrs.*, 65, 199–206 (1978).

# Part III

# Chapter 10 Explicit transient dynamic analysis

Written in collaboration with D. K. Paul and N. Bicanic

#### 10.1 Introduction

Earlier, in Parts I and II, we considered static (or pseudostatic) applications. However, many structures are subjected to time-varying loads such as impulse, blast, impact or earthquake loading. Here in Part III we consider finite element based methods for dealing with such problems.

 $Z_{ij}$ 

Although a form of mode-superposition has been adopted in nonlinear transient dynamic stress analysis,<sup>(1)</sup> it is general practice to use a time stepping procedure. Such direct integration schemes may be broadly classified as either explicit or implicit methods.

In the present chapter, we consider the very popular and easily implemented, explicit, central difference scheme. During each time step, relatively little computational effort is required since no formal matrix factorisation is necessary. Unfortunately, the method is conditionally stable and very small time steps are often needed.

In implicit schemes, a matrix factorisation is required but we can select an unconditionally stable implicit algorithm in which the time step length is governed by considerations of accuracy alone. In Chapter 11 we consider the Newmark family<sup>(2)</sup> of time stepping schemes. We then present a program for nonlinear transient dynamic stress analysis in which we may select any of the following algorithms:

- (i) an implicit solution
- (ii) an explicit solution
- (iii) a combined implicit/explicit solution

The programs in Chapters 10 and 11 deal with plane stress, plane strain and axisymmetric applications using 4, 8 and 9-node, isoparametric quadrilaterals. Geometrically nonlinear behaviour is taken into account using a Total Lagrangian formulation. In Chapter 10 the material behaviour is assumed to be elasto-viscoplastic, whereas an elasto-plastic model is used in Chapter 11. Test examples are presented for both programs.

#### **10.2** Dynamic equilibrium equations

For dynamic equilibrium of a body in motion we can use the Principle of Virtual Work to write the following equations at time station  $t_n$  irrespective of material behaviour

$$\int_{\Omega} [\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_n]^T \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n \, d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} [\delta \boldsymbol{u}_n]^T [\boldsymbol{b}_n - \rho_n \, \boldsymbol{\ddot{u}}_n - c_n \, \boldsymbol{\dot{u}}_n] d\Omega$$
$$- \int_{\Gamma_t} [\delta \boldsymbol{u}_n]^T \, \boldsymbol{t}_n \, d\Gamma = 0 \qquad (10.1)^*$$

where  $\delta u_n$  is the vector of virtual displacements,  $\delta \epsilon_n$  is the vector of associated virtual strains,  $b_n$  is the vector of applied body forces,  $t_n$  is the vector of surface tractions,  $\sigma_n$  is the vector of stresses,  $\rho_n$  is the mass density,  $c_n$  is the damping parameter and a dot refers to differentiation with respect to time. The domain of interest  $\Omega$  has two boundaries:  $\Gamma_t$  on which boundary tractions  $t_n$  are specified and  $\Gamma_u$  on which displacements  $u_n$  are specified. For plane stress, plane strain and axisymmetric problems all of these terms were defined in Chapter 6.

Recall that in Chapter 6 we noted that, for a finite element representation, the displacements and strains and also their virtual counterparts are given by the relationships

$$u_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} N_i[d_i]_n, \qquad \delta u_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} N_i[\delta d_i]_n$$
 (10.2)

$$\epsilon_n = \sum_{i=1}^m B_i[d_i]_n, \qquad \delta \epsilon_n = \sum_{i=1}^m B_i[\delta d_i]_n \qquad (10.3)$$

where at time station  $t_n$  for node i,  $[d_i]_n$  is the vector of nodal displacements,  $[\delta d_i]_n$  is the vector of virtual nodal variables,  $N_i = N_i I_2$  is the matrix of global shape functions and  $B_i$  is the global strain-displacement matrix.<sup>†</sup> The total number of nodes is m.

If (10.2) and (10.3) are substituted into (10.1), and if we note that the resulting equation is true for any set of virtual displacements  $[\delta d]_n$  then we obtain for each node *i* the equations.

<sup>\*</sup> Note that a subscript *n* refers to a quantity sampled at time station  $t_n$  and similarly a subscript n+1 refers to a quantity sampled at time station  $t_n + \Delta t$ .

<sup>†</sup> Here we assume that the strains are linear and hence  $B_i$  is independent of time. Later we show how to cater for nonlinear strains in which  $B_i$  is displacement (and hence time) dependent and it is written as  $[B_i]_n$ .

$$[p_i]_n - [f_{Bi}]_n + [f_{Ii}]_n + [f_{Di}]_n - [f_{Ti}]_n = 0$$
(10.4)

where the internal resisting forces are

$$[\mathbf{p}_i]_n = \int_{\Omega} [\mathbf{B}_i]^T \,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n \, d\Omega, \qquad (10.5)$$

the consistent forces for the applied body forces are

$$[f_{Bi}]_n = \int_{\Omega} [N_i]^T \boldsymbol{b}_n \, d\Omega, \qquad (10.6)$$

...

the inertia forces are

$$[f_{Ii}]_{n} = \int_{\Omega} [N_{i}]^{T} \rho_{n}[N_{1}, N_{2}, ..., N_{m}] d\Omega \begin{bmatrix} [\dot{d}_{1}]_{n} \\ [\ddot{d}_{2}]_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} [M_{ij}]_{n}[\ddot{d}_{j}]_{n}, \begin{bmatrix} [\dot{d}_{m}]_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(10.7)

(N.B.  $[M_{ij}]_n$  is a submatrix of the mass matrix  $M_n$ ) The damping forces are

$$[f_{Di}]_n = \int_{\Omega} [N_i]^T c_n[N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m] d\Omega \begin{bmatrix} [\dot{d}_1] \\ [\dot{d}_2] \\ \vdots \\ [\dot{d}_m] \end{bmatrix}$$
(10.8)  
$$= \sum_{j=1}^m [C_{ij}]_n [\dot{d}_j]_n$$

(N.B.  $[C_{ij}]_n$  is a submatrix of the damping matrix  $C_n$ ) and the consistent forces for the traction boundary forces are

$$[f_{Ti}]_n = \int_{\Gamma_t} [N_i]^T t_n d\Gamma.$$
 (10.9)

If we use C(0) isoparametric finite element representations we can evaluate contributions to (10.4) separately from each element and then assemble them into the appropriate vectors in (10.4). As noted in Chapter 6 the displacements can be expressed in the usual way as

$$[u^{(e)}]_n = \sum_{i=1}^{r^2} N_i^{(e)} [d_i^{(e)}]_n \qquad (10.10)$$

where for local node *i* of element *e*,  $N_i^{(e)} = N_i^{(e)} I_2$  is the local shape function matrix and  $[d_i^{(e)}]_n$  is the vector of nodal displacements. As described in

Chapter 6 we use 4, 8 and 9 noded isoparametric quadrilateral elements and therefore r = 4, 8 and 9 respectively for these cases.

The strain displacement relationships are expressed as

$$[\mathbf{\epsilon}^{(e)}]_n = \sum_{i=1}^r B_i^{(e)}[d_i^{(e)}]_n \qquad (10.11)$$

in which  $B_{i}(e)$  is the local element strain matrix which has been defined for the various applications in Table 6.1.

The discretised elemental volume is given as

$$d\Omega^{(e)} = h^{(e)} \det J^{(e)} d\xi d\eta \tag{10.12}$$

in which det  $J^{(e)}$  is the determinant of the Jacobian matrix and  $h^{(e)}$  is defined in Chapter 6.

Thus the element contributions to the terms in (10.4) may be evaluated using numerical integration based on Gauss-Legendre product rules. These contributions now take the form

$$[p_i^{(e)}]_n = \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} [B_i^{(e)}]^T \sigma_n^{(e)} h^{(e)} \det J^{(e)} d\xi d\eta \qquad (10.13)$$

$$[f_{Bi}^{(e)}]_n = \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} [N_i^{(e)}]^T b_n h^{(e)} \det J^{(e)} d\xi d\eta \qquad (10.14)$$

$$[f_{Ii}^{(e)}]_{n} = \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} [N_{i}^{(e)}]^{T} \rho_{n}^{(e)} [N_{1}^{(e)}, N_{2}^{(e)}, \dots, N_{r}^{(e)}] h^{(e)} \det J^{(e)} d\xi d\eta \begin{bmatrix} [\ddot{d}_{1}^{(e)}]_{n} \\ \vdots \\ [\ddot{d}_{r}^{(e)}]_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{r} [M_{ij}^{(e)}]_{n} [\ddot{d}_{j}^{(e)}]_{n}$$
(10.15)

$$[f_{Di}^{(e)}]_{n} = \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} [N_{i}^{(e)}]^{T} c_{n}^{(e)} [N_{1}^{(e)}, N_{2}^{(e)}, \dots, N_{r}^{(e)}] h^{(e)} \det J^{(e)} d\xi d\eta \begin{bmatrix} [\dot{d}_{1}^{(e)}]_{n} \\ \vdots \\ [\dot{d}_{r}^{(e)}]_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{r} [C_{ij}^{(e)}]_{n} [\dot{d}_{j}^{(e)}]_{n}$$

$$(10.16)$$

$$[f_{Ti}^{(e)}]_{n} = \int_{\Gamma_{e}^{(e)}} [N_{i}^{(e)}]^{T} t_{n}^{(e)} d\Gamma$$
(10.17)

where  $\Gamma_t^{(e)}$  (if it exists) is that part of  $\Gamma_t$  which coincides with the boundary of element domain  $\Omega^{(e)}$ .

We will assume for simplicity that the mass and damping matrices do not vary with time.

#### 10.3 Modelling of nonlinearities

#### 10.3.1 Introduction

Dynamic loading of structures often causes excursions of stresses well into the inelastic range and the influence of geometry changes on the response is also significant in many cases. Therefore both material and geometric nonlinear effects should be considered.

Although material behaviour under dynamic loading is very complex and experimental information is scarce, for most structural materials, some general statements can be made.

For example, it has frequently been demonstrated that the instantaneous yield stress is significantly influenced by the rate of straining. Also, the value of the elasticity modulus  $E_0$  is found to be dependent on the strain rate. For structural materials with limited ductility, such as concrete or rock-like materials, the rate of straining can completely change the material response from elasto-plastic behaviour under low rates to brittle elastic behaviour under high rates of straining. For many structural materials there is still an urgent need for a better understanding of the observed phenomena and underlying microscopic behaviour. However, in attempting to perform an analysis of a dynamically-loaded engineering structure, we must look for an idealized material model, where possibly some compromises have to be made. Furthermore, the model parameters should readily be measurable and easily obtained from reliable experimental data.

For transient dynamic analysis, an elasto-viscoplastic model, as developed in earlier chapters, presents a very good approximation of the true behaviour for many structural materials. The predominant phenomenon of variable instantaneous yield stress is adequately modelled.

In the following, we shall develop the algorithm for the elasto-viscoplastic transient dynamic analysis of plane stress, plane strain and axisymmetric problems. The computer program DYNPAK will be documented and explained and finally, some illustrative examples are given.

#### 10.3.2 Material model

Here we adopt the elasto-viscoplastic material model developed in Chapter 8, where the constitutive relationship is given in the form

$$\dot{\mathbf{\epsilon}}_{n} = [\dot{\mathbf{\epsilon}}_{e}]_{n} + [\dot{\mathbf{\epsilon}}_{vp}]_{n}$$

$$= [\mathbf{D}]^{-1} \, \dot{\mathbf{\sigma}}_{n} + \gamma \langle \Phi_{n}(F) \rangle \frac{\dot{\epsilon}F}{\dot{\epsilon}\sigma_{n}}$$
(10.18)

where D is the elasticity matrix,  $\gamma$  is the fluidity parameter, F is the yield

function and  $\dot{\epsilon}_n$ ,  $[\dot{\epsilon}_e]_n$  and  $[\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}]_n$  denote the total, elastic and viscoplastic strain rates at time station  $t_n$ . We also have the relationships

$$\sigma_n = D[\epsilon_e]_n$$
  

$$\epsilon_n = [\epsilon_e]_n + [\epsilon_{vp}]_n \qquad (10.19)$$

and

$$\langle \Phi_n(F) \rangle = 0$$
 if yield has not occurred.  
= 1 if yield has occurred. (10.20)

Thus we can rewrite the internal resisting forces as

$$\boldsymbol{p}_n = \int_{\Omega} [\boldsymbol{B}]^T \boldsymbol{D} \{ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_n - [\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{vp}]_n \} d\Omega \qquad (10.21)$$

The temporal discretization of the equations which govern viscoplastic straining is also based on the assumption that the relationship

$$[\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{vp}]_n = \gamma \langle \Phi_n(F) \rangle \frac{\partial F}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n}$$
(10.22)

is known only for discrete time stations  $\Delta t$  apart. The simplest, Euler, integration scheme will here be employed, i.e.,

$$[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{vp}]_{n+1} = [\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{vp}]_n + [\boldsymbol{\dot{\epsilon}}_{vp}]_n \Delta t.$$
 (10.23)\*

The stability limit for the time increment  $\Delta t$ , which depends on the specific form of the viscoplastic potential employed in the flow rule, has already been discussed in earlier chapters.

When we adopt the central difference scheme and the viscoplastic material model that we have just described, the algorithm at a particular time station  $t_n$  follows the sequence shown in Fig. 10.1.

#### **10.3.3** Geometric nonlinearity

If we wish to cater for geometrically nonlinear elastic behaviour we can choose either a total or updated Lagrangian coordinate system. Here we choose a total Lagrangian coordinate system which coincides with the initial undeformed position of the body.<sup>(3)</sup>

It transpires that, with the central difference scheme, the only changes required to account for geometrically nonlinear effects are

#### (i) The modification of the strain-displacement matrix $B(d_n)$ ,

and

(ii) The evaluation of the strains using a deformation Jacobian matrix  $J_D(d_n)$ .

\* Note that in dynamic transient analysis, the time interval  $\Delta t$  is here assumed constant; whereas for viscoplastic applications in Chapter 8 it is variable.



Fig. 10.1 Algorithm for elasto viscoplastic straining during a time step.

We will now describe briefly the relevant background theory. All vectors and matrices are given explicitly for the plane stress, plane strain and axisymmetric applications in Table 10.1.

If the initial undeformed position of a particle of material is  $x_0$  and the total displacement vector at time station  $t_n$  is  $u_n$  then the coordinates of the particle are

$$\boldsymbol{x}_n = \boldsymbol{x}_0 + \boldsymbol{u}_n \tag{10.24}$$

In a total Lagrangian formulation we use Green's strains. The matrix of Green's strains is given as

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{n} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ [\boldsymbol{J}_{D}]_{n}^{T} [\boldsymbol{J}_{D}]_{n} - \boldsymbol{I} \right]$$
(10.25)

Variables	Plane stress/strain	Axisymmetric
Coordinates of particle in undeformed initial configuration $x = x_0$	$[x_0, y_0]^T$	$[r_0, z_0]^T$
Displacements $u_n$	$[u_n, v_n]^T$	$[u_n, w_n]^T$
Coordinates of particle in deformed configuration $x_n$	$[x_n, y_n]^T = [x_0 + u_n, y_0 + v_n]^T$	$[r_n, z_n]^T = [r_0 + u_n, z_0 + w_n]$
Vector of Green's strains $\epsilon_n$	$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_x \\ \epsilon_y \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{bmatrix}_n \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x} \right)^2 \\ \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial y} \right)^2 \\ \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_r \\ \epsilon_z \\ \gamma_{rz} \\ \epsilon_0 \end{bmatrix}_n = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial r} \right)^2 \\ \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial z} \right)^2 \\ \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial r} \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial z} \\ \frac{u_n}{r} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{u_n}{r} \right)^2 \end{bmatrix}$
Deformation Jacobian matrix $J_D(u_n) = [J_D]_n$ Matrix of Green's strains $E_n = \frac{1}{2} \{ [J_D]_n^T [J_D]_n - I \}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{xx} & \epsilon_{xy} \\ \epsilon_{yx} & \epsilon_{yy} \end{bmatrix}_n$	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{rr} & \epsilon_{rz} \\ \epsilon_{zr} & \epsilon_{zz} \end{bmatrix}_n$
Linear strains $[\epsilon_L]_n$	$\left[\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial y}, \left(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x}\right)\right]^T$	$\left[\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial r}, \frac{u_n}{r}\right]^T$

 Table 10.1
 Vectors and matrices used in a total Lagrangian formulation

Table 10.1 (Cont.)

Variable	Plane stress/strain	Axisymmetric
Nonlinear strains $[\mathbf{\epsilon}_{NL}]_n = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{A}_{\theta}]_n  \theta_n$ where $[\mathcal{A}_{\theta}]_n$ is	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
and displacement gradients $\boldsymbol{\theta}_n$	$ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x} & 0 & \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x} & 0 & \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial y} \\ 0 & \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} $	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r} & 0 & \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r} & 0 \\ \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial r} & 0 & \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial r} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{u_n}{r} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{u_n}{r} \end{bmatrix}$
Elastic Piola-Kirchoff stresses $\sigma_n = D_n \epsilon_n$	$[\sigma_x, \ \sigma_y, \  au_{xy}] n^T$	$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_r, \sigma_z, \tau_{rz}, \sigma_\theta \end{bmatrix} n^T$

where  $[J_D]_n$  is the deformation Jacobian matrix at time station  $t_n$ .

The Green's strains can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_n = [\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_L]_n + [\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{NL}]_n \tag{10.26}$$

where  $[\epsilon_L]_n$  are the linear strains given earlier in Chapter 6 and  $[\epsilon_{NL}]_n$ , the nonlinear strain terms are given as

$$[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{NL}]_n = \frac{1}{2} [\boldsymbol{A}_{\theta}]_n \boldsymbol{\theta}_n. \tag{10.27}$$

For a set of virtual displacements, the corresponding virtual Green's strains are given as

$$[\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}]_n = [\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_L]_n + [\boldsymbol{A}_{\theta}]_n \,\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}_n. \tag{10.28}$$

Thus the virtual work statement of (10.1) can be rewritten as

$$\int_{\Omega} [\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_n]^T \,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n \, d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} [\delta \boldsymbol{u}_n]^T \, [\boldsymbol{b}_n - \rho \boldsymbol{\dot{u}}_n - c \boldsymbol{\dot{u}}_n] d\Omega$$
$$- \int_{\Gamma_t} [\delta \boldsymbol{u}_n]^T \, \boldsymbol{t}_n \, d\Gamma = 0 \qquad (10.29)$$

where  $\sigma_n$  are the Piola-Kirchhoff stresses.

As mentioned earlier, all relevant terms are given in Table 10.1.

If we adopt the finite element discretization scheme described earlier, then the displacement gradients  $\theta_n$  are given in terms of the nodal displacements  $[d_i]_n$  by the linear relation

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \boldsymbol{G}_i[\boldsymbol{d}_i]_n \tag{10.30}$$

where  $G_i$  contains Cartesian shape function derivatives as indicated in Table 10.2 for the various applications.

Similarly we have

$$\delta \theta_n = \sum_{i=1}^m G_i [\delta d_i]_n. \qquad (10.31)$$

The linear strain-displacement relationship can be expressed as

$$[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_L]_n = \sum_{i=1}^m [\boldsymbol{B}_{Li}]_n [\boldsymbol{d}_i]_n \qquad (10.32)$$

where  $[B_{Li}]_n$  is the linear strain displacement matrix introduced earlier.
# EXPLICIT TRANSIENT DYNAMIC ANALYSIS

Variable	Plane stress/strain	Axisymmetri	ic	
		$\begin{bmatrix} \partial r_n & \partial N_i \end{bmatrix}$	$\hat{\partial} z_n \ \partial N_t$	1
	$\begin{bmatrix} \partial X_n & \partial N_i & \partial y_n & \partial N_i \end{bmatrix}$	<u>ðr</u> <u>ðr</u>	er ar	
	$\frac{\partial x}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x}$	$\partial r_n \partial N_i$	$\partial z_n  \partial N_i$	
Strain displacement matrix associated with	$\partial x_n \ \partial N_i \qquad \partial y_n \ \partial N_i$	$\frac{\partial z}{\partial z}$ $\frac{\partial z}{\partial z}$	<i>dz dz</i>	
node $i$ [ <b>R</b> .] = [ <b>R</b> .] $\pm$ [ <b>4</b> .] <b>G</b> :	$\frac{\overline{\partial y}}{\partial y} \frac{\overline{\partial y}}{\partial y} \frac{\overline{\partial y}}{\partial y}$	$\langle \partial r_n \partial N_i   \partial r_n \partial N_i \rangle \partial z_n$	$\partial N_i \partial Z_n \partial N_i$	دجہ _
$v u[0\nu] \perp u[v] d = [u[v] d = v[v]$	$ \partial x_n \partial N_i \partial x_n \partial N_i \rangle  \partial y_n \partial N_i \partial y_n \partial N_i  $	$V_{i}$ $V_{i$	or dr 22	1.
	$\left[ \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} \right) \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} \right) \right]$	$\left(\frac{r_n}{N}\right)$	C	
			þ	
, ,	$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial y} & 0 \end{array}\right]$	$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial r} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial z} & 0 \end{array}\right]$		
where $\mathbf{G}_i$ is	$\begin{array}{c cc} 0 & \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial v} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial r} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix}$	0	

Similarly, we have

$$[\delta \epsilon_{NL}]_n = \sum_{i=1}^m [B_{NLi}]_n [\delta d_i]_n \qquad (10.33)$$

The components of the vector of Green's strains  $\epsilon_n$  can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ [\boldsymbol{B}_{Li}]_n + \frac{1}{2} [\boldsymbol{B}_{NLi}]_n \right] [\boldsymbol{d}_i]_n \qquad (10.34)$$

where the nonlinear strain-displacement matrix  $[B_{NLt}]_n$  is given as

$$[\boldsymbol{B}_{NLi}]_n = [\boldsymbol{A}_{\theta}]_n \boldsymbol{G}_i. \tag{10.35}$$

Furthermore it can be shown that the virtual strains can be expressed as

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_n = \sum_{i=1}^m [\boldsymbol{B}_i]_n [\delta \boldsymbol{d}_i]_n \qquad (10.36)$$

where

$$[B_i]_n = [B_{Li}]_n + [B_{NLi}]_n$$

is given in Table 10.2 for the various applications.

If we substitute for  $\delta \epsilon_n$  and  $\delta d_n$  in (10.29) and note that the result is true for arbitrary virtual displacements, then we obtain an expression which is identical to (10.4). In the present case we only need to remember that  $[B_i]_n$  is defined by (10.36).

We again note that contributions to (10.4) from each element can be obtained separately and assembled appropriately.

Note that we now may evaluate  $[p_i]_n$  as

$$\int_{\Omega} [B_i]_n^T \sigma_n d\Omega \quad \text{rather than} \quad \int_{\Omega} [B_i]^T \sigma_n d\Omega$$

where  $[B_i]_n$  is given by (10.36).

#### **10.4** Explicit time integration scheme

#### **10.4.1** Central difference approximation

We can write the equations (10.4) in matrix form so that at time station  $t_n$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{d}_{n} + \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{d}_{n} + \boldsymbol{p}_{n} = \boldsymbol{f}_{n} \tag{10.37}^{*}$$

• Note that the body force term  $-M\ddot{u}_g$ , due to seismic excitation, is included in the body forces which are taken into account in  $f_n$ . Note also that M and C may be assembled from the element mass matrices  $M^{(e)}$  and damping matrices  $C^{(e)}$ .

where M and C are the global mass and damping matrices respectively,  $p_n$  is the global vector of internal resisting nodal forces,  $f_n$  is the vector of consistent nodal forces for the applied body and surfaces traction forces grouped together,  $\mathbf{\ddot{d}}_n$  is the global vector of nodal accelerations and  $\mathbf{\dot{d}}_n$  is the global vector of nodal accelerations and  $\mathbf{\dot{d}}_n$  is the global vector of nodal accelerations and  $\mathbf{\dot{d}}_n$  is the

So far, only spatial discretization has been introduced. We now employ a temporal discretization of the dynamic equilibrium equations by approximating the accelerations and velocities using finite difference expressions.

In particular we adopt a central difference approximation<sup>(2)</sup> so that the accelerations can be written as

$$\ddot{d}_n \simeq a_n = \frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \{ d_{n+1} - 2d_n + d_{n-1} \}$$
 (10.38)

and the velocities are written as

$$\dot{d}_n \simeq v_n = \frac{1}{2\Delta t} \{ d_{n-1} - d_{n-1} \}$$
 (10.39)

in which  $\Delta t$  is the time step or interval so that we are sampling the displacements at time stations  $t_n - \Delta t$ ,  $t_n$  and  $t_n + \Delta t$ . If we substitute (10.38) and (10.39) into (10.37) we obtain

$$M\left\{\frac{d_{n+1}-2d_n-d_{n-1}}{(\Delta t)^2}\right\} - C\left\{\frac{d_{n+1}-d_{n-1}}{2\Delta t}\right\} + p_n = f_n$$
(10.40)

which can be rearranged to give

$$d_{n+1} = \left[M + \frac{\Delta t}{2}C\right]^{-1} \times \left\{ (\Delta t)^2 \left[-p_n + f_n\right] + 2Md_n - \left[M - \frac{\Delta t}{2}C\right]d_{n-1} \right\}. \quad (10.41)$$

Thus we have

$$d_{n+1} = g(d_n, d_{n-1}).$$
(10.42)

In other words the displacements at time station  $t_n = \Delta t$  are given explicitly in terms of the displacements at time stations  $t_n$  and  $t_n - \Delta t$ .

If the mass matrix M and the damping matrix C are diagonal then the solution of (10.41) becomes trivial and we have for plane stress and plane strain applications the following equations:

$$(d_{ui})_{n+1} = \left(m_{ii} + \frac{\Delta t}{2} C_{ii}\right)^{-1} \left[ (\Delta t)^2 \{-(p_{ul})_n \cdots (f_{ui})_n\} + 2m_{ii}(d_{ui})_n - \left(m_{ii} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} c_{ii}\right)(d_{ui})_{n-1} \right]$$
(10.43)

and

$$(d_{vi})_{n+1} = \left(m_{ii} + \frac{\Delta t}{2}c_{ii}\right)^{-1} \left[ (\Delta t)^2 \{-(p_{vi})_n + (f_{vi})_n\} + 2m_{ii}(d_{vi})_n - \left(m_{ii} - \frac{\Delta t}{2}c_{ii}\right)(d_{vi})_{n-1} \right]$$
(10.44)

in which at node *i*,  $d_{ui}$  and  $d_{vi}$  are the *u* and *v* displacement components in the *x* and *y* directions,  $f_{ui}$  and  $f_{vi}$  are the components of the applied nodal forces in the *x* and *y* directions,  $p_{ui}$  and  $p_{vi}$  are the internal resisting nodal forces in the *x* and *y* directions and  $m_{ii}$  and  $c_{ii}$  are the diagonal terms of the mass and damping matrices. For axisymmetric problems replace *v* by *w*.

From (10.43) and (10.44) we see that for each displacement degree of freedom at time  $t_n + \Delta t$  we have a separate equation involving information regarding the degree of freedom at times  $t_n$  and  $t_n - \Delta t$ . No matrix factorisation or sophisticated equation solving is therefore necessary.

#### **10.4.2** Starting algorithm

As we have seen the governing equilibrium equation at time station  $t_n + \Delta t$ in the central difference method involves information at the two previous time stations  $t_n$  and  $t_n - \Delta t$ . A starting algorithm is therefore necessary and from the initial conditions the values  $d(0 - \Delta t)$  may be obtained. We have from (10.39) the condition that

$$\dot{d}(0) \simeq \mathbf{v}(0) = \frac{d(0+\Delta t) - d(0-\Delta t)}{2\Delta t}$$
(10.45)

or

$$\boldsymbol{d}(0-\Delta t) = -2\Delta t \boldsymbol{v}(0) + \boldsymbol{d}(0+\Delta t).$$

If this approximation is substituted in (10.43) then we can write the expression

$$(d_{ui})_{1} = \left(m_{ii} + \frac{\Delta t}{2}c_{ii}\right)^{-1} \left[ (\Delta t)^{2} \{-(p_{ui})_{0} + (f_{ui})_{0} \} + 2m_{ii}(d_{ui})_{0} - \left(m_{ii} - \frac{\Delta t}{2}c_{ii}\right) \{-2\Delta t(\dot{d}_{ui})_{0} + d_{ui})_{1} \} \right]$$
(10.46)

or

$$(d_{ui})_1 = \frac{(\Delta t)^2}{2m_{ii}} \{-(p_{ui})_0 + (f_{ui})_0\} + (d_{ui})_0 + B\Delta t(d_{ui})_0$$

where

$$B=1-\frac{c_{ii}\,\Delta t}{2m_{ii}}.$$

#### 10.4.3 Damping

Very limited information is available on damping in linear solid mechanics problems and there is even less data available for damping in nonlinear situations. It is therefore customary to assume that the damping matrix is proportional to the mass and stiffness matrix. This is known as Rayleigh damping and we have

$$C = aM + \beta K \tag{10.47}$$

In the central difference method we can make the approximation that  $\beta = 0$  so that

$$C = aM$$
(10.48)  
$$c_{ii} = am_{ii}$$
$$a = 2\xi_r \omega_r$$

where

or

in which 
$$\xi_r$$
 and  $\omega_r$  are the damping factor and circular frequency for the  $r^{\text{th}}$  mode. This modelling of damping is rather poor since  $\alpha$  is fixed for all modes of vibration. Thus if we take  $r = 1$  then the higher modes will be less damped whereas the opposite would be more desirable. This is the price we pay for an otherwise convenient and efficient solution.

#### 10.5 Critical time step

In explicit and implicit time integration schemes the selection of an appropriate time step is crucially important. Small time steps are required for accurate and stable solutions whereas for reasons of economy we would prefer large time steps. The analysis of the stability and accuracy character-istics<sup>(2)</sup> allows us to decide on a suitable time step for the various time stepping schemes. On this basis for the conditionally stable, central difference scheme, the stability considerations are of prime importance and the time step length is limited by the expression

$$\Delta t \leqslant \frac{2}{\omega_{\max}} \tag{10.49}$$

where  $\omega_{\text{max}}$  is the highest circular frequency of the finite element mesh. This severe time step limit, required for stability, ensures accuracy in practically all modes of vibration. Providing that  $\omega_{\text{max}}$  represents the maximum nonlinear frequency, (10.49) holds for nonlinear problems. The estimate of the critical time step for conditionally stable schemes apparently necessitates the solution of the eigenvalue problem for the whole system. This is not so. The bound on the highest eigenvalue can be simply obtained by the consideration of an individual element. This is established by an important theorem proposed by  $\text{Irons}^{(4)}$  which proves that the highest system eigenvalue must always be less than the highest eigenvalue of the individual elements. This allows a very easy estimate of critical time steps (by the above theorem) which will err on the safe side. To avoid the exact evaluation of the highest finite element mesh frequency approximate expressions are usually employed. The most common form for plane strain is

$$\Delta t \leq \mu L \left( \frac{\rho (1+\nu)(1-2\nu)}{E(1-\nu)} \right)^{1/2}$$
(10.50)

where L is the smallest length between any two nodes and  $\mu$  is a coefficient dependent on the type of element employed.<sup>(5)</sup> For problems in which many time steps are used it may be beneficial to calculate the exact highest linear frequency of the finite element mesh prior to the time stepping analysis.

Recall that when an elasto-viscoplastic model is adopted care must be taken not to exceed the critical time step for the Euler scheme in evaluating the viscoplastic strains. (See Section 8.3).

#### 10.6 Program DYNPAK

#### **10.6.1** Overall structure of DYNPAK

We now present program DYNPAK for the elasto-viscoplastic or geometrically nonlinear, transient dynamic analysis of plane stress, plane strain and axisymmetric problems. The basic structure of the program is shown in Fig. 10.2. Many of the subroutines used in DYNPAK have already been described in earlier chapters.

The algorithm adopted has been presented schematically in Fig. 10.1. The program is written in a dynamically dimensioned form. Efficiency has sometimes been sacrificed for clarity of presentation and the reader may consider ways of making the program more efficient when reviewing this chapter.

Isoparametric 4, 8 and noded quadrilateral elements are included in the program. A special mass lumping procedure<sup>(6)</sup> has been adopted and separate Gauss-Legendre rules may be adopted in the evaluation of the stiffness and the lumped mass matrices.

Impact and seismic loading can easily be specified. Material nonlinearity is based on elasto-viscoplastic models with Von Mises, Tresca, Mohr-Coulomb or Drucker-Prager yield criteria with isotropic hardening. A total Lagrangian formulation is used to allow for the geometric nonlinear behaviour.

Subroutines GAUSSQ, SFR2 and JACOB2 have already been dealt with and only the remaining routines will be listed and described.

## 10.6.2 Master routine DYNPAK

The master routine organises the calling of the main routines as outlined in Fig. 10.2. In subroutine CONTOL the variables required for dynamic dimensioning are read and a check is made on the maximum available dimensions. Note that the values given in the DIMENSION statement in



Fig. 10.2 Flow diagram for program DYNPAK.

DYNPAK should agree with the values specified in CONTOL. Subroutines INPUTD, INTIME and PREVOS read the mesh data, the time integration data and data for the previous state of the structure. Subroutines LUMASS and LOADPL generate the lumped mass and applied force vectors respectively. FIXITY deals with fixed boundary nodes. In the time step do loop, EXPLIT performs the direct time integration and RESVPL calculates

$$\int_{\Omega} [\boldsymbol{B}]_n^T \,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n \, d\Omega$$

when an elasto-viscoplastic material model is adopted.

In this version of DYNPAK it should be noted that the maximum dimensions imply that we can solve problems with no more than 50 elements, 200 nodal points, 50 fixed boundary nodes and 600 acceleration ordinates.

Of course, larger problems can be accommodated by increasing the values in CONTOL and also the appropriate dimensions in the DIMENSION statement in the main routine DYNPAK.

	PROGRAM DY	(NPAK (INPU	T ,TAPES	=INPUT	,TAPE4,	TAPE10,	TAPE12,	TAPE3,	DYNK	1
	*	OUTP	UI,IAPEC	)=OUTPUT	, IAPL(	TAPETI	TAPE13/	, ,		2
0	*********	********	******	******					TO INK	5
Ç									DINK	4
C	DYNAMIC TH	RANSIENT EL	ASTO - V	ISCOPL/	STIC PH	Rogram			DYNK	- 5
C									DYNK	6
C####	*********	*********	*******	<del>{******</del> *	******	<del>{******</del> *	******	********	**DYNK	7
	DIMENSION	ACCEH( 60	O),ACCE	EV( 600	), <b>,</b> COOF	ND(200,2	2) ,DISH	PL( 400)	,DYNK	8
		FORCE( 40	0) .IFPI	RE(2,200	)) (LNOI	)S(50 ,9	) MATN	10( 50)	DYNK	- 9
		INTGR( 5	O) NPRO	)D( 10	)) NGRO	)S( 10	)) POS(	GP( 4)	DYNK	10
	_	PROPS(10.1	3) REST	DC 400	) RLO	D(50.18	3) STRI	EN(4,450)	DYNK	11
		STRSG(4,45	οί <b>π</b> ριε	SP( 400	)) TEME	PE( 100	)) VELO	C( 400)	DYNK	12
		VISTN(4.45		1.(5.450	) WETC	;P( 1	1) YMAS	SS( 400)	DYNK	13
C	•		• • • • • • •		, ,	•• 、	.,		DYNK	14
v	CALL	CONTOL		NEL EM	NMATS	NPOTN	)		DYNK	15
c	VILLO	CONTOL	(hpor h	ا اسلابا بالا و	JUGHTO	<b>,</b> III OIII	1		DYNK	16
U U	CALL	TNDUTD	(COODD	TEDDE	T NODO	матио	исоли	NODIT	DINK	17
	CALL	INPUID		, IT PRE	, LIVUDO	, MAIRU	, NCONPL	,NURLI,	DINK	1/ 18
	•		NDIPIE	, NDUP N	, NELEM	, NOAUM	, NGRUS	, NLAPO,	DINK	10
	•		NMAIS	, NNODE	,NPUIN	NPREV	,NSIRE	,NIIPE,	DINK	19
<u>^</u>	•		POSGP	, PROPS	,WEIGP	)			DYNK	20
Ç									DINK	21
	CALL	INTIME	(AALFA	,ACCEH	, ACCEV	,AFACT	,AZERO	,BEETA ,	DYNK	- 22
	•		BZERO	,DELTA	,DTIME	,DTEND	,GAAMA	,IFIXD ,	DYNK	- 23
	•		IFUNC	,INTGR	,KSTEP	,MITER	,NDOFN	,NELEM ,	DYNK	24
	•		NGRQS	,NOUTD	,NOUTP	,NPOIN	, NPRQD	,NREQD ,	DYNK	25
	•		NREQS	<b>NSTEP</b>	OMEGA	TDISP	TOLER	VELOC	DYNK	26
	•		IPRED	j	•		•		DYNK	-27
С									DYNK	- 28
	CALL.	PREVOS	(FORCE	NDOFN	NET EM	NGAUS	NPOTN	NPREV	DYNK	29
	•	THEFOO	STRIN		، ایکنی ۲۰ و	, 10100	, 010	,	DYNK	- 30
С	-		W11(1.)	<i>'</i>					DYNK	31
•	CALL	IOADDI		FORCE	I NODE	MATHO	MDTME	NDOEN	DYMV	22
	CALL	LUADPL		,FURCE	, LNUDS	,MAINU	NDIME	NOULN ,	DINK	22
	•		NTYDE	POSCD	20000	DI OAD	STDIN	TENDE		27
	•		UTTOD	, rubur	,rnora	, ULUHD	, STUTN	, ICRIFE ,	DANK	20
c	•		WEIGP	)					DINK	22
U U	CALL	110000	(00000	THEORY	Luona	MARINA	upowy		DINK	 
	CALL	LUMASS	COORD	, INTGR	,LNODS	, MATNO	, NCONM	,NDIME ,	DINK	16
	•		NDOFN	,NELEM	, NGAUM	,NMATS	, NNODE	,NPOIN,	DYNK	30
	•		NTYPE	, PROPS	,YMASS	)			DYNK	- 39

с с	CALL	FIXITY	(IFPRE	, NDOFN	,NPOIN	,YMASS	)			DYNK DYNK DYNK	40 41 42
C .	IF(NPREV.N .CALL	E.O) RESVPL	(COORD NDOFN NPOIN	,DTIME ,NELEM ,NSTRE	, LNODS , NGAUS , NTYPE	, MATNO , NLAPS , POSGP	,NCRIT, ,NNODE .PROPS	NDIME ,NMATS .RESID	, ,	DYNK DYNK DYNK DYNK	43 44 45 46
c	DO 500 IST	EP=1,NSTEP	RLOAD WEIGP	,STRIN	, STRSG	,TDISP	,VISTN	,VIVEL	,	DYNK DYNK DYNK DYNK DYNK	47 48 49 50
c	CALL	EXPLIT	(ACCEH DTIME ISTEP VELOC	, ACCEV , DTEND , NDOFN , YMASS	,AFACT ,FORCE ,NPOIN )	,AZERO ,IFIXD ,OMEGA	,AALFA ,IFPRE ,RESID	,BZERO ,IFUNC ,TDISP	, ,	DYNK DYNK DYNK DYNK DYNK	52 53 54 55 55
0	CALL	RESVPL	(COORD NDOFN NPOIN RLOAD WEIGP	,DTIME ,NELEM ,NSTRE ,STRIN )	,LNODS ,NGAUS ,NTYPE ,STRSG	,MATNO ,NLAPS ,POSGP ,TDISP	,NCRIT ,NNODE ,PROPS ,VISTN	,NDIME ,NMATS ,RESID ,VIVEL	, , ,	DYNK DYNK DYNK DYNK DYNK	57 58 59 60 61
с 500	CALL CONTINUE STOP END	OUTDYN	(DISPL NGRQS NREQS	, DTIME , NOUTD , NTYPE	,ISTEP ,NOUTP ,STRSG	,NDOFN ,NPOIN ,TDISP	,NELEM ,NPRQD ,VIVEL	, NGAUS , NREQD	3	DYNK DYNK DYNK DYNK DYNK DYNK DYNK	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69

## 10.6.3 Subroutine BLARGE

This subroutine evaluates the strain-displacement matrix for geometrically nonlinear displacements using the deformation Jacobian matrix  $[J_D]_n$ . Note that for small displacement analysis we pre-set NLAPS = 0.

	SUBROUTINE BLARGE (BMATX, CARTD, DJACM, DLCOD, GPCOD, KGASP,	BLAR	1
	• NLAPS ,NNODE ,NTYPE ,SHAPE )	BLAR	2
C###1	***************************************	BLAR	- 3
С		BLAR	4
C***	LARGE DISPLACEMENT B MATRIX	BLAR	5
C		BLAR	6
C***3	********************	BLAR	- 7
	<pre>DIMENSION BMATX(4,18),CARTD(2,9),DJACM(2,2),DLCOD(2,9),</pre>	BLAR	8
	. GPCOD(2, 9), SHAPE( 9)	BLAR	9
	NGASH=0	BLAR	10
	DO 10 INODE=1, NNODE	BLAR	11
	MGASH=NGASH+1	BLAR	12
	NGASH=MCASH+1	BLAR	13
	BMATX(1,MGASH)=CARTD(1,INODE)*DJACM(1,1)	BLAR	14
	BMATX(1,NGASH)=CARTD(1,INODE)*DJACM(2,1)	BLAR	15
	<pre>BMATX(2,MGASH)=CARTD(2,INODE)*DJACM(1,2)</pre>	BLAR	16
	BMATX(2,NGASH)=CARTD(2,INODE)*DJACM(2,2)	BLAR	- 17
	BMATX(3,MGASH)=CARTD(2,INODE)*DJACM(1,1)+CARTD(1,INODE)*DJACM(1,2	2)BLAR	- 18
	BMATX(3,NGASH)=CARTD(1,INODE)*DJACM(2,2)+CARTD(2,INODE)*DJACM(2,	I)BLAR	- 19
1(	CONTINUE	BLAR	20
	1F(NTYPE.NE.3) RETURN	BLAR	21
	FMULT=1.	BLAR	22
	LF(NLAPS.LT.2) GO TO 40	BLAR	- 23
	rMULI=0.0	BLAR	24

	DO 20 JNODE=1.NNODE	BLAR	25
20	FMULT=FMULT+DLCOD(1, JNODE)*SHAPE(JNODE)	BLAR	26
	FMULT=FMULT/GPCOD(1,KGASP)	BLAR	27
40	NGASH=0	BLAR	28
	DO 30 INODE=1.NNODE	BLAR	29
	MGASH=NGASH+1	BLAR	-30
	NGASH=MGASH+1	BLAR	31
	BMATX(4,MGASH)=SHAPE(INODE)*FMULT/GPCOD(1,KGASP)	BLAR	32
30	BMATX(4, NGASH)=0.0	BLAR	- 33
-	RETURN	BLAR	34
	END	BLAR	35

- BLAR 10-20 Evaluate the complete strain matrix for plane stress/strain problems and the first three rows of the strain matrix for axisymmetric problems.
- BLAR 21-33 Evaluate the remainder of the strain matrix for axisymmetric problems, if applicable.

## **10.6.4** Subroutine CONTOL

The purpose of this subroutine is to set the values of variables for the dynamic dimensions which are used elsewhere in the program. If any change in the DIMENSION statement in the master routine is made, then a corresponding change in this subroutine should also be made.

	SUBROUTINE CONTOL (NDOFN ,NELEM ,NMATS ,NPOIN )	CONT	1
C#### C	***************************************	CONT CONT	2 3
C¥≇¥	READ CONTROL DATA AND CHECK FOR DIMENSIONS	CONT	4
С		CONT	- 5
C####	ŧ#####################################	CONT	6
	READ(5,110) NPOIN, NELEM, NDOFN, NMATS	CONT	- 7
	IF(NELEM.GT. 50) GO TO 200	CONT	- 8
	IF(NPOIN.GT.200) GO TO 200	CONT	- 9
	IF(NMATS.GT. 10) GO TO 200	CONT	10
	GO TO 210	CONT	11
200	) WRITE(6,120)	CONT	12
	STOP	CONT	-13
120	D FORMAT(/'SET DIMENSION EXCEEDED - CONTOL CHECK '/)	CONT	14
110	D FORMAT(1615)	CONT	- 15
210	OCONTINUE	CONT	16
	RETURN	CONT	17
	END	CONT	18

## **10.6.5** Subroutine EXPLIT

This subroutine performs the direct time integration using expressions (10.43) and (10.44) to evaluate the nodal displacements at every time step. Special provisions are made for the first time step.

SUBROUTINE EXPLIT	CACCEH, ACCEV DTIME, DTEND ISTEP, NDOFN VELOC, YMASS	( ,AFACT ,AZERO ) ,FORCE ,IFIXD   ,NPOIN ,OMEGA 5 )	,AALFA ,BZERO , ,IFPRE ,IFUNC , ,RESID ,TDISP ,	EXPL EXPL EXPL EXPL	1 2 3 4
C*************************************	**************************************	**************	*********************	EXPL EXPL EXPL EXPL EXPL	56 7 8 9

	DIMENSION YMASS(1).ACCEH(1).TDISP(1).RESID( 1),	EXPL	10
	FORCE(1), ACCEV(1), VELOC(1), IFPRE(2,1)	EXPL	11
	CFACT=1.0+0.5*AALFA*DTIME	EXPL	12
	CFACT=1./CFACT	EXPL	-13
	CONS1=2.*CFACT	EXPL	14
	RCONS=1./CONS1	EXPL	15
	CONS2=CONS1-1	EXPL	16
	CONS3=DTIME*DTIME*CFACT	EXPL	17
	CONS4=-2.0*CONS2*DTIME	EXPL	18
	IF(ISTEP,GT.1) CONS4=CONS2	EXPL	19
	NPOSN=0	EXPL	20
	FACTS=FUNCTS (AZERO, BZERO, DTEND, DTIME, IFUNC, ISTEP, OMEGA)	EXPL	21
	FACTH=FUNCTA (ACCEH, AFACT, DTEND, DTIME, IFUNC, ISTEP)	EXPL	22
	FACTV=FUNCTA (ACCEV, AFACT, DTEND, DTIME, IFUNC, ISTEP)	EXPL	23
	DO 500 IPOIN=1,NPOIN	LAPL	24
	DO 510 IDOFN=1,NDOFN	EXPL	25
	FACTT=0.0	EXPL	20
	IF(IFUNC.NE.O) GO TO 200		21
	IF(IFIXD.EQ.O.AND.IDOFN.EQ.I) FACIT=FACIH	EXPL	20
	IF(IFIXD.EQ.O.AND.IDOFN.EQ.2) FACIT=FACTV	EXPL	- 29
	IF(IFIXD.EQ.I.AND.IDOFN.EQ.2) FACIIEFACIV		 1
	IF (IFIXD.EQ.2.AND.IDOFN.EQ.I) FACIT=FACIT	EXPL	21
	IF (IF PRE (IDOFN, IPOIN). EQ. 0) GO TO 200	EXPL	32
	FACTE-1 O	FYPI	רכ י
~~~		EVDI	25
200	CONTINUE	CAFL EVDÍ	- 32 - 26
	NPUSN=NPUSN+1		27
	DCURREIDISK(NFUSN) CODCE(NDOSN)#EACTS	ENDI	ୁ ଅନ
	KESID(NPOSN)= RESID(NPOSN)+PORCE(NPOSN)*PACIS	FYPI	20
		FYPI	- 10 - 10
		FYPI	ц Ц
	VELOC(NPOSN)-DCURR	EXPL.	42
<b>51</b> 0		FXPI	<u>л</u> а
500		EXPL	- цц
000	RETIRN	EXPL.	45
	END	EXPL.	46
EXF	PL 12–19 Evaluate the various time integration constants. After	the fir	rst
		^	

- E2 time step modify variable CONS4.
- Evaluate the value of the time varying Heaviside or harmonic EXPL 21 function for a particular time step.
- EXPL 22-23 Evaluate the acceleration ordinates (FACTH for horizontal and FACTV for vertical acceleration respectively) at a particular time step.
- EXPL 24-31 The seismic force is only applied for particular degrees of freedom. For IFIXD = 1 only vertical, IFIXD = 2 only horizontal or radial and IFIXD = 0 both components of the acceleration are considered.
- EXPL 32-35 Assign appropriate values for restrained boundary nodes.
- EXPL 36-40 Evaluate displacements.
- EXPL 41 For the first time step modify the displacement.
- EXPL 42 Store the current displacements for the next time step.

# **10.6.6** Subroutine FIXITY

This subroutine deals with the restrained degrees of freedom (boundary points). The diagonal mass vector, XMASS, is modified-for restrained degrees of freedom. The component of the XMASS vector is set to a large value such as 1.E30, which artificially makes the displacement zero.

SUBROUTINE FIXITY (IFPRE , NDOFN , NPOIN , YMASS )	FIXY	1
C####################################	FIXY	2
Ċ.	FIXY	- 3
C *** DEALS WITH FIXED BOUNDARY NODES	FIXY	- 4
с —	FIXY	5
C#####################################	** FIXY	б
DIMENSION IFPRE(2,1) .YMASS(1)	FIXY	7
NTOTV-NDOFN*NPOIN	FIXY	8
IPOSN=0	FIXY	- 9
DO 500 IPOIN=1.NPOIN	FIXY	10
DO 500 TDOFN=1.NDOFN	FIXY	11
IPOSN=IPOSN+1	FIXY	12
500 IF(IFPRE(IDOFN, IPOIN).EQ.1) YMASS(IPOSN)= 1.E30	FIXY	13
WRTTE(6,900)	FIXY	- 14
900 FORMAT(/5X.19HNODAL LUMPED MASSES/)	FIXY	- 15
WRITE(6.910) (ITOTV.YMASS(ITOTV), ITOTV=1, NTOTV)	FIXY	16
910 FORMAT(6(1X, T5, E13, 5))	FIXY	17
RETURN	FIXY	- 18
END	FIXY	- 19

# 10.6.7 Subroutine FLOWVP

This routine evaluates the viscoplastic strain rate.

	SUBROUTINE FLOWVP (AVECT ,KGAUS ,LPROP ,NCRIT ,NMATS ,PROPS ,	FLOV	1
	. STEFF , VIVEL , YIELD )	FLOV	2
C####	***************************************	FLOV	3
С		FLOV	4
C ###	CALCULATES VISCOPLASTIC STRAIN RATE	FLOV	5
С		FLOV	6
C####	*************************	FLOV	7
	DIMENSION AVECT(4) .PROPS(NMATS.1) .VIVEL(5.1)	FLOV	8
	IF(STEFF.EQ.0.0) GO TO 90	FLOV	9
	NSTR1=4	FLOV	10
	TOLOR=0.01	FLOV	11
	FDATM=PROPS(LPROP, 6)	FLOV	12
	HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 7)	FLOV	13
	FRICT=PROPS(LPROP. 8)	FLOV	-14
	GAMMA=PROPS(LPROP, 9)	FLOV	15
	DELTA=PROPS(LPROP. 10)	FLOV	16
	NFLOW=PROPS(LPROP, 11)	FLOV	17
	FRICT=FRICT#0.017453292	FLOV	18
	IF(NCRIT.EQ.3) FDATM=FDATM*COS(FRICT)	FLOV	- 19
	IF(NCRIT.EQ.4) FDATM=6.0*FDA M*COS(FRICT)/	FLOV	20
	.(1.73205080757*(3.0-SIN(FRICT)))	FLOV	21
	IF(HARDS.GT.O.) FDATM=FDATM+VIVEL(5,KGAUS)*HARDS	FLOV	22
	IF(FDATM.LT.0.001) FDATM=1.0	FLOV	- 23
	FCURR=YIELD-FDATM	FLOV	24
	FNORM=FCURR/FDATM	FLOV	- 25
	IF(FNORM.LT.TOLOR) GO TO 90	FLOV	26
	IF(NFLOW.EQ.1) GO TO 50	FLOV	- 27
	CMULT=GAMMA*(EXP(DELTA*FNORM)-1.0)	FLOV	28
	GO TO 60	FLOV	- 29
50	CMULT=GAMMA*(FNORM**DELTA)	FLOV	30
60	CONTINUE	FLOV	31
-	DO 70 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	FLOV	- 32
$\gamma$	AVECT(ISTR1)=CMULT*AVECT(ISTR1)	FLOV	55
	DO 80 ISTRI=1,NSTRI	FLOV	- 34
80	VIVEL(ISTR1,KGAUS)=AVECT(ISTR1)	FLOV	25
~	KETUKN	FLOV	
90	DU TOU ISTRI=1,NSTRI	FLOV	3(
100	VIVEL(1STRT,KGAUS)=0.	FLOV	
	KLIUKN	FLUV	<u>5</u> א ביוו

<u>\_</u> • <

## 10.6.8 Function FUNCTA

This function interpolates the accelerogram data for a particular time step. AFACT is the ratio of the accelerogram record time step length to the computational time step length.

	FUNCTION FUNCTA (ACCER, AFACT, DTEND, DTIME, IFUNC, JSTEP)	FUNA	1
C#### C	***************************************	FUNA FUNA	23
C <b>***</b>	ACCELEROGRAM INTERPOLATION	FUNA FUNA	4 5
Č****	**********************	FUNA	6
	DIMENSION ACCER(1)	FUNA	7
	IF(IFUNC.NE.O) RETURN	FUNA	8
	FUNCTA=0.0	FUNA	- 9
	IF(JSTEP.EQ.O.OR.FLOAT(JSTEP)*DTIME.GT.DTEND) RETURN	FUNA	10
	XGASH=(FLOAT(JSTEP)-1.0)/AFACT+1.0	FUNA	11
	MGASH=XGASH	FUNA	12
~	NGASH=MGASH+1	FUNA	13
	XGASH=XGASH-FLOAT(MGASH)	FUNA	14
	FUNCTA=ACCER(MGASH)*(1.0-XGASH)+XGASH*ACCER(NGASH)	FUNA	15
	RETURN	FUNA	16
	END	FUNA	17

## **10.6.9** Function FUNCTS

This function sets the value of the time varying function for a particular time step. Heaviside functions  $(f(t) = 1.0 \ H(t))$  or harmonic functions,  $(f(t) = a - b \sin \omega t)$  can be specified.

	FUNCTION FUNCTS (AZERO, BZERO, DTEND, DTIME, IFUNC, JSTEP, OMEGA)	FUNS	1
C****	***************************************	FUNS	2
С		FUNS	3
C###	HEAVISIDE AND HARMONIC TIME FUNCTION	FUNS	4
С		FUNS	- 5
C####	***************************************	FUNS	6
	IF(IFUNC.EQ.O) RETURN	FUNS	- 7
	FUNCTS=0.0	FUNS	8
	IF(JSTEP.EQ.O.OR.FLOAT(JSTEP)*DTIME.GT.DTEND) RETURN	FUNS	9
	IF(1FUNC.EQ.1) FUNCTS = 1.0	FUNS	10
	IF(IFUNC.EQ.2) ARGUM=OMEGA*JSTEP*DTIME	FUNS	11
	IF(IFUNC.EQ.2) FUNCTS = AZERO + BZERO*SIN(ARGUM)	FUNS	12
	RETURN	FUNS	13
	END	FUNS	14

## **10.6.10** Subroutine INPUTD

This subroutine reads and writes most of the control parameters, nodal point coordinates, element connectivities, boundary conditions and material properties. It also writes the geometric data onto file 13 for deformation plotting. A similar routine was described in Chapter 6.

	SUBROUTINE INPUTD	(COORD	,IFPRE	,LNODS	, MATNO	,NCONM	,NCRIT	, NPUT	1
		NDIME NMATS POSGP	, NDOFN , NNODE	,NELEM ,NPOIN	, NGAUM , NPREV	, NGAUS , NSTRE	,NLAPS ,NTYPE	, NPUT , NPUT	
C####	****	******	******	******	******	{******	******	NPUT NPUT	6
C C C ****	DYNPAK INPUT ROUTINE	******	*****	******	******	******	******	NPUT NPUT NPUT	78
-	DIMENSION COORD(NPOI	N,1) ,I	FPRE(NDO	OFN,1)	,WEIGP(	I) ,MATI	NO(1),	NPUT	10

```
NPUT
      READ(5,913) TITLE
                                                                                     12
  913 FORMAT(10A4)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     13
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     14
      WRITE(6,914) TITLE
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     15
  914 FORMAT(//,5X,10A4)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     16
С
                                                                              NPUT
C*** READ THE FIRST DATA CARD, AND ECHO IT IMMEDIATELY.
                                                                                     17
                                                                                     18
                                                                              NPUT
C
      READ (5,900) NVFIX, NTYPE, NNODE, NPROP, NGAUS, NDIME, NSTRE, NCRIT,
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     19
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     20
                    NPREV, NCONM, NLAPS, NGAUM, NRADS
      WRITE(6,901) NPOIN, NELEM, NVFIX, NTYPE, NNODE, NDOFN, NMATS, NPROP,
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     21
                    NGAUS, NDIME, NSTRE, NCRIT, NPREV, NCONM, NLAPS, NGAUM,
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     22
                    NRADS
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     23
                                                                                     24
  901 FORMAT (/5X, 18HCONTROL PARAMETERS/
                                                                              NPUT
               /5X,8H NPOIN =, I10,5X,8H NELEM =, I10,5X,8H NVFIX =, I10/
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     25
               /5X,8H NTYPE =, I10,5X,8H NNODE =, I10,5X,8H NDOFN =, I10/
                                                                                     26
                                                                              NPUT
               /5X,8H NMATS =, I10,5X,8H NPROP =, I10,5X,8H NGAUS =, I10/
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     27
               /5X,8H NDIME =,110,5X,8H NSTRE =,110,5X,8H NCRIT =,110/
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     28
               /5X,&H NPREV =,I10,5X,&H NCONM =,I10,5X,&H NLAPS =,I10/
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     29
               /5X,8H NGAUM =,I10,5X,8H NRADS =,I10/)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     30
  900 FORMAT(1615)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     31
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     32
C.
  *** READ THE ELEMENT NODAL CONNECTIONS, AND THE PROPERTY NUMBERS.
                                                                              NPUT
С
                                                                                     33
С
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     34
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     35
      WRITE (6,902)
  902 FORMAT(//5X,8H ELEMENT,3X,8HPROPERTY,6X,12HNODE NUMBERS)
                                                                                     36
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     37
      DO 530 IELEM=1.NELEM
                                                                              NPUT
      READ (5,900) NUMEL, MATNO(NUMEL), (LNODS(NUMEL, INODE), INODE=1, NNODE) NPUT
                                                                                     38
      WRITE(13,915 ) NUMEL,(LNODS(NUMEL,INODE),INODE=1,NNODE)
                                                                                     39
                                                                              NPUT
  530 WRITE(6,903) NUMEL, MATNO(NUMEL), (LNODS(NUMEL, INODE), INODE=1, NNODE) NPUT
                                                                                     40
  903 FORMAT(6X, 15, 19, 6X, 1015)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     41
  915 FORMAT(1615)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     42
С
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     43
C*** ZERO ALL THE NODAL COORDINATES, PRIOR TO READING SOME OF THEM.
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     44
                                                                                     45
С
                                                                              NPUT
      DO 500 IPOIN=1,NPOIN
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     46
      DO 500 IDIME=1,NDIME
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     47
                                                                                     48
  500 COORD(IPOIN, IDIME)=0.
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     49
С
                                                                              NPUT
C*** READ SOME NODAL COORDINATES, FINISHING WITH THE LAST NODE OF ALL.
                                                                                     50
                                                                              NPUT
Ĉ
                                                                                     51
                                                                              NPUT
  904 FORMAT(//5X,5H NODE,9X,1HX,9X,1HY,5X)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     52
  200 READ (5,905) IPOIN, (COORD(IPOIN, IDIME), IDIME=1, NDIME)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     53
                                                                                     54
      WRITE(6,906) IPOIN, (COORD(IPOIN, IDIME), IDIME=1, NDIME)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     55
  905 FORMAT(15,6F10.5)
      IF (IPOIN.NE.NPOIN) GO TO 200
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     56
C
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     57
C*** INTERPOLATE COORDINATES OF MID-SIDE NODES
                                                                                     58
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     59
С
                                                                              NPUT
      CALL NODXYR (COORD, LNODS, NELEM, NNODE, NPOIN, NRADS, NTYPE)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     60
С
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     61
      WRITE (6,904)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     62
      WRITE(13,916) (IPOIN, (COORD(IPOIN, IDIME), IDIME=1, NDIME),
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     63
        IPOIN=1, NPOIN)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     64
  916 FORMAT(15,2G15.6)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     65
      WRITE( 6, 906) (IPOIN, (COORD(IPOIN, IDIME), IDIME=1, NDIME),
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     66
      .IPOIN=1,NPOIN)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     67
  906 FORMAT(5X, 15, 2F10.3)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     68
C
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     69
C*** READ THE FIXED VALUES.
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     70
С
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     71
      WRITE(6,907)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     72
  907 FORMAT(//5X,5H NODE,2X,4HCODE)
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     73
      DO 540 IPOIN=1, NPOIN
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     74
      DO 540 IDOFN=1,NDOFN
                                                                              NPUT
                                                                                     75
```

	540	IFPRE(IDOFN, IPOIN)=0	NPUT	76
		DO 550 IVFIX=1,NVFIX	NPUT	77
	550	READ (5,908) IPOIN, (IFPRE(IDOFN, IPOIN), IDOFN=1, NDOFN)	NPUT	78
		DO 560 IPOIN=1.NPOIN	NPUT	79
	560	WRITE(6,909) IPOIN, (IFPRE(IDOFN, IPOIN), IDOFN=1, NDOFN)	NPUT	80
	908	FORMAT(1X,14,3X,211)	NPUT	81
	909	FORMAT(6X,15,3X,211)	NPUT	82
С			NPUT	83
C.	ŀ₩¥ ]	READ THE AVAILABLE SELECTION OF ELEMENT PROPERTIES.	NPUT	84
С			NPUT	85
		WRITE(6,910)	NPUT	86
	910	FORMAT(//5X,19HMATERIAL PROPERTIES)	NPUT	87
		DO 520 IMATS=1,NMATS	NPUT	- 88
		READ(5,900) NUMAT	NPUT	89
		READ (5,917) (PROPS(NUMAT, IPROP), IPROP=1, NPROP)	NPUT	90
		WRITE(6,911) NUMAT	NPUT	91
	911	FORMAT(/5X,11HMATERIAL NO,15)	NPUT	92
	520	WRITE(6,912) (PROPS(NUMAT, IPROP), IPROP=1, NPROP)	NPUT	93
	912	FORMAT(/5X,13HYOUNG MODULUS,G12.4/5X,13HPOISSON RATIO,G12.4/	NPUT	-94
		. 5X,13HTHICKNESS ,G12.4/5X,13HMASS DENSITY ,G12.4/	NPUT	95
		. 5X,13HALPHA TEMPR ,G12.4/5X,13HREFERENCE FO ,G12.4/	NPUT	96
		. 5X,13HHARDENING PAR,G12.4/5X,13HFRICT ANGLE ,G12.4/	NPUT	97
		. 5X,13HFLUIDITY PAR ,G12.4/5X,13HEXP DELTA ,G12.4/	NPUT	98
		. 5X,13HNFLOW CODE ,G12.4)	NPUT	99
	917	FORMAT(8E10.4)	NPUT	100
С			NPUT	101
C1	***	SET UP GAUSSIAN INTEGRATION CONSTANTS	NPUT	102
C			NPUT	103
		UALL GAUSSQ (NGAUS, POSGP, WEIGP)	NPUT	104
		RETURN	NFUT	105
		END	NPUT	106

## **10.6.11** Subroutine INTIME

This routine reads and writes all data required for time integration and plotting stress and displacement histories.

C###I	SUBROUTINE INTIME (AALFA, ACCEH, ACCEV, AFACT, AZERO, BEETA, BZERO, DELTA, DTIME, DTEND, GAAMA, IFIXD, IFUNC, INTGR, KSTEP, MITER, NDOFN, NELEM, NGRQS, NOUTD, NOUTP, NPOIN, NPRQD, NREQD, NREQS, NSTEP, OMEGA, TDISP, TOLER, VELOC, IPRED)	TIME TIME TIME TIME TIME TIME	1 2 3 4 56 7
č		TIME	8
C ##	INITIAL VALUES AND TIME INTEGRATION DATA	TIME	9
C		TIME	10
C###+	***************************************	##TIME	11
	DIMENSION TDISP(1), ACCEH(1), NPRQD(1), INTGR(1),	TIME	12
~	• VELOC(1),ACCEV(1),NGRQS(1)	TIME	13
C Officer		TIME	14
Casa	READ TIME STEPPING AND SELECTIVE OUTPUT PARAMETERS	TIME	15
C		TIME	16
	READ (5,902) NSTEP, NOUTD, NOUTP, NREQD, NREQS, NACCE, IFUNC,	TIME	- 17
	• IFIXD, MITER, KSTEP, IPRED	TIME	18
	READ (5,190) DTIME, DTEND, DTREC, AALFA, BEETA, DELTA, GAAMA,	TIME	- 19
	• AZERO, BZERO, OMEGA, TOLER	TIME	20
	WRITE(6,950) NSTEP, NOUTD, NOUTP, NREQD, NREQS, NACCE, IFUNC,	TIME	21
	. IFIXD, MITER, KSTEP, IPRED	TIME	22
	WRITE(6,960) DTIME, DTEND, DTREC, AALFA, BEETA, DELTA, GAAMA,	TIME	23
	• AZERO, BZERO, OMEGA, TOLER	TIME	24
950	D FORMAT(/5X, 'TIME STEPPING PARAMETERS'/	TIME	25
	. /5X, 'NSTEP=', I5, 12X, 'NOUTD=', I5, 12X, 'NOUTP=', I5,/	TIME	26
	• /5X, 'NREQD=', 15, 12X, 'NREQS=', 15, 12X, 'NACCE=', 15,/	TIME	- 27
	. /5X, 'IFUNC=', I5, 12X, 'IFIXD=', I5, 12X, 'MITER=', I5,/	TIME	28
	. /5X,'KSTEP=',I5,12X,'IPRED=',I5)	TIME	29

401

	<b>96</b> 0	FORMAT(/5X, 'DTIME=',G12.4,5X, 'DTEND=',G12.4,5X, 'DTREC=',G12.4,/	TIME	30 31
		$\frac{75}{100} + \frac{75}{100} + 7$	TTME	22
		. /5X, 'GAAMA=', G12.4,5X, 'ALERUE', G12.4,5X, 'DLERUE', G12.4,7 /EX IOMECA-1 G12 # 5Y ITOLER-! G12 #)	TIME	22
c		, / <b>3</b> X, 'UMEUR=', UTZ: 4, <b>3</b> X, 'TOEBN=', UTZ: 4)	TIME	34
2		SELECTED NODES AND GAUSS POINTS FOR OUTPUT	TIME	35
č		SELECTED RODES AND GROUD FORMED FOR COTHER	TIME	36
Ů		READ(5,902) (NPROD(IREQD), IREQD=1, NREQD)	TIME	37
		READ(5,902) (NGROS(IREQS), IREQS=1, NREQS)	TIME	38
		WRITE(6,909)	TIME	39
	909	FORMAT(//5X,41H SELECTIVE OUTPUT REQUESTED FOR FOLLOWING )	TIME	40
		WRITE(6,910) (NPRQD(IREQD), IREQD=1, NREQD)	TIME	41
	910	FORMAT(/,5X,6H NODES,1015)	TIME	42
		WRITE(6,911) (NGRQS(IREQS), IREQS=1, NREQS)	TIME	43
	911	FORMAT(5X,6H G.P., 1015)	TTME	44 45
	902	FUKMAI(1015) FORMAT(8F10, 0)	TIME	46
r	190	FORFIAL (OF 10.4)	TIME	47
Ci	t <del>i</del> i	READ THE INDICATOR FOR EXPLICIT OR IMPLICIT ELEMENT	TIME	48
č		NEAD THE INFINITION IN AN EXCLUSION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	TIME	49
Ŭ		READ (5,902) (INTGR(IELEM), IELEM=1, NELEM)	TIME	50
		WRITE(6,930)	TIME	51
		WRITE(6,902) (INTGR(IELEM), IELEM=1, NELEM)	TIME	52
	930	FORMAT(/5X, ' TYPE OF ELEMENT, IMPLICIT=1, EXPLICIT=2 '/)	TIME	53
C			TIME	54
CI	***	INITIAL DISPLACEMENTS	TIME	- 55
С			TIME	50
		JPOIN=0	TIME	21
		DO 500 IPUINEI, NPUIN	TIME	59
		JPOTN-JPOTN-1	TIME	60
		TDISP(JPOIN)-0	TIME	61
	500	VELOC(JPOIN)=0.	TIME	62
		WRITE(6,903)	TIME	63
	200	READ(5,904) NGASH, XGASH, YGASH	TIME	64
		NPOSN=(NGASH-1)*NDOFN+1	TIME	65
		TDISP(NPOSN)=XGASH	TIME	00 27
		NPOSN=NPOSN+1	TIME	- 68
		IDISE(NEUSN)=IGASE WRITE(6 ODS) NCASE YCASE YCASE	TTME	69
		TE(NGASH NE NPOIN) GO TO 200	TIME	70
С			TIME	71
C	***	INITIAL VELOCITIES	TIME	72
C			TIME	<u>73</u>
		WRITE(6,906)	TIME	74
	210	READ(5,904) NGASH, XGASH, YGASH	TIME	15
		NPOSN=(NGASH-1)*NDOFN+1	TIME TIME	77
		NELUC (NEUSN) = AGAON NECON / EXCADA	TTME	78
		VFLOC(NPOSN)-YCASH	TIME	79
		WRITE(6,905) NGASH, XGASH, YGASH	TIME	80
		IF(NGASH.NE.NPOIN) GO TO 210	TIME	81
	904	FORMAT(15,2F10.5)	TIME	82
	903	FORMAT(//5X,5H NODE,2X,16H INITIAL X-DISP.,2X,	TIME	63
		.16H INITIAL Y-DISP./)	TIME	04
	905	FORMAT(110,2E10.5) FORMAT(//EV EU NODE DY 160 INTITAL Y_VELO DY	TIME	- 86
	300	- 16H INITIAL Y-VELO./)	TIME	87
		IF (IFUNC.NE.O) GO TO 250	TIME	88
C			TIME	89
С	***	READ ACCELEROGRAM DATA ,X-DIREC FROM TAPE 7,Y-DIREC FROM TAPE 12	TIME	90
С			TIME	91
		APACIEDIREC/DTIME	11ME. 47140	92 02
	220	JF(1F1AD-1) 220,230,240 ) READ (7 907)(ACCEH(T) T-1 NACCE)	ገ ተግድ ተተጠና	од ЭЭ
	C.C.U	( hear ////////////////////////////////////		

	WRITE(6,912) DTREC	TIME	95
	WRITE(6,907)(ACCEH(I),I=1,NACCE)	TIME	- 96
	READ(12,907)(ACCEV(I), I=1, NACCE)	TIME	97
	WRITE(6,913) DTREC	TIME	- 98
	WRITE(6,907)(ACCEV(I),I=1,NACCE)	TIME	- 99
	GO TO 250	TIME	100
230	READ(12,907)(ACCEV(I),I=1,NACCE)	TIME	101
	WRITE(6,913) DTREC	TIME	102
	WRITE(6,907)(ACCEV(I),I=1,NACCE)	TIME	103
	GO TO 250	TIME	104
240	READ(7,907) (ACCEH(I),I=1,NACCE)	TIME	105
	WRITE(6,912)	TIME	106
	WRITE(6,907)(ACCEH(I),I=1,NACCE)	TIME	107
907	FORMAT(7F10.3)	TIME	108
-912	FORMAT(/5x, 'HORIZONTAL ACCELERATION ORDINATES AT', F9.4, 2x, 'SEC'/)	TIME	109
913	FORMAT(/5X, 'VERTICAL ACCELERATION ORDINATES AT', F9.4, 2X, 'SEC'/)	TIME	110
250	CONTINUE	TIME	111
_	RETURN	TIME	112
	END	TIME	113

TIME 14-33 Read and write most of the control time integration data.

- TIME 34-46 Read the selective nodal points and integration points for displacement and stress history.
- TIME 54-70 Read initial displacement.
- TIME 71-87 Read initial velocities.
- TIME 89-111 Read appropriate acceleration data.

## **10.6.12** Subroutine INVAR

This routine calculates the stress invariants and yield values for the various yield criteria. The choice of yield criterion is governed by the parameter NCRIT. A similar routine was described in Section 7.8.3.

	SUBROUTINE INVAR (	DEVIA ,LPROP	,NCRIT ,NMATS	, PROPS , SINT3 ,	INVR	1	
~****	*	Sierr ,Siemp	,INCIA ,VARJZ	,IICL/ /		5	
C		***********				2	
6 					TINAL	4	
C## 2	STRESS INVARIANTS				TUAK	Ş	
C					INVR	6	
C####	****	****	***********	*************	INVR	- 7	
	DIMENSION DEVIA(4), PR	OPS(NMATS, 1)	,STEMP(4)		INVR	- 8	
C			•		INVR	- 9	
C###	INVARIANTS				INVR	10	
С					INVR	11	
	ROOT3=1.73205080757				INVR	12	
	SMEAN=(STEMP(1)+STEMP(	2)+STEMP $(4)$ )/	'3.0		INVR	13	
	DEVIA(1)=STEMP(1)-SMEA	N			INVR	14	
	DEVIA(2)=STEMP(2)-SMEA	N			INVR	15	
	DEVIA(3) - STEMP(3)				TNVR	16	
	DFVIA(4) - STEMP(4) - SMEA	N			TNVR	17	
	$Var_12 - DFVTa(2) = DFVTa(2) \cap C = (DFVTa(1) = DFVTa(1) = DFVTa(2) = DFVTTa(2) = DFVTa$						
	$\mathbf{DEVII}(2) \neq \mathbf{DEVII}(2) + \mathbf{DEVII}(1) \neq \mathbf{DEVII}(1) = \mathbf{DEVII}(1) + \mathbf{DEVII}(1) = $						
	VAR.12-DEVIA(L)*(DEVIA)	1)#DEVIA(1)_1	777 788.10)		TNVR	20	
	STEFE-SORT(VAR.12)	-/~DEVIR(4/-)	/MICZ/		TNVR	20	
	TE (VARIO FO O O OR ST		ν <u>Ο</u> ΤΤΟ Ε		TNVD	27	
	41 (VARUZ, EQ. 0.0.01.31	DID//Wab Io#c			THUD	22	
	CO TO 6	1K93/ (VAR92=3)	(err)			23	
					TNUL	24	
					THAD	20	
C	TRATINUE					20	
	Lr(SINTJ.LT1.0) SINI	ئ=−1.0			TNAK	-21	

INVR	28
INVR	29
INVR	30
INVR	-31
INVR	32
INVR	- 33
INVR	34
INVR	35
INVR	36
INVR	37
INVR	- 38
INVR	- 39
INVR	40
INVR	41
INVR	42
TNVR	43
TNVR	_ hH
TNVR	115
TNUD	
TUAK	40
TNAK	47
	INVR INVR INVR INVR INVR INVR INVR INVR

## 10.6.13 Subroutine JACOBD

This subroutine evaluates the deformation Jacobian matrix  $[J_D]_n$  for a particular sampling point within an element.

	SUBROUTINE JACOBD (CARTD , DLCOD , DJACM , NDIME , NLAPS , NNODE )	JACD	1
C###1 C	ŧ#₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	JACD JACD	2
C***	DEFORMATION JACOBIAN	JACD	4
Ċ		JACD	5
C***	***************************************	JACD	6
	DIMENSION CARTD(2,9) ,DLCOD(2,9) ,DJACM(2,2)	JACD	7
	IF(NLAPS.GT.1) GO TO 10	JACD	- 8
С		JACD	- 9
C <b>*₩</b>	FOR SMALL DISPLACEMENT	JACD	10
С		JACD	11
	DJACM(1,1)=1.0	JACD	12
	DJACM(2,2)=1.0	JACD	13
	DJACM(1,2)=0.0	JACD	14
	DJACM(2,1)=0.0	JACD	- 15
	RETURN	JACD	16
С		JACD	-17
C###	FOR LARGE DISPLACEMENT	JACD	18
С		JACD	19
1	O CONTINUE	JACD	20
	DO 20 IDIME=1, NDIME	JACD	21
	DO 20 JDIME=1, NDIME	JACD	- 22
	DJACM(IDIME, JDIME)=0.0	JACD	23
	DO 20 INODE=1, NNODE	JACD	24
	DJACM(IDIME, JDIME)=DJACM(IDIME, JDIME)	JACD	27
~	.+DLCOD(IDIME,INODE)*CARID(JDIME,INODE)	JACD	20
2		JACD	28
		JACD	20
	END	JACD	29

#### 10.6.14 Subroutine LINGNL

This routine calculates the total elastic strain and corresponding elastic stresses at a particular integration point. In this calculation the strains are evaluated using the deformation Jacobian matrix if geometric nonlinear behaviour is to be taken into account.

~

	•	SUBROUTINE LINGNL	(CARTD KGAUS POISS	, DJACM , NDOFN , SHAPE	, DMATX , NLAPS	, ELDIS , NNODE	,GPCOD ,NSTRE	,KGASP , <sup>NTYPE</sup>	, LINR , LINR LINR	1 2 3
C*	****		******	*****	******	*******	******	, *******		- 4
С									LINR	5
C#	¥¥ E	LASTIC STRAIN AND STR	RESSES						LINR	6
С									LINR	7
C#	***	***************************************	********* /// DAN/	11) DM	\$####### \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	**************************************	********	*******		8
		ELDIS(2.0)	, OTRAN(	4),Dmu //\ D10	11A(4,4) 10M(2,2)	ACASE	1(2,2) 1(2,2)	)		10
	1	CPCOD(2 0)	SHAPE(	ייטע, (די ם)	1011(2)2)	, NONDI	1(2,2)	t -	LINR	11
С	•	61 COD(2, 97	JOINTER	77					LINR	12
Č*	** (	ALCULATE STRAINS FROM	1 DEFORM	ATION .	JACOBIAN	1			LINR	13
С									LINR	14
		IF(NLAPS.LT.2) GO TO	15						LINR	15
		STRAN(1)=0.5*(DJACM(	1,1)*DJA	CM(1,1)	)+DJACM(	(2,1)*D.	JACM(2,	1)-1.)	LINR	16
		STRAN(2)=0.5*(DJACM(1))	1,2)*DJA	CM(1,2)	)+DJACM(	(2,2)≭De ED TACM(1	JACM(2,2	2)-1.)		1/ 18
c		SIRAN(S)=DJACM(1,1)*1	JURCHUL	2)+DJA(	M(2,1/*	-DUACH(2	2,27			10
ĉ	***	FOR SMALL DISPLACEMEN	NTS.						L.TNR	20
č									LINR	21
		GO TO 25							LINR	22
	15	CONTINUE							LINR	23
		DO 10 IDOFN=1, NDOFN							LINR	24
		DO TO JUOFN=1,NDOFN								25
		DO 20 INODE-1 NNODE								20
	20	BGASH=BGASH+CARTD(JD)	OFN. TNOD	E) ¥ELD.	IS( TDOF)	N. TNODE	)		LINR	28
	10	AGASH(IDOFN, JDOFN)=BO	GASH		,	·,	•		LINR	29
		STRAN(1)=AGASH(1,1)							LINR	30
		STRAN(2) = AGASH(2,2)							LINR	31
	25	STRAN(3)=AGASH(1,2)+A	AGASH(2,	1)						32
	20	TE(NTYPE IT 2) CO TO	00							ככ ווד
		STRAN(4)=0.0	90						LINR	35
		DO 70 INODE=1, NNODE							LINR	36
	70	STRAN(4)=STRAN(4)+ELI	DIS(1,IN	IODE)*SI	HAPE(ING	DDE)/GP(	COD(1,K	GASP)	LINR	37
		EXTRA=0.0							LINR	38
	0.0	DO 80 INODE=1,NNODE							LINR	39
	80	EXTRA=EXTRA+ELDIS(1,	INODE)*2	SHAPE ( 1)	NODE)/GI	PCOD(1,1	KGASP)			40
	an	SIRAN(4)=SIRAN(4)+0	5*£XIKA*	EXIKA						- 4   - ユク
	30	STRAN(ISTRE)-STRAN(I)	STRE)_ST	RTN(TS	TRE. KGAI	IS)			LINR	42
	50	CONTINUE	01110/01						LINR	44
С	-								LINR	45
C#	** j	AND THE CORRESPONDING	STRESSE	S					LINR	46
С									LINR	47
		DO 30 ISTRE=1,NSTRE								40
		DO 20 ISTRE-1 NETRE							L INK	49
	30	STRES(ISTRE)=STRES(L	STRE)+DM	ATX(TS	TREAST	RE)*STR	AN(JSTR	E)	LINR	50
	50	IF(NTYPE.EO.1) STRES	(4)=0.0						LINR	52
		IF(NTYPE.EQ.2) STRES	(4)=POIS	SS*(STR	ES(1)+S	TRES(2)	)		LINR	53
		RETURN							LINR	54
		END							LINR	55

## 10.6.15 Subroutine LOADPL

This routine reads load data and evaluates the consistent nodal forces associated with thermal loading. A similar routine was described in Section 6.4.5. The additions which are included here have been discussed in detail in the authors' earlier text *Finite Element Programming*.<sup>(7)</sup>

	SUBROUTINE LOADPL (COORD , FORCE , LNODS , MATNO , NDIME , NDO	FN, LOAD	1
	NELEM, NGAUS, NMATS, NNODE, NPOIN, NST	RE, LOAD	2
	WILL PLOOD PLOUD PLUT		С Ц
C#	· ···································	*** LOAD	5
č		LOAD	6
C≇i	** STANDARD LOAD ROUTINE	LOAD	7
С		LOAD	8
C#			9
	$\frac{\text{DIMENSION COORD(NFOIN, 1), OFCOD(2, 9), FOSOF(1), STRAN(4),}{(NODS(NFIFM 1), CARTD(2, 0), WEIGP(1), STRES(4),}$	LOAD 1	1
	PROPS(NMATS, 1), DERIV(2,9), TEMPE(1), NOPRS(3),	LOAD 1	2
	, RLOAD(NELEM, 1), ELCOD(2,9), MATNO(1), DGASH(2),	LOAD 1	13
	. STRIN( $4$ , 1), PRESS(3,2), SHAPE(9), PGASH(2),	LOAD 1	4
	$\frac{\text{DMATX}(4,4),\text{TITLE}(10),\text{POINT}(2),\text{FORCE}(1)}{\text{mignation}}$	LOAD 1	う。 よ
	1W0F1=0+20310530(1(9500 NEVAB-NNODE#NDOEN	LOAD 1	17
	DO 10 IELEM=1.NELEM	LOAD 1	8
	DO 10 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	LOAD 1	9
	10 RLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0	LOAD 2	20
	READ(5,901) TITLE	LOAD 2	?1
	$\frac{901}{\text{PRTTE}(6,002)} \text{ TTTE}$		22 22
	903 FORMAT( $/5X$ , 17HLOAD CASE TITLE = 10A4)	LOAD 2	-Э 2Ц
С		LOAD 2	25
C₩	** READ DATA CONTROLLING LOADING TYPES TO BE INPUTTED	LOAD 2	26
С		LOAD 2	27
	READ (5,919) IPLOD, IGRAV, IEDGE, ITEMP	LOAD 2	28
	990 FORMAT(/5X.21HLOAD INPUT PARAMETERS)	LOAD 2	29 10
	WRITE(6,991) IPLOD.IGRAV.IEDGE.ITEMP	LOAD 3	31
	991 FORMAT(/5X, 12HPOINT LOADS , 15/5X, 12HGRAVITY , 15/	LOAD 3	32
	. 5X, 12HEDGE LOAD , 15/5X, 12HTEMPERATURE , 15)	LOAD 3	33
~	919 FORMAT(1615)	LOAD 3	34
С#-	READ NODAL POINT LOADS		50 26
č	ALLAD NODAL FOINT ECADO	LOAD	;~ 37
	IF(IPLOD.EQ.0) GO TO 500	LOAD 3	38
	WRITE(6,998)	LOAD 3	39
	998 FORMAT(/5X,5H NODE, 10H PX, 10H PY/)	LOAD 4	10
	20 READ (5,931) LODPT, (POINT(IDOFN), IDOFN=1, NDOFN) WRITE(6,022) LODPT (POINT(IDOFN), IDOFN-1, NDOFN)	LOAD 4	+1 いつ
	933 FORMAT(5X, 15, 2G10, 3)		12
	931 FORMAT(15.2F10.3)	LOAD L	<b>1</b> 4
С		LOAD 4	<b>1</b> 5
C#	** ASSOCIATE THE NODAL POINT LOADS WITH AN ELEMENT	LOAD 4	16
C	$N_{0} > 0$ TELEM_1 NELEW	LOAD 4	łγ «Ω
	DO 30 INODE-1 NNODE		10 10
	NLOCA=IABS(LNODS(TELEM. TNODE))	LOAD f	50
	30 IF(LODPT.EQ.NLOCA) GO TO 40	LOAD	51
	40 DO 50 IDOFN=1, NDOFN	LOAD 5	52
	NGASH=(INODE-1)#NDOFN+IDOFN	LOAD	53
	50 RLOAD(IELEM, NGASH)=POINT(IDOFN)	LOAD 5	74 
	IF(LODPT.LT.NPOIN) GO TO 20		22 56
	IF(IGRAV.EO.0) GO TO 600	LOAD	57
С		LOAD	58
C.	*** READ GRAVITY ANGLE AND GRAVITATIONAL CONSTANT	LOAD	59
С		LOAD (	00 ב ז
	READ(5,906) THETA,GRAVY		51 52
	WRITE(6.911) THETA. GRAVY	LOAD (	53
	911 FORMAT(1H0, 16H GRAVITY ANGLE =, F10.3, 19H GRAVITY CONSTANT =,	F10.3)LOAD (	54

	THETA=THETA/57.295779514	LOAD	65
C		LOAD	66
•	DO 90 IELEM=1,NELEM	LOAD	67
C	OFT UN DEFT THTHADY CONOMANTO	LOAD	00
( <b>*</b> **	SET UP PRELIMINARI CONSTANIS		70
L	LPROP-MATNO(TELEM)	LOAD	71
	THICK-PROPS(LPROP. 3)	LOAD	72
	DENSE=PROPS(LPROP.4)	LOAD	73
	IF(DENSE.EQ.0.0) GO TO 90	LOAD	74
	GXCOM=DENSE*GRAVY*SIN(THETA)	LOAD	75
	GYCOM=-DENSE*GRAVY*COS(THETA)	LOAD	76
C		LOAD	77
CHAR	COMPUTE COORDINATES OF THE ELEMENT NODAL POINTS	LUAD	78
ι.	DO 60 INODE-1 NNODE		80
	LNODE=TABS(LNODS(TELEM.INODE))	LOAD	81
	DO 60 TDIME=1. NDIME	LOAD	82
60	D ELCOD(IDIME, INODE)=COORD(LNODE, IDIME)	LOAD	83
С		LOAD	84
C###	ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION	LOAD	85
С		LOAD	86
	KGASP=U	LOAD	87
	DO 80 IGAUS=1, NGAUS	LOAD	88
	DU OU JUAUSEI, NUAUS KCASP-KCASP. 1		09
	FYTSP-POSCP(TCAUS)		01
	ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)	LOAD	92
С		LOAD	93
C###	COMPUTE THE SHAPE FUNCTIONS AT THE SAMPLING POINTS AND ELEMENTAL	LOAD	94
С	VOLUME	LOAD	<u>9</u> 5
С		LOAD	96
	CALL SFR2 (DERIV, NNODE, SHAPE, EXISP, ETASP)	LOAD	97
	CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM,	LOAD	98
	• KGASP, NNOUE, SHAPE)	LOAD	99
	TE(NTYPE EO 1) DVOLUEDVOLUETUECK		100
	TF(NTYPE, FQ, 3) DVOLUEDVOLUETWOPT*GPCOD(1, KGASP)		102
С		LOAD	103
C###	CALCULATE LOADS AND ASSOCIATE WITH ELEMENT NODAL POINTS	LOAD	104
C		LOAD	105
	DO 70 INODE=1, NNODE	LOAD	106
	NGASH=(INODE1)*NDOFN+1	LOAD	107
	MGASH=(INODE-1)*NDOFN+2	LOAD	108
-	RLOAD(IELEM, NGASH)=RLOAD(IELEM, NGASH)+GXCOM*SHAPE(INODE)*DVOLU	LUAD	109
() 0	J RLOAD(IELEM, MGASH)=RLOAD(IELEM, MGASH)+GICUM*SHAPE(INODE)*DVULU		110
0	CONTINUE D CONTINUE		112
60	D CONTINUE	LOAD	113
	IF(IEDGE.EQ.0) GO TO 700	LOAD	114
С		LOAD	115
C###	DISTRIBUTED EDGE LOADS SECTION	LOAD	116
С		LOAD	117
00	READ(5,932) NEDGE	LOAD	118
93	2 FORMAT(15)	LOAD	119
01	WRITELO,912) NEDGE 2 FORMAT(140 SV 214NO OF LOADED EDGES - TS)	LUAD	120
21	<b>WRITERS</b> ( $015$ )		120
91	5 FORMAT(1H0.5X.38HLIST OF LOADED EDGES AND APPLIED LOADS)	LOAD	123
	NODEG=3	LOAD	124
	NCODE=NNODE	LOAD	125
	IF(NNODE.EQ.4) NODEG=2	LOAD	126
~	IF(NNODE.EQ.9) NCODE=8	LOAD	127
U U		LUAD	128

-

C*** L0	OOP OVER EACH LOADED EDGE	LOAD	129
C I	DO 160 IEDGE=1.NEDGE	LOAD	130
С		LOAD	132
C*** RI	EAD DATA LOCATING THE LOADED EDGE AND APPLIED LOAD	LOAD	133
C		LOAD	134
902	READ (5,902) NEASS, (NOPRS(IODEG), IODEG=1, NODEG) FORMAT(415)		135
1	WRITE(6,913) NEASS, (NOPRS(IODEG), IODEG=1, NODEG)	LOAD	137
913 I	FORMAT(110,5X,315)	LOAD	138
]	READ (5,914) ((PRESS(IODEG, IDOFN), IODEG=1, NODEG), IDOFN=1, NDOFN)	LOAD	139
ຳ ວ1ມ I	RATIE(0,914) ((FRESS(IODEG,IDOFN),IODEG=1,NODEG),IDOFN=1,NDOFN)	LOAD	140
1	ETASP=-1.0	LOAD	142
C		LOAD	143
C### C/	ALCULATE THE COORDINATES OF THE NODES OF THE ELEMENT EDGE	LOAD	144
Č I	DO 100 IODEG=1.NODEG	LOAD	145
l	LNODE=NOPRS(IODEG)	LOAD	147
100	DO 100 IDIME=1, NDIME	LOAD	148
100 1	ELCOD(IDIME, IODEG)=COORD(LNODE, IDIME)		149
C*** El	NTER LOOP FOR LINEAR NUMERICAL INTEGRATION	LOAD	150
I	DO 150 IGAUS=1,NGAUS	LOAD	152
ر ا	EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS)	LOAD	153
C*** E1	VALUATE THE SHAPE FUNCTIONS AT THE SAMPLING POINTS		154
c _		LOAD	156
- (	CALL SFR2 (DERIV, NNODE, SHAPE, EXISP, ETASP)	LOAD	157
		LOAD	158
	ALCULATE COMPONENTS OF THE EQUIVALENT NODAL LUADS		159
- 1	DO 110 IDOFN=1, NDOFN	LOAD	161
]	PGASH(IDOFN)=0.0	LOAD	162
1	DGASH(LDOFN)=0.0	LOAD	163
<b>،</b> ا	PGASH(IDOFN)=PGASH(IDOFN)+PRESS(IODEG.IDOFN)*SHAPE( IODEG)	LOAD	165
110 1	DGASH(IDOFN)=DGASH(IDOFN)+ELCOD(IDOFN,IODEG)*DERIV(1,IODEG)	LOAD	166
1	DVOLU=WEIGP(IGAUS)	LOAD	167
	PXCOM=DGASH(1)*PGASH(2)=DGASH(2)*PGASH(1) PYCOM=DGASH(1)*PGASH(1),DCASH(2)*PGASH(2)		160
	IF(NTYPE.NE.3) GO TO 115	LOAD	170
]	RADUS=0.0	LOAD	171
105 1	DO 125 IODEG=1, NODEG	LOAD	172
125 1	RADUS=RADUS+SHAPE(IODEG)*ELCOD(1,IODEG) DVOLU=DVOLU#TWOPT#RADUS		174
115	CONTINUE	LOAD	175
C		LOAD	176
CARA A	SSOCIATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL EDGE LOADS WITH AN ELEMENT	LOAD	177
Č	DO 120 INODE=1.NNODE	LOAD	179
i	NLOCA=IABS(LNODS(NEASS, INODE))	LOAD	180
120	IF(NLOCA.EQ.NOPRS(1)) GO TO 130	LOAD	181
ا 130	JNODE=INODE+NODEG-1 KOUNT=0		182
i	DO 140 KNODE=INODE, JNODE	LOAD	184
I	KOUNT=KOUNT+1	LOAD	185
1	NGASH=(KNODE-1)*NDOFN+1 MGASH=(KNODE-1)*NDOEN+2	LOAD	186
1	IF(KNODE, GT, NCODE) NGASH=1		107 188
-	IF(KNODE.GT.NCODE) MGASH=2	LOAD	189
110	RLOAD(NEASS, NGASH)=RLOAD(NEASS, NGASH)+SHAPE(KOUNT)*PXCOM*DVOLU	LOAD	190
140 1	<pre>ulual(neass,mgash)=kload(neass,mgash)+shape(Kount)*pycom*dvolu continue</pre>		191 102
160 0	CONTINUE	LOAD	193

	700 CONTINUE	LOAD 194
	IF(ITEMP.EQ.0) GO TO 800	LOAD 195
	6	LOAD 196
	CHAR INTTALTOF AND INPUT THE NODAL TEMPERATURES	LOAD 197
		LOAD 198
	DO 170 TPOTN-1 NPOTN	LOAD 199
	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	1040 200
	$\frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{$	
	ATT FORMAT(100 FY COURDESCOTRED NODAL TEMPERATURES)	
	917 FORMAI (HU, 54,29HPRESCRIPED NOAL TEPFERATURES)	
	180 READ (5,916) NODPT, TEMPE (NODPT)	
	WRITE(0,910) NODPT, TEMPE(NODPT)	
	910 FORMAT(15,FTU.3)	
	IF(NODPT.LT.NPOIN) GO TO 180	
	KGAST=0	
	C	LUAD 200
	C*** LOOP OVER EACH ELEMENT	LOAD 209
	C	LOAD 210
	DO 280 IELEM=1,NELEM	LOAD 211
	LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	LOAD 212
	DO 200 INODE=1, NNODE	LOAD 213
	INODE=IABS(LNODS(IELEM.INODE))	LOAD 214
	C	LOAD 215
	C*** IDENTIFY THE COORDINATES AND TEMPERATURE OF EACH ELEMENT NODE	POINTLOAD 210
	C	LOAD 217
	DO 190 IDIME=1.NDIME	LOAD 21
	190 FLCOD(TDIME, INODE) = COORD(LNODE, TDIME)	LOAD 219
	200  FL COD(2  INODE) - TEMPE(I  NODE)	LOAD 220
		LOAD 22
-	C### SET UP MATERIAL PROPERTIES	LOAD 22
		LOAD 22
	CALL MODES (DMATY   PROP. NMATS, NSTRE NTYPE, PROPS)	LOAD 22
	YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP. 1)	LOAD 22
	POTSS-PROPS(LPROP 2)	LOAD 22
	THTCK-PROPS(LPROP 3)	LOAD 22
	ALPHA=PROPS(LPROP_5)	LOAD 22
	C	LOAD 229
	C### ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION	LOAD 23
		LOAD 23
	KGASP-O	
	DO 270 TGaus-1 NGaus	[DAD 23]
	DO 270 IGAUS-1 NOAUS	1001 23
	VCAST_VCAST_1	[ OND 22
	KGASP-KCASP.1	
	SYTSP-POSCO(TCAUS)	
	ETACE_DOSCH(ICAUS)	
	C C	
	CREAT FUALMATE THE SHADE FUNCTIONS AND TEMPEDATURE AT THE SAMPLING D	
	C ELEMENTAL VOLUME AND CADESTAN DEDIVATIVES	יוכ מוחו
	C	10AD 24
	CALL SER2 (DERTY NNODE SHAPE FYISP FTASP)	
	CALL OF RE (DERLY, HODE, SHAFE, EALSI, ETASI)	
	CALL DACODZ (CARID, DERIV, DUACD, ELCOD, GPCOD, LECEN,	
	THERM-0 0	
·	$DO 210 TNODE_1 NNODE$	
	210 THERM-THERM, FLOOD (2 THORE) #SHADE(THORE)	
	DVOLUE-DIACREWETCP(TCAUS) #WETCP(JCAUS)	
	TE(NTYPE FO 1) DVOLLEDVOLUETUTOV	LOAD 24
	TE(NTYPE FO 2) DVOLUEDVOLUETTOOTÉCOCOD(1 KCASP)	
	- C*** FVALILATE THE INITIAL THEDMAL OTDATAIO	LUAD 23
	C STADUATE THE INITIAL INERTAL STRAINS	
	$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{L}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{L}} = \partial $	
	STRAN(1)FIGEN	LUAD 254
	STRAN(2)=-EIGEN	LOAD 25

		STRAN(3)=0.0		259 260
	220	STRAN(1) (1 OFDOLSS) #ELCEN		261
	660	$STRAN(2) = (1 \cap POTSS) \#FIGEN$	LOAD	262
		STRAN(2) = (1.0+10105) = E10EV	LOAD	263
c		DIRAK(J)-010	LOAD	264
с гч		AND THE COPRESPONDING INITIAL STRESSES	LOAD	265
č		RAD THE COMEDICADING INTITLE OTHEODED	LOAD	266
	230	DO 250 ISTRE=1,NSTRE	LOAD	267
		STRES(ISTRE)=0.0	LOAD	268
		DO 240 JSTRE=1,NSTRE	LOAD	269
	240	STRES(ISTRE)=STRES(ISTRE)+DMATX(ISTRE,JSTRE)*STRAN(JSTRE)	LOAD	270
	250	STRIN(ISTRE,KGAST)=STRES(ISTRE)	LOAD	271
		IF(NTYPE.EQ.2) STRIN(4,KGAST)=-YOUNG*EIGEN	LOAD	272
		IF(NTYPE.EQ.1) STRIN(4,KGAST)=0.0	LOAD	273
C			LOAD	274
Ċ1	e## (	CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE	LOAD	275
Ç		ELEMENT NODES	LOAD	276
С			LOAD	277
		EXTRA=0.0	LOAD	278
		DO 260 INODE=1, NNODE	LOAD	279
		IF(NTYPE.EQ.3) EXTRA=DVOLU*SHAPE(INODE)*STRES(4)/GPCOD(1,KGASP)	LOAD	280
		NGASH=(INODE-1)*NDOFN+1	LOAD	281
		MGASH=(INODE-1)*NDOFN+2	LOAD	282
		RLOAD(IELEM, NGASH)=RLOAD(IELEM, NGASH)+EXTRA	LOAD	283
	-	(CARTD(1, INODE)*STRES(1)+CARTD(2, INODE)*STRES(3))*DVOLU	LOAD	284
	260	KLOAD(IELEM, MGASH)=RLOAD(IELEM, MGASH)	LUAD	205
	~~~	(CARID(T,INODE)*SIRES(3)+CARID(2,INODE)*SIRES(2))*DVOLU	LOAD	200
	210		LOAD	287
	200		LOAD	200
c	000	UDITE(6 007)	LOAD	209
č	007	WATIEVU, YU//	LOAD	290
C C	901	FORMATCINU, 5X, 30H IUTAL NUDAL FORCES FOR EACH ELEMENT)	LOAD	291
ĉ	200	DU 290 IELEMEI, NELEM MIDITE(6 qqe) telem (di qad(telem tenad) tenad 1 menad)	LOAD	292
č	290 00E	WALIE(0,900) IELEN, (ALOAD(IELEN, IEVAD), IEVADET, NEVAD)	LUAD	295
Ç	900	$PORTAI(TA, 14, 5A, 0E) \ge 4/(TOA, 0E) \ge 4/7$		294
		KEVAR-O	LOAD	270
		DO 5 INODE-1 NNODE	LOAD	230
		LNODE = LNODE (TELEM, TNODE)	LOAD	208
		NPOSN = (1 NODE - 1) * NDOEN	I OAD	200
		DO 5 TOOFN-1 NDOFN		200
		KEVAB-KEVAB-1	LOAD	301
		NPOSN=NPOSN+1	LOAD	302
		FORCE(NPOSN)=FORCE(NPOSN)+RLOAD(IFLEM KEVAR)	LOAD	202
	5	CONTINUE	LOAD	304
	-	RETURN	LOAD	305
		END	LOAD	306
			0000	200

## 10.6.16 Subroutine LUMASS

This subroutine evaluates the lumped mass vector and consistent mass matrix for the finite element mesh. If INTGR(I) = 1, it generates the consistent mass matrix and if INTGR(I) = 2, it generates a special lumped mass vector. In the special mass lumping scheme which is employed, the diagonal terms of the consistent mass matrix are scaled to preserve the total mass. The element consistent mass matrices are written on tape 3. The consistent mass matrix is not used in DYNPAK.

This subroutine also reads concentrated masses and assembles them into the global diagonal mass vector.

EXPLICIT TRANSIENT DYNAMIC ANALYSIS 411 SUBROUTINE LUMASS (COORD , INTGR , LNODS , MATNO , NCONM , NDIME , MASS 1 NDOFN ,NELEM ,NGAUM ,NMATS ,NNODE ,NPOIN , NTYPE ,PROPS ,YMASS ) 2 MASS MASS 3 MASS 4 MASS 5 C С **\*\*\*** CALCULATES LUMPED MASS FOR 4 , 8 AND 9 NODED ELEMENT MASS 6 С MASS 7 MASS 8 DIMENSION COORD(NPOIN, 1), ELCOD(2,9), DIAGM(9), POSGP(4), MASS 9 LNODS(NELEM, 1), CARTD(2,9), SHAPE(9), WEIGP(4), MASS 10 PROPS(NMATS,1) ,GPCOD(2,9) ,MATNO(1) ,YMASS(1) , MASS 11 171) ,DERIV(2,9) ,INTGR(1) EMASS( MASS 12 C MASS 13 MASS REWIND 3 14 TWOPI=6.283185307179586 MASS 15 MASS NEVAB=NNODE\*NDOFN 16 MASS NTOTV=NPOIN\*NDOFN 17 DO 500 ITOTV =1.NTOTV MASS 18 MASS 500 YMASS(ITOTV)=0.0 19 CALL GAUSSQ (NGAUM , POSGP , WEIGP ) MASS 20 DO 100 IELEM=1, NELEM MASS 21 MASS DO 5 IEVAB=1,171 22 5 EMASS(IEVAB)=0.0 MASS 23 IMASS=INTGR(IELEM) MASS 24 MASS 25 KGASP=0 MASS 26 TAREA=0.0 LPROP=MATNO(IELEM) MASS 27 MASS 28 THICK=PROPS(LPROP,3) 29 RHOEL=PROPS(LPROP, 4) MASS DO 10 INODE=1.NNODE MASS 30 DIAGM(INODE)=0.0 MASS 31 LNODE=LNODS(IELEM, INODE) MASS 32 DO 10 IDIME=1,NDIME MASS 33 ELCOD(IDIME, INODE) = COORD(LNODE, IDIME) MASS 34 **10 CONTINUE** MASS 35 DO 70 IGAUS=1,NGAUM MASS 36 EXISP=POSGP(IGAUS) MASS 37 DO 70 JGAUS=1,NGAUM MASS 38 MASS KGASP=KGASP+1 39 ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS) MASS 40 CALL (DERIV, NNODE, SHAPE, EXISP, ETASP) MASS 41 SFR2 CALL 42 JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD, IELEM, MASS KGASP, NNODE, SHAPE) MASS 43 DVOLU=DJACB\*WEIGP(IGAUS)\*WEIGP(JGAUS) MASS <u>ЪЦ</u> IF(NTYPE.EQ.1) DVOLU=DVOLU\*THICK 45 MASS IF(NTYPE.EQ.3) DVOLU=DVOLU\*TWOPI\*GPCOD(1,KGASP) 46 MASS IF(IMASS.EQ.1) GO TO 210 MASS 47 DO 20 INODE=1, NNODE MASS 48 SHAPI=SHAPE(INODE) MASS 49 20 DIAGM(INODE)=DIAGM(INODE)+SHAPI\*SHAPI\*DVOLU MASS 50 TAREA=TAREA+DVOLU MASS 51 210 IF(IMASS.EQ.2) GO TO 70 MASS 52 DVOLU=DVOLU#RHOEL MASS 53 MASS 54 IEVAB=1 MASS 55 KOUNT=NEVAB MASS 56 DO 30 INODE=1, NNODE MASS 57 SHAPI=SHAPE(INODE) MASS DO 60 JNODE=INODE, NNODE DMASS=DVOLU\*SHAPI\*SHAPE(JNODE) 58 MASS 59 MASS 60 EMASS(IEVAB)=EMASS(IEVAB)+DMASS MASS 61 JEVAB=IEVAB+KOUNT EMASS(JEVAB)=EMASS(JEVAB)+DMASS MASS 62 60 IEVAB=IEVAB+2 MASS 63 MASS 64 KOUNT=KOUNT-2

		IEVAB=JEVAB+1	MASS	65
	30	CONTINUE	MASS	00
_	70	CONTINUE	DENM	68
Č		UNTERS CONSTRUCTION MADE MATRIX ON TARE 2	22AM	60
C#	**	WRITES CONSISTENT MASS MATRIX ON TAPE 3	22AM	70
Ç			22AM	71
		1F(IMASS.EQ.2) 60 10 200	PROD	72
~		WRITE(3) EMASS $(T)$ T 1 171)	22MM	72
C	200	WRITE(0,90) (EMASS(1),1=1,1(1)) $TE(TWASS(E0,1), CO(TO(100))$	MASS	74
c	200	Ir(IMASS.EW.17 00 10 100	MASS	75
č	***	CENERATES LUMPED MASS MATRIX PROPORTIONAL TO DIAGONAL	MASS	76
C C	~~~	GENERATES LOW ED PROS MATRIX TROTORITORAL TO DINGOMAL	MASS	77
Ŷ		SUMAS=0.	MASS	78
		DO 40 INODE=1.NNODE	MASS	79
	40	SUMAS=SUMAS+DIAGM(INODE)	MASS	80
		TAREA=TAREA*RHOEL	MASS	81
		SUMAS=TAREA/SUMAS	MASS	82
		DO 50 INODE=1, NNODE	MASS	- 83
		LNODE=LNODS(IELEM, INODE)	MASS	84
		IPOSN=(LNODE-1)*NDOFN	MASS	85
		DO 50 IDOFN=1,NDOFN	MASS	86
		IPOSN=IPOSN+1	MASS	- 87
		YMASS(IPOSN)=YMASS(IPOSN)+DIAGM(INODE)*SUMAS	MASS	88
	50	CONTINUE	MASS	89
	90	FORMAT(2X,9E12.3)	MASS	90
~	100	CONTINUE	MASS	91
C			MASS	92
C		CONCENTRATED MASSES	MASS	95
C			MASS	94
		LF(NCONM.EQ.U) KEIUKN	MAGG	90
		WRITE(0,900)	MACO	90
		DU 52U ICUNMET, NCUNM READLE 010) IDOIN YOUAS YOMAS	D2AM 22AM	97
	000	COMMT(EX 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	22AN	00
	900	UNTER ( OTO) TROTH VONAS VONAS	22AM	100
		NPOSN-(TPOTN, 1) #NDOEN, 1	MASS	101
			MASS	102
		NDOSN_NPOSN_1	MASS	103
		YMASS(NPOSN)=YMASS(NPOSN)+YCMAS	MASS	104
	520	CONTINUE	MASS	105
С		WRITE(6.90) (YMASS(I), I=1, NTOTV)	MASS	106
-	910	FORMAT(15.2F10.3)	MASS	107
	2.0	RETURN	MASS	108
		END	MASS	109

MASS 24	Sets indicator for mass matrix evaluation. $INTGR(I) = 1$
	for the consistent mass matrix and $INTGR(I) = 2$ for the
	special lumped mass vector.
MASS 35	52 Evaluate the diagonal element of the consistent mass matrix
	DIAGM.
MASS 53-	63 Evaluates the element consistent mass matrix.
MASS 72	Writes element consistent mass matrix on tape 3.
<b>MASS 78-</b> -	80 Evaluates ELMAS, the sum of the diagonal elements.
MASS 81	Determines the total element mass from the element volume
	TAREA and mass density RHOEL.

- MASS 83-89 Scales the diagonal terms using the factor TAREA/ELMAS to preserve element mass and assembles the result into diagonal mass vector YMASS.
- MASS 95-107 Reads the concentrated masses and assembles them into YMASS.

#### 10.6.17 Subroutine MODPS

This subroutine evaluates the elasticity matrix and has been described earlier in Chapter 6. The only changes involved are given below.

	SUBROUTINE	MODPS	(DMATX	,LPROP	, NMATS	NSTRE	,NTYPE	, PROPS	) MODP	1
C##### C C ##	ELASTICITY	D MATRIX	*****	******	******	******	*******	*******	MODP MODP MODP	2 3 4
C C####!	DIMENSION I	********** DMATX(4,4);	****** PROPS(1	****** MATS,1	******* )	******	<b></b>	******	MODP MODP MODP	5 6 7

## 10.6.18 Subroutine NODXYR

It calculates (r, z) coordinates from  $(R, \Theta)$  coordinates for axisymmetric problems. If coordinates of midside nodes are not read, it evaluates them by linear interpolation. An almost identical subroutine was described in Chapter 6.

SUBROUTINE NODXYR (COORD, LNODS, NELEM, NNODE, NPOIN, NRADS, NTYPE)	NODX	1
C#####################################	NODX	2
Ċ	NODX	- 3
C*** INTERPOLATION OF MIDSIDE AND CENTER NODES	NODX	4
C	NODX	- 5
C#####################################	NODX	6
DIMENSION COORD(NPOIN, 1), LNODS(NELEM, 1)	NODX	- 7
C	NODX	8
IF(NTYPE.NE.3.OR.NRADS.EQ.0) GO TO 40	NODX	- 9
C	NODX	10
C*** CHANGE POLAR COORDINATES TO CARTISIAN	NODX	11
DO 50 IPOIN=1.NPOIN	NODX	12
RADDI=COORD(IPOIN,1)	NODX	13
THETA=COORD(IPOIN.2)	NODX	14
THETA=0.017453292*THETA	NODX	- 15
COORD(IPOIN, 1)=RADDI*SIN(THETA)	NODX	16
50 COORD(IPOIN.2)=RADDI*COS(THETA)	NODX	- 17
C	NODX	18
40 IF(NNODE.EQ.4) RETURN	NODX	19
C	NODX	20
LNODE = NNODE - 1	NODX	21
DO 30 IELEM=1, NELEM	NODX	-22
C*** LOOP OVER EACH ELEMENT EDGE	NODX	23
DO 20 INODE=1, NNODE, 2	NODX	24
IF(INODE.EQ.9) GO TO 20	NODX	25
C*** COMPUTE THE NODE NUMBER OF THE FIRST NODE	NODX	26
NODST=LNODS(IELEM, INODE)	NODX	27
IGASH=INODE+2	NODX	28
IF(IGASH.GT.LNODE) IGASH=1	NODX	- 29
C*** COMPUTE THE NODE NUMBER OF THE LAST NODE	NODX	30
NODFN=LNODS(IELEM, IGASH)	NODX	-31
MIDPT=INODE+1	NODX	32

C##		COMPUTE THE NODE NUMBER OF THE INTERMEDIATE NODE	NODX	- 33
		NODMD=LNODS(IELEM, MIDPT)	NODX	34
		TOTAL=ABS(COORD(NODMD, 1))+ABS(COORD(NODMD, 2))	NODX	35
C##	HE	IF THE COORDINATES OF THE INTERMEDIATE NODE ARE BOTH ZERO	NODX	- 36
C		INTERPOLATE BY A STRAIGHT LINE	NODX	- 37
		IF(TOTAL.GT.0.0) GO TO 20	NODX	- 38
		KOUNT=1	NODX	- 39
	10	COORD(NODMD,KOUNT)=(COORD(NODST,KOUNT)+COORD(NODFN,KOUNT))/2.0	NODX	40
		KOUNT=KOUNT+1	NODX	- 41
		IF(KOUNT.EQ.2) GO TO 10	NODX	42
	20	CONTINUE	NODX	43
	30	CONTINUE	NODX	-44
	-	RETURN	NODX	45
		END	NODX	46

#### **10.6.19** Subroutine OUTDYN

This routine writes out most of the output on the line printer and on various tapes for plotting purposes. It outputs the displacements and stresses every NOUTP steps. It also writes the displacement and stress histories of specified nodal and integration points at every NOUTP steps. The complete state of displacements is also written on tape 13 for a deformation plot. The complete state of the stresses is written on tape 4. The principal stresses and their directions are also calculated and output.

```
(DISPL , DTIME , ISTEP , NDOFN , NELEM , NGAUS ,
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                                1
      SUBROUTINE OUTDYN
                            NGRQS ,NOUTD ,NOUTP ,NPOIN ,NPRQD ,NREQD ,NREQS ,NTYPE ,STRSG ,TDISP ,VIVEL )
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                                2
                                                                                3
                                                                         OUTP
                      *********
                                                                                4
C#######
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                                5
6
                                                                         OUTP
С
                                                                         OUTP
C## OUTPUT ROUTINE
                                                                                7
                                                                         OUTP
C
8
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                                9
                                                                         OUTP
      DIMENSION STRSG(4,1) ,DISPL(1) ,NPRQD(1) ,STRSP(3) ,
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                               10
                VIVEL(5,1) ,TDISP(1) ,NGRQS(1)
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                               11
      NSTR1=4
      KSTEP=ISTEP
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                               12
      MGAUS=NELEM*NGAUS*NGAUS
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                               13
                                                                               14
                                                                         OUTP
      IF(ISTEP.EQ.1) WRITE(10.925)
                                                                               15
                                                                         OUTP
      TTIME=TTIME+DTIME
С
                                                                               16
                                                                         OUTP
C ###
                                                                               17
       WRITES DISPLACEMENT HISTORY AT REQUESTED NODAL POINTS ON TAPE 10 OUTP
C ###
                                                                               18
       AND STRESS HISTORY AT REQUESTED GAUSS POINTS AT EVERY NOUTD STEPSOUTP
                                                                               19
С
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                               20
      KOUNT=0
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                               21
      KOUTD=(ISTEP/NOUTD)*NOUTD
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                               22
      IF(KOUTD.NE.ISTEP) GO TO 510
                                                                               23
                                                                         OUTP
      DO 500 IPOIN=1,NPOIN
                                                                               24
      DO 500 IREQD=1, NREQD
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                               25
      IF(IPOIN.NE.NPRQD(IREQD)) GO TO 500
                                                                         OUTP
      NPOSN=(IPOIN-1)*NDOFN+1
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                               26
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                               27
      NPOSM=NPOSN+1
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                               28
      KOUNT=KOUNT+1
                                                                               29
                                                                         OUTP
      DISPL(KOUNT)=TDISP(NPOSN)
                                                                               30
                                                                         OUTP
      KOUNT=KOUNT+1
                                                                               31
                                                                         OUTP
      DISPL(KOUNT)=TDISP(NPOSM)
                                                                               32
                                                                         OUTP
  500 CONTINUE
                                                                         OUTP
                                                                               33
      WRITE(10,960) (DISPL(IKOUN), IKOUN=1, KOUNT), TTIME
```

		DO 520 TCAUS-1 MGAUS	OUTP	34
		DO EDO TEROS-1 NEROS	OUTP	25
		TE(TCAUS NE NCROS(TREOS)) CO TO 520	OUTP	36
		$Ir(IOROS.NE, NORES(IREES)) OO IO DEO UDITE(11 OEO) (CTDSC(ISTD1 ICAUS) ISTD1_1 NSTD1) ($		27
		WRITE(11,950) (SIROG(ISIRI,IGROS),ISIRI-1,ROIRI)		28
	520			20
	510	KOUID=(KSIEF/NOUIP)#NOUIP		22
		IF(KOUTD.NE.KSTEP) RETURN		40
		XTIME=FLOAT(KSTEP) *DTIME		41
	_	WRITE(6,604) KSTEP, XTIME	OUTP	42
	604	FORMAT(//5X,28H DISPLACEMENTS AT TIME STEP, 110,5X,5HTIME, E20.11)	OUTP	43
C				44
C	***	REARRANGE DISPLACEMENT VECTOR		45
С				40
		NODEI=0	OUTP	47
		DO 550 IPOIN=1,NPOIN		40
		DO 550 IDOFN=1,NDOFN	OUTP	49
		NODEI=NODEI+1	OUTP	50
		DISPL(NODEI)=TDISP(NODEI)		51
	550	CONTINUE	OUTP	52
С			OUTP	- 53
C	** (	XUTPUT DISPLACEMENTS	OUTP	54
С			OUTP	55
	925	FORMAT(5X, ' DISPLACEMENTS ')	OUTP	56
		WRITE(6,990)	OUTP	-57
	990	FORMAT(/3(1X, 'NNODE', 3X, 'X-DISP', 6X, 'Y-DISP', 3X)/)	OUTP	-58
		DO 560 IPOIN=1,NPOIN,3	OUTP	59
		NGASI=NDOFN#IPOIN-1	OUTP	60
		NGASJ=NGASI+NDOFN	OUTP	61
		NGASK=NGASJ+NDOFN	OUTP	62
		MGASI=NGASI+1	OUTP	63
		MGASJ=NGASJ+1	OUTP	64
		MGASK=NGASK+1	OUTP	65
		JPOIN=IPOIN+1	OUTP	66
		KPOIN=JPOIN+1	OUTP	67
С			OUTP	68
С	***	WRITES DISPLACEMENTS ON TAPE 13 FOR DEFORMATION PLOT	OUTP	69
С			OUTP	70
		WRITE(13,910) IPOIN ,(DISPL(IGASI),IGASI=NGASI,MGASI)	OUTP	71
		IF(JPOIN.GT.NPOIN) GO TO 200	OUTP	72
		WRITE(13,910) JPOIN ,(DISPL(IGASJ),IGASJ=NGASJ,MGASJ)	OUTP	73
		IF(KPOIN.GT.NPOIN) GO TO 200	OUTP	74
		WRITE(13,910) KPOIN ,(DISPL(IGASK),IGASK=NGASK,MGASK)	OUTP	75
	200	CONTINUE	OUTP	76
C			OUTP	-77
Ç	***	WRITES DISPLACEMENTS ON OUTPUT FILE	OUTP	78
С	-		OUTP	- 79
	560	WRITE(6,920) IPOIN, DISPL(NGASI), DISPL(MGASI),	OUTP	80
		. JPOIN, DISPL(NGASJ), DISPL(MGASJ),	OUTP	81
_		. KPOIN, DISPL(NGASK), DISPL(MGASK)	OUTP	82
ç			OUTP	83
С	***	WRITES STRESSES ON OUTPUT FILE	OUTP	84
С			OUTP	85
		WRITE(6,900)	OUTP	86
		IF(NTYPE.NE.3) WRITE(6,970)	OUTP	- 87
	970	FORMAT(1H0,1X,4HG.P.,6X,9HXX-STRESS,5X,9HYY-STRESS,5X,9HXY-STRESS,	OUTP	- 88
		.5X,9HZZ_STRESS,6X,8HMAX P.S.,6X,8HMIN P.S.,3X,5HANGLE,3X,6H P.S.)	OUTP	89
		LF(NTYPE.EQ.3) WRITE(6,975)	OUTP	- 90
	975	FORMAT(1H0,1X,4HG.P.,6X,9HRR-STRESS,5X,9HZZ-STRESS,5X,9HRZ-STRESS,	OUTP	91
	I	.5X,9HTT-STRESS,6X,8HMAX P.S.,6X,8HMIN P.S.,3X,5HANGLE,3X,6H P.S.)	OUTP	92
		KGAUS=0	OUTP	- 93
		DO 570 IELEM=1,NELEM	OUTP	94
			OUTP	- 95
	<b>~</b> ~-	WKITE(0,930) IELEM	OUTP	96
	930	FORMAT(1HO,5X,13HELEMENT NO. =,15)	OUTP	- 97

		DO 570 IGAUS=1, NGAUS	OUTP	98
		DO 570 JGAUS=1, NGAUS	OUTP	- 99
		KGAUS=KGAUS+1	OUTP	100
		KELGS=KELGS+1	OUTP	101
		XGASH=(STRSG(1.KGAUS)+STRSG(2.KGAUS))#0.5	OUTP	102
		XGTSH=(STRSG(1,KGAUS)=STRSG(2,KGAUS))#0.5	OUTP	103
		XGESH=STRSG(3,KGAUS)	OUTP	104
		XGOSH=SORT(XGISH#XGISH+XGESH#XGESH)	OUTP	105
		STRSP(1)=XGASH+XGOSH	OUTP	106
		STRSP(2)=XGASH-XGOSH	OUTP	107
		TF(XGISH, EQ, 0, 0) XGISH=0, 1E=20	OUTP	108
		STRSP(3)=ATAN(XGESH/XGISH)*28,647889757	OUTP	109
С			OUTP	110
Ċ	***	WRITES COMPLETE STRESS STATE ON TAPE 4	OUTP	111
Ċ			OUTP	112
		WRITE(4,950) (STRSG(ISTR1,KGAUS),ISTR1=1,NSTR1),	OUTP	113
		.(STRSP(ISTRE),ISTRE=1,3)	OUTP	114
	570	WRITE(6,940) KELGS, (STRSG(ISTR1, KGAUS), ISTR1=1, NSTR1),	OUTP	115
		.(STRSP(ISTRE),ISTRE=1,3),VIVEL(5,KGAUS)	OUTP	116
	980	FORMAT(1X,6012)	OUTP	117
	960	FORMAT(1X, 10E11.4)	OUTP	118
	950	FORMAT (7E10.4)	OUTP	119
	940	FORMAT(15,2X,6E14.6,F8.3,E14.6)	OUTP	120
	900	FORMAT(/, 10X, 8HSTRESSES, /)	OUTP	121
	920	FORMAT(3(1X,15,2E12.5))	OUTP	122
	910	FORMAT(15,2E15.6)	OUTP	123
		RETURN	OUTP	124
		END	OUTP	125

# 10.6.20 Subroutine PREVOS

This routine reads and write the initial forces and stresses.

	SUBROUTINE PREVOS (FORCE , NDOFN , NELEM , NGAUS , NPOIN , NPREV ,	PREV	1
	. STRIN )	PREV	2
C####	₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	PREV	- 3
C		PREV	- 4
C###	GRAVITY LOADS AND STRESSES	PREV	5
С		PREV	6
C####	***************************************	PREV	- 7
	DIMENSION FORCE(1), STRIN(4,1)	PREV	- 8
C	- , ,	PREV	9
	IF(NPREV.EQ.O) RETURN	PREV	10
С		PREV	11
	NSTR1=4	PREV	12
	NGAU2=NGAUS#NGAUS	PREV	13
С		PREV	14
C###	READ GRAVITY LOADS	PREV	- 15
С		PREV	16
	WRITE(6,920)	PREV	17
920	FORMAT(//4X,6H NODE ,17H GRAVITY X-LOAD: ,17H GRAVITY Y-LOAD: /)	PREV	18
200	READ (5,900) NGASH, XGASH, YGASH	PREV	19
900	FORMAT(15,4F10.3)	PREV	20
910	FORMAT(110,4E18.5)	PREV	21
	NPOSN=(NGASH-1)#NDOFN+1	PREV	22
	FORCE(NPOSN)=XGASH	PREV	23
	NPOSN=NPOSN+1	PREV	24
	FORCE(NPOSN)=YGASH	PREV	25
	WRITE(6,910) NGASH, XGASH, YGASH	PREV	20
~	IF (NGASH.NE.NPOIN) GU IU 200	PREV	21
		PAEV	20
C==#	KLAD GRAVITI STRESS	PKEV	29
C I		FALV	30

	WRITE(6,930)	PREV	-31
930	FORMAT(//2X,9HGAUSS PT., 17H GRAVITY X-STRESS, 17H GRAVITY Y-STRESS,	PREV	32
•	18H GRAVITY XY-STRESS, 17H GRAVITY Z-STRESS/)	PREV	- 33
	DO 500 IELEM=1, NELEM	PREV	- 34
	DO 500 IGAUS=1,NGAU2	PREV	35
	READ(5,900) KGAUS, (STRIN(ISTRI, KGAUS), ISTRI=1, NSTR1)	PREV	- 36
500	<pre>WRITE(6,910)KGAUS,(STRIN(ISTRI,KGAUS),ISTRI=1,NSTR1)</pre>	PREV	37
	RETURN	PREV	-38
	END	PREV	- 39

#### 10.6.21 Subroutine RESVPL

This routine evaluates the internal resisting force vector

$$\boldsymbol{p}_n = \int_{\Omega} [\boldsymbol{B}]_n \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n \, d\Omega.$$

It is very similar to the routine described in Section 8.8.

SUBROUTINE RESVPL (COORD , DTIME , LNODS , MATNO , NCRIT , NDIME , RESD 1 NDOFN ,NELEM ,NGAUS ,NLAPS ,NNODE ,NMATS NPOIN ,NSTRE ,NTYPE ,POSGP ,PROPS ,RESID RESD 2 1 RESD 3 RLOAD ,STRIN ,STRSG ,TDISP ,VISTN ,VIVEL , RESD 4 WEIGP ) RESD 5 RESD 6 7 С RESD C### 8 EVALUATION OF INTEGRAL (B) ##T#(SIGMA) RESD С RESD 9 RESD 10 DIMENSION COORD(NPOIN, 1), DERIV(2,9), DJACM(2,2), AVECT(4), MATNO(1), RESD 11 PROPS(NMATS,1),DLCOD(2,9),STRIN(4,1),DEVIA(4),TDISP(1), RESD 12 LNODS(NELEM, 1), GPCOD(2, 9), STRSG(4, 1), STRAN(4), POSGP(1),13 RESD RLOAD(NELEM, 1), CARTD(2,9), VISTN(4, 1), STRES(4), WEIGP(1), 14 RESD 15 DMATX( 4,4),ELCOD(2,9),VIVEL(5,1),SHAPE(9),RESID(1), RESD BMATX( 4,18),ELDIS(2,9),DESTN( 4) RESD 16 KGAUS=0 RESD 17 NSTR1=4 RESD 18 NEVAB=NNODE\*NDOFN RESD 19 NTOTV=NPOIN#NDOFN RESD 20 TWOPI=6.283185307179586 RESD 21 DO 530 IELEM=1, NELEM RESD 22 DO 540 IEVAB=1,NEVAB RESD 23 540 RLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0 24 RESD **530 CONTINUE** RESD 25 DO 510 ITOTV=1,NTOTV RESD 26 510 RESID(ITOTV)=0.0RESD 27 С RESD 28 C### LOOP OVER ALL THE ELEMENTS RESD 29 Ċ RESD 30 DO 20 IELEM=1, NELEM RESD 31 LPROP=MATNO(IELEM) RESD 32 THICK=PROPS(LPROP, 3) RESD 33 POISS=PROPS(LPROP,2) RESD 34 FRICT=PROPS(LPROP.8) RESD 35 С 36 RESD C\*\*\* COMPUTE NEW COORDINATES AND DISPLACEMENTS OF THE RESD 37 C ELEMENT NODAL POINTS RESD 38 С RESD 39 DO 30 INODE =1, NNODE 40 RESD LNODE=IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE)) RESD 41 NPOSN=(LNODE-1)\*NDOFN RESD 42

		DO 30 IDOFN=1,NDOFN	RESD	43
		NPOSN=NPOSN+1	RESD	44
		ELCOD(IDOFN, INODE) = COORD(INODE, IDOFN) DI COD(IDOFN, INODE) = COORD(INODE, IDOFN), IDISE(NEOSN)	REOD	45
	30	FLDIS(TDOFN, INODE)=COUND(ENODE, IDOFN)+IDIS((NIOSN)	RESD	40
	50	CALL MODPS (DMATX, LPROP, NMATS, NSTRE, NTYPE, PROPS)	RESD	48
		KGASP=0	RESD	49
		DO 40 IGAUS=1, NGAUS	RESD	50
		DO 40 JGAUS=1, NGAUS	RESD	51
		KGASE-KGASE+1	RESD	- 22 - 53
		EXTSP-POSGP(IGAUS)	RESD	54
		ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)	RESD	55
С			RESD	56
		CALL SFR2 (DERIV, NNODE, SHAPE, EXISP, ETASP)	RESD	57
		CALL JACOB2 (CARTD , DERIV , DJACB , ELCOD , GPCOD , TELEM KGASP NNODE , SHAPE )	RESD	- 50 - 50
	•	CALL JACOBD (CARTD ,DLCOD ,DJACM ,NDIME ,NLAPS ,NNODE )	RESD	60
		DVOLU=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)	RESD	61
		IF(NTYPE.EQ.1) DVOLU=DVOLU*THICK	RESD	62
		IF(NTYPE.EQ.3) DVOLU=DVOLU*TWOPI*GPCOD(1,KGASP)	RESD	- 63 - 63
		CALL BLARGE (BMAIX, CARID, DJACM, DLCOD, GPCOD, KCASP, NLAPS, NNODE, NTYPE, SHAPE, )	RESD	- 04 65
	•	CALL LINGNL (CARTD , DJACM , DMATX , ELDIS , GPCOD , KGASP,	RESD	66
		KGAUS , NDOFN , NLAPS , NNODE , NSTRE , NTYPE,	RESD	67
~	•	, POISS ,SHAPE ,STRAN ,STRES ,VISTN )	RESD	68 60
C		DO 580 ISTR1-1 NSTR1	RESD	09 70
F	580	STRES(ISTR1)=STRES(ISTR1)+STRIN(ISTR1,KGAUS)	RESD	71
-		DO 570 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	RESD	72
<u></u>	570	STRSG(ISTR1,KGAUS)=STRES(ISTR1)	RESD	73
C		TE (NI APS EO 2 OR NI APS EO 0) CO TO 200	RESD	74
С		10 (NLAPS.EQ.2.08.NLAPS.EQ.0) GO 10 200	RESD	76
•		CALL INVAR (DEVIA, LPROP, NCRIT, NMATS, PROPS, SINT3, STEFF,	RESD	77
		STRES, THETA, VARJ2, YIELD)	RESD	78
		CALL YIELDF (AVECT, DEVIA, FRICT, NCRIT, SINT3, STEFF, THETA, VARJ2)	RESD	79
		STEFF.VIVEL.YIELD)	RESD	81
С			RESD	82
C#1	ŧ.	VISCOPLASTIC STRAIN INCREMENT AND A MEASURE FOR HARDENING	RESD	83
Ç			RESD	84
		DU DU ISIRT=1,NSTR1 DESTN(ISTR1)-VIVEL(ISTR1.KGAUS)*DTIME	RESD	- 85 - 86
	60	VISTN(ISTR1,KGAUS)=VISTN(ISTR1,KGAUS)+DESTN(ISTR1)	RESD	87
		DEBAR=SQRT((2.0*(DESTN(1)*DESTN(1)+DESTN(2)*DESTN(2)+	RESD	88
	•	DESTN(4)*DESTN(4))+DESTN(3)*DESTN(3))/3.0)	RESD	89
с		VIVEL(5,KGAUS)=DEBAR	RESD	90
Č#I	H#	COMPUT INT(B**T*SIGMA) ON ELEMENT LEVEL	RESD	92
С			RESD	93
2	200	CONTINUE	RESD	94
		KEVABEU DO EO2 INODE-1 NNODE	RESD	95 24
		DO 502 INODE=1, NNODE DO 502 IDOFN-1, NDOFN	RESD	90 07
		KEVAB=KEVAB+1	RESD	- 98
_		DO 501 ISTRE=1,NSTRE	RESD	99
	501	KLUAD(IELEM, KEVAB)=RLOAD(IELEM, KEVAB)+	RESD	100
- 6	502	CONTINUE	RESD	101
-	40	CONTINUE	RESD	107
~	20	CONTINUE	RESD	104
C	**	ASSEMBLY OF RESTA VECTOR	RESD	105
· • - '		NOCHDET OF REGID VECTOR	LCJU	100

a.	r	•	
ų	Ĺ		
	7		

•

		RESD	107
	DO 500 IELEM=1.NELEM	RESD	108
	KEVAB=0	RESD	109
	DO 500 INODE=1, NNODE	RESD	110
	LNODE=LNODS(IELEM, INODE)	RESD	111
	NPOSN=(LNODE-1)*NDOFN	RESD	112
	DO 500 IDOFN=1, NDOFN	RESD	113
	KEVAB=KEVAB+1	RESD	114
	NPOSN=NPOSN+1	RESD	115
	RESID(NPOSN)=RESID(NPOSN)+RLOAD(IELEM,KEVAB)	RESD	116
500	CONTINUE	RESD	117
	RETURN	RESD	118
	END	RESD	119

Call LINGNL to determine the state of stress at the current
Gauss point.
Call INVAR to evaluate stress invariants at the current
Gauss point.
Call YIELDF to select the yield function and calculate the a
vector.
Call FLOWVP to define the rate of viscoplastic straining
VIVEL if the stress point is outside the current yield surface.
Evaluate the increments of viscoplastic strains DESTN.
Evaluate the viscoplastic strains $(\epsilon_{vp})_{n+1}$ for the next time
station $t_n + \Delta t$ , VISTN.
Determine a measure of hardening for the current yield
surface.
Evaluate $p_n^{(e)}$ at the element level, RLOAD.
Assemble $p_n$ , RESID.

# **10.6.22** Subroutine YIELDF

This subroutine selects the yield function and calculates the vector a (AVECT) and is almost identical to the version described in Section 7.8.4.1.

SUBROUTINE YIELDF (AVECT ,DEVIA ,FRICT ,NCRIT ,SINT3 ,STEFF ,	YELD	1
THETA ,VARJ2 )	YELD	2
C $\frac{1}{2}$ SELECTS VIELD EUNCTION AND CALCULATES VECTOR LAVECT I	YELD	3 4 5
	YELD	6
DIMENSION AVECT(4) ,DEVIA(4) ,VECA1(4) ,VECA2(4) ,VECA3(4) IF(STEFF.EQ.0.0) RETURN	YELD YELD YELD	8 9
NSTR1=4	YELD	10
TANTH=TAN(THETA)	YELD	11
SINTH=SIN(THETA)	YELD	12
COSTH=COS(THETA)	YELD	13
COST3=COS(3.0*THETA)	YELD	14
ROOT3=1.73205080757	YELD	15

C*** CALCULATE VECTOR A1	YELD	16
VECA1(1)=1.0	YELD	17
VECA1(2)=1.0	YELD	18
VECA1(3)=0.0	YELD	19
VECA1(4) = 1.0	IELD	20
C### CALCULATE VECTOR A2	ILLD	21
DO 10 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	YELD	22
10 VECA2(ISTR1)=DEVIA(ISTR1)/(2.0*STEFF) VECA2(3)=DEVIA(3)/STEFF	YELD	23 24
C*** CALCULATE VECTOR A3	YELD	25
VECA3(1)=DEVIA(2)*DEVIA(4)+VARJ2/3.0	YELD	26
VECA3(2)=DEVIA(1)+DEVIA(4)+VARJ2/3.0		21
VECA3(3) = -2.0*DEVIA(3)*DEVIA(4)	IELD	20
VECA3(4)=DEVIA(1)*DEVIA(2)=DEVIA(3)*DEVIA(3)+VARJ2/3.0	IELD VEID	29
GU = 10 (1,2,3,4)  NURLE	YFLD	21
	VEID	20
I CONSTEURO ARTHE-ARS(THETA#57 20577051308)	YELD	22
TF(ARTHF   T 20 0) G0 T0 20	YELD	34
CONS2-BOOT 3	YELD	35
CONSE-NOTS CONSE-0.0	YELD	36
GO TO 40	YELD	37
20 CONS2=2.0*(COSTH+SINTH*TAN(3.0*THETA))	YELD	38
CONS3=ROOT3#SINTH/(VARJ2#COST3)	YELD	39
GO TO 40	YELD	40
C*** VON MISES	YELD	41
2 CONS1=0.0	YELD	42
CONS2=ROOT3	YELD	43
CONS3=0.0	YELD	44
		40 56
		40
5 UUNS1=SIN(FRICI*U.UI(4532927/5.U APTUE_ADS(TUETA#E7_20E770E1208)	A ETD	<u>л</u> я
$\frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}$	YELD	40
CONS2-0 0	YELD	50
PLIMT-1 0	YELD	51
TE(THETA GT. 0.0) PLUMT1.0	YELD	52
CONS2=0.5 # (ROOT3 PLUMT # CONS1/ROOT3)	YELD	53
GO TO 40	YELD	54
30 TANT3-TAN(3.0*THFTA)	YELD	55
CONS2=COSTH*((1.0+TANTH*TANT3)+CONS1*(TANT3-TANTH)/ROOT3)	YELD	56
CONS3=(ROOT3*SINTH+CONS1*COSTH)/(2.0*VARJ2*COST3)	YELD	57
GO TO 40	YELD	58
C*** DRUCKER-PRAGER	YELD	- 59
4 SNPHI=SIN(FRICT*0.017453292)	YELD	60
CONS1=2.0*SNPHI/(ROOT3*(3.0-SNPHI))	YELD	61
CONS2=1.0	IELD	02
	IELD	03 21
4U CONTINUE DO FO TETRI-1 NETRI	IELD VELD	04 65
U DU IDIKITI,NDIKI FO AVECT(TOTRI), CONSITUECAI(TOTRI), CONSOT		66
	ענוסע ענוסו	67
NECHELIER	ערנים אנים	68
	VEID	60
		55

## 10.7 Examples

## 10.7.1 Introduction

To illustrate the use of DYNPAK we now describe the nonlinear transient dynamic analysis of (i) a spherical shell and (ii) a concrete gravity dam.

#### 10.7.2 Spherical shell example

The shell,<sup>(8)</sup> shown in Fig. 10.3, is subjected to a distributed step pressure of 600 lb/in<sup>2</sup>. The material is assumed to obey the Von Mises yield condition with linear isotropic hardening. The dimensions and properties of the shell are given as follows:

Internal radius R = 22.27 in Thickness of shell t = 0.41 in Semi angle  $a = 26.67^{\circ}$ Elastic modulus  $E = 10.5 \times 10^{6} \, \text{lb/in}^{2}$ Poisson's ratio  $\nu = 0.3$ Yield stress  $\sigma_Y = 0.024 \times 10^6 \, \text{lb/in}^2$ Tangent hardening modulus  $E_T = 0.21 \times 10^6 \, \text{lb}/2$ Mass density  $\rho = 2.45 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{lb-sec}^2/\text{in}^4$ Step distributed pressure  $p = 600 \, \text{lb/in}^2$ 



Fig. 10.3 Spherical shell and finite element mesh.

The shell is divided into ten, 8-noded, axisymmetric, isoparametric elements. The fundamental period of the shell is  $T_f = 0.55 \times 10^{-3}$  sec, (Reference 8). For explicit central difference analysis, the time step is taken as  $0.4 \times 10^{-6}$  sec.

In order to illustrate the versatility of program DYNPAK we consider the following three cases:

- (i) Small elastic displacements
- (ii) Large elastic displacements
- (iii) Small elasto-viscoplastic displacements (with a fluidity parameter value of  $\gamma = 100.0$ ).



Fig. 10.4(a) Results of the transient dynamic analysis of a spherical shell cap. Cases (i) and (ii).

Figure 10.4(a) shows the vertical displacement of the crown lower point for the analyses based on both small and large elastic displacement assumptions. The results show that the inclusion of geometrically nonlinear effects in the analysis elongates the period. Figure 10.4(b) shows the small displacement, elasto-viscoplastic response (Case (iii)) of the spherical shell cap in which the value of the fluidity parameter is taken as  $\gamma = 100.0$ . It should be noted that permanent viscoplastic deflections occur thus providing a completely different response to either of the elastic responses shown in Fig. 10.4(a).

In Chapter 11 this problem is repeated using an elasto-plastic material model. It should be noted that in order to simulate elasto-plastic behaviour with DYNPAK a high value of the fluidity parameter (say  $\gamma = 10000.0$ )


Fig. 10.4(b) Results of the transient dynamic analysis of a spherical shell cap. Case (iii).

should be adopted. Interested readers may like to compare DYNPAK and MIXDYN for elasto-plastic behaviour using a high fluidity parameter. However, care should be taken since the use of high fluidity parameter values requires the use of a smaller time step when an Euler scheme is used to evaluate the viscoplastic strains (see Section 8.3). Typical input data for Case (ii) are given in Appendix IV.

At this stage it is probably worth mentioning the important problem of combining material and geometric nonlinearities. Among the several papers on this topic in the existing literature we suggest that the interested reader could profitably refer to the following as a starting point for further study:

MCMEEKING, R. M. and RICE, J. R., Finite element formulations for problems of large elastic-plastic deformation, Int. J. Solids Structures, 11, 601-616 (1975).

HIBBITT, H. D., MARCAL, P. V. and RICE, J. R., A finite element formulation for problems of large strain and large displacement, *Int. J. Solids Structures*, 6, 1069–1086 (1970).

BATHE, K. J., RAMM, E. and WILSON, E. L., Finite element formulations for large deformation analysis, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng., 9, 353-386 (1975).

## 10.7.3 Gravity dam example

The geometry of the dam, the seismic acceleration history, the water level and material properties for both dam and foundation are arbitrary.



Fig. 10.5(a) Concrete gravity dam.



Fig. 10.5(b) Finite element mesh for concrete gravity dam.

Both the gravity dam and the foundation shown in Fig. 10.5(a) are idealized with two-dimensional, plane-strain, 8-noded isoparametric elements as shown in Fig. 10.5(b), using a  $2 \times 2$  Gauss integration rule for the stiffness evaluation, and using a special mass lumping scheme with a  $3 \times 3$  Gauss integration rule. The adopted  $2 \times 2$  Gauss integration rule for the stiffness terms ensures that no locking behaviour will occur in the mesh, whereas the  $3 \times 3$  Gauss integration rule for the lumped mass matrix terms renders better mass representation. The model base is assumed to be fixed, i.e. u = v = 0, and side boundaries are represented by horizontal rollers, i.e. v = 0.

A short duration analytic earthquake  $(sinesweep)^{(9)}$  with a maximum acceleration level 0.33 g (developed as an equivalent to the E1 Centro NS accelerogram) will be used as a prescribed horizontal acceleration history at the model base level. It is assumed that this signal is the result of the deconvolution process of a prescribed signal at the foundation level. The displacements obtained in the solution process are relative to the model base.

Both the concrete and rock are assumed to behave as elasto-viscoplastic materials with no hardening. The Mohr-Coulomb yield surface is adopted, and the parameters c and  $\phi$  are obtained from the uniaxial properties  $f_{cu}$  and  $f_t$  as indicated in Table 10.3.

 $f_t, f_{cu}$  = tensile, compressive strengths of concrete,

$$a = \frac{f_t}{f_{cu}} = \frac{1 - \sin \phi}{1 + \sin \phi},$$
$$\phi = \arcsin\left(\frac{1 - a}{1 + a}\right),$$
$$c = \frac{(a)^{-1/2}}{2}f_{cu},$$

 $F_0$  (Mohr–Coulomb) =  $c \cos \phi$ .

<u></u>	$\frac{f_{cu}}{(t/m^2)}$	$\frac{f_t}{(t/m^2)}$	a	c $(t/m^2)$	$\phi$	$F_0 = c \cos \phi$ $(t/m^2)$
concrete	4000	500	0.125	707.11	62.73	323.94
rock	3600	400	0.133	547.72	61.93	257.75

 Table 10.3
 Mohr-Coulomb yield surface parameters for concrete dam example.

The values of the fluidity parameters  $\gamma$  are considered to be the same for both the concrete and rock materials. Values of  $\gamma = 0.00001$  and  $\gamma = 0.001$ have been used for the two analyses presented. The stress level in the structure prior to the seismic excitation is assumed to be due to the self-weight and hydrostatic pressure of the water only. The influence of the reservoir water on the dynamic behaviour of the dam is considered by taking into account the mass of water attached to the upstream face of the dam. The simple representation of 'added mass' with concentrated masses is used. The adopted model could be improved significantly with transmitting boundaries, better 'added mass' representation, a more realistic signal and a finer mesh.

The choice of the time step length depends on two criteria. For the explicit central difference integration scheme of the dynamic equilibrium equations, the highest mesh frequency defines the critical time step length

$$\Delta t_{CD} = \frac{2}{\omega_{\text{max}}} \simeq \mu L \left( \frac{\rho (1+\nu)(1-2\nu)}{E(1-\nu)} \right)^{1/2}.$$
 (10.51)

For the integration of the equations, which govern viscoplastic straining using the Euler method, the critical time step for the Mohr-Coulomb viscoplastic material is defined as

$$\Delta t_{MC} = \frac{4(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)c\cos\phi}{\gamma(1-2\nu+\sin^2\phi)}.$$
 (10.52)

For the mathematical model under consideration, (L = 2.4665 m), the choice of the time step is governed by the  $\Delta t_{CD}$  criterion for both analyses. Note that since

$$\Delta t_{CD} = 0.000478 \, \text{sec} \tag{10.53}$$

the adopted time step length is  $\Delta t = 0.0004$  sec.

On the basis of the adopted mathematical model, (Fig. 10.5), input data can be prepared following the user notes, given in the Appendix III.





SINESWEEP	DT 0.01 SEC	300 ENTI	RIES			
0.0034	0.0069	0.0104	0.0140	0.0177	0.0215	0.0255
0.0296	0.0339	0.0385	0.0433	0.0484	0.0539	0.0597
0_0659	0.0725	0.0795	0.0871	0_0951	0.1038	0.1130
0.1229	0.1335	0.1449	0.1570	0.1700	0.1838	0_1986
0.2144	0.2312	0.2491	0-2681	0.2884	0.3098	0.3326
0.3567	0.3823	0_4092	0.4377	0-4677	0_4992	0.5324
0.5672	0.6036	0.6417	0.6815	0.7229	0.7660	0.8106
0.8568	0_9046	0.9537	1.0042	1.0558	1.1086	1.1622
1.2165	1.2713	1.3263	1.3812	1.4357	1.4894	1.5420
1.5930	1.6419	1.6881	1.7312	1.7705	1_8054	1.8351
1.8589	1_8761	1_8859	1.8874	1.8797	1.8621	1.8337
1.7935	1.7408	1.6747	1.5945	1_4993	1.3887	1.2621
1.1191	0.9594	0.7829	0.5899	0.3805	0.1554	-0_0845
-0_3381	~6.6038	-0_8798	-1.1638	-1.4533	-1.7372	-1.9899
-2.2286	-2.4500	-2.6507	-2.8273	-2.9764	-3.0948	-3.1793
-3_2271	-3_2356	-3.2025	-3.1262	-3.0056	-2.8402	-2.6303
-2.3768	-2.0819	-1.7485	<del>-</del> 1.3804	-0.9825	-0.5607	-0-1550
0.3258	0.7742	1.2139	1.6349	2.0272	2.3806	2.6849
2.9306	3_1090	3.2125	3.2351	3.1726	3,0230	2.7867
2.4670	2.0698	1.6041	1.0818	0.5176	-0.0715	-0.6660
-1.2454	-1.7879	-2.2718	-2.6762	-2.9821	-3.1733	-3.2373
-3.1663	-2.9582	-2.6169	-2.1529	-1_5831	-0.9256	-0.2197
0_4796	1.1375	1.7207	2.1988	2.5461	2.7439	2.7810
2 _6553	2.3743	1.9551	1.4235	0.8133	0.1640	-0.4813
-1_0789	-1.5873	-1_9703	-2.2002	-2-2599	-2.1450	-1.8645
-1_4408	-0.9084	-0.3116	0.2988	0_8699	1.3510	1_6985
1.8803	1_8793	1.6956	1.3476	0_8703	0.3129	-0.2659
-0_8041	-1.2427	-1.5330	-1_6417	-1.5565	-1.2875	-0.8674
-0.3482	0.2047	0.7201	1.1307	1-3817	1.4390	1.2948
0_9696	0.5104	-0.0151	-0.5283	-0-9507	-1.2170	-1.2848
-1.1436	-0_8166	-0.3588	0.1518	0.6265	0.9814	1.1528
1.1094	0_8596	0_4508	-0.0377	-0-5100	-0_8715	-1.0488
-1_0054	-0_7506	-0.3392	0.1389	0-5778	0.8784	0.9720
0.8371	0_5057	0.0580	-0.3962	-0.7437	-0.8966	-0.8159
-0-5228	-0.0956	0.3502	0.6922	0.8352	0.7389	0.4312
0.0025	-0.4198	-0.7084	-0.7749	-0-5989	-0.2364	0.1960
0.5575	0.7285	0.6519	0.3541	-0.0615	-0.4488	-0.6697
-0.6446	-0.3831	0.0171	0.4045	0.6304	0_6073	0.3446
-0.0521	-0_4214	-0.6111	-0.5422	-0.2444	0.1539	0.4789
0.5870	0.4302	0.0801	-0.3015	-0.5364	-0.5135	-0.2443
0.1398	0.4490	0.5290	0.3396	-0.0213	-0.3657	-0.5121
-0.3826	-0-0479	0.3081	0.4876	0.3899	0.0713	-0.2837
-0_4675	-0_3716	-0.0541	0,2916	0.4528	0.3295	

Fig. 10.6(b) Digital form of Johnson/Epstein sinesweep earthquake.

Prior to the dynamic analysis, the initial stresses  $\sigma_0$  must be evaluated using some static finite element program. Nodal loads and the stress state for every Gauss integration point are recorded, and added to the input data for the dynamic analysis. The sinesweep accelerogram and 300 readings for  $\Delta t = 0.01$  sec are given in Fig. 10.6. The accelerogram information is read in from a separate input unit (here tape 7, the assumed seismic excitation in the horizontal direction).

The displacement histories for selected nodal points and stress histories for selected Gauss integration points are written on separate output units (tape 10, tape 11) and may be used later for plotting the results. The displacement histories for nodal points 51 (structure base level) and 127 (dam crest) are given in Fig. 10.7.



Fig. 10.7 Results of transient dynamic analysis of a concerte gravity dam.

## 10.8 Problems

- 10.1 A simply supported beam is subjected to a step uniformly distributed load. The dimensions and material properties of the beam are shown in Fig. 10.8(a). Only one quarter of the beam needs to be analysed as shown in Fig. 10.8(b). Use DYNPAK to find the midspan lateral deflection when the step lateral load is 0.75  $p_0$  where  $p_0$  is the static collapse load. Note that this problem has been solved by Liu and Lin<sup>(10)</sup>, Bathe *et al.*<sup>(11)</sup> and Nagarajan and Popov.<sup>(12)</sup> Use the Von Mises yield criterion, a high value of the fluidity parameter  $\gamma$  and 8-node elements.
- 10.2 Repeat Problem 10.1 using the Tresca yield criterion.
- 10.3 Repeat Problem 10.1 using loads of intensity 0.625  $p_0$  and 0.50  $p_0$ . Compare your results with those of Liu and Lin.<sup>(10)</sup>
- 10.4 For a step lateral load of 0.625  $p_0$ , repeat Problem 10.1 for various degrees of hardening. Compare your results with those of Liu and Lin.<sup>(10)</sup>
- 10.5 Solve the problem given in Chapters 7 and 8 using dynamic relaxation.<sup>(13,14)</sup>
- 10.6 Implement an explicit elasto-plastic, transient dynamic, Mindlin plate program based on DYNPAK. Typical examples are given elsewhere.<sup>(15,16)</sup>



Fig. 10.8 Simply supported beam example (a) Geometry and loading, (b) Finite element idealisation.

### 10.9 References

- 1. NICKELL, R. E., Nonlinear dynamics by mode superposition, Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engng. 7, 107-129 (1976).
- 2. BATHE, K. J. and WILSON, E. L., Numerical Methods in Finite Element Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 308-344 (1976).
- 3. NAYAK, G. C., Plasticity and large deformation by the finite element method, Ph.D. Thesis, University College of Swansea (1971).
- 4. IRONS, B. M. and AHMAD, S., *Finite Element Techniques*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester (1980).
- 5. BIĆANIĆ, N., Nonlinear finite element transient response of concrete structures, Ph.D. Thesis, University College of Swansea (1978).
- 6. HINTON, E., ROCK, T. A. and ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., A note on mass lumping and related processes in the finite element method, *Int. J. Earthquake Engng. Struct. Dynamics*, 4, 246-249 (1976).
- 7. HINTON, E. and OWEN, D. R. J., *Finite Element Programming*, Academic Press, London (1977).
- 8. BATHE, K. J. and OZDEMIR, H., Elasto-plastic large deformation static and dynamic analysis, *Computers and Structures*, 6, 81-92 (1976).
- 9. JOHNSON, G. R. and EPSTEIN, H. I., Short duration analytic earthquake, J. ASCE, Struct. Div., 102, No. ST5, 993-1000 (1976).
- LIU, S. C. and LIN, T. H., Elastic-plastic dynamic analysis of structures using known elastic solutions, Int. J. Earthquake Engng. Struct. Dynamics, 7, 147-159 (1979).
- 11. BATHE, K. J., OZDEMIR, H. and WILSON, E. L., Static and geometric and material nonlinear analysis, *Structures and Materials Research Report* No. UC SESM 74-4, University of California, Berkeley (1974).
- 12. NAGARAJAN, S. and POPOV, E. P., Elastic-plastic dynamic analysis of axisymmetric solid, *Computers and Structures*, 4, 1117-1134 (1974).
- 13. PICA, A. and HINTON, E., Transient and pseudo-transient analysis of Mindlin plates, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 15, 189-208 (1980).
- 14. BREW, J. S. and BROTTON, D. M., Nonlinear structural analysis by dynamic relaxation, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 3, 463-483 (1971).

- 15. HINTON, E., OWEN, D. R. J. and SHANTARAM, D., Dynamic transient nonlinear behaviour of thick and thin plates, In: *The Mathematics of Finite Elements and Applications, MAFELAP II*, 1975, Ed. J. R. Whiteman, Academic Press, London, 423-438 (1977).
- 16. RAO, S. S. and RAGHAVAN, K. S., Dynamic response of inelastic thick plates, AIAA J., 17, 85-90 (1979).

# Chapter 11 Implicit-explicit transient dynamic analysis

Written in collaboration with D. K. Paul

### 11.1 Introduction

In Chapter 10 we have shown that the explicit, central difference time stepping scheme is a simple and powerful method of time integration. The main drawback of the scheme is that it is conditionally stable. Thus the computational advantages of the central difference scheme are counterbalanced by the very small size of time step necessary when some stiff (and/or small) elements are present. For such problems the unconditionally stable implicit schemes permit the use of larger time steps, the size of which is governed only by accuracy considerations. Unfortunately these schemes which require matrix factorisations involve larger computer core storage and more operations per time step than the central difference scheme. The selection of a suitable time integration scheme is therefore largely a matter of experience.

In some problems, typified by the one illustrated in Fig. 11.1, we may be confronted with a situation in which there is a 'soft' subregion  $\Omega^E$  where an



Fig. 11.1 Implicit-explicit partitioning.

explicit scheme is desirable and a 'stiff' subregion  $\Omega^I$  where an implicit scheme is preferable for greater efficiency. In such cases it is possible to simultaneously make use of both implicit and explicit algorithms. Implicitexplicit schemes offer a unified approach to problems of structural transient dynamics and can lead to significant computational advantages.

Implicit-explicit schemes were first introduced by Belytschko and Mullen<sup>(1-3)</sup> and were given an alternative form by Hughes and co-workers<sup>(4-6)</sup> and Park *et al.*<sup>(7-8)</sup> It can be shown that the stability of such schemes is governed by the explicit elements.

In this chapter Implicit and Implicit-Explicit methods for nonlinear transient dynamic analysis are discussed and we follow the element partitioning approach described by Hughes. A program, named MIXDYN, for Implicit-Explicit linear and nonlinear transient dynamic analysis is included. Some numerical examples are solved to show some of the capabilities of the program. The same program could be modified for static analysis by some simple changes.

#### **11.2 Implicit time integration**

#### 11.2.1 Newmark's algorithm

In order to introduce the implicit/explicit algorithm we describe the predictor-corrector form of the Newmark scheme for the integration of the semi-discrete system of equations which govern nonlinear transient dynamic problems. Typically at time station  $t_n + \Delta t$  these equations take the form

$$Ma_{n+1} + p_{n+1} = f_{n+1} \tag{11.1}$$

where M,  $a_{n+1}$ ,  $p_{n+1}$  and  $f_{n+1}$  are the mass matrix, acceleration vector, internal force vector (which may depend on the displacements  $d_{n+1}$  and velocities  $\dot{d}_{n+1}$  and their histories) and applied force vector respectively. Let

$$[K_T]_{n+1} = \partial p_{n+1} / \partial d_{n+1} \text{ and } [C_T]_{n+1} = \partial p_{n+1} / \partial d_{n+1}$$
(11.2)

denote the tangent stiffness and damping matrices respectively.

In the Newmark scheme we endeavour to satisfy the following equations

$$Ma_{n+1} + p_{n+1} = f_{n+1} \tag{11.3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{d}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{\tilde{d}}_{n+1} + \Delta t^2 \beta \boldsymbol{a}_{n+1} \tag{11.4}$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{v}_{n+1} + \Delta t \gamma \boldsymbol{a}_{n+1} \tag{11.5}^*$$

where

$$\widetilde{d}_{n+1} = d_n + \Delta t v_n + \Delta t^2 (1 - 2\beta) a_n/2$$
(11.6)

$$\widetilde{v}_{n+1} = v_n + \Delta t (1-\gamma) a_n. \tag{11.7}$$

Note that  $d_n$ ,  $v_n$  and  $a_n$  are the approximations to  $d(t_n)$ ,  $\dot{d}(t_n)$  and  $\ddot{d}(t_n)$  and  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are free parameters which control the accuracy and stability of the method. The values  $\tilde{d}_{n+1}$  and  $\tilde{v}_{n+1}$  are predictor values and  $d_{n+1}$  and  $v_{n+1}$  are corrector values.

Initially the displacements  $d_0$  and velocities  $v_0$  are provided and we find the accelerations  $a_0$  from the expression

$$Ma_0 = f_0 - p(d_0, v_0). \tag{11.8}$$

Thus  $a_0$  may be found by a factorization, forward reduction and back substitution unless M is diagonal in which case the solution is trivial.

We then solve (11.3) to (11.7) by forming an 'effective static problem'<sup>†</sup> which is solved using a Newton Raphson type scheme, as described earlier. The algorithm is summarised in Table 11.1.

Tabl	le 1	1.1	Newmar	k's	a	lgoriti	hm
------	------	-----	--------	-----	---	---------	----

1	Set iteration counter $i = 0$ .	
2	Begin predictor phase in which we set	
	$\boldsymbol{d}_{n+1}[i] = \boldsymbol{d}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{d}_n + \Delta t \boldsymbol{v}_n + \Delta t^2 (1-2\beta) \boldsymbol{a}_n/2$	(i)
	$\boldsymbol{v}_{n+1}[i] = \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{v}_n + \Delta t(1-\gamma)\boldsymbol{a}_n$	(ii)
	$a_{n+1}[i] = [d_{n+1}[i] - d_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2 \beta) = 0.$	(iii)
3	Evaluate residual forces using the equation	
	$\psi^{[i]} = f_{n+1} - Ma_{n+1}[i] - p(d_{n+1}[i], v_{n+1}[i]).$	(iv)
4	If required, form the effective stiffness matrix using the exp	ression
	$K^* = M/(\Delta t^2\beta) + \gamma C_T/(\Delta t\beta) + K_T(d_{n+1}^{[i]}).$	(v)
	Otherwise use a previously calculated $K^*$ .	
5	Factorize, forward reduction and backsubstitute as require	d to
	solve	
	$\boldsymbol{K^*} \Delta \boldsymbol{d}^{[i]} = \boldsymbol{\psi}^{[i]}.$	(vi)
6	Enter corrector phase in which we set	
	$d_{n+1}^{[i+1]} = d_{n+1}^{[i]} + \Delta d^{[i]}$	(vii)
	$a_{n+1}[i+1] = [d_{n+1}[i+1] - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2 \beta)$	(viii)
	$v_{n+1}[i+1] = v_{n+1} + \Delta t \gamma a_{n+1}[i+1].$	(ix)
7	If $\Delta d^{[i]}$ and/or $\psi^{[i]}$ do not satisfy the convergence condition	ns then
	set $i = i+1$ and go to step 3, otherwise continue.	
8	Set $d_{n+1} = d_{n+1}[i+1]$	(x)
	$v_{n+1} = v_{n+1}^{[i+1]}$	(xi)
	$a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[i+1]}$	(xii)
	for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ an next time step.	d begin

<sup>\*</sup> In this chapter  $\gamma$  is a Newmark parameter and not the viscoplastic fluidity parameter. †  $K^* \Delta d^{[i]} = \psi^{[i]}$ .

#### 11.2.2 Predictor-corrector algorithm

Let us now consider an 'explicit' algorithm associated with the Newmark schemes described earlier. In this explicit predictor-corrector algorithm we assume that the mass matrix M is diagonal and we make use of the expression

$$Ma_{n+1} + p(\tilde{d}_{n+1}, \tilde{v}_{n+1}) = f_{n+1}$$
(11.9)

Notice that the calculation is explicit since we use corrector values obtained from information given in the previous step.

As we would like to eventually combine the implicit and explicit methods we organise our implementation of this explicit method in a similar fashion to the implementation given of the implicit scheme in the previous section. Table 11.2 summarises the algorithm.

Table 11.2 Explicit predictor-corrector algorithm

$d_{n+1}^{[0]} = \tilde{d}_{n+1} = d_n + \Delta t v_n + \Delta t^2 (1-2\beta) a_n/2 \qquad (i)$ $v_{n+1}^{[0]} = \tilde{v}_{n+1} = v_n + \Delta t (1-\gamma) a_n \qquad (ii)$ $a_{n+1}^{[0]} = 0. \qquad (iii)$ 2 Evaluate the residual forces using the equation $\psi^{[0]} = f_{n+1} - p(d_{n+1}^{[0]}, v_{n+1}^{[0]}). \qquad (iv)$ 3 If required, form the 'effective' stiffness matrix using the expression $K^* = M/(\Delta t^2\beta). \qquad (v)$ Note that as the mass matrix <i>M</i> does not change <i>K</i> * will be formed once only. 4 Perform factorization, forward reduction and backsubstitution as required to solve $K^* \Delta d^{[0]} = \psi^{[0]} \qquad (vi)$ 5 Enter the corrector phase in which we set $d_{n+1}^{[1]} = d_{n+1}^{[0]+} \Delta d^{[0]} \qquad (vii)$ $a_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t \gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}. \qquad (ix)$ $v_{n+1} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t \gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}. \qquad (xi)$ $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]} \qquad (xi)$	1	Begin predictor phase by setting	
$v_{n+1}^{[0]} = \tilde{v}_{n+1} = v_n + \Delta t (1-\gamma) a_n$ (ii) $a_{n+1}^{[0]} = 0.$ (iii) 2 Evaluate the residual forces using the equation $\psi^{[0]} = f_{n+1} - p(d_{n+1}^{[0]}, v_{n+1}^{[0]}).$ (iv) 3 If required, form the 'effective' stiffness matrix using the expression $K^* = M/(\Delta t^2\beta).$ (v) Note that as the mass matrix M does not change K* will be formed once only. 4 Perform factorization, forward reduction and backsubstitution as required to solve $K^*\Delta d^{[0]} = \psi^{[0]}$ (vi) 5 Enter the corrector phase in which we set $d_{n+1}^{[1]} = d_{n+1}^{[0]} + \Delta d^{[0]}$ (viii) $a_{n+1}^{[1]} = [d_{n+1}^{[1]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2\beta)$ (viiii) $v_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t \gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}.$ (ix) $d_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xii) for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form p and begin next time step.		$d_{n+1}[0] = \tilde{d}_{n+1} = d_n + \Delta t v_n + \Delta t^2 (1-2\beta) a_n/2$	(i)
$a_{n+1}^{[0]} = 0.$ (iii) 2 Evaluate the residual forces using the equation $\psi^{[0]} = f_{n+1} - p(d_{n+1}^{[0]}, v_{n+1}^{[0]}).$ (iv) 3 If required, form the 'effective' stiffness matrix using the expression $K^* = M/(\Delta t^2\beta).$ (v) Note that as the mass matrix <i>M</i> does not change <i>K</i> * will be formed once only. 4 Perform factorization, forward reduction and backsubstitution as required to solve $K^* \Delta d^{[0]} = \psi^{[0]}$ (vi) 5 Enter the corrector phase in which we set $d_{n+1}^{[1]} = d_{n+1}^{[0]} + \Delta d^{[0]}$ (vii) $a_{n+1}^{[1]} = [d_{n+1}^{[1]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2\beta)$ (viii) $v_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t\gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}.$ (ix) 6 Set $d_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xii) for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form <i>p</i> and begin next time step.		$\boldsymbol{v}_{n+1}[\boldsymbol{0}] = \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{v}_n + \Delta t (1-\gamma) \boldsymbol{a}_n$	GĎ
2 Evaluate the residual forces using the equation $\psi^{[0]} = f_{n+1} - p(d_{n+1}^{[0]}, v_{n+1}^{[0]}).$ (iv) 3 If required, form the 'effective' stiffness matrix using the expression $K^* = M/(\Delta t^2\beta).$ (v) Note that as the mass matrix <i>M</i> does not change $K^*$ will be formed once only. 4 Perform factorization, forward reduction and backsubstitution as required to solve $K^*\Delta d^{[0]} = \psi^{[0]}$ (vi) 5 Enter the corrector phase in which we set $d_{n+1}^{[1]} = d_{n+1}^{[0]} + \Delta d^{[0]}$ (vii) $a_{n+1}^{[1]} = [d_{n+1}^{[1]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2\beta)$ (viii) $v_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t\gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}.$ (ix) 6 Set $d_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xii) for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form <i>p</i> and begin next time step.		$a_{n+1}[0] = 0.$	(iii)
$\psi^{[0]} = f_{n+1} - p(d_{n+1}^{[0]}, v_{n+1}^{[0]}).$ (iv) 3 If required, form the 'effective' stiffness matrix using the expression $K^* = M/(\Delta t^2\beta).$ (v) Note that as the mass matrix $M$ does not change $K^*$ will be formed once only. 4 Perform factorization, forward reduction and backsubstitution as required to solve $K^*\Delta d^{[0]} = \psi^{[0]}$ (vi) 5 Enter the corrector phase in which we set $d_{n+1}^{[1]} = d_{n+1}^{[0]} + \Delta d^{[0]}$ (vii) $a_{n+1}^{[1]} = [d_{n+1}^{[1]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2\beta)$ (viii) $v_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t\gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (ix) $d_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xi) for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ and begin next time step.	2	Evaluate the residual forces using the equation	(,
<ul> <li>3 If required, form the 'effective' stiffness matrix using the expression</li></ul>	-	$\boldsymbol{\psi}^{[0]} = \boldsymbol{f}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{d}_{n+1}^{[0]}, \boldsymbol{v}_{n+1}^{[0]}).$	(iv)
$K^* = M/(\Delta t^2\beta).$ (v) Note that as the mass matrix $M$ does not change $K^*$ will be formed once only. 4 Perform factorization, forward reduction and backsubstitution as required to solve $K^*\Delta d^{[0]} = \psi^{[0]}$ (vi) 5 Enter the corrector phase in which we set $d_{n+1}^{[1]} = d_{n+1}^{[0]} + \Delta d^{[0]}$ (vii) $a_{n+1}^{[1]} = [d_{n+1}^{[1]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2\beta)$ (viii) $v_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t\gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}.$ (ix) $d_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xii) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xii) for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ and begin next time step.	3	If required, form the 'effective' stiffness matrix using the ex-	xpression
Note that as the mass matrix $M$ does not change $K^*$ will be formed once only. 4 Perform factorization, forward reduction and backsubstitution as required to solve $ \begin{array}{c} K^* \Delta d^{[0]} = \psi^{[0]} \qquad (vi) \\ 5 & \text{Enter the corrector phase in which we set} \\                                    $	_	$K^* = M/(\Delta t^2 \beta).$	(v)
4 Perform factorization, forward reduction and backsubstitution as required to solve 5 Enter the corrector phase in which we set $d_{n+1}^{[1]} = d_{n+1}^{[0]} + \Delta d^{[0]}$ (vii) $a_{n+1}^{[1]} = [d_{n+1}^{[1]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2\beta)$ (viii) $v_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t\gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (ix) 6 Set $d_{n+1} = d_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (x) $v_{n+1} = v_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xi)		Note that as the mass matrix $M$ does not change $K^*$ will to once only.	be formed
$K^* \Delta d^{[0]} = \psi^{[0]} $ (vi) 5 Enter the corrector phase in which we set $d_{n+1}^{[1]} = d_{n+1}^{[0]} + \Delta d^{[0]} $ (vii) $a_{n+1}^{[1]} = [d_{n+1}^{[1]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2 \beta) $ (viii) $v_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t \gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}. $ (ix) $d_{n+1} = d_{n+1}^{[1]} $ (x) $v_{n+1} = v_{n+1}^{[1]} $ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]} $ (xii) a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]} (xii) for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ and begin next time step.	4	Perform factorization, forward reduction and backsubstitu required to solve	tion as
5 Enter the corrector phase in which we set $d_{n+1}^{[1]} = d_{n+1}^{[0]} + \Delta d^{[0]} \qquad (vii)$ $a_{n+1}^{[1]} = [d_{n+1}^{[1]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^{2}\beta) \qquad (viii)$ $v_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t\gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}. \qquad (ix)$ 6 Set $d_{n+1} = d_{n+1}^{[1]} \qquad (x)$ $v_{n+1} = v_{n+1}^{[1]} \qquad (xi)$ $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]} \qquad (xi)$ for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ and begin next time step.		$K^* \Delta d^{[0]} = w^{[0]}$	(vi)
$d_{n+1}^{[1]} = d_{n+1}^{[0]} + \Delta d^{[0]} $ (vii) $a_{n+1}^{[1]} = [d_{n+1}^{[1]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^{2}\beta) $ (viii) $v_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t\gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}. $ (ix) $d_{n+1} = d_{n+1}^{[1]} $ (x) $v_{n+1} = v_{n+1}^{[1]} $ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]} $ (xi) for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ and begin next time step.	5	Enter the corrector phase in which we set	()
$a_{n+1}^{[1]} = [d_{n+1}^{[1]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^{2}\beta) $ (viii) $v_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t\gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}. $ (ix) $d_{n+1} = d_{n+1}^{[1]} $ (x) $v_{n+1} = v_{n+1}^{[1]} $ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}. $ (xii) for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ and begin next time step.		$d_{n+1}^{[1]} = d_{n+1}^{[0]} + \Delta d^{[0]}$	(vii)
6 Set $v_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t \gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}.$ (ix) $d_{n+1} = d_{n+1}^{[1]}.$ (ix) $v_{n+1} = v_{n+1}^{[1]}.$ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}.$ (xii) for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ and begin next time step.		$a_{n+1}^{[1]} = [d_{n+1}^{[1]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2 \beta)$	(viii)
6 Set $d_{n+1} = d_{n+1} [1]$ (x) $v_{n+1} = v_{n+1} [1]$ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1} [1]$ (xii) for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ and begin next time step.		$v_{n+1}^{[1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t \gamma a_{n+1}^{[1]}$	(ix)
$v_{n+1} = v_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xi) $a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xii) for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ and begin next time step.	6	Set $d_{n+1} = d_{n+1} [1]$	(x)
$a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[1]}$ (xii) for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ and begin next time step.		$v_{n+1} = v_{n+1}[1]$	(xi)
for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ and begin next time step.		$a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}[1]$	(xii)
		for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ are next time step.	id begin

#### 11.3 Implicit-explicit algorithm

### 11.3.1 Introduction

We now combine the methods described in Sections 11.2.1 and 11.2.2 so that the finite element mesh contains two groups of elements: the implicit group and the explicit group. The superscripts I and E will henceforth refer to the implicit and explicit groups respectively.

In the implicit-explicit algorithm we iterate within each time step in order to satisfy the equation

$$Ma_{n+1} + p^{I}(d_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) + p^{E}(\tilde{d}_{n+1}, \tilde{v}_{n+1}) = f_{n+1}$$
(11.10)

in which  $M = M^I + M^E$  and  $f_{n+1} = f_{n+1}^I + f_{n+1}^E$ . Note that we assume  $M^E$  is diagonal.

#### **11.3.2** The structure of the effective stiffness matrix

The algorithm, which is summarised in Table 11.3, is very similar to the implicit algorithm given in Section 11.2.2. The profile structure of  $K^*$  is very interesting. It has diagonal subregions corresponding to the explicit group of elements. Elsewhere,  $K^*$  has a profile structure which corresponds to the connectivity of the implicit group only.

Table 11.3 Implicit-explicit algorithm

1	Set iteration counter $i = 0$ .	
2	Begin predictor phase in which we set	
	$d_{n+1}[i] = \tilde{d}_{n+1} = d_n + \Delta t v_n + \Delta t^2 (1-2\beta) a_n/2$	(i)
	$\boldsymbol{v_{n+1}}^{[i]} = \boldsymbol{\tilde{v}_{n+1}} = \boldsymbol{v_n} + \Delta t (1-\gamma) \boldsymbol{a_n}$	(ii)
	$a_{n+1}[i] = [d_{n+1}[i] - d_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2 \beta) = 0.$	(iii)
3	Evaluate residual forces using the equation	
	$\psi^{[i]} = f_{n+1} - Ma_{n+1}^{[i]} - p^{I}(d_{n+1}^{[i]}, v_{n+1}^{[i]}) - p^{E}(\tilde{d}_{n+1}, \tilde{v}_{n+1}).$	(iv)
4	If required, form the effective stiffness matrix using the expre	ssion
	$K^* = M/(\Delta t^2\beta) + \gamma C_T^{I}/(\Delta t\beta) + K_T^{I}(d_{n+1}[i]).$	(v)
	Otherwise use a previously calculated K*.	
	(Note that $K_T^I = \partial p^I / \partial d$ and $C_T^I = \partial p^I / \partial v$ ).	
5	Perform factorization, forward reduction and backsubstitution	n as
	required to solve	
	$K^* \Delta d^{[i]} = \psi^{[i]}.$	(vi)
6	Enter corrector phase in which we set	
	$d_{n+1}^{[i+1]} = d_{n+1}^{[i]} + \Delta d^{[i]}$	(vii)
	$a_{n+1}^{[i+1]} = [d_{n+1}^{[i+1]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2 \beta)$	(viii)
	$v_{n+1}^{[i+1]} = v_{n+1} + \Delta t \gamma a_{n+1}^{[i+1]}.$	(ix)
7	If $\Delta d^{[i]}$ and/or $\psi^{[i]}$ do not satisfy the convergence conditions	, then
	set $i = i+1$ and go to step 3, otherwise continue.	
8	Set $d_{n+1} = d_{n+1}^{[i+1]}$	(x)
	$v_{n+1} = v_{n+1}^{[i+1]}$	(xi)
	$a_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{[i+1]}$	(xii)
	for use in the next time step. Also set $n = n+1$ , form $p$ and next time step.	begin
	-	

Consider the three meshes and effective stiffness matrices shown in Fig. 11.2(a)-(c):

- (i) When there are only explicit elements,  $K^*$  is diagonal. In other words  $K^*$  has the same profile structure as  $M^E$  (Fig. 11.2(a)).
- (ii) For a mesh consisting of only implicit elements  $K^*$  has the same profile structure as  $K^I$  (Fig. 11.2(b)).
- (iii) For the partitioned mesh containing both implicit and explicit groups we see the appropriate combination of parts of both profile structures (Fig. 11.2(c)).

To fully exploit the profile structure of  $K^*$ , Hughes *et al.*<sup>(4)</sup> have suggested the use of profile solvers. In our implementation of the scheme we adopt a slightly modified version of the in-core profile solver given by Bathe and Wilson.<sup>(9)</sup>



(i) Finite element mesh-2 degrees of freedom per node.



(ii) Profile of  $K^*$ .

Fig. 11.2(a) Two-dimensional finite element mesh and profile structure of the effective stiffness matrix  $K^*$  (explicit elements only).

## **11.3.3** Alternative predictor values

In equations (i)–(iii) in Table 11.3 we gave the approach described by Hughes and Liu.<sup>(4)</sup> For implicit–explicit problems other predictor values may be adopted. Here we consider two cases:

1. Hughes and Liu predictor values

$$d_{n+1}^{[0]} = \tilde{d}_{n+1} = d_n + \Delta t v_n + \Delta t^2 (1 - 2\beta) a_n/2 \quad (i)$$
  

$$v_{n+1}^{[0]} = \tilde{v}_{n+1} = v_n + \Delta t (1 - \gamma) a_n \quad (ii)$$
  

$$a_{n+1}^{[0]} = [d_{n+1}^{[0]} - \tilde{d}_{n+1}]/(\Delta t^2 \beta) \quad (iii) \quad (11.11)$$



(ii) Profile of K\*.

Fig. 11.2(b) Two-dimensional finite element mesh and profile structure of the effective stiffness matrix  $K^*$  (implicit elements only).

## 2. Alternative predictor values

$$\boldsymbol{d}_{n+1}[\boldsymbol{0}] = \boldsymbol{d}_n \tag{i}$$

$$v_{n+1}[0] = v_n$$
 (ii)

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{n+1}^{[0]} = [\boldsymbol{d}_{n+1}^{[0]} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{d}}_{n+1}] / (\Delta t^2 \beta)$$
(iii)

(where 
$$\tilde{d}_{n+1} = d_n + \Delta t v_n + \Delta t^2 (1 - 2\beta) a_n)/2$$
 (11.12)

The second approach is recommended for elastoplastic problems for use with meshes involving only implicit elements in which  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$  and when large time steps are adopted.

#### 11.3.4 Stability limits

Hughes et al.<sup>(4)</sup> have discussed the stability limits for this implicit-explicit scheme.



(ii) Profile of K\*.

Fig. 11.2(c) Two-dimensional finite element mesh and profile structure of the effective stiffness matrix  $K^*$  (Implicit and explicit elements).

If  $\gamma \ge \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\beta = (\gamma + \frac{1}{2})^2/4$ , we achieve unconditional stability in the implicit element group. The time step is then restricted by the explicit element group. For the case in which  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ , the critical time step may be written as

$$\Delta t_{\rm erit} = 2/\omega_{\rm max} \tag{11.13}$$

where  $\omega_{\max}$  is the maximum frequency of the explicit group. We can estimate  $\omega_{\max}$  as

$$\omega_{\max} \leq \max_{e} (\omega_{\max}^{(e)}) \tag{11.14}$$

where  $\omega_{\max}^{(e)}$  is the maximum frequency of the  $e^{\text{th}}$  element of the explicit group.

Since  $K_T$  is changing from step to step, strictly speaking the maximum frequency should be estimated at the beginning of every step. In elastoplastic analysis, the structure generally becomes more flexible and (11.14)

may be used. However, for a better estimate of the critical time step the nonlinear eigenvalues should be evaluated.

If only implicit elements are used and if  $\gamma \ge \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\beta = (\gamma + \frac{1}{2})^2/4$ , then error investigations carried out in terms of period elongation and amplitude decay with the increase of time step indicate that for reasonable accuracy the time step should be limited to 1/100 of the fundamental (largest) period. It is observed that the amplitude decay caused by the numerical integration errors effectively filters the higher mode response out of the solution in the Houbolt and Wilson  $\theta$  method. However when we employ the Newmark constantaverage-acceleration scheme, which does not introduce amplitude decay, the higher frequency response is retained in the solution. In order to obtain amplitude decay using the Newmark method, it is necessary to employ  $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### 11.4 Evaluation of the tangential stiffness matrix

In program MIXDYN we adopt an elasto-plastic material model and therefore the stresses and the tangential stiffness matrix at any time station  $t_n + \Delta t$  may be evaluated in the manner outlined in Chapter 7 for static problems. As an alternative geometrically nonlinear elastic effects are considered using a total Lagrangian formulation.

The internal resisting force vector for the implicit elements at time station  $t_n + \Delta t$  is given as

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{n+1}^{I} = \int_{\Omega^{I}} [\boldsymbol{B}^{I}]^{T}{}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n+1} d\Omega \qquad (11.15)$$

and therefore the tangential stiffness matrix may be written as

$$\frac{\partial p_{n+1}{}^{I}}{\partial d_{n+1}} = [K_T{}^{I}]_{n+1} = \int_{\Omega^I} [B^I]^T{}_{n+1} D_{n+1} [B^I]_{n+1} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega^I} [G]^T{}_{n+1} S_{n+1} G_{n+1} d\Omega$$
(11.16)\*

in which  $D_{n+1}$  is the elasto-plastic modulus matrix defined in Chapter 7,  $[B_{NL}]_{n+1}$  is the nonlinear strain-displacement matrix defined in Chapter 10, the matrix  $S_{n+1}$  is given as

$$S_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x I_2 & \tau_{xy} I_2 \\ \tau_{xy} I_2 & \sigma_y I_2 \end{bmatrix}_{n+1}$$
(11.17)

for plane stress and plane strain problems, and

$$S_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_r I_2 & \tau_{rz} I_2 & 0 \\ \tau_{rz} I_2 & \sigma_z I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_\theta \end{bmatrix}_{n+1}$$
(11.18)

\* The second matrix is only included for geometrically nonlinear problems.

for axisymmetric problems, and

$$[G_i]_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial y} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}^T$$
(11.19)

for plane stress and plane strain problems, and

$$[G_i]_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial r} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial z} & 0 & \frac{N_i}{r} \\ 0 & \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial r} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial z} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$$
(11.20)

for axisymmetric problems.

Note that all of the yield criteria described in Chapter 7 are included in program MIXDYN.

# 11.5 Program MIXDYN

## 11.5.1 Introduction

The computer program 'MIXDYN' is based on the Implicit-Explicit time integration scheme of Hughes and Liu<sup>(4)</sup> for two-dimensional plane stress/strain and axisymmetric nonlinear dynamic transient problems. Some of the subroutines are the same as in DYNPAK. The profile solvers DECOMP and REDBAK and a few other subroutines used in this program are based on those given in Reference (9). (These subroutines are rewritten using new variables names). Some new subroutines have also been included in the program. The program considers geometric or elasto-plastic material nonlinearity. A total Lagrangian formulation using four-, eight- and nine-noded quadrilateral isoparametric elements is adopted to model the geometric nonlinear behaviour. The program has several options; it can be used for small or large deformation elastic and small deformation elasto-plastic transient dynamic analysis and the analysis may be carried out using an explicit, implicit or combined implicit-explicit algorithm. Furthermore, four types of elasto-plastic material models can be considered: (i) Tresca, (ii) Von Mises, (iii) Drucker-Prager and (iv) Mohr-Coulomb.

The flow diagram for MIXDYN is shown in Fig. 11.3. The program is written in modular form and the input and output data representation is identical to that given for DYNPAK.

The subroutines which have not appeared elsewhere in the book are now described.



Fig. 11.3 Overall structure of program MIXDYN.

### 11.5.2 Master routine MIXDYN

The master routine organises the calling of the main routines as outlined in the flow diagram (Fig. 11.3). In subroutine CONTOL control parameters are read and a check is made on the maximum control dimensions. Note that the values used for checking in CONTOL should agree with the maximum dimensions in the master routine. Subroutine INPUTD, INTIME and PREVOS read the mesh data, time integration data and data for the previous state of the structure. Subroutine LINKIN links the rest of the program with the profile solver, i.e., it generates all information required for the profile solver. Subroutines LUMASS and LOADPL generate the lumped mass and applied force vectors respectively. GSTIFF calculates the global stiffness matrix in compacted form. In the time step do loop IMPEXP performs the direct time integration using either of the (i) Implicit, (ii) Explicit or (iii) combined Implicit-Explicit schemes. RESEPL calculates the equivalent nodal forces using elasto-plastic material behaviour. The maximum dimension of the program have been set to a maximum of 50 elements, 200 nodes, 10 sets of material properties, 6000 coefficients in the mass and stiffness matrices and 400 acceleration ordinates. For larger problems the dimensions must therefore be changed.

	PROGRAM MJ	EXDYN (IN OU	IPUT ,TA	PE5=INF	PUT ,TAP PUT,TAP	PE4, TAPE PE7, TAPE	10,TAPE	12,TAPE 13)	3, N N	1DYN 1DYN	1 2
C C C	TIME INTE	GRATION I	MPLICI	-EXPLIC	CIT ALGO	DRITHM	*****	*****	1 1 1	1DIN 1DYN 1DYN 1DYN	3 4 5 6
C****	DIMENSION	COORD(20 IFPRE(2, LNODS(50 RLOAD(50 PROPS(10 LEQNS(18 STRIN(4, STRAG(4, STRAG(4, EPSTN( NITER(2)	00,2),5 ,200),5 ),9),5 ),18),1 ),18),1 ),13),1 ,15 ),13),1 ,450),1 ,450),1 ,450),1 ,450),1 ,450),1	STIFF(60 STIFS(60 STIFI(60 CMASS(60 DAMPI(60 DAMPG(60 CMASS( MASS( MASS( CMASS( MASS	(000) (00) (000) (	ISPI(400 ELOI(400 CCEI(400 ELOL(400 CCEL(400 CCEL(400 CCEL(400 CCEJ(400 CCEJ(400 CCEJ(400 CSPT(400 FFST(450	<pre>&gt;</pre>	ip(4)         ip(10)         ip(50)         ip(50)         ip(50)         ip(50)         ip(400)         ip(400	**************************************	DYN DYN DYN DYN DYN DYN DYN DYN DYN DYN	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
с с с	CALL	COMMON CONTOL	STIFF (NDOFN	, XMASS , NELEM	,DAMPG ,NMATS	,STIFI ,NPOIN	,STIFS	,DAMPI	יי 1 1 1 1	DYN DYN DYN DYN DYN DYN	19 20 21 22 23 24
с	CALL	INPUTD	(COORD NDIME NMATS POSGP	, IFPRE , NDOFN , NNODE , PROPS	,LNODS ,NELEM ,NPOIN ,WEIGP	, MATNO , NGAUM , NPREV )	, NCONM , NGAUS , NSTRE	,NCRIT ,NLAPS ,NTYPE	, P , P	DYN DYN DYN DYN DYN DYN	- 25 26 27 28 29
	CALL - - -	INTIME	(AALFA BZERO IFUNC NGRQS NREQS IPRED	,ACCEH ,DELTA ,INTGR ,NOUTD ,NSTEP	, ACCEV , DTIME , KSTEP , NOUTP , OMEGA	, AFACT , DTEND , MITER , NPOIN , DISPI	, AZERO , GAAMA , NDOFN , NPRQD , TOLER	,BEETA ,IFIXD ,NELEM ,NREQD ,VELOI	, 1 , 1 , 1	DYN DYN DYN DYN DYN DYN	30 31 32 33 34 35

С											MDYN	36
		CALL	PREVOS	(FORCE	, NDOFN	,NELEM	,NGAUS	,NPOIN	,NPREV	,	MDYN	37
~	•			STRIN	)						MDYN	38
C		CALL		(COOPD	FORCE		MATNO	NDTME	NDOFN		MDYN	72 72
		CALL	LUADEL	NELEM	NGAUS	.NMATS	NNODE	NPOIN	NSTRE	; ,	MDYN	41
				NTYPE	POSGP	PROPS	, RLOAD	STRIN	, TEMPE	,	MDYN	42
		•		WEIGP	5	-					MDYN	43
C		CALL	TIMAGO			INODE	MATINO	NCOMM	NIDTME		MDYN	44 NG
		CALL	LUMASS	NDOEN	ADD NEL EM	NCALIM	MMATS	NNODE	NPOTN	*	MDYN	ч <u>э</u> 46
	•			NTYPE	PROPS	YMASS	)	INTODE	, 111 0 2 11	,	MDYN	47
С						•	-				MDYN	48
-		CALL	LINKIN	(FORCE	, IF PRE	, INTGR	,LEQNS	,LNODS	,MAXAI	,	MDYN	49
	•				MHIGH	, NDOFN	, NELEM	, NEQNS	, NNODE	*	MDYN	50 51
r	•	1		MIOIN	, INNELLE	1 MALLE	, APIAGO	, 10000			MDYN	52
Ŭ		DO 510 IST	EP=1,NST	TEP							MDYN	53
С											MDYN	54
~		DO 500 IITI	ER=1,MIT	TER							MDYN	55
C		CALL	COTTEE		E D STN	TNTCR	TSTEP	KSTEP	LEONS		MDYN	57
			ODI I.I.	LNODS	MATNO	,MAXAI	, MAXAJ	,NCRIT	NDIME	,	MDYN	58
				NDOFN	,NELEM	,NGAUS	, NLAPS	,NMATS	, NNODE	,	MDYN	59
				NPOIN	,NSTRE	,NTYPE	, NWMTL	, NWKTL	, POSGP	۲.	MDYN	60 61
c		•		PROPS	,STIFF	,51111	,51850	,DISPI	,WEIGF	,	MDYN	62
C		CALL	TMPEXP	(AALEA	. ACCEH	.ACCEI	. ACCEJ	. ACCEK	.ACCEL		MDYN	63
		•	<b></b>	ACCEV	, AF ACT	,AZERO	,BEETA	, BZERO	CONSD	,	MDYN	64
		•		CONSF	,DAMPI	,DAMPG	,DELTA	,DISPI	,DISPL	,	MDYN	05 66
		•		DISPT	,DIEND	,DTIME	,GAAMA	, IFIXD MAYAT	MAXA.	,	MDIN MDYN	67
		•		NDOFN	NEQNS	,NPOIN	NWKTL	, NWMTL	, OMEGA	, ,	MDYN	68
	,			FORCE	STIFF	,STIFI	,STIFS	VELOI	VELOL	,	MDYN	69
~		•		VELOT	,XMASS	,YMASS	,IPRED	)			MDYN	70
L		CALL	DECEDI	(00000	DISDT	FFFST	RIOAD	FPSTN	TTTFR		MDYN	72
		CALL .	NEOLIL	INTGR	LEONS	.LNODS	MATNO	NCRIT	NDIME	, ,	MDYN	73
		•		NDOFN	NELEM	, NGAUS	,NLAPS	NMATS	NNODE	,	MDYN	74
		•		NPOIN	,NSTRE	,NTYPE	, POSGP	, PROPS	, RESID	t	MDYN	75
r		•		STRAG	,STRIN	,STRSG	,WLIGP	, IPRED	,ISIEP	)	MDIN MDYN	(0 77
C		CALL	TTRATE	(ACCET	ACCEL.	. CONSD	CONSE	XMASS	.DTSPI		MDYN	78
		•	11,0010	DISPL	DISPT	,MAXAI	,NCHEK	, NEONS	NWMTL	;	MDYN	79
		•		RESID	,STIFS	,TOLER	,VELOI	,VELOL	,VELOT	,	MDYN	80
Ċ		•		IITER	,MITER	)						81 82
Υ.	500	IF (NCHEK.E	O.1) GO	TO 510							MDYN	83
С				10 910							MDYN	84
	510	CALL	OUTDYN	(DISPQ	,DTIME	,EPSTN	,IFPRE	,IITER	,ISTEP	,	MDYN	85
		•		NDOFN	, NELEM	, NGAUS	, NGRQS	,NITER	,NOUTD	,	MDYN	90 047
		•		NOUTP	,NPOIN	,NPRQD	, NKEQD	, NREQS	,NITPE	,	MDYN	88
С		•		01100	101017	,					MDYN	89
		STOP									MDYN	90
		END									MDYN	91

443

# **11.5.3** Subroutine ADDBAN

This routine<sup>(9)</sup> assembles the element stiffness matrix into the global stiffness matrix in a compacted form.

~~~~	SUBROUTINE ADDBAN (STIFF, MAXAI, ESTIF, LEQNS, NEVAB)	ADDB	1
C####1 C C ###	ASSEMBLY OF TOTAL STIFFNESS VECTOR	ADDB ADDB ADDB	2 3 4
- C 		ADDB	5
с с	DIMENSION STIFF(1), MAXAI(1), ESTIF(1), LEQNS(1)	ADDB	7 8
<b>~</b>	KOUNT=0	ADDB	9
	DO 200 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	ADDB	10
	IF(IEQNS) 200,200,100	ADDB	12
100	IMAXA=MAXAI(IEQNS)	ADDB	13
	DO 220 JEVAB=1,NEVAB	ADDB ADDB	14
	JEONS=LEONS(JEVAB)	ADDB	16
110	IF(JEQNS) 220,220,110 IJEQN=IEQNS-JEQNS	addb Addb	17 18
210	IF(IJEQN) 220,210,210	ADDB	19
210	JSIZE=MAXA+IJEQN JSIZE=KEVAB	ADDB	20
	IF(JEVAB.GE.IEVAB) JSIZE=JEVAB+KOUNT	ADDB	22
220	STIFF(ISIZE)=STIFF(ISIZE)+ESTIF(JSIZE) KEVAB=KEVAB+NEVAB-JEVAB	ADDB ADDB	23
200	KOUNT=KOUNT+NEVAB-IEVAB	ADDB	25
	RETURN END	ADDB ADDB	26 27

# **11.5.4 Subroutine ADDRES**

This routine<sup>(9)</sup> addresses the diagonal elements of the global matrix using the column heights.

.

	SUBROUTINE ADDRES(MAXAI, MHIGH, NEQNS, NWKTL, MKOUN)	ADDR	1
C####!	***************************************	ADDR	2
C		ADDR	- 3
C #*#	EVALUATES ADRESSES OF DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	ADDR	4
С		ADDR	5
C****	***************************************	ADDR	ő
	DIMENSION MAXAI(1) .MHIGH(1)	ADDR	7
	NEONN=NEONS+1	ADDR	8
	DO 20 IEQNN=1.NEQNN	ADDR	ģ
20	MAXAI(1)=1	ADDR	10
	MAXAI(2)=2	ADDR	11
	MKOUN=0	ADDR	12
	IF(NEQNS.EQ.1) GO TO 30	ADDR	13
	DO 10 IFONS=2. NEONS	ADDR	14
	IF (MHIGH (IEONS), GT, MKOUN) MKOUN=MHIGH (IEONS)	ADDR	15
10	MAXAI(IEONS+1)=MAXAI(IEONS)+MHIGH(IEONS)+1	ADDR	16
30	MKOIN_MKOIN_1		17
54	NWKTL=MAXAT(NEONS+1)_MAXAT(1)	ADDR	18
	RETURN		10
	FND		20
		NUDA	20

## 11.5.5 Subroutine COLMHT

This routine<sup>(9)</sup> calculates the vertical column heights above the diagonal of the global matrix using equation numbers and the total number of degrees of freedom of an element (NEVAB).

SUBROUTINE COLMHT (MHIGH ,NEVAB ,LEQNS )	COLM	1
	COLM	3
C*** EVALUATES THE COLUMN HEIGHT OF STIFFNESS MATKIX		4
└ 갼쓟븚챓뷶궳챓뜛똜뜛뜛뚌챓챓챓챓챓챓뇄뜛뜛뇄뜛뇄뜛뇄뜛岩챓뜛岩	COLM	6
DIMENSION LEONS(1) .MHIGH(1)	COLM	7
MAXAM=100000	COLM	8
DO 100 IEVAB=1,NEVAB	COLM	- 9
IF(LEONS(IEVAB)) 110,100,110	COLM	10
110 IF(LEONS(IEVAB)-MAXAM) 120,100,100	COLM	11
120 MAXAM=LEQNS(IEVAB)	COLM	12
100 CONTINUE	COLM	13
DO 200 IEVAB=1,NEVAB	COLM	- 14
IEQNS=LEQNS(IEVAB)	COLM	- 15
IF(IEQNS.EQ.0) GO TO 200	COLM	16
JHIGH=IEONS_MAXAM	COLM	- 17
IF(JHIGH,GT.MHIGH(IEQNS)) MHIGH(IEQNS)=JHIGH	COLM	18
200 CONTINUE	COLM	19
RETURN	COLM	20
END	COLM	21

## 11.5.6 Subroutine DECOMP

This routine<sup>(9)</sup> factorises a matrix into lower, diagonal and upper matrices  $(LDL^{T})$ 

	SUBROUTINE DECOMP (STIFF , MAXAI , NEQNS , ISHOT )	DECM	1
C####	********	DECM	2
Ċ		DECM	3
C ###	FACTORISES (L)*(D)*(L) TRANSPOSE OF STIFFNESS MATRIX	DECM	4
С		DECM	- 5
C####	****	DECM	6
	DIMENSION STIFF(1) ,MAXAI(1)	DECM	- 7
С		DECM	8
	IF(NEQNS.EQ.1) RETURN	DECM	- 9
	DO 200 IEQNS=1, NEQNS	DECM	10
	IMAXA=MAXAI(IEQNS)	DECM	11
	LOWER=IMAXA+1	DECM	12
	KUPER=MAXAI(IEQNS+1)-1	DECM	13
	KHIGH=KUPER=LOWER	DECM	- 14
	IF(KHIGH) 304,240,210	DECM	15
210	KSIZE=IEQNS-KHIGH	DECM	16
	ICOUN=0	DECM	- 17
	JUPER=KUPER	DECM	18
	DO 260 JHIGH=1,KHIGH	DECM	19
	ICOUN=ICOUN+1	DECM	20
	JUPER=JUPER-1	DECM	21
	KMAXA=MAXAI(KSIZE)	DECM	22
	NDIAG=MAXAI(KSIZE+1)-KMAXA-1	DECM	23
	IF(NDIAG) 260,260,270	DECM	-24

270	NCOLM=MINO(ICOUN.NDIAG)	DECM	25
-1-	COUNT=0.	DECM	26
	DO 280 ICOLM-1.NCOLM	DECM	27
280	COUNT=COUNT+STIFF(KMAXA+ICOLM)*STIFF(JUPER+ICOLM)	DECM	28
	STIFF(JUPER)=STIFF(JUPER)=COUNT	DECM	29
260	KSIZE=KSIZE+1	DECM	- 30
240	KSIZE=IEQNS	DECM	-31
	BSUMM=0.	DECM	-32
	DO 300 ICOLM=LOWER, KUPER	DECM	- 33
	KSIZE=KSIZE-1	DECM	-34
	JMAXA=MAXAI(KSIZE)	DECM	35
	RATIO=STIFF(ICOLM)/STIFF(JMAXA)	DECM	- 36
	BSUMM=BSUMM+RATIO*STIFF(ICOLM)	DECM	- 37
300	STIFF(ICOLM)=RATIO	DECM	- 38
-	STIFF(IMAXA)=STIFF(IMAXA)=BSUMM	DECM	- 39
304	IF(STIFF(IMAXA)) 310,310,200	DECM	-40
310	JE(ISHOT.EQ.0) GO TO 320	DECM	- 41
	IF(STIFF(IMAXA).EQ.0) STIFF(IMAXA)=-1.E-16	DECM	42
	GO TO 200	DECM	43
320	WRITE(6,2000) IEQNS,STIFF(IMAXA)	DECM	44
	STOP	DECM	45
200	CONTINUE	DECM	46
	RETURN	DECM	-47
2000	FORMAT(//48H STOP - STIFFNESS MATRIX NOT POSITIVE DEFINITE ,//	DECM	48
	.32H NONPOSITIVE PIVOT FOR EQUATION ,14,//10H PIVOT = ,E20.12 )	DECM	-49
	END	DECM	50

# **11.5.7** Subroutine DINTOB

This routine multiplies the modulus matrix D with the strain matrix B.

	SUBROUTINE DINTOB (BMATX, DBMAT, DMATX, NEVAB, NSTRE)	DINT	1
C****	***************************************	DINT	2
č		DINT	3
C###	CALCULATE D INTO B	DINT	- 4
с		DINT	5
C***	┊╪╪╪╪╅ <b>⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇⋇</b>	DINT	6
	DIMENSION DBMAT(4,18), DMATX(4,4), BMATX(4,18)	DINT	- 7
	DO 10 ISTRE=1.NSTRE	DINT	- 8
	DO 10 IEVAB=1, NEVAB	DINT	- 9
	DBMAT(ISTRE, IEVAB)=0.0	DINT	10
	DO 10 JSTRE=1.NSTRE	DINT	11
	DBMAT(ISTRE, IEVAB)=DBMAT(ISTRE, IEVAB)+	DINT	12
	.DMATX(ISTRE,JSTRE)*BMATX(JSTRE,IEVAB)	DINT	13
10	CONTINUE	DINT	-14
	RETURN	DINT	- 15
	END	DINT	- 16

# 11.5.8 Subroutine GEOMST

This routine adds the initial stress matrix to the stiffness matrix.

SUBROUTINE GEOMST (CARTD , DVOLU , ESTIF , KGAUS , NDOFN , NNO STRSG , SHAPE , NTYPE , GPCOD , KGASP )	DE, GEOM 1 GEOM 2
	***** GEOM 3
	UX CEOM 5
C ADD INITIAL SINESS SITTINESS MATRIX TO SITTINESS MATRI	GEOM 5 GEOM 6
C#####################################	***** GEOM 7
DIMENSION STRES(4) , CARTD(2,9) , ESTIF(171) , STRSG(4,1) ,	GEOM 8
. SHAPE(1), GPCOD(2,9)	GEOM 9
NEVAB=NNODE*NDOFN	GEOM 10
DO 300 ISTR1=1,4	GEOM 11

	IMPLICIT-EXPLICIT TRANSIENT DYNAMIC ANALYSIS	4	447
300	STRES(ISTR1)=STRSG(ISTR1,KGAUS)	GEOM	12
-	IEVAB=1	GEOM	13
	KOUNT=NEVAB	GEOM	14
	DO 200 INODE=1, NNODE	GEOM	- 15
	DO 100 JNODE=INODE, NNODE	GEOM	16
	DGASH=STRES(1)*CARTD(1, INODE)*CARTD(1, JNODE)+	GEOM	- 17
	.STRES(3)*(CARTD(1,INODE)*CARTD(2,JNODE)+	GEOM	18
	.CARTD(2,INODE)*CARTD(1,JNODE))+	GEOM	- 19
	.STRES(2)*CARTD(2,INODE)*CARTD(2,JNODE)	GEOM	20
	DGASY=DGASH*DVOLU	GEOM	21
	DGASX=DGASY	GEOM	22
	IF(NTYPE.NE.3) GO TO 400	GEOM	- 23
	PRODT=SHAPE(INODE)/(GPCOD(1,KGASP)**2)	GEOM	24
	DGASX=DGASY+STRES(4)*PRODT*SHAPE(JNODE)*DVOLU	GEOM	- 25
400	ESTIF(IEVAB)=ESTIF(IEVAB)+DGASX	GEOM	26
	JEVAB=IEVAB+KOUNT	GEOM	27
	ESTIF(JEVAB)=ESTIF(JEVAB)+DGASY	GEOM	28
	IEVAB=IEVAB+2	GEOM	- 29
100	CONTINUE	GEOM	- 30
	KOUNT=KOUNT-2	GEOM	31
	IEVAB=JEVAB+1	GEOM	32
200	CONTINUE	GEOM	- 33
	RETURN	GEOM	34
	END	GEOM	35

# 11.5.9 Subroutine GSTIFF

This routine generates the compacted geometrically nonlinear stiffness matrix for two-dimensional plane stress/strain and axisymmetric problems from the element stiffness matrices.

	SUBROUTINE GSTIFF (COORD , EPSTN , INTGR , ISTEP , KSTEP , LEQNS ,	STIF	1
	. LNODS .MATNO .MAXAI .MAXAJ .NCRIT .NDIME .	STIF	2
	NDOFN NELEM NGAUS NLAPS NMATS NNODE .	STIF	3
	. NPOIN NSTRE NTYPE NWMTL NWKTL POSGP	STIF	4
	PROPS STIFF STIFI STRSG TDISP WEIGP )	STIF	5
C****	***************************************	STIF	6
Ċ		STIF	7
Ċ	EVALUATES GEOMETRICALLY NONLINEAR STIFFNESS MATRIX	STIF	8
С	FOR 2-D PLANE STRESS/STRAIN 2-D ELEMENT	STIF	9
С		STIF	10
C#***	***************************************	STIF	11
	DIMENSION COORD(NPOIN,1), DMATX(4, 4), ELCOD(2,9), AVECT(4),	STIF	12
	LNODS(NELEM, 1), BMATX(4, 18), CARTD(2,9), DVECT(4),	STIF	13
	. PROPS(NMATS, 1) , DBMAT(4, 18) , GPCOD(2, 9) , DEVIA(4) ,	STIF	-14
	LEQNS( 18, 1) , STRSG(4, 1) , DLCOD(2,9) , STRES(4) ,	STIF	- 15
	. ESTIF( 171), DJACM(2, 2), DERIV(2,9), SHAPE(9)	STIF	16
С		STIF	- 17
	<pre>DIMENSION MAXAI(1) , INTGR(1) , STIFF(1) , POSCP(1) , EPSTN(1) ,</pre>	STIF	18
	. MAXAJ(1),TDISP(1),STIFI(1),WEIGP(1),MATNO(1)	STIF	- 19
Ç		STIF	20
С		STIF	21
	IF(ISTEP.EQ.1) GO TO 200	STIF	- 22
	KOUNT=(ISTEP/KSTEP)*KSTEP	STIF	23
	IF (KOUNT.NE.ISTEP) RETURN	2115	24
200		SILF	$\sim$
	TWOP1=6.283185307179586	STIF	- 20
c	KGAUS=0	SITL	- 21
		2115	20
C ***	LUOP OVER EACH ELEMENT	STIF	29
C	NSTR1-4	STIF	20
		STIF	20
	DO 500 TWETL-1. NWETL	STIF	22
500	STIFF(TWKTL) _STIFI(TWKTL)=0.0	STIF	- 77 - 77
		~	57

	TO TO TELEM-1 NELEM	STIF	35
	LPROP=MATNO(IELEM)	STIF	36
C		STIF	37
C##	EVALUATE THE COORDINATES OF THE ELEMENT NODAL POINTS	STIF	38
C		STIF	39
	LPUDNEU DO 10 TNODE-1 NNODE	STIF	40 Д1
	LNODE=LNODS(IELEM, INODE)	STIF	42
	DO 10 IDIME=1.NDIME	STIF	43
	IPOSN=IPOSN+1	STIF	44
	NPOSN=LEONS(IPOSN,IELEM)	STIF	45
	IF(NPOSN.EQ.O) DISPT=0.	STIF	46
	IF(NPOSN.NE.O) DISPT=TDISP(NPOSN)	STIF	47
	DLUDU(IDIME, INODE)=COORD(LNODE, IDIME)	STIF	40 210
	YOUNG-PROPS(I, PROP 1)	STIF	50
	POISS=PROPS(LPROP, 2)	STIF	51
	THICK=PROPS(LPROP, 3)	STIF	52
	HARDS=PROPS(LPROP, 7)	STIF	53
	FRICT=PROPS(LPROP, 8)	STIF	54
C		STIF	55
C##	** INITIALIZE THE ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRIX 171=NEVAB*(NEVAB+1)/2	STIF	56
С	NA AA TATZE 4 184	STIC	57
	DU = 20  ISIZE = 1, 1(1) 20 FSTIF(ISIZE)-0.0	STIF	50
	KGASP=0	STIF	60
С		STIF	61
C#4	** ENTER LOOPS FOR AREA NUMERICAL INTEGRATION	STIF	62
С		STIF	63
	DO 50 IGAUS=1,NGAUS	STIF	64
	DO 50 ICAUS-1 NOAUS	STIF	66
	ETASP-POSGP(JGAUS)	STIF	67
	KGASP=KGASP+1	STIF	68
	KGAUS=KGAUS+1	STIF	69
	CALL MODPS (DMATX, LPROP, NMATS, NSTRE, NTYPE, PROPS)	STIF	70
	CALL SFR2 (DERIV, NNODE, SHAPE, EXISP, ETASP)	STIF	71
	CALL JACOBZ (CARID, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD,	SILP	12
	CALL JACOBD (CARTD DI COD DJACM NDIME NI APS NNODE)	STIF	74
	DVOLU=DJACB#WETGP(IGAUS)#WETGP(JGAUS)	STIF	75
	IF(NTYPE.EQ.3) DVOLU=DVOLU#TWOPI#GPCOD(1.KGASP)	STIF	76
	IF(NTYPE.EQ.1) DVOLU=DVOLU*THICK	STIF	77
С		STIF	78
C#1	** EVALUATE THE B AND DB MATRICES	STIF	- 79
C		STIF	00 81
	CALL DLARGE (DHAIA, CARID, DJACH, DLCOD, GFOOD, KCASD NI ADS NNODE NTYDE SHADE)	STIF	82
	IF (NLAPS. EQ. 2. OR. NLAPS. EQ. O) GO TO 80	STIF	83
	IF(ISTEP.EQ.1) GO TO 80	STIF	- 84
	IF(EPSTN(KGAUS).EQ.0.0) GO TO 80	STIF	85
	DO 90 ISTR1=1, NSTR1	STIF	85
	90 SIRES(ISIR)/=SIRSU(ISIR),KGAUS)	SITE	0(
	CALL INVAR (DEVIA, LPROP, NORII, NMAIS, PROPS, SINI3, SIEFF, STRES THETA VARIO VIELD)	SIIF	00 80
	CALL YIELDF (AVECT. DEVIA, FRICT, NCRIT, SINT3, STEFF.	STIF	90
	. THETA. VARJ2)	STIF	91
	CALL FLOWPL (AVECT, ABETA, DVECT, HARDS, NTYPE, POISS, YOUNG)	STIF	92
	DO 100 ISTRE=1, NSTRE	STIF	93
	DO 100 JSTRE=1,NSTRE	STIF	94
	DVECT(ISTRE)	STIF	95 06
	80 CONTINUE	STIF	90
	CALL DINTOB (BMATX, DBMAT, DMATX, NEVAB, NSTRE)	STIF	- 98
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

c			STIF	00
č	***	EVALUATE CEONETDIC STIERNESS TEDNS	STIF	100
C C		EVALUATE GEOMETRIC SITFFMEDD TERMD	STIF	101
Ç		TE(NIADE IT 1) CO TO PE	SIIF	101
		IF (NLAFS.LI.2) GU IU OC	STIF	102
		CALL GEOMST (CARID, DVOLU, ESTIF, KGAUS, NDOFN, NNODE,	STIF	103
_		. STRSG, SHAPE, NTYPE, GPCOD, KGASP)	STIF	104
C			STIF	105
C	*** (	CALCULATE THE ELEMENT STIFFNESSES	STIF	106
С			STIF	107
	85	KOUNT=0	STIF	108
		DO 30 IEVAB=1.NEVAB	STIF	109
		DO 30 JEVAB-IEVAB.NEVAB	STIF	110
		KOUNT-KOUNT-1	STIF	111
		DO 30 ISTRE=1.NSTRE	STIF	112
	30	ESTIF(KOUNT)-ESTIF(KOUNT)+RMATX(ISTRE, TEVAR)*	STIF	113
	22	DBMAT(ISTRE JEVAB) #DVOLU	STIF	114
	50	CONTINUE	STIF	115
C	50	CONTRACT.	STIF	116
ř	***	CENEDATER OF ODAL STRENGS WATDLY IN CONDACTED COLUMN FORM	OTTE	1 1 7
č		GENERATES GLOBAL STIFFINGS MATRIX IN COMPACIED COLUMN FORM	SITE	110
C		TE(TNTCP(TELEN) = 0.2) = 0.70.210	OILL	110
		IT (INIGR(IELEM), EQ.2) GU IU 210 CALL ADDRAW (CTIFI MAYAI COTIF ( CONG(1 IF(EN) NETAR)	SITE	119
	210	CALL ADDBAN (STIFT, MAXAI, ESTIF, LEQNS(T, TELEM), NEVAB)	STIF	120
	210	CALL ADDBAN (STIFF, MAXAJ, ESTIF, LEQNS(1, IELEM), NEVAB)	STIF	121
-	70	CONTINUE	STIF	122
Ċ		WRITE(6,900) (STIFI(I), I=1, NWMTL)	STIF	123
	900	FORMAT(10E12.4)	STIF	124
		RETURN	STIF	125
		END	STIF	126

# 11.5.10 Subroutine IMPEXP

This routine generates the partial effective load vector for direct time integration.

		SUBROUTINE	IMPEXP	(AALFA	, ACCEH	, ACCEI	, ACCEJ	, ACCEK	, ACCE	L,		IMEX	1
				ACCEV	AFACT	AZERO	, BEETA	,BZERO	,CONS	D,		IMEX	2
		•		CONSF	DAMPI	, DAMPG	, DELTA	,DISPI	,DISP	L,		IMEX	- 3
		•		DISPT	,DTEND	,DTIME	,GAAMA	,IFIXD	,IFPR	Е,		IMEX	4
		•		IFUNC	, IITER	,ISTEP	,KSTEP	, MAXAI	, MAXA	J,		IMEX	5
		•		NDOFN	,NSIZE	,NPOIN	, MKTL	NMMTL	, OMEG	Α,		IMEX	6
		•		RLOAD	,STIFF	,STIFI	,STIFS	,VELOI	,VELO	L,		IMEX	- 7
				VELOT	, XMASS	, YMASS	, IPRED	)				IMEX	- 8
C	\ <b>```</b>	********	********	******	******	******	******	******	*****	***		IMEX	9
C												IMEX	10
С	**	GENERATES	PARTIAL	EFFECT]	VE LOA	D VECTO	8					IMEX	11
Ĉ												IMEX	12
C	****	****	********	******	******	<b>;                                    </b>	******	*****	****	****	•	IMEX	13
		DIMENSION	STIFF(1)	,DISP1	(1) ,A	ICCEH(1)	,DISPL	(1) ,IF	PRE(2,	1)	,	IMEX	14
		•	XMASS(1)	,VELOI	.(1),A	ICCEV(1)	,VELOL(	(1) ,ACG	CEK	1)	,	IMEX	15
		•	RLOAD(1)	, ACCEI	.(1) ,M	(1) AXAI	, ACCEL	(1) ,DAI	MPG(	1)	,	IMEX	16
		•	ACCEJ(1)	, MAXAJ	Ι(1) <sub>-</sub> Υ	MASS(1)	,STIFI	(1) ,DI	SPT(	1)	1	IMEX	17
		•	STIFS(1)	, DAMP1	I(1) ,V	ELOT(1)						IMEX	18
С												IMEX	19
С												IMEX	20
С												IMEX	21
		IF(ISTEP.(	GT.1.OR.J	ITER.G	r.1) GC	) TO 100	0.			:		IMEX	22
		CONSA=DTIN	E#DTIME	(0.5-DE	ELTA)							IMEX	23
		CONSB=DTIN	Æ*(1G/	LAMA)								IMEX	24
		CONSC=DTIN	IE*DTIME*	DELTA								IMEX	25
		CONSD=DTIN	Æ <b>¤</b> GAAMA									IMEX	26
		CONSF=1./0	CONSC									IMEX	-27
		CONSG=BEET	la#gaama'	DTIME								IMEX	28
		CONSH=AALE	TA*GAAMA	DTIME								IMEX	29
		CONSE=1.+(	CONSH									IMEX	-30

#### 449

		ISHOT=0 DO 550 IPOIN=1,NPOIN	IMEX IMEX	31 32
		DU 550 IDURNET,NDURN TSTZE-TERRE(IDOEN IROIN)	IMEX	33
		15121111111111111111111111111111111111	TMEX	34
		ACCEI(ISIZE)=1.0	IMEX	36
		ACCEL(ISIZE)=0.0	IMEX	- 37
		IF(IDOFN.EQ.1) GO TO 550	IMEX	38
		ACCEL(ISIZE)=0.0	LMEX	39
	550	CONTINIE	TMEX	- 40 - Д 1
		DO 590 ISIZE=1,NSIZE	IMEX	42
		IMAXA=MAXAI(ISIZE)	IMEX	43
~	590	XMASS(IMAXA)=XMASS(IMAXA)+YMASS(ISIZE)	IMEX	44
	***	CALCHEATES VECTORS FOR HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL EXCITATION		45 54
č		CALCOLATES VECTORS FOR MORIZOWIAL AND VERTICAL EXCITATION	TMFX	40 117
		CALL MULTPY (ACCEK, XMASS, ACCEL, MAXAI, NSIZE, NWMTL)	IMEX	48
		CALL MULTPY (ACCEJ, XMASS, ACCEI, MAXAI, NSIZE, NWMTL)	IMEX	49
~		CALL MULTPY (DISPL, STIFF, DISPI, MAXAJ, NSIZE, NWKTL)	IMEX	50
	***	CALCULATES DANDING MATRIX (AALDASM.DEETASK)	IMEX	51
C		CALCOLATES DAMPING MAIRIX (AALFA*M+BECIA=K)	TMEX	52
Č		DO 500 ISIZE=1.NSIZE	TMEX	- 55 - 54
		IMAXA=MAXAI(ISIZE)	TMFX	55
		KMAXA=MAXAI(ISIZE+1)-1	IMEX	56
		JMAXA=MAXAJ(ISIZE)	IMEX	57
		DO 500 LMAXA-IMAXA, KMAXA	IMEX	58
	500	JAMPI (JPAAR) = AALL A * AMASS (LMAAR) JMAYA-JMAYA-1		59
		DO 560 IWKTL-1. NWKTL	TMEX	61
	560	DAMPI(IWKTL)=DAMPI(IWKTL)+BEETA*STIFF(IWKTL)	IMEX	62
С			IMEX	63
C	***	CALCULATES INITIAL ACCELERATION	IMEX	64
C		CALL MULTRY (VELOL DAMPT VELOT MAYAT NETZE MURTE)		65
		DO 600 IWMTL-1, WMTL	TMEX	67
	600	DAMPG(IWMTL)=XMASS(IWMTL)	IMEX	<b>6</b> 8
		DO 510 ISIZE = 1,NSIZE	IMEX	69
	510	ACCET(ISIZE)=RLOAD(ISIZE)=DISPL(ISIZE)=VELOL(ISIZE)	IMEX	70
		CALL DECOMP (DAMPG, MAXAI, NSIZE, ISHOT) CALL BEDBAK (DAMPG ACCET MAYAT NSIZE)	TMEX	71
		WRITE (6,900)	IMEX	73
		WRITE (6,910) (ACCEI(ISIZE), ISIZE=1, NSIZE)	IMEX	74
	900	FORMAT(/' INITIAL ACCELERATION '/)	IMEX	75
	910	FORMAT(1X, 10E12.5)	IMEX	76
	.000	$IF(IITER_GT_1)$ GO TO 650	TMEX	78
С			IMEX	79
С	***	CALCULATES PREDICTED DISPLACEMENT AND VELOCITY VECTOR	IMEX	80
С			IMEX	81
		DO 540 ISIZE=1,NSIZE TE(IPRED ED 1) CO TO 210	IMEX	82
		DISPT(ISIZE)=DISPI(ISIZE)	TMEX	84
		VELOT(ISIZE)=VELOI(ISIZE)	IMEX	85
	210	DISPI(ISIZE)=DISPI(ISIZE)+DTIME*VELOI(ISIZE)+CONSA*ACCEI(ISIZE)	IMEX	86
		VELOI(ISIZE)=VELOI(ISIZE)+CONSB*ACCEI(ISIZE)	IMEX	87
		LECLERED.EQ. 21 GO TO 220 DISPT(IST7F)-DISPI(IST7F)	IMEX	88 80
		VELOT(ISIZE)=VELOI(ISIZE)	TWEX	09
	220	ACCEI(ISIZE)=CONSF*(DISPT(ISIZE)-DISPI(ISIZE))	IMEX	91
-	540	CONTINUE	IMEX	92
C			IMEX	93
C <sup>1</sup>	·== (	JALCULATES LOAD VECTORS	IMEX	94

С			IMEX	95
Ť		FACTS =FUNCTS (AZERO, BZERO, DTEND, DTIME, IFUNC, ISTEP, OMEGA)	IMEX	96
		FACTH -FUNCTA (ACCEH, AFACT, DTEND, DTIME, IFUNC, ISTEP)	IMEX	97
		FACTV =FUNCTA (ACCEV.AFACT.DTEND.DTIME.IFUNC, ISTEP)	IMEX	- 98
-6-	<b>-</b>	WRITE(6,910) FACTS.FACTH.FACTV	IMEX	- 99
Ŭ	650	CONTINUE	IMEX	100
	0,00	IF(ISTEP.ED.1) GO TO 640	IMEX	101
С			IMEX	102
č	***	CALCULATES DAMPING AND K-STAR MATRICES	IMEX	103
č			IMEX	104
•		DO 530 ISIZE=1.NSIZE	IMEX	105
		IMAXA=MAXAI(ISIZE)	IMEX	106
		KMAXA=MAXAI(ISIZE+1)-1	IMEX	107
		.IMAXA=MAXAJ(TSTZE)	IMEX	108
		DO 530 LMAXA=IMAXA.KMAXA	IMEX	109
		DAMPI(JMAXA)=AALFA*XMASS(LMAXA)	IMEX	110
	530	JIMAXA=JIMAXA+1	IMEX	111
	500	DO 580 IWKTL=1.NWKTL	IMEX	112
	580	DAMPI(IWKTL)=DAMPI(IWKTL)+BEETA*STIFF(IWKTL)	IMEX	113
		CALL MULTPY (VELOL , DAMPI , VELOT , MAXAJ , NSIZE , NWKTL )	IMEX	114
		KOUNT=(ISTEP/KSTEP)*KSTEP	IMEX	115
		IF(KOUNT.NE.ISTEP) GO TO 660	IMEX	116
	640	DO 610 IWMTL=1.NWMTL	IMEX	117
	610	DAMPG(IWMTL)=CONSE*XMASS(IWMTL)	IMEX	118
		DO 620 ISIZE=1,NSIZE	IMEX	119
		IMAXA=MAXAI(ISIZE)	IMEX	120
	620	DAMPG(IMAXA)=DAMPG(IMAXA)=CONSH*YMASS(ISIZE)	IMEX	121
		DO 630 IWMTL=1, NWMTL	IMEX	122
		DAMPG(IWMTL)=DAMPG(IWMTL)+CONSG*STIFI(IWMTL)	IMEX	123
	630	STIFS(IWMTL)=STIFI(IWMTL)+DAMPG(IWMTL)*CONSF	IMEX	124
- <del>C</del>		WRITE(6,900) (STIFS(1), I=1, NWMTL)	IMEX	125
_		CALL DECOMP (STIFS , MAXAI , NSIZE , ISHOT )	IMEX	126
C		·····	LMEX	121
Ç	***	CALCULATES PARTIAL EFFECTIVE LOAD VECTOR	IMEX	120
C				129
	660	DO 520 ISIZEE1, NSIZE	TMEN	120
		IF(IFUNC.NE.0) GO TO 570	IMEA	121
		IF(IFIXD.EQ.2) DISPL(ISIZE)=-VELOL(ISIZE)-FACTH*ACCEJ(ISIZE)	IMEX	132
		+RLOAD(ISIZE)	THEY	133
		IF(IFIXD.EQ.1) DISPL(ISIZE)=-VELOL(ISIZE)-FACTV*ACCEK(ISIZE)	LMEX	134
		+KLOAD(1SIZE) TE(TETYD EO O) DIEDU (IEIZE), NELOU (IEIZE) EACTEMACCE ((IEIZE)	ገሠር እ ገለሮ እ	135
		TL(TLTVD'EA'O) DTOLF(TOTVE)=AETOP(TOTVE) EVGLARAVGGEK(IGIAE)	THEY THEY	127
		$+\pi U U A U (1512E) - r A U V ^ A U U E A U U A U (1512E)$	TMEN	129 129
	570	LEVIEUNULEUNULEU UU IU 520 DISOI(IST7E) - VELOI(IST7E) DI OAD(IST7E)#EACTS	TWEA	170
	520	CUNTINIE NTOLINITETOTICIATURIALITY CONTRACTORIALITY CONTRACTORIALITY CONTRACTORIALITY CONTRACTORIALITY CONTRACTORIALITY	TMFY	1 <u>4</u> 0
	220		TMFY	1//1
		END	IMEX	142

# **11.5.11** Subroutine ITRATE

This routine generates the total effective load vector and solves for the incremental displacements. It then checks for convergence.

	•	SUBROUTINE	ITRATE	(ACCEI DISPL RESID	, ACCEL , DISPT , STIFS	, CONSD , MAXAI , TOLER	,CONSF ,NCHEK ,VELOI	,XMASS ,NSIZE ,VELOL	, DISPI , NWMTL , VELOT	9 9 9	ITER ITER ITER ITER	123
C#i	***	E##############	*******		''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	/ *******	******	*****	******	***	ITER	56
C 1 C#1 C#1	F##	CALCULATES	INCREME	NT IN I	DISPLACE	EMENT AN	D APPLI	ES CON	/ERGENC	E ***	ITER ITER	78
Ĭ		DIMENSION D	DISPI(1)	,VELO	[(1) ,A(	CCEI(1)	,RESID(	(1) ,MAD	(AI(1)	,	ITER	10

#### FINITE ELEMENTS IN PLASTICITY

		DISPL(1),VELOL(1),ACCEL(1),STIFS(1),DISPT(1), XMASS(1),VELOT(1)	ITER ITER	11 12
C C			ITER ITER	13
-		NCHEK=0 CALL MULTPY (ACCEL ,XMASS ,ACCEI ,MAXAI ,NSIZE ,NWMTL )	ITER	15 16 17
C C	***	CALCULATES TOTAL EFFECTIVE LOAD VECTOR	ITER	18 19
L	660	DO 660 ISIZE=1,NSIZE ACCEL(ISIZE)=DISPL(ISIZE)-ACCEL(ISIZE)-RESID(ISIZE)	ITER ITER	20 21
C C	***	CALCULATES DELTA DISPLACEMENT	ITER ITER	22 23
C	210	CALL REDBAK (STIFS, ACCEL, MAXAI, NSIZE)	ITER ITER ITER	24 25 26
C	***	APPLIES CONVERGENCE	ITER ITER	27 28
U		SUMPP=0. SUMPO=0.	ITER ITER	29 30
		DO 670 ISIZE=1,NSIZE DISPP=ACCEL(ISIZE)	ITER ITER	31 32
		DISPQ=DISPT(ISIZE)+DISPP DISPT(ISIZE)=DISPQ	ITER ITER	33
		SUMPP=SUMPP+DISPP*DISPP SUMPQ=SUMPQ+DISPQ*DISPQ	ITER	30 36
	670	CONTINUE DO 530 ISIZE=1,NSIZE ACCET(ISIZE)-CONSE*(DISET(ISIZE) DISEI(ISIZE))	ITER TTER	38 38 30
	530 220	VELOT(ISIZE)=VELOI(ISIZE)+CONSD*ACCEI(ISIZE) SUMPP=SORT(SUMPP/SUMPO)	ITER ITER	40 41
	201	IF(SUMPP.GT.TOLER) GO TO 550 NCHEK=1	ITER ITER	42 43
	550	GO TO 240 IF(IITER.LT.MITER) GO TO 230	ITER ITER	44 45
	240	DO 540 ISIZE=1,NSIZE VELOI(ISIZE)=VELOT(ISIZE)	ITER ITER	46
	540 230	DISPI(ISIZE)=DISPI(ISIZE) CONTINUE	ITER	40
		KETURN END	ITER	- 50 - 51

- ITER 20-21 Calculates total effective load vector.
- ITER 25 Solves for incremental displacements.
- ITER 28-37 Calculates norm of displacement increments.
- ITER 38-40 Calculates new and total displacement, velocities and accelerations.
- ITER 41-42 Applies convergence check.
- ITER 46-49 Stores the final velocities and displacements in vectors VELOI and DISPI respectively.

## 11.5.12 Subroutine LINKIN

This routine calculates the equation number from the array IFPRE which stores the information about the restrained degrees of freedom.

452

	SUBROUTINE LINKIN (FORCE .	IFPRE INTGR	, LEQNS	,LNODS	MAXAI ,	LINK	1
	MAXAJ	MHIGH NDOFN	NELEM	NEONS	NNODE	LINK	2
-	. NPOIN	WKTL NWMTL	XMASS	YMASS)	,	LINK	3
C#####	******************	***********	******	*****	****	LINK	4
С						LINK	5
C ###	LINKS WITH PROFILE SOLVER					LINK	6
С						LINK	7
C#####	*****	*****	*****	******	****	LINK	8
	DIMENSION LNODS(NELEM, 1) ,XMA	SS(1) ,MAXAI(	1) ,INT	'GR(1),		LINK	9
	. IFPRE(NDOFN,1),YMA	SS(1) ,MAXAJ(	1) ,MHI	GH(1),		LINK	10
	. LEQNS( 18,1),FOR	CE(1),EMASS(	171)			LINK	11
С						LINK	12
	IMASS=1					LINK	13
	REWIND 3						14
~	NEVAB=NNODE*NDOFN						15
С С###						LINK	17
C	NUMBER OF UNKNOWNS						18
C	NEONS-O					LINK	10
	DO 100 TPOTN-1 NPOTN					LINK	20
	DO 150 TDOFN=1.NDOFN					LINK	21
	IF(IFPRE(IDOFN, IPOIN)) 110.12	0.110				LINK	22
120	NEONS=NEONS+1	-,				LINK	23
	IFPRE(IDOFN, IPOIN)=NEQNS					LINK	24
	GO TO 150					LINK	25
110	IFPRE(IDOFN, IPOIN)=0					LINK	26
150	CONTINUE					LINK	27
С	WRITE(6,7) IPOIN, (IFPRE(IDOFN	,IPOIN),IDOFN	l=1,NDOF	'N)		LINK	28
100	CONTINUE					LINK	29
~	MEQNS=1+NEQNS					LINK	50
U OFFE	CONTRACTION ADDAY / DONG					LINK	51
C===	CUNNECTIVITI ARRAI LEQNS					I TNK	32
Ċ.	DO 70 TRIEM-1 NEI EN					ITNK	27
	DO 70 TEVAR-1 NEVAR					T TNK	25
70	LEONS(IEVAB. IELEM) =0					LINK	36
10	DO 50 IELEM=1.NELEM					LINK	37
	IEVAB=1					LINK	38
	DO 80 INODE=1, NNODE					LINK	<u>3</u> 9
	IDENT=LNODS(IELEM, INODE)					LINK	40
	DO 80 IDOFN=1, NDOFN					LINK	41
	LEQNS(IEVAB, IELEM)=IFPRE(IDOF	N,IDENT)				LINK	42
80	IEVAB=IEVAB+1					LINK	43
C	WRITE(6,6) IELEM, (LEQNS(IEVAB	, IELEM), IEVA	3=1,NEV/	/B)		LINK	44
50	CONTINUE					LINK	45
0	FORMAT(110,2413)					LINK	40
6	FORMAT(4110) FORMAT(9F12, 4)						4/
с ·	FORMAL (OLIZ.4)					LTNK	40
	LOOD OVED ALL ELEMENTS						77 50
C	LOOP OVER ALL ELEMENIS					LINK	51
250	DO 190 TELEM=1.NELEM					LINK	52
-50	IF(INTGR(TELEM), NE, TMASS) GO	TO 190				LINK	53
	CALL COLMET (MHIGH NEVAB I FON	S(1 TELEW))				ITNY	51
190	CONTINUE	لا لا الشاطية الدوار الديني				LINK	55
Ċ	CONTINUE					LINK	56
C###	ADDRESES OF DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	- MAXA ARRA	Y			LINK	57
С						LINK	58
	CALL ADDRES(MAXAJ, MHIGH, NEQNS	, NWKTL, MKOUN	)			LINK	59
	IF(IMASS.EQ.2) GO TO 205					LINK	60
	DO 580 IEQNS=1, MEQNS					LINK	61
580	MAXAI(IEQNS)=MAXAJ(IEQNS)					LINK	62
	IMASS=2					LINK	63
	NWMIL=NWKIL					LINK	64

	GO TO 250	LINK	65
205	CONTINUE	LINK	66
	WRITE(6,920) NEQNS, NWMTL, NWKTL	LINK	67
	WRITE(6,930) (MAXAI(I), I=1, MEQNS)	LINK	- 68
	WRITE(6,930) (MAXAJ(I), I=1, MEQNS)	LINK	69
930	FORMAT(5X,2015)	LINK	70
920	FORMAT(/5X, 'NEQNS=', 15, 5X, 'NWMTL=', 15, 5X, 'NWKTL=', 15/)	LINK	- 71
	IF(NWKTL.GT.6000) GO TO 210	LINK	72
	GO TO 220	LINK	- 73
210	WRITE(6,910)	LINK	74
	STOP	LINK	75
220	CONTINUE	LINK	76
910	FORMAT (/'SET DIMENSION EXCEEDED - CHECK LINKIN '/)	LINK	- 77
С		LINK	78
C***	GLOBAL MASS MATRIX	LINK	- 79
C		LINK	00
	DO 500 IELEM=1, NELEM	LINK	81
	IMASS=INTGR(IELEM)	LINK	02
	DEVD (2) EMV66 TL(TLADD'FA'S) ON IN 200		05 8/1
	CALL ADDOAN (YMASS MAYAT EMASS LEONS(1 TELEM) NEVIAD)		04
500	CONTINUE		86
c	JONTING .	I.TNK	87
- C###	GLOBAL MASS VECTOR	LINK	88
č		LINK	89
	NPOSM=0	LINK	90
	DO 510 IPOIN =1.NPOIN	LINK	91
	DO 510 IDOFN =1, NDOFN	LINK	- <u>9</u> 2
	NPOSM=NPOSM+1	LINK	93
	NPOSN=IFPRE(IDOFN, IPOIN)	LINK	- 94
	IF(NPOSN.EQ.0) GO TO 510	LINK	95
	YMASS(NPOSN)=YMASS(NPOSM)	LINK	96
	FORCE(NPOSN)=FORCE(NPOSM)	LINK	97
510	CONTINUE	LINK	98
	RETURN	LINK	- 99
	END	LINK	100

- LINK 18–29 Reassigns IFPRE vector with equation numbers. If IFPRE is not zero than IFPRE is reassigned as zero.
- LINK 34-45 Evaluates the vector LEQNS on element level for assigning equation number corresponding to each node in an element.
- LINK 52-55 Calculates column height above the diagonal in global matrix.
- LINK 59-62 Assigns location for diagonal elements in global matrix.
- LINK 80-85 IMASS = 1 calculates stiffness matrix for only implicit elements.

IMASS = 2 calculates stiffness matrix for complete mesh.

## 11.5.13 Subroutine MULTPY

This routine<sup>(9)</sup> evaluates the product of square matrix AMATX and an array START and stores the result in FINAL.

SUBROUTINE MULTPY (FINAL ,	AMATX , START , MAXAI , NEQNS , NWMTL ) MUL	T 1
C#####################################	**************************************	T 2 T 3
C *** TO EVALUATE PRODUCT OF B T. C	'IMES RR AND STORE RESULT IN TT MUL'. MUL'	Т 4 Т 5
C#####################################	H#####################################	т 6
DIMENSION FINAL(1) , AMATX( C	1),START(1),MAXAI(1) MUL MUL	Т7 т8

		IF(NWMTL.GT.NEQNS) GO TO 20	MULT	9 10
	10	DU 10 IEQNO=1, NEQNO ETNAL (IEONS)-AMATY(IEONS)#START(IEONS)	MULT	11
	10	RETURN	MULT	12
С			MULT	13
Č	20	DO 40 TEONS-1 NEONS	MULT	14
	40	FINAL (TEQNS)=0.0	MULT	15
		DO 100 TEONS=1. NEONS	MULT	16
		LOWER=MAXAI(IEONS)	MULT	17
		KUPER=MAXAI(IEQNS+1)-1	MULT	18
		JEQNS=IEQNS+1	MULT	19
		TERMI=START(IEQNS)	MULT	20
		DO 100 ICOLM=LOWER, KUPER	MULT	21
		JEQNS=JEQNS-1	MULT	22
	100	FINAL(JEQNS)=FINAL(JEQNS)+AMATX(ICOLM)*TERMI	MULT	23
		IF(NEQNS.EQ.1) RETURN	MULT	24
		DO 200 IEQNS=2, NEQNS	MULT	25
		LOWER=MAXAI(IEQNS)+1	MULT	26
		KUPER=MAXAI(IEQNS+1)-1	MULT	27
		IF(KUPER-LOWER) 200,210,210	MULT	28
	210	JEQNS=IEQNS	MULT	29
		SUMAA=0.0	MULT	30
		DO 220 ICOLM=LOWER,KUPER	MULT	31
		JEQNS=JEQNS-1	MULT	32
	220	SUMAA=SUMAA+AMATX(ICOLM)*START(JEQNS)	MULT	33
		FINAL(IEQNS)=FINAL(IEQNS)+SUMAA	MULI	34
	200	CONTINUE	MULT	35
		RETURN	MULT	30
		END	MULT	- 57

# 11.5.14 Subroutine REDBAK

This routine<sup>(9)</sup> solves the equations after the matrix is decomposed (into the form  $LDL^{T}$ ) using forward and backward substitution.

~*		SUBROUTINE REDBAK (STIFF ,FORCE ,MAXAI ,NEQNS )	RBAK	1
č		***************************************	RBAK	3
Ĉ	₩₩₩	TO REDUCE AND BACK-SUBSTITUTE ITERRATION VECTORS	RBAK	- 4
С			RBAK	5
Ċ1	****	***************************************	RBAK	6
		DIMENSION STIFF(1) ,FORCE(1) ,MAXAI(1)	RBAK	- 7
С			RBAK	- 8
		DO 400 IEQNS=1, NEQNS	RBAK	- 9
		LOWER =MAXAI(IEQNS)+1	RBAK	10
		KUPER=MAXAI(IEQNS+1)-1	RBAK	11
		IF(KUPER-LOWER) 400,410,410	RBAK	12
	410	JEQNS=IEQNS	RBAK	13
		SUMCC=0.0	RBAK	14
		DO 420 ICOLM=LOWER, KUPER	RBAK	15
		JEQNS=JEQNS-1	RBAK	16
	420	SUMCC=SUMCC+STIFF(ICOLM)*FORCE(JEQNS)	RBAK	- 17
		FORCE(IEQNS)=FORCE(IEQNS)=SUMCC	RBAK	18
	400	CONTINUE	RBAK	19
С			RBAK	20
		DO 480 IEQNS=1, NEQNS	RBAK	21
	-	KMAXA=MAXAI(IEQNS)	RBAK	22
	480	FORCE(IEQNS)=FORCE(IEQNS)/STIFF(KMAXA)	RBAK	23
		IF(NEQNS.EQ.1) RETURN	RBAK	24
		JEQNS=NEQNS	RBAK	25
		DO 500 IEQNS=2, NEQNS	RBAK	26
		LOWER=MAXA1(JEQNS)+1	KBAK	-27
		KUPEK=MAXA1(JEQNS+1)-1	RBAK	28

510	IF(KUPER-LOWER) 500,510,510	RBAK	29
	KEQNS=JEQNS	RBAK	30
	DO 520 ICOLM=LOWER,KUPER	RBAK	31
520 500	KEQNS=KEQNS-1 FORCE(KEQNS)=FORCE(KEQNS)=STIFF(ICOLM)*FORCE(JEQNS) JEQNS=JEQNS-1 RETURN END	RBAK RBAK RBAK RBAK RBAK	32 33 34 35 36

#### 11.5.15 Subroutine RESEPL

This routine evaluates the internal force vector for elasto-plastic materials. (See Section 7.8.7.)

RESD 1 SUBROUTINE RESEPL (COORD , DISPL , EFFST ,ELOAD ,EPSTN ,IITER INTGR , LEQNS , LNODS , MATNO , NCRIT , NDIME RESD 2 , 3 NDOFN , NELEM , NGAUS , NLAPS , NMATS , NNODE , RESD NPOIN ,NSTRE ,NTYPE ,POSGP ,PROPS ,RESID STRAG ,STRIN ,STRSG ,WEIGP ,IPRED ,ISTEP RESD 4 ,IPRED ,ISTEP 5 RESD C####### \*\*\*\*\*\* 6 #RESD RESD 7 С 8 RESD **\*\*\*** EVALUATES RESIDUAL FORCES С RESD g С H###RESD 10 DIMENSION COORD(NPOIN, 1), DERIV(2,9), DMATX(4, 4), AVECT(4), MATNO(1), RESD PROPS(NMATS, 1), DLCOD(2,9), BMATX(4, 18), DEVIA(4), DISPL(1), RESD 11 12 LNODS(NELEM, 1), GPCOD(2,9), DJACM(2, 2), STRAN(4), POSGP(1), RESD ELOAD(NELEM, 1), CARTD(2,9), SHAPE(9), STRES(4), WEIGP(1), RESD 13 14 4),SGTOT(4),EFFST(1),RESD 15 4,1),ELCOD(2,9),SIGMA( STRIN( 16 4,1),ELDIS(2,9),DESIG( 4), DVECT(4), EPSTN(1), RESD STRSG( 4,1), RESID( 1), LEQNS(18,1), INTGR(1) RESD 17 STRAG( 18 RESD TWOPI=6.283185307179586 RESD 19 NEVAB=NNODE\*NDOFN RESD 20 NTOTV=NPOIN\*NDOFN RESD 21 NSTR1=4 RESD 22 DO 530 IELEM=1,NELEM RESD IF(INTGR(IELEM).EQ.2.AND.IITER.GT.1.AND.IPRED.EQ.1) GO TO 530 23 RESD 24 DO 540 IEVAB=1, NEVAB RESD 25 540 ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)=0.0 RESD 26 530 CONTINUE RESD 27 DO 510 ITOTV=1,NTOTV RESD 28 510 RESID(ITOTV)=0.0 29 RESD KGAUS=0 RESD 30 DO 20 IELEM=1.NELEM RESD 31 IF(INTGR(IELEM).EQ.2.AND.IITER.GT.1.AND.IPRED.EQ.1) GO TO 20 RESD 32 LPROP=MATNO(IELEM) RESD 33 YOUNG=PROPS(LPROP, 1) 34 RESD POISS=PROPS(LPROP,2) 35 RESD THICK=PROPS(LPROP,3) 36 RESD UNIAX=PROPS(LPROP,6) HARDS=PROPS(LPROP,7) RESD 37 38 RESD FRICT=PROPS(LPROP, 8) 39 RESD FRICT=FRICT#0.017453292 RESD 40 IF(NCRIT.EQ.3) UNIAX=UNIAX\*COS(FRICT) 41 RESD IF(NCRIT.EQ.4) UNIAX=6.0#UNIAX#COS(FRICT)/ 42 RESD (1.73205080757\*(3.0-SIN(FRICT))) 43 RESD С C### 44 COMPUTE COORDINATE AND INCREMENTAL DISPLACEMENTS OF THE RESD 45 RESD С ELEMENT NODAL POINTS 46 RESD С 47 RESD IPOSN=0 48 RESD DO 30 INODE=1, NNODE RESD 49 LNODE=LNODS(IELEM, INODE)

	DO 30 IDIME=1,NDIME	RESD	50 51
	IPOSN=IPOSN+T NPOSN=LEQNS(IPOSN,IELEM)	RESD	52
	IF(NPOSN.EQ.0) DISPT=0.	RESD	53
	DLCOD(IDIME.INODE)=COORD(LNODE.IDIME)+DISPT	RESD	54 55
	ELCOD(IDIME, INODE) = COORD(LNODE, IDIME)	RESD	56
30	ELDIS(IDIME, INODE)=DISPT	RESD	57
	KGASP=0	RESD	59
	DO 40 IGAUS=1, NGAUS	RESD	60
	DO 40 JGAUS=1,NGAUS FXTSP-POSCP(IGAUS)	RESD	62
	ETASP=POSGP(JGAUS)	RESD	63
	KGAUS=KGAUS+1 KGASP=KGASP+1	RESD RESD	64 65
	CALL SFR2 (DERIV, NNODE, SHAPE, EXISP, ETASP)	RESD	66
	CALL JACOB2 (CARTD, DERIV, DJACB, ELCOD, GPCOD,	RESD	67 68
1	CALL JACOBD (CARTD.DLCOD.DJACM.NDIME.NLAPS.NNODE)	RESD	69
	DVOLU=DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)	RESD	70
	IF(NTYPE.EQ.3) DVOLU=DVOLU*TWOPI*GPCOD(1,KGASP) TE(NTYPE FO 1) DVOLU=DVOLU*THTCK	RESD	71
	CALL BLARGE (BMATX, CARTD, DJACM, DLCOD, GPCOD,	RESD	73
1	KGASP, NLAPS, NNODE, NTYPE, SHAPE)	RESD	74
		RESD	76
	CALL LINGNL (CARTD, DJACM, DMATX, ELDIS, GPCOD, KGASP,	RESD	77
	KGAUS, NDOFN, NLAPS, NNODE, NSTRE, NTYPE,	RESD	78
	DO 560 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	RESD	80
560	STRAG(ISTR1, KGAUS)=STRAG(ISTR1, KGAUS)+STRAN(ISTR1)	RESD	81
	IF (ISTEP.GT. 1. AND. LITER.GT. 1) GO TO 160 DO 170 ISTR1=1.NSTR1	RESD	 83
170	STRES(ISTR1)=STRES(ISTR1)+STRIN(ISTR1,KGAUS)	RESD	84
160	CONTINUE DREYS_UNITAY.EDSTN(/CAUS)#HADDS	RESD	85
	DO 150 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	RESD	87
450	DESIG(ISTR1)=STRES(ISTR1)	RESD	88
150	SIGMA(ISTRI)=STRSG(ISTRI,KGAUS)+STRES(ISTRI) TE(NLAPS FO 2 OF NLAPS FO 0) CO TO 60	RESD	89 00
	CALL INVAR (DEVIA, LPROP, NCRIT, NMATS, PROPS, SINT3, STEFF,	RESD	91
	SIGMA, THETA, VARJ2, YIELD)	RESD	92
	IF(ESPRE.GE.0.0) GO TO 50	RESD	93 94
	ESCUR=YIELD-PREYS	RESD	95
	LF(ESCUR.LE.O.O) GO TO 60 RFACT-FSCUR/(VIELD_FFFST(KGAUS))	RESD	96
	GO TO 70	RESD	<u>98</u>
50	ESCUR=YIELD-EFFST(KGAUS)	RESD	99
	RFACT=1.0	RESD	101
70	MSTEP=ESCUR*8.0/UNIAX+1.0	RESD	102
	ASTEP=MSTEP	RESD	103
	REDUC=1.0-RFACT	RESD	105
	DO 80 ISTR1=1,NSTR1 SCTOT(ISTR1)-STRS((ISTR1 VCAUE), REDUC#STREE(ISTR1)	RESD	106
80	STRES(ISTR1)=RFACT*STRES(ISTR1)/ASTEP	RESD	107
	DO 90 JSTEP=1,MSTEP	RESD	109
	CALL INVAR (DEVIA, LPROP, NCRIT, NMATS, PROPS, SINT3, STEFF, SGTOT. THETA. VARJ2. YIFLD)	RESD	110
	CALL YIELDF (AVECT, DEVIA, FRICT, NCRIT, SINT3, STEFF,	RESD	112
	. THETA, VARJ2) CALL, FLOWPL (AVECT, ABETA, DVECT, HARDS, NTYPE, POISS, YOUNG)	RESD	113 114

C C

	AGASH=0.0	RESD 115
	DO 100 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	RESD 116
100	AGASH=AGASH+AVÉCT(ISTR1)*STRES(ISTR1)	<b>RESD 117</b>
	DLAMD=AGASH*ABETA	RESD 118
	IF(DLAMD.LT.0.0) DLAMD=0.0	RESD 119
	BGASH=0.0	RESD 120
	DO 110 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	RESD 121
	BGASH=BGASH+AVECT(ISTR1)*SGTOT(ISTR1)	RESD 122
110	SGTOT(ISTR1)=SGTOT(ISTR1)+STRES(ISTR1)-DLAMD*DVECT(ISTR1)	RESD 123
	EPSTN(KGAUS)=EPSTN(KGAUS)+DLAMD*BGASH/YIELD	RESD 124
90	CONTINUE	RESD 125
	CALL INVAR (DEVIA, LPROP, NCRIT, NMATS, PROPS, SINT3, STEFF,	RESD 126
	. SGTOT, THETA, VARJ2, YIELD)	RESD 127
	CURYS=UNIAX+EPSTN(KGAUS)*HARDS	RESD 128
	BRING=1.0	RESD 129
	IF(YIELD.GT.CURYS) BRING=CURYS/YIELD	RESD 130
	DO 130 ISTR1=1,NSTR1	RESD 131
130	STRSG(ISTR1,KGAUS)=BRING*SGTOT(ISTR1)	RESD 132
	EFFST(KGAUS)=BRING*YIELD	RESD 133
C***	ALTERNATIVE LOCATION OF STRESS REDUCTION LOOP TERMINATION CARD	RESD 134
C 90	CONTINUE	RESD 135
Caaa		RESD 136
	GO TO 190	RESD 137
100	DU 180 ISTRI=1,NSTRI	RESD 138
100	SIRSG(ISIRI, KGAUS)=SIRSG(ISIRI, KGAUS)+DESIG(ISIRI)	RESD 139
~	EFFST(KGAUS)=YIELD	RESD 140
C ₩###		RESD 141
C	CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE	RESD 142
100	ELEMENT NUDES	RESD 143
190	DO 140 TNODE-1 NNODE	REOD 144
	DO 140 INODE , MODE	REOD 145
	MCASH-MCASH-1	RESU 140
	DO 140 ISTRE-1 NSTRE	RESD 141
140	FIGAD(TELEM MCASH)_FIGAD(TELEM MCASH), DMATY(TETDE MCASH)#	RESD 140
140	STRSC(ISTRE KCAUS) *DVOLU	PESD 149
40	CONTINUE	RESD 150
20	CONTINUE	PESD 152
	DO 500 TELEM-1 NELEM	RESD 152
	DO 500 IEVAB=1.NEVAB	RESD 154
	LMVEB=LEONS(TEVAB_TELEM)	8550 155
	IF(LMVEB, EQ. 0) GO TO 550	RESD 155
	RESID(LMVEB)=RESID(LMVEB)+FLOAD(IELEM. IEVAB)	BESD 157
550	CONTINUE	RESD 158
500	CONTINUE	RESD 159
-	RETURN	RESD 160
	END	RESD 161

# 11.6 Examples

# 11.6.1 Spherical shell example

Some of the capabilities<sup>(10)</sup> of the program MIXDYN are explained by analysing some simple problems. The spherical shell problem described<sup>(11,12)</sup> in Chapter 10 is again solved for the following cases:

- (i) Elastic small deformation (all implicit elements)
- (ii) Elastic geometrically nonlinear (all implicit elements)
- (iii) Elasto-plastic small deformation (all implicit elements)
- (iv) Elastic small deformation (all explicit elements)
- (v) Elastic geometrically nonlinear (all explicit elements)
- (vi) Elasto-plastic small deformation (all explicit elements)



Fig. 11.4 Modified spherical shell example with stiff elements.

To demonstrate the capabilities of program MIXDYN we also solve a slightly modified version of the spherical shell example. Two stiff and dense elements are added to the finite element mesh at the crown as shown in Fig. 11.4. The stiff elements have the following properties:

Elastic modulus	$E = 0.105 \times 10^9 \text{ lb/in}^2$
poisson's ratio	$\nu = 0.3$
mass density	$ ho = 0.780  imes 10^{-3}  \mathrm{lb.sec^2/in^4}$
yield stress	$\sigma_0 = 0.5  imes 10^5  \mathrm{lb/in^2}$

The following modified shell examples are also analysed:

- (vii) Elasto-plastic small deformations (all implicit elements)
- (viii) Elasto-plastic small deformations (all explicit elements)
- (ix) Elasto-plastic small deformations (stiff elements are implicit elements, the remaining elements are explicit).

The highest and lowest eigenvalues are evaluated for both the original and the modified spherical shells. For the original spherical shell the fundamental period is  $0.547 \times 10^{-3}$  sec and the smallest time period is  $1.380 \times 10^{-6}$ 

sec. For the modified spherical shell the fundamental period  $T_f$  is  $0.592 \times 10^{-3}$ sec and the smallest time period  $T_h$  is  $0.776 \times 10^{-6}$  sec. Thus the addition of the stiff elements does not significantly change the largest period but it does change the smallest period quite dramatically. For an accurate solution based on implicit time integration the time step length  $\Delta t$  is taken as  $T_f/100 \simeq 0.6 \times$  $10^{-5}$  sec for both the original and the modified spherical shell. For a stable and accurate solution based on explicit time integration the time step length  $\Delta t \leq T_h/\pi$  which is  $0.25 \times 10^{-6}$  sec for the modified spherical shell or  $0.40 \times$  $10^{-6}$  sec for the original spherical shell. Thus the addition of two stiff elements reduces the critical time step length to 1/1.6 of the original critical time step length. Hence the explicit analysis becomes more expensive. However, if the stiff elements are taken as implicit elements in case (ix) for implicit–explicit analysis, then the critical time step is governed by the remaining explicit elements so that the time step must be less than or equal to  $0.40 \times 10^{-6}$  sec.



Fig. 11.5(a) Spherical shell results. Cases (i), (ii), (iv) and (v).

Figure 11.5(a) compares the response of the elastic analyses with small and large deformations.\* The results are similar to the results obtained using DYNPAK. The response with the large deformation gives a time period which is elongated.

\* Note that the implicit and explicit results overlap.



Fig. 11.5(b) Spherical shell results. Cases (iii) and (vi).

Figure 11.5(b) illustrates the elasto-plastic small deformation response. The time periods are elongated with the inclusion of plasticity effects.

In Fig. 11.5(c) the results for the problem with the stiff element are presented with explicit, implicit and mixed explicit-implicit analysis (cases (vii)-(ix)). The execution times and results are compared. The relative computer times are:

(i)	all elements considered as explicit	- 120.0 sec
(ii)	stiff elements as implicit and rest explicit	- 80.8 sec
(iii)	all elements considered as implicit	- 16.4 sec



Fig. 11.5(c) Spherical shell results. Cases (vii)-(ix).

This shows that by representing the stiff elements implicitly computer time can be saved. The analysis in which all elements are treated implicitly gives the lowest execution time for this small problem. However, with increasing problem size (and band width) the solution time for an implicit solution increases very rapidly because of the large core requirement and the increased number of computer operations.

Finally it should be noted that Hughes has recently shown how the implicit– explicit schemes may be used in a more general context where there are, for example, nonsymmetric stiffness matrices involved or an implicit–explicit dynamic relaxation solution is required.<sup>(13)</sup>

#### 11.7 Problems

11.1 Repeat Problems 10.1-10.4 using program MIXDYN. Use fully explicit, fully implicit and mixed implicit/explicit meshes.

#### 11.8 References

- 1. BELYTSCHKO, T. and MULLEN, R., Mesh partitions of explicit-implicit time integration, In: Formulations and Computational Algorithms in Finite Element Analysis, Ed. K. J. Bathe et al., MIT Press (1977).
- 2. BELYTSCHKO, T. and MULLEN, R., Stability of explicit-implicit mesh partitions in time integration, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 12, 1575-1586 (1978).
- 3. BELYTSCHKO, T., YEN, H. J. and MULLEN, R., Mixed methods for time integration, Proc. Int. Conf. on Finite Elements in Non-linear Mechanics (FENO-MECH 78), Univ. Stuttgart, Germany (Sept. 1978).
- 4. HUGHES, T. J. R. and LIU, W. K., Implicit-explicit finite elements in transient analysis: stability theory, J. Appl. Mech. 45, 371-374 (1978).
- HUGHES, T. J. R. and LIU, W. K., Implicit-explicit finite elements in transient analysis: implementation and numerical examples, J. Appl. Mech. 45, 375– 378 (1978).
- 6. HUGHES, T. J. R., PISTER, K. S. and TAYLOR, R. L., Implicit-explicit finite elements in nonlinear transient analysis, *Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engng.* 17/18, 159-182 (1979).
- PARK, K. C., FELIPPA, C. A. and DERUNTZ, H. A., Stabilization of staggered solution procedures for fluid-structure interaction analysis, In: Computational Methods for Fluid-Structure Interaction Problems, Ed. T. Belytschko and T. L. Geers, ASME Applied Mechanics Symposia Series, AMD, 26, 94-124 (1977).
- 8. PARK, K. C., Partitioned transient analysis procedures for coupled field problems, to be published J. Appl. Mech. (1980).
- 9. BATHE, K. J. and WILSON, E. L., Numerical Methods in Finite Element Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey (1976).
- 10. PAUL, D. K. and HINTON, E., User guide and report on program MIXDYN for implicit-explicit transient dynamic analysis, *Research Report*, University College of Swansea (1980).
- 11. NAGARAJAN, S. and POPOV, E. P., Elastic-plastic dynamic analysis of axisymmetric solids, *Computers and Structures*, 4, 1117-1134 (1974).

- 12. BATHE, K. J. and OZDEMIR, H., Elastic-plastic large deformation static and dynamic analysis, *Computers and Structures*, 6, 81-90 (1976).
- 13. HUGHES, T. J. R., Implicit-explicit finite element techniques for symmetric and nonsymmetric systems, *Proc. Int. Conf. Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Problems*, Swansea, 127-139, Pineridge Press, Swansea, U.K. (1980).

# Chapter 12 Alternative formulations and further applications

# 12.1 Introduction

Throughout this text we have considered several specific elasto-plastic material problems and, apart from Chapter 3, treatment has been limited to the use of elasto-plastic quasi-static incremental theory or an elasto-visco-plastic formulation. These theories and the application areas of solids and plates form, undoubtedly, the area of most interest and importance in non-linear material analysis and it is for this reason that they have been chosen for study in this text. However, other topics and applications of possibly equal importance have had to be omitted for reasons of space and it is the aim of this chapter to indicate to the reader some areas for future studies. The developments which will be discussed can be categorised into the following classes:

- Further applications. The elasto-plastic and elasto-viscoplastic theories described earlier in this text can be extended to cover some alternative structural forms. Of prime importance in this area is the analysis of both thick and thin three-dimensional shell structures and the main changes necessary to the corresponding linear elastic finite element process relate to expressing the yield criterion in terms of the appropriate stress resultants.
- Alternative material models. The behaviour of some engineering materials may not be adequately described by the yield criteria presented in Chapter 7. This is particularly true of soils, rocks and concrete, since these materials, for example, have a limited tensile strength which is not accurately reflected in either the Mohr-Coulomb or Drucker-Prager failure laws. For such materials appropriate failure criteria must be developed. Additionally for soils the assumption of associated plasticity leads to excessive dilatency necessitating alternative formulations for accurate material modelling.
- Further problem classes. Many physical situations exist which are governed by nonlinear equation systems which are not suitable for solution by the techniques described so far in the text. One such

example is the time dependent deformations which take place during a metal forming process. In this application the elastic strains are negligible compared with the plastic components and therefore the stress increments can no longer be expressed by use of (8.15).

For dynamic situations, coupled media problems frequently have to be solved. This may involve a fluid/structure interaction problem of the seismic analysis of water retaining structures or the impulsive loading of a nuclear containment vessel together with the coolant fluid. All the above problems may be complicated by further nonlinear behaviour due to gross geometrical deformations.

• Improved numerical techniques. Since nonlinear solution processes are necessarily expensive with regard to computational time, any savings which can be made in this area are of prime importance. Developments in this area include improved nonlinear equation solution techniques and self-adaptive schemes for optimisation of the finite element mesh and load incrementation. A further enhancement is the use of substructuring techniques to separate elastic and elasto-plastic regions leading ultimately to coupled boundary integral/finite element solutions.

In this chapter we explore the above developments (and others) in more detail and provide the reader with references for future study. Many of the subroutines presented earlier in the text can be employed (possibly in a modified form) in the development of computer codes for these further applications. Therefore the role of each subroutine presented is summarised and its location in the text also listed.

# 12.2 List of subroutines

In this section we record details of each subroutine that has been presented in this text. This library of subroutines can be employed to develop computer codes for the further applications discussed later in this chapter. The section of the chapter in which the subroutine is presented is recorded and the codes in which it is used are also indicated, employing the following program names:

# **One-dimensional** applications

QUITER	Solution of quasiharmonic problems by direct iteration
	(Chapter 3).
QUNEWT	Solution of quasiharmonic problems by the Newton-Raphson
	process (Chapter 3).
NONLAS	Solution of nonlinear elastic problems (Chapter 3),
ELPLAS	Solution of elasto-plastic problems (Chapter 3).
UNVIS	Solution of elasto-viscoplastic problems (Chapter 4).
TIMOSH	Solution of elasto-plastic nonlayered Timoshenko beams
	(Chapter 5).
TIMLAY	Solution of elasto-plastic layered Timoshenko beams (Chap-
	ter 5).

# Two-dimensional applications

- PLANET Elasto-plastic analysis of plane stress, plane strain and axisymmetric solids (Chapter 7).
- VISCOUNT Elasto-viscoplastic analysis of plane stress, plane strain and axisymmetric solids (Chapter 8).
- MINDLIN Elasto-plastic analysis of nonlayered Mindlin plates (Chapter 9).
- MINDLAY Elasto-plastic analysis of layered Mindlin plates (Chapter 9).
- DYNPAK Elasto-plastic transient dynamic analysis of two dimensional solids (Chapter 10).
- MIXDYN Implicit-explicit elasto-viscoplastic transient dynamic analysis of two dimensional solids (Chapter 11).

# 12.2.1 Subroutines for one-dimensional applications

ASSEMB	Section 3.4.2 (QUITER, QUNEWT, NONLAS, ELPLAS, TIMOSH, TIMLAY)
	Assembles the element contributions to form the global stiffness
	matrix and global load vector. (Simple equation solver).
ASTIF1	Section 3.10.1 (OUNEWT)
	Formulates the stiffness matrix for each element according to
	(2.25) and $(2.29)$ for the solution of one dimensional quasi-
	harmonic problems by the Newton Raphson method.
BAKSUB	Section 3.4.4 (OUITER, OUNEWT, NONLAS, ELPLAS,
2	TIMOSH TIMLAY)
	Performs the backsubstitution phase of the Gaussian reduction
	process. (Simple equation solver).
BEAM	Section 5.4.5 (TIMOSH)
	The master routine for elasto-plastic nonlayered Timoshenko
	beam program TIMOSH.
BEML	Section 5.5.5 (TIMLAY)
······	The master routine for elasto-plastic layered Timoshenko
	beam program TIMLAY.
CONUND	Section 3.10.3 (OUNEWT, NONLAS, ELPLAS, TIMOSH,
	TIMLAY)
	Monitors convergence of the nonlinear solution process based
	on the residual forces according to (3.27).
CONVP	Section 4.9 (UNVIS)
	Monitors convergence to steady state conditions according to
	(4.41) for one-dimensional elasto-viscoplastic problems.
DATA	Section 3.2 (OUITER, OUNEWT, NONLAS, ELPLAS,
	TIMOSH, TIMLAY)
	Data input subroutine for one-dimensional applications.

Section 3.4.3 (QUITER, QUNEWT, NONLAS, ELPLAS, GREDUC TIMOSH, TIMLAY) Undertakes equation elimination by Gaussian reduction. (Simple equation solver). Section 3.7 (QUITER, QUNEWT, NONLAS, ELPLAS, **INCLOD** TIMOSH, TIMLAY) Controls the incrementing of the applied loads for onedimensional applications (modified for viscoplastic problems in Section 4.10). Section 4.8 (UNVIS) **INCVP** Evaluates quantities at the end of the time step and the equilibrium correction terms for one-dimensional elastoviscoplastic problems. Section 3.6 (QUITER, QUNEWT, NONLAS, ELPLAS, **INITAL** TIMOSH, TIMLAY) Initialises to zero some arrays used by other subroutines for one-dimensional applications. Section 3.9.2 (QUITER) MONITR Monitors convergence of the direct iteration process for onedimensional quasiharmonic problems. Section 3.3 (QUITER, QUNEWT, NONLAS, ELPLAS, NONAL TIMOSH, TIMLAY) Controls the nonlinear solution process according to the value of NALGO specified, for one-dimensional applications. Section 3.10.2 (OUNEWT) REFOR1 Evaluates the 'equivalent nodal forces' according to (3.26) for one-dimensional quasiharmonic problems. (Newton Raphson solution). REFOR2 Section 3.11.2 (NONLAS) Evaluates the equivalent nodal forces according to (3.32) for one-dimensional nonlinear elastic problems. **REFOR3** Section 3.12.2 (ELPLAS) Evaluates the equivalent nodal forces for one-dimensional elasto-plastic problems. Section 5.4.5 (TIMOSH) REFORB Evaluates the residual forces for a nonlayered elasto-plastic Timoshenko beam. RFORBL Section 5.5.5 (TIMLAY) Evaluates the residual forces for a layered elasto-plastic Timoshenko beam. Section 3.4.5 (QUITER, QUNEWT, NONLAS, ELPLAS, RESOLV TIMOSH, TIMLAY) Undertakes reduction of the R.H.S. terms for equation resolution (Simple equation solver).

RESULT	Section 3.5 (QUITER, QUNEWT, NONLAS, ELPLAS, TIMOSH, TIMLAY)
	Outputs the results for one-dimensional applications.
STIFF1	Section 3.9.1 (QUITER)
	Formulates the stiffness matrix for each element according to
	(2.25) for the solution of one-dimensional quasiharmonic
	problems by direct iteration.
STIFBL	Section 5.5.5 (TIMLAY)
	Evaluates the elasto-plastic stiffness matrix for each element
	for the solution of layered Timoshenko beams.
STIFFB	Section 5.4.5 (TIMOSH)
	Formulates the elasto-plastic stiffness matrix for each element
	for the solution of nonlayered Timoshenko beams.
STIFF2	Section 3.11.1 (NONLAS)
	Formulates the stiffness matrix for each element according to
	(2.33) for nonlinear elastic one-dimensional problems.
STIFF3	Section 3.12.1 (ELPLAS)
	Formulates the stiffness matrix for each element according to
	either (2.38) or (2.43) for one-dimensional elasto-plastic
	problems.
STUNVP	Section 4.7 (UNVIS)
	Formulates the stiffness matrix for each element in turn for
	one-dimensional elasto-viscoplastic applications.
UNDIM	Section 3.8 (QUITER, QUNEWT, NONLAS, ELPLAS)
	The main or master segment for one-dimensional nonlinear
	problems. See Fig. 3.1 for the small changes in the different
	applications.
UNVISC	Section 4.11 (UNVIS)
	The main or master segment for one-dimensional visco-plastic
	problems.
12.2.2 Subro	utines for two-dimensional applications
ADDBAN	Section 11.5.3 (MIXDYN)
	Generates the global matrix from the element stiffness matrices.
ADDRES	Section 11.5.4 (MIXDYN)
	Addresses the diagonal term of a matrix.
ALGOR	Section 6.5.2 (PLANET, VISCOUNT, MINDLIN, MIND-
	LAY)
	Controls the nonlinear solution process according to the value
<b>m</b> .	of NALGO specified, for two-dimensional applications.
BLARGE	Section 10.6.3 (DYNPAK, MIXDYN)
<b>D1</b> / 4 mmm	Evaluates the strain matrix $\boldsymbol{B}$ for small and large deformation.
вматрв	Section 6.4.8 (MINDLIN)
	Evaluates the strain matrix, <b>B</b> , for plate bending problems.

470	FINITE ELEMENTS IN PLASTICITY
BMATPS	Section 6.4.7 (PLANET, VISCOUNT) Evaluates the strain matrix, <b>B</b> , for plane and axisymmetric situations.
CHECK1	Section 6.4.13 (PLANET, VISCOUNT, MINDLIN, MINDLAY) Scrutinises the problem control parameters for possible errors (two-dimensional applications)
CHECK2	Section 6.4.15 (PLANET, VISCOUNT, MINDLIN, MINDLAY) Checks the geometric data, boundary conditions and material properties for possible errors (two-dimensional applications).
COLMHT	Section 11.5.5 (MIXDYN) Evaluates the height of column above the diagonal of a matrix from the known addresses of diagonal terms.
CONTOL	Section 10.6.4 (DYNPAK, MIXDYN) Reads control data for dynamic dimensioning and also checks the dimension limits.
CONVER	Section 6.5.4 (PLANET) Monitors convergence of the nonlinear solution iteration process for two-dimensional applications.
CONVMP	Section 9.5.3 (MINDLIN, MINDLAY) Checks for convergence of solution of elasto-plastic layered and nonlayered Mindlin plates.
DBE	Section 6.4.11 (PLANET, VISCOUNT) Forms the matrix product <b>DB</b> .
DECOMP	Section 11.5.6 (MIXDYN) Decomposes positive definite matrix into $LDL^{T}$ .
DEPMPA	Section 9.6.4 (MINDLAY) Sets up the layered discretisation for the layered elasto-plastic Mindlin plate.
DIMEN	Section 7.8.1 (PLANET, VISCOUNT) Presets the value of variables associated with dynamic dimen- sioning.
DIMMP	Section 9.5.4 (MINDLIN, MINDLAY) Sets up dynamic dimensions in programs MINDLIN and MINDLAY for the elasto-plastic analysis of layered and nonlayered plates.
DINTOB	Section 11.5.7 (MIXDYN)
DYNPAK	Section 10.6.2 (DYNPAK)
ECHO	Organises the explicit viscoplastic transient dynamic analysis. Section 6.4.14 (PLANET, VISCOUNT, MINDLIN, MIND- LAY)

	Echoes the remaining data after input data errors have been diagnosed.
EXPLIT	Section 10.6.5 (DYNPAK)
	Carries out explicit time integration.
FEAM	Section 9.6.2 (MINDLAY)
	Organising routine for the elasto-plastic analysis of layered
	Mindlin plates
FFMP	Section 9.5.2 (MINDLIN)
	Organising routine for the elasto-plastic analysis of nonlayered
	Mindlin nlates
FIXITY	Section 1066 (DYNPAK)
1 17411 1	Boundary conditions are inserted
FLOWMP	Section 9.5.5 (MINDLIN MINDLAY)
	Determines $\partial F/\partial \sigma_{\epsilon}$ (i.e. yield function derivatives) for elasto-
	plastic layered and nonlayered Mindlin plates.
FLOWPI	Section 7.8.4.2 (PLANET MIXDYN)
LOWIE	Determines the vector $d_{\rm D}$ for elasto-plastic analysis.
FLOWVP	Section 8.9 (VISCOUNT DVNPAK)
	Determines the visconlastic strain rate for each Gauss point
	according to (8.7)
FRONT	Section 6.4.12 (PLANET VISCOUNT MINDLIN, MIND-
	Performs element assembly and equation solution by the
	frontal method. Contains a facility for efficient resolution of
	equations
FUNCTA	Section 10.6.8 (DYNPAK MIXDYN)
IUNCIA	Interpolates acceleration ordinate at $\Delta t$ intervals
FUNCTS	Section 10.6.9 (DVNPAK MIXDVN)
FORCIS	Evaluates factor for Heaviside and Harmonic time function at
	At apart
GAUSSO	Section 642 (PLANET VISCOUNT MINDLIN MIND-
OV0226	IAV DVNDAK MIYDVN)
	Evaluates the sampling point positions and weighing factors
	for numerical integration by Gauss quadrature
GEOMST	Section 11.5.8 (MIXDVN)
OLOWB1	Evolution the stress stiffness matrix
GPADMD	Evaluates the stress stilless matrix.
GRADWIF	Evolution the total displacement and rotation derivatives
	Evaluates the total displacement and rotation derivatives $(a_{11})a_{22} + a_{23})a_{23} + a_{23} + $
CSTIFE	$(\partial w/\partial x, \partial w/\partial y, \partial \theta_x/\partial x, \partial \theta_x/\partial y, \partial \theta_y/\partial x, \partial \theta_y/\partial y).$
JOIN'E	Evaluates the global stiffness matrix in compacted profile form
IMPEYP	Section 11.5.10 (MIXDVN)
***** #//¥L	Sets the constants of integration and evaluates nartial effective
	load vector

472	FINITE ELEMENTS IN PLASTICITY
INCREM	Section 6.5.3 (PLANET, VISCOUNT, MINDLIN, MIND-LAY)
	Controls the incrementing of the applied loads for two-
	dimensional applications.
INPUT	Section 6.5.1 (PLANET, VISCOUNT, MINDLIN, MIND-LAY)
	Data input subroutine for two-dimensional applications.
INPUTD	Section 10.6.10 (DYNPAK, MIXDYN)
	Data input subroutine. Reads the mesh data, properties etc
INTIME	Section 10.6.11 (DYNPAK, MIXDYN)
	Reads the data necessary for time integration.
INVAR	Section 7.8.3 (PLANET, VISCOUNT, DYNPAK, MIXDYN)
	Evaluates the effective stress level at a given point for moni-
	toring plastic yielding.
INVERT	Section 8.7.3 (VISCOUNT)
	This subroutine determines the inverse of any arbitrary square
	matrix.
	Section 9.5.7 (MIINDLIN)
	Evaluates the window plate stress resultant invariants for
ΙΤΡΑΤΓ	Section 11.5.11 (MIXDVN)
IIKAIL	Evaluates the total effective load and iterates until con-
	vergence is reached
IACORD	Section 10.6.13 (DYNPAK MIXDYN)
JIICOBD	Evaluates the deformation Jacobian matrix.
JACOB2	Section 6.4.4 (PLANET, VISCOUNT, MINDLIN, MIND-
0110022	LAY, DYNPAK, MIXDYN)
	Evaluates the Jacobian matrix, its inverse and the Cartesian
	derivatives of the element shape functions for two-dimensional applications.
LAYMPA	Section 9.6.5 (MINDLAY)
2	Evaluates the matrix of flexural rigidities and the matrix of
	shear rigidities for the layered elastoplastic Mindlin plate.
LINEAR	Section 7.8.6 (PLANET, MIXDYN)
	Determines the stresses from given displacements assuming
	linear elastic behaviour.
LINGNL	Section 10.6.14 (DYNPAK, MIXDYN)
	Evaluates the linear stresses for small and large deformation
	analysis.
LINKIN	Section 11.5.12 (MIXDYN)
	This routine links with the profile solver.
LOADPB	Section 6.4.6 (MINDLIN, MINDLAY)
	Evaluates the consistent nodal forces for plate bending
	problems.

LOADPL	Section 10.6.15 (DYNPAK, MIXDYN) Generates the load vector.
LOADPS	Section 6.4.5 (PLANET, VISCOUNT) Evaluates the consistent nodal forces due to gravity and distributed edge loads for two-dimensional problems.
LUMASS	Section 10.6.16 (DYNPAK, MIXDYN) Generates the consistent mass matrix for implicit elements and special lumped mass matrix for explicit elements.
MDMPA	Section 9.6.6 (MINDLAY) Evaluates the constitutive matrices for use in layered Mindlin plate analysis.
MINDPB	Section 9.5.8 (MINDLIN, MINDLAY) Reads additional input data for elasto-plastic, layered and nonlayered Mindlin plates.
MIXDYN	Section 11.5.2 (MIXDYN) Organises implicit/explicit transient dynamic program.
MODPB	Section 6.4.10 (MINDLIN) Evaluates the <b>D</b> matrix for plate bending applications.
MODPS	Section 6.4.9 (PLANET, VISCOUNT, DYNPAK, MIXDYN) Evaluates the <b>D</b> matrix for plane and axisymmetric situations.
MULTPY	Section 11.5.13 (MIXDYN) Multiplies square matrix to a vector or vector to a vector.
NODEXY	Section 6.4.1 (PLANET, VISCOUNT, MINDLIN, MIND- LAY) Interpolates the coordinates of midside nodes for elements with straight sides. This routine is modified in MINDLIN and MINDLAY where a hierarchical formulation is adopted for the ninth node. (See Section 9.5).
NODXYR	Section 10.6.18 (DYNPAK, MIXDYN) Evaluates the midside node of elements. In case of axi- symmetric problems if $(R, \Theta)$ coordinates are read $r, z$ co- ordinates are evaluated within it.
OUTDYN	Section 10.6.19 (DYNPAK, MIXDYN) Writes the output on output file and stress and displacement histories of required Gauss points and nodes respectively on specified tapes.
OUTMP	Section 9.5.10 (MINDLIN) Outputs displacements, reactions and Gauss point stress
OUTMPA	Section 9.6.7 (MINDLAY) Outputs displacements, reactions and Gauss point layer stresses for elasto-plastic layered Mindlin plates.

474	FINITE ELEMENTS IN PLASTICITY
OUTPUT	Section 7.8.8 (PLANET, VISCOUNT) Outputs the results for two-dimensional problems at specified
PLAST	intervals. Section 7.8.9 (PLANET) The main or master segment for two-dimensional elasto-
PREVOS	plastic applications. Section 10.6.20 (DYNPAK, MIXDYN) Reads the initial force and stresses
REDBAK	Section 11.5.14 (MIXDYN) Solves equations after matrix decomposition, using forward
RESEPL	and backward substitution. Section 11.5.15 (MIXDYN) Evaluates the internal force for different yield criteria in the
RESMP	implicit explicit program. Section 9.5.11 (MINDLIN) Evaluates the internal nodal forces
	$\boldsymbol{p} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{B}_{f}  \boldsymbol{T}  \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f}  d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{B}_{s}  \boldsymbol{T}  \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s}  d\Omega$
	for the stress resultants $\sigma_f$ and $\sigma_s$ for elasto-plastic, non- layered Mindlin plates
RESMPA	Section 9.6.8 (MINDLAY) Evaluates the residual force vector for layered elasto-plastic
RESIDU	Mindlin plates. Section 7.8.7 (PLANET) Evaluates the nodal forces which are statically equivalent to
RESVPL	the stress field satisfying elasto-plastic conditions. Section 10.6.21 (DYNPAK)
	Evaluates the internal forces for different yield criteria in the explicit transient dynamic program.
SFR2	Section 6.4.3 (PLANET/ VISCOUNT, MINDLIN, MIND- LAY, DYNPAK, MIXDYN) Evaluates the element shape functions and their local deriva-
	tives for 4, 8 and 9 node isoparametric quadrilateral elements. SFR2 is modified in MINDLIN and MINDLAY to allow for
STEADY	a hierarchical representation for the 9th central node. Section 8.12 (VISCOUNT) Monitors convergence to steady state conditions for two-
STEPVP	dimensional elasto-viscoplastic problems. Section 8.8 (VISCOUNT)
	Evaluates quantities, such as stresses and viscoplastic strains, at the end of each time step of a viscoplastic solution.
STIFFP	Section 7.8.5 (PLANET)

	Evaluates the stiffness matrix for each element for elasto-
	plastic problems employing either $D$ or $D_{ep}$ as appropriate.
STIFMP	Section 9.5.13 (MINDLIN)
	Evaluates the stiffness matrices for nonlayered elasto-plastic
	Mindlin plate elements.
STIFVP	Section 8.7.1 (VISCOUNT)
	Evaluates the stiffness matrix for each element in turn for two-
	dimensional elasto-viscoplastic applications.
STIMPA	Section 9.6.9 (MINDLAY)
0	Evaluates the stiffness matrices for layered elasto-plastic
	Mindlin plate elements
STRESS	Section 8 10 (VISCOLINT)
STRESS	Evaluates the increment in stress occurring during a timester
	$cf_{a}$ visconlastic analysis according to (8.20)
стрмр	Section 0.5.14 (MINIDI IN)
SIKMI	Section 9.5.14 (MINDEIN) Evaluates stross resultants $[M \ M \ M \ O \ O ]T$ for
	Evaluates stress resultants $[M_x, M_y, M_{xy}, Q_x, Q_y]^{-101}$
CTDMDA	Sastian O ( 10 (MININ AV)
SIRMPA	Section 9.0.10 (MINDLAT)
	Evaluates the stresses $[\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \tau_{xy}, \tau_{xz}, \tau_{yz}]^*$ for elasto-plastic
	layered Mindlin plates at each layer and each Gauss point.
SORWL	Section 9.5.15 (MINDLIN, MINDLAY)
	Carries out matrix multiplications in elasto-plastic layered and
	nonlayered Mindlin plates.
TANGVP	Section 8.7.2 (VISCOUNT)
	Evaluates the $D^n$ matrix for viscoplastic analysis by implicit
	time stepping schemes.
VISCO	Section 8.13 (VISCOUNT)
	The main or master segment for two-dimensional elasto-
	viscoplastic applications.
VZERO	Section 9.5.16 (MINDLIN, MINDLAY)
	Zeroes a vector in elasto-plastic layered and nonlayered
	Mindlin plates.
YIELDF	Section 7.8.4.1 (PLANET, VISCOUNT, MIXDYN, DYN-
	PAK)
	Determines the flow vector <i>a</i> for plastic and viscoplastic
	applications. (Amended in Section 10.6.22 for dynamic
	transient problems).
ZERO	Section 7.8.2 (PLANET, VISCOUNT)
	Sets to zero the contents of several arrays employed in the
	programs. (Modified for viscoplastic applications in Section
	8.11).
ZEROMP	Section 9.5.16 (MINDLIN, MINDLAY)
	Zeroes various arrays in elasto-plastic layered and nonlayered
	Mindlin plate programs.

#### 12.3 Alternative material models

The plastic behaviour of most solids is adequately described by the four yield criteria presented in Chapter 7; namely the Tresca, Von Mises, Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager yield surfaces. However, for some engineering materials, notably concrete, rocks and soils, some modifications must be made to the above criteria or new yield surfaces postulated if an accurate prediction of the material response is required.

For soils, the Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager criteria suffer from two deficiencies. Firstly, the assumption of an associated flow rule leads to excessive dilatency and secondly it is seen from Fig. 7.4 that both models imply that the material can support an unlimited hydrostatic compression. These deficiencies can be removed by use of the so-called *critical state model*, which assumes that the yield surface comprises two distinct parts.<sup>(1-3)</sup> The surface is shown plotted in terms of deviatoric  $\sigma_d$  and hydrostatic stress,  $\sigma_s$ , in Fig. 12.1. In the subcritical region yielding is stable due to strain hardening of the material whilst the supercritical region exhibits strain softening so that this portion of the yield surface forms a failure criterion.



Fig. 12.1 Critical state model for the behaviour of soil,  $[\sigma_d = |\sigma_1 - \sigma_3|, \sigma_s = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)].$ 

A nonassociative flow rule is adopted in the supercritical region and the conical yield surface implied in Fig. 12.1 may be circular or hexagonal in form corresponding to a Mohr-Coulomb behaviour. In the subcritical region, the two most common shapes for the so-called cap is a log spiral or an ellipse and an associated flow rule is assumed to be obeyed. The yield surface can be expressed in the form

$$F_{\text{SUPER}} = \sigma_d - 2\sin\phi \ \sigma_s - 2c\cos\phi = 0$$
$$F_{\text{SUB}} = \frac{\sigma_d^2 - S_{cs}^2 \sigma_s (2\sigma_c - \sigma_s)}{\sigma_d + S_{cs} \sigma_c} = 0, \quad (12.1)$$

in which  $S_{cs}$  is the slope of the critical state line.

In the tensile zone, various options are open for modelling the limited tensile strength of the soil. The curved line BA' can be employed or, more simply the vertical intercept OB (implying zero tensile strength) may be assumed. Complete details of the critical state model for soils can be found in Refs. 1-3 including its application to the numerical solution of practical problems.

The Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager criteria exhibit the same deficiencies for modelling concrete behaviour as occur in the case of soils. In particular they overestimate the tensile strength of the material and also allow the material to support an unlimited hydrostatic compression. Many models have been proposed to more accurately predict the behaviour of concrete; a review of which can be found in Ref. 4.

The most common method of predicting the tensile behaviour of concrete (and rocks) is by use of the *no-tension model* (or limited tension model).<sup>(5)</sup> In this, the tensile principal stresses are monitored throughout the structure and as soon as the value at any point exceeds the specified limiting tensile strength of the concrete, the material is assumed to crack in a plane normal to the principal direction. The tensile stress must then be reduced to zero by evaluating its nodal force equivalent and regarding these as residual forces to be applied and redistributed in an iterative process. Should the crack close on load reversal a frictional behaviour between the surfaces of the crack can be modelled. It is worth recording that the numerical stability of such solution processes is relatively poor since on initiation of tensile cracking the existing stress must be eliminated by redistribution, whereas for elasto-plastic problems, yielding merely necessitates that the existing stress level be maintained.

An example of this type of analysis is illustrated in Fig. 12.2 where a cylindrical prestressed concrete reactor vessel is shown. The geometry of the vessel, together with the location of the prestressing system is indicated and the finite element mesh employed in solution is also shown. The concrete is assumed to behave as a limited tension material and the steel components as a Von Mises elasto-plastic solid. The effects of prestressing are included as an initial stress system and the vessel is incrementally loaded by a progressively increasing internal pressure. Figure 12.3 shows the vertical deflection of the centre point of the end slab with increasing load and good agreement is observed with both the experimental results and numerical analysis of Ref. 6. The zones of tensile cracking are shown in Fig. 12.4 for various applied pressure values and again good agreement with the results of Ref. 6 is evident.



Fig. 12.2 Finite element idealisation of a prestressed concrete reactor vessel by quadratic isoparametric elements.



Fig. 12.3 Load/deflection curves for the vessel of Fig. 12.2 failing in slab flexural mode.



Fig. 12.4 Zones of tensile cracking for the vessel of Fig. 12.2 failing in slab flexural mode.

For predicting the compressive behaviour of concrete as well as the tensile response many failure surfaces have been proposed and a typical model is illustrated in Fig. 12.5. In addition to a brittle behaviour in tension, the model allows a viscoplastic range of behaviour before material failure. For further details the reader is directed to Ref. 4.

A final approach to concrete behaviour which is worthy of mention is afforded by the so-called *endochronic theory* pioneered by Valanis<sup>(7,8)</sup> and generalised to concrete structures by Bazant.<sup>(9,10)</sup> To account for the strain history dependence of materials (in addition to their strain rate dependence) the concept of *intrinsic time z* is introduced which is related to the Newtonian time scale, *t* according to

$$dz^2 = a^2(d\zeta^2 + \beta^2 dt^2), \qquad (12.2)$$

where  $d\zeta$  is effectively a measure of the deformation path length,  $\beta$  is a

material parameter and  $\alpha$  depends on  $\dot{\zeta}$ . Bazant has generalised the endochronic model to account for inelastic dilatancy, hydrostatic and shear compaction and fracture behaviour.<sup>(10)</sup>



Fig. 12.5 Typical yield and failure surfaces for concrete.

#### 12.4 Further applications

#### **12.4.1** Flow problems

In this class of problem we are concerned with the continuing viscous flow of materials under steady state conditions. Typical examples include the extrusion of material through a die and flow of lubricating muds in oil drilling applications. In each case the problem is characterized by the fact that the elastic strains are negligible in comparison to the plastic components. For this reason, the viscoplastic numerical process described in Chapter 8 is unsuitable, since the increment of stress occurring during a timestep was based on the *elastic* strain increment according to (8.15). Thus an alternative formulation is clearly necessary and in fact a considerable simplification is achieved if the elastic components of strain are neglected in solution.<sup>(11)</sup>

The plastic strain rate,  $\dot{\epsilon}_{vp}$ , which is now assumed to be the total strain rate,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ , is given from (8.7) to be

$$\dot{\mathbf{\epsilon}} = \dot{\mathbf{\epsilon}}_{vp} = \gamma \langle \Phi(F) \rangle \boldsymbol{a},$$
 (12.3)

and we recall that a is the flow vector defined by (7.42),  $\Phi$  is an appropriate flow function (given for example by (8.8) or (8.9)) and  $\gamma$  is a fluidity parameter. For the particular case of a Von Mises yield surface we have from (7.11) that

$$F(\boldsymbol{\sigma},\boldsymbol{\kappa}) = \sqrt{3(J_2')^{1/2} - \sigma_Y(\boldsymbol{\kappa})}, \qquad (12.4)$$

where  $J_{2}$  is the second deviatoric stress invariant and  $\sigma_{Y}$  is the uniaxial yield stress of the material which may be a function of the strain hardening

parameter  $\kappa$ . Substituting from (12.4) into (12.3), and using (7.42) to express a, results in

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} = \gamma \langle \Phi(\sqrt{3}(J_2')^{1/2} - \sigma_Y) \rangle \sqrt{3}/2(J_2')^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}')\boldsymbol{\sigma}', \quad (12.5)$$

in which  $\sigma'$  are the deviatoric stresses and  $\Gamma(\sigma')$  is a symmetric viscoplastic compliance matrix whose form can be explicitly determined on prescription of the appropriate flow function  $\Phi$ . Thus a relationship has been established between the total strain rate and the deviatoric stresses.

The strain rate can be expressed in terms of the displacement velocities v by taking the differential form of the standard strain/displacement relationship, to give

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} = \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{v}.\tag{12.6}$$

We assume, as for the viscoplastic case of Chapter 8, that the flow velocities are sufficiently slow to neglect inertia effects and that the following standard static equilibrium equations therefore hold.

$$\int_{V} \boldsymbol{B}^{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, dV + \boldsymbol{f} = 0, \qquad (12.7)$$

in which f are the applied forces comprising body forces b and boundary tractions, t. Thus a complete analogy exists between the above problem and the case of an elastic material in which the relationship between stress and strain is nonlinear according to

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\boldsymbol{\epsilon}. \tag{12.8}$$

 Table 12.1 Correspondence between small strain nonlinear elastic problems and viscoplastic flow situations

Small strain nonlinear elasticity	Flow problem
Displacements, d	Velocities, <b>v</b>
Stresses, $\sigma$	Stresses, $\sigma$
Strains, e	Strain rates, ė
Applied forces, f	Applied forces, f
Nonlinear elastic compliance matrix, $[D(\sigma)]^{-1}$	Viscoplastic compliance matrix, $\Gamma(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$

This analogy is indicated in Table 12.1. Therefore flow problems, in which the elastic components of deformation are negligible, can be solved by use of a linear elastic computer code which includes a facility for dealing with a stress dependent D matrix. Obviously the steady state solution to the flow problem must be arrived at in an iterative manner and a similar procedure must be employed in the corresponding elastic solution. The simplest approach

is to proceed by the method of direct iteration, as described in Chapters 2 and 3, and to base the value of the compliance matrix  $\Gamma$  on the current value of  $\sigma$ . This solution procedure can be summarised as follows:

- (1) From the stresses  $\sigma^n$  at iteration *n* evaluate the viscoplastic compliance matrix  $\Gamma(\sigma^n) = \Gamma^n$ .
- (2) Compute the element stiffness matrix of each element as

$$\int_V \boldsymbol{B}^T [\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^n]^{-1} \, \boldsymbol{B} \, dV$$

and also the consistent nodal applied forces,  $f^{(e)}$ .

- (3) Assemble and solve the stiffness equations to give the improved velocity estimate,  $v^{n+1}$ .
- (4) Compute the strain rates,  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{n+1} = \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{v}^{n+1}$ .
- (5) Compute the stresses,  $\sigma^{n+1} = [\Gamma^n]^{-1} \dot{\epsilon}^{n+1}$ .
- (6) Return to Step 1 and repeat the process until convergence takes place (i.e.  $v^{n+1} \approx v^n$ ).

The procedure described above is most suitable when boundary and body forces produce the forcing action. For the case when the problem is defined in terms of prescribed boundary velocities the compliance matrix  $\Gamma$  must be expressed in terms of the current strain rate,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ .<sup>(12)</sup>

For metal forming problems, the situation is complicated by the fact that the geometry of the deforming solid is continually varying throughout the process. For such problems the transient form of the flow equations must be used and an incremental procedure can be adopted by which the coordinates of the finite element mesh are sequentially updated during solution.<sup>(13)</sup>

It should be noted that no volumetric strain rate exists for some viscoplastic flow laws, as generally defined by (12.3), and this is indeed the case for the Von Mises criterion employed in (12.5). Consequently the viscoplastic compliance matrix  $\Gamma$  cannot be inverted as required by Step 2 above and the same numerical difficulties that exist in incompressible elastic problems are encountered. However these can be readily overcome by the use of *selective integration techniques* whereby the element stiffness matrix is separated into volumetric and deviatoric components.<sup>(14)</sup> The near singularity arising in the former term as incompressible behaviour is approached is then numerically removed by employing a low order Gaussian integration rule.

An important application of the above solution process is to the flow of non-Newtonian fluids, in which the material viscosity depends nonlinearly on the shear strain rate. Practical examples of such flow can be found in Refs. 15 and 16. Deviations from Newton's law of viscosity are best illustrated by means of flow curves and some of the most important cases are shown in Fig. 12.6. The effective stress,  $\bar{\sigma}$ , and effective strain rate,  $\bar{\epsilon}$ , are defined by (7.12) and (7.22) respectively.



Fig. 12.6 Various flow curves for non-Newtonian fluids.

The Bingham fluid is seen to be a particular form of viscoplastic relation (12.3) or (12.5). Writing in terms of the effective stress and strain rate, (12.5) can be expressed as

$$\bar{\sigma} = \mu \,\bar{\epsilon},\tag{12.9}$$

where the apparent viscosity  $\mu$  is given by

2

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{\sqrt{(3)\gamma}}{2(J_2')^{1/2}} \langle \Phi[(\sqrt{3})(J_2')^{1/2} - \sigma_Y] \rangle.$$
(12.10)

For the Bingham plastic we can write from the expression given in Fig. 12.6 and using (12.9) that

$$\mu = \frac{\overline{\dot{\epsilon}}/\gamma + \sigma_Y}{\overline{\dot{\epsilon}}}.$$
 (12.11)

As  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ , ideal plasticity behaviour is approached resulting in

- - - -

$$\mu = \frac{\sigma_Y}{\tilde{\epsilon}}.$$
 (12.12)

Similarly for a Power Law pseudoplastic we have from Fig. 12.6

$$\mu = \frac{\overline{\epsilon}^{M-1}}{\gamma}.$$
 (12.13)

Thus for each case the problem again reduces to an elastic problem in which the shear modulus is dependent on the current strain rate and can be solved by use of the analogy indicated in Table 12.1. Solution can be achieved by use of the method of direct iteration or by the Newton-Raphson process described in Chapters 2 and 3.

As an example of viscous flow analysis<sup>(17)</sup> the problem of the flow of a Bingham fluid in a cylindrical annulus is illustrated in Fig. 12.7, where the geometry and finite element mesh employed are also indicated. Steady state flow is induced parallel to the axis of the cylinder by the application of an axial pressure gradient. The finite element velocity distributions obtained by a direct iteration solution scheme are shown in Fig. 12.8 for different values of the pressure gradient. The flow velocities are in good agreement with the theoretical solution of Ref. 18.



Fig. 12.7 Flow of Bingham fluid in an annulus under an axial pressure gradient showing finite element mesh idealisation.

1

# 12.4.2 Nonlinear fracture mechanics

A class of elasto-plastic problems which require special attention is that of crack propagation in ductile materials. Figure 12.9 illustrates the types of problem which demand solution and it is seen that a geometrical singularity exists at the crack tip. The numerical techniques presented in Chapter 7 allows the elasto-plastic stress field to be determined in the vicinity of the crack tip (for Modes I and II at least) but a criterion for propagation of the crack must be established in some way.



Fig. 12.8 Steady state velocity profile for the problem of Fig. 12.7 for various applied pressure gradients.

For linear elastic fracture problems crack advance can be monitored by specifying a critical value of a quantity, K, termed the *stress intensity factor*<sup>\*</sup> which characterises the stress field in the vicinity of the crack tip according to<sup>(20)</sup>

$$\sigma = Kf(\theta)/\sqrt{(2\pi r)} + \text{terms of order } r^0.$$
(12.14)

A separate K parameter exists for each fracture mode, designated by  $K_I$ ,  $K_{II}$  and  $K_{III}$  respectively and they are functions only of geometry and loading conditions. A crack in any mode is then assumed to propagate when K attains a critical value  $K_c$  which is treated as a material parameter.

We now seek a similar criterion for elasto-plastic material behaviour. The most widely accepted principle in present use is the so-called J contour integral attributed to Rice<sup>(21)</sup> and which was originally formulated for non-linear elastic applications. The J integral is defined to be

$$I = \int_{\Gamma} \omega \, dy - T_i \frac{du_i}{dx} dS, \qquad (12.15)$$

for a crack aligned in the x direction. Here  $\Gamma$  is any contour from the lower crack face leading anticlockwise around the crack tip to the upper face, S is the path length around this contour and  $T_i du_i$  is the work contribution

\* An excellent introduction to fracture mechanics is provided in Refs. 19 and 24.



mode I

Fig. 12.9 Basic modes of fracture.

of traction components  $T_i$  on  $\Gamma$  moving through displacements  $du_i$ . The term  $\omega$  is the strain energy density defined as

$$\omega = \int_0^{\epsilon} \sigma_{ij} d\epsilon_{ij}. \qquad (12.16)$$

The J integral is independent of the choice of path  $\Gamma$  provided that the faces of the crack are stress free.

For Mode I opening in a strain-hardening nonlinear elastic material the near tip solution for the stress, strain and displacement can be shown to be of the form (22-24)

$$\sigma = C \frac{1}{r^{1/(N+1)}} \sigma(\theta)$$

$$\epsilon_p = C \frac{1}{r^{N/(N+1)}} \epsilon(\theta)$$

$$u = C r^{1/(N+1)} u(\theta), \qquad (12.17)$$

where

$$C = \left(\frac{JE}{\sigma_{Y}^{2}I}\right)^{1/(N+1)}.$$
 (12.18)

The term N is a constant which measures the strain hardening of the material, E the elastic modulus,  $\sigma_Y$  the stress denoting the limit of linearity and I is a tabulated constant whose value depends on N.

For loading situations, nonlinear elastic behaviour is identical to that of a material obeying the laws of 'deformation' plasticity<sup>(25)</sup> in which the current stiffness is a function only of the current state of deformation and not of the loading path by which this condition has been reached. Furthermore for monotonic loading, experience indicates that there is no significant difference between solutions obtained by use of 'deformation' theories and the incremental theory adopted in Chapter 7. By this argument it is concluded that expressions (12.17) and (12.18) are applicable to elasto-plastic solids. Consequently crack propagation in elasto-plastic materials is governed by a critical value of the J integral.

One of the difficulties of numerical fracture studies is that a reasonably accurate prediction of the stress field in the vicinity of the crack tip is required. This is a computationally expensive process for elasto-plastic problems and in some instances economies can be made by use of special crack tip elements. For example, in Mode II deformation under plastic conditions, a shear strain singularity of order 1/r develops, which has been modelled by Levy *et al.*<sup>(26)</sup> by coalescing two nodes of a linear quadrilateral isoparametric element and treating their displacements independently. This approach has also been employed by Rice *et al.*<sup>(27)</sup>

# 12.4.3 Coupled-field problems

The transient analysis of many engineering systems involves the formulation of the semi-discrete coupled-field equations of motion which are then solved by a time-stepping procedure.<sup>(28)</sup> Coupled-field equations involving plasticity arise in the modelling of structure-fluid interaction, soil-fluid interaction, structure-structure interaction, etc. There are two main sources of difficulty in solving such problems:

- (i) The isolated fields may display quite different response characteristics which may only be analysed efficiently by different time integration algorithms and/or different time steps.
- (ii) Most engineering software has been developed for the treatment of single-field problems. The term 'partitioned transient analysis procedures' has been used to describe methods which allow the direct time integration of the entire equations to be performed by either sequential or parallel execution of single-field analyzers.

We have discussed partitioned procedures for structural dynamic problems in Chapter 11. We described an implicit–explicit partition through which meshes that exhibit high (low) frequency response characteristics are treated by implicit (explicit) integration formulae. Park<sup>(29)</sup> has recently extended the approach described in Chapter 11. Park et al.<sup>(30)</sup> have studied implicit-implicit partitions in certain types of fluid-structure interaction problems. The solution of these coupled-field equations was obtained by a sequential execution of fluid and structural analyzers which gave rise to the term 'staggered solution procedures.'

Hughes<sup>(31)</sup> has summarised recent work on transient fluid-structure interaction problems. In particular he mentions work on procedures known as mixed, or arbitrary, Lagrangian-Eulerian methods.

In recent work on soil liquefaction problems, Zienkiewicz *et al.*<sup>(32)</sup> have devised a model which couples the soil and pore-fluid behaviour during earthquakes. Pore pressure build up and pore water migration are both accurately modelled.

Many other coupled-field problems involving elasto-plastic behaviour have been reported in the literature. It should however be emphasised that care should be taken in considering the stability of such schemes.

# 12.4.4 Elasto-plastic and geometrically nonlinear analyses of plates and shells

The linear and nonlinear finite element analysis of plates and shells has attracted much attention in the last decade. Two basic approaches have been adopted:

(i) The classical procedure

Here a plate or shell theory is used as a basis for the finite element formulation. Let us briefly summarise such an approach. We begin with the field equations of the three-dimensional theory and make various assumptions which lead to the plate or shell theory. In the reduction from three to two dimensions we include an analytical integration over the thickness. We then base our finite element discretisation process on the plate or shell theory. The surface geometry (in the case of shells) and the field variables are approximated using discrete nodal values and suitable interpolation functions. Integration of the various element stiffness and force terms is carried out over the reference surface. Stresses may then be obtained from the stress resultants. Examples of such an approach include the simple facet element and the many elements derived from classical thin plate theory, Mindlin/Reissner plate theory, shallow shell theory or even higher order shell theories.<sup>(33,34)</sup> There are very many examples of the application of the classical procedures in nonlinear finite element analysis of plates and shells. We include a brief sample in the list of references to this chapter.<sup>(35-38)</sup> For elasto-plastic problems many research workers express the yield function in terms of the stress resultants (cf. the nonlayered approach in Chapter 9). For example, Crisfield<sup>(39-44)</sup> uses a modified Ilyushin yield criterion expressed in terms of the bending moments  $[M_x, M_y, M_{xy}]^T$  and the membrane forces  $[N_x, N_y, N_{xy}]^T$ . To allow for the gradual spread of plasticity over the plate or shell thickness, a modified classical procedure may be adopted in which integration through the thickness is performed numerically during the finite element stiffness and force evaluation rather than analytically prior to the finite element discretisation. Gauss-Legendre, Lobatto and the mid-ordinate rules are frequently used for this purpose. To allow for geometrically nonlinear effects, total or updated Lagrangian approaches are adopted.<sup>(45-55)</sup>

(ii) Ahmad and related elements

Here isoparametric elements with independent rotational and displacement degrees of freedom are used. This concept originally introduced by Ahmad *et al.*<sup>(56)</sup> was later extended to allow for the linear analysis of thin as well as moderately thick shells by Zienkiewicz *et al.*<sup>(57)</sup> by the use of the reduced integration technique.\*

Ahmad elements were originally developed because of the computational difficulties encountered in the use of the usual three-dimensional elements for the analysis of plates and shells. In the three-dimensional elements the stiffness coefficients corresponding to the transverse displacement degrees of freedom are very much larger than those corresponding to the longitudinal displacements. Erroneous strain energy corresponding to the normal stresses in the thickness direction are also introduced. Both of these difficulties are overcome in Ahmad elements. Normals to the plate or shell reference surface before deformation are assumed to remain straight but not necessarily normal to the reference surface after deformation. Furthermore, the normal stresses in the direction of the shell thickness are ignored and suitably modified constitutive equations are adopted.

Various nonlinear problems have been solved using Ahmad shell elements by Ramm<sup>(67)</sup>, Krakeland<sup>(68)</sup>, Bathe and Bolourchi<sup>(69)</sup> and others<sup>(70-73)</sup>. As in the modified classical procedures, to allow for the gradual spread of plasticity over the plate or shell thickness, numerical integration techniques are adopted. For geometrically nonlinear behaviour both total and updated

<sup>•</sup> The Mindlin plate elements described in Chapters 6 and 9 are simply plate versions of the Ahmad elements in which integration has been carried out analytically through the plate thickness. Much work on reduced and selective integration techniques<sup>(58-65)</sup> eventually led to the recognition that the use of selective integration techniques is equivalent to the use of a special type of mixed formulation.<sup>(66)</sup> Defects in the Ahmad elements have now been widely acknowledged and the use of the 9-node heterosis Mindlin plate element and the 16-node cubic Ahmad element are usually recommended. Other Ahmad/Mindlin C(0) elements should be used with caution as they are known to give overstiff solutions for thin plates and shells and to develop mechanisms (zero energy modes) or near mechanisms (artificially low energy modes) when reduced or selective integration is used.

Lagrangian schemes have been used. Special techniques have been incorporated to allow for large rotations in the total Lagrangian formulations.<sup>(67-69)</sup>

The Ahmad shell concept has been developed further by its originator Irons with the introduction of the Semiloof element.<sup>(90)</sup> Irons adopted a convenient nodal configuration involving rotational degrees of freedom at 'Loof' nodes on the curved boundaries of the element. By imposing a series of constraints to eliminate transverse shear effects (reminiscent of the discrete Kirchhoff hypothesis), a highly effective thin shell element is obtained. Various research workers<sup>(74-76)</sup> have successfully extended this work into the nonlinear range.

Both classical and Ahmad procedures may be used as a basis for the nonlinear analysis of reinforced concrete plates and shells using the layering concept described in Chapter 9. Special constitutive relationships are required to represent the concrete and steel reinforcing bars are treated as a 'smeared' layer with uni-directional elasto-plastic properties. Much work has been completed in this area.<sup>(77-85)</sup>

Elasto-viscoplastic plates and shells are easily developed using the concepts described in Chapters 8 and 9.<sup>(86,87)</sup>

#### 12.5 Equation solving techniques

# 12.5.1 Standard and modified Newton method

Before considering some alternative nonlinear solution procedures which may be used in elastoplastic finite element analysis we review the techniques described earlier.

As we have already seen, most elasto-plastic finite element programs are simply extensions of elastic finite element programs with linearised load increments. Some form of iterative procedure is usually adopted to dissipate the out-of-balance nodal forces.

The standard and variety of modified Newton methods were described earlier in Part I. Recall that the standard Newton method involves iterations in which

$$K^{(i)}[d^{(i+1)} - d^{(i)}] = \psi(d^{(i)}), \qquad (12.19)^*$$

where d is the vector of nodal displacements and the equations  $\psi(d) = 0$ express a force balance (internal forces = external forces; either for an increment of loading or for the whole applied load). The matrix K in the standard Newton method is the Jacobian of  $\psi$ ; which is the tangential stiffness matrix  $K_T = [\partial \psi(d^{(i)})/\partial d]$  evaluated at the displacements described by  $d^{(i)}$ .

The modified Newton method works with a variety of approximations to K, the most simple of which is the initial elastic stiffness matrix  $K_0$  evaluated at the first iteration of the first load increment.

\* The superscripts denote the iteration number.

We have adopted standard and modified Newton methods throughout this text as they are the most widely used approaches. Though they work well they do have certain disadvantages. The initial stiffness method is slow to converge in cases in which there is a high degree of nonlinearity. The modified Newton methods provide better convergence properties but they diverge during elastic unloading and they can lead to ill-conditioned or singular Jacobian matrices K near the limit load.

Newton methods are sometimes employed with a slight modification during an iteration in which

$$K^{(i)} \Delta d^{(i)} = \psi^{(i)}, \qquad (12.20)$$

and in which the new displacement vector is given as

$$d^{(i+1)} = d^{(i)} + a^{(i)} \Delta d^{(i)}, \qquad (12.21)$$

where we could take  $a^{(i)}$  as much less than 1 for safety or more than 1 for more rapid convergence. Nayak<sup>(88)</sup> introduced an acceleration technique in which  $a^{(i)}$  is replaced by a diagonal matrix. Basu<sup>(89)</sup> later simplified this technique.

Although the modified Newton methods with fixed values of  $\alpha^{(l)}$  is employed by certain analysts, it has been suggested<sup>(90)</sup> that we should reject it in favour of a modified Newton with a line search which involves finding a value of  $\alpha^{(l)}$  which minimises the total potential energy  $\pi(d^{(l+1)})$  or the value of

$$Q = |[d^{(i)}]^T \psi(d^{(i+1)})|.$$
(12.22)

#### 12.5.2 Quasi-Newton method

Over the past twenty years there has been a rapid development of computer-oriented, sequential search methods in the fields of optimisation and mathematical programming. Of these techniques, the variable metric (Quasi-Newton) method and the method of conjugate gradients show the greatest potential in nonlinear finite element analysis.

The Quasi-Newton method was introduced to finite element computations by Matthies and Strang.<sup>(91)</sup> The main idea is to update the matrix K in a simple way after each iteration, rather than to recompute it entirely as in the standard Newton method or leave it unchanged as in the modified Newton method. Here we consider the update, known as the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS). It is most conveniently written in terms of  $K^{(l+1)}$ rather than  $K^{(l)}$  and has the form

$$[\mathbf{K}^{(i)}]^{-1} = [\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{w}^{(i)} \{ \mathbf{v}^{(i)} \}^T ] [\mathbf{K}^{(i-1)}]^{-1} [\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{v}^{(i)} \{ \mathbf{w}^{(i)} \}^T ].$$
(12.23)

The indicated matrix multiplications are never carried out in the computer implementation; instead  $v^{(l)}$  and  $w^{(l)}$  are stored and used only in computing the new search direction

$$\Delta d^{(i)} = [K^{(i)}]^{-1} \psi(d^{(i)}). \qquad (12.24)$$

A line search of the form given in (12.21) is adopted. The BFGS formulae for  $v^{(i)}$  and  $w^{(i)}$  are

$$\boldsymbol{v}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{d}^{(i)}) \left( 1 + \alpha^{(i-1)} \left[ \frac{\{\Delta \boldsymbol{d}^{(i-1)}\}^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(i)}}{\{\delta^{(i)}\}^T \{\boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{d}^{(i-1)})\}} \right]^{1/2} \right) - \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{d}^{(i)}), \quad (12.25)$$

and

$$w^{(t)} = \frac{\delta^{(t)}}{\{\delta^{(t)}\}^T y^{(t)}},$$
 (12.26)

where

$$\delta^{(i)} = d^{(i)} - d^{(i-1)} = a^{(i-1)} \Delta d^{(i-1)},$$

and

$$\gamma^{(i)} = \psi(d^{(i)}) - \psi(d^{(i-1)}).$$

The method has been successfully implemented and used by Matthies and Strang<sup>(91)</sup> and Geradin and Hogge<sup>(92)</sup> for both static and transient dynamic nonlinear problems. The stability of BFGS with respect to unloading has been emphasised by Matthies and Strang.<sup>(91)</sup> A related method by Crisfield<sup>(93)</sup> also shows much promise.

Rather than work with the inverse of  $K^{(i)}$  as given in (12.23), Geradin and Hogge<sup>(92)</sup> work with the update formula

$$K^{(i)} = K^{(i-1)} + \frac{\gamma^{(i)} \{\gamma^{(i)}\}^T}{\{\gamma^{(i)}\}^T \delta^{(i)}} - \frac{\{K^{(i-1)} \delta^{(i)}\}\{K^{(i-1)} \delta^{(i)}\}^T}{\{\delta^{(i)}\}^T K^{(i-1)} \delta^{(i)}}, \quad (12.27)$$

and use a frontal solution scheme.

#### 12.5.3 Conjugate gradient methods

In the conjugate gradient<sup>(94)</sup> algorithm we take

$$d^{(i+1)} = d^{(i)} + a^{(i)}\delta^{(i)}, \qquad (12.28)$$

where

$$\delta^{(i)} = \psi(d^{(i)}) + \beta^{(i)} \delta^{(i-1)}, \qquad (12.29)$$

in which  $a^{(i)}$  is chosen using a line search with the criterion that the total potential energy  $\pi(d^{(i+1)})$  should be minimised.

Initially,  $\beta^{(0)}$  is set to zero. We list two possible values for  $\beta^{(t)}$ :

(i) The Hestenes-Stiefel<sup>(94)</sup> (Fletcher-Reeves<sup>(95)</sup>) algorithm

$$\beta^{(i)} = \frac{\{\psi^{(i)}\}^T \psi^{(i)}}{\{\psi^{(i-1)}\}^T \psi^{(i-1)}}.$$
(12.30)

(ii) The Polak-Ribiere<sup>(96)</sup> algorithm

$$\beta^{(i)} = \frac{\{\psi^{(i)}\}^T \gamma^{(i)}}{\{\psi^{(i-1)}\}^T \psi^{(i-1)}}.$$
(12.31)

The method, which requires modest computer core requirements, has been improved by scaling and other techniques.<sup>(97–99)</sup> The Conjugate–Newton method of Irons<sup>(100)</sup> is also a development of the basic conjugate gradient algorithm.

#### 12.5.4 Other useful solution techniques

Among the remaining solution procedures, dynamic relaxation (DR) methods are quite popular. The main idea in DR originated from the observation that with about 90% of critical damping, an equivalent transient dynamic analysis rapidly converges to the steady state, static solution. Recent modifications<sup>(101-103)</sup> of the method have concentrated on finding improved replacements for the mass matrix M and the damping matrix C which are used in DR. Although DR methods are generally not as powerful as the various Newton and conjugate gradient methods, they require very little computer core storage and explicit transient dynamic programs such as DYNPAK, described in Chapter 10, can be rapidly modified to be used as DR solvers for *ad hoc* static problems when no other static program is available and results are urgently required.

It is usually difficult to decide on the form of load incrementation to adopt for elasto-plastic problems and exploratory analyses are often required. The work of Bergan and Soreide<sup>(104)</sup> in this area appears to be quite promising.

Schemes which work with local and global modes, several meshes or hierarchical representations (105-111) for the displacements may also prove to be of prime importance in nonlinear finite element equation solving.

# 12.6 Other enhancements in elasto-plastic analysis

#### 12.6.1 Substructuring and boundary element methods

Economies can be made in the numerical solution of elasto-plastic problems by the use of substructuring techniques. A substructure analysis generally comprises the following steps.<sup>(112)</sup>

- Separate groups of elements within the solid are collectively identified as substructures as indicated in Fig. 12.10.
- For each substructure, the element stiffness matrices are assembled to give the global stiffness matrix of the substructure.
- The equations relating to the internal nodal points (i.e. nodes not on the boundary) are eliminated. This process is known as *condensation*.
- Solution of the system of resulting simultaneous equations is obtained by assembling all the individual substructures and any remaining elements which have not been associated with a substructure. This gives the nodal displacements and reactions for all nodal points on interfaces between substructures and for nodes of elements which are not related to any substructure.

• Return to the individual substructures to evaluate the displacements at interior nodes and finally obtain the element stresses.



Fig. 12.10 Substructure analysis of elasto-plastic problems.

The very nature of the frontal equation solution process described in Section 6.4.12 makes the use of substructure techniques a simple affair, since, when the front has advanced into a structure to a certain position, the reduced frontal equations are essentially the condensed equations for a substructure corresponding to the part of the structure already considered.

For elasto-plastic problems, the part of the structure which (by physical considerations or experience!) is known to remain elastic during the deformation process can be defined as one substructure and the remaining elements considered individually. Thus during incremental/iterative solution the substructure stiffness will remain unaltered, for solution by the tangential stiffness method, and the substructure assembly and condensation process described above need be performed only once with an equation resolution process, necessitating only reduction of the R.H.S. terms being followed thereafter. The individual elements not associated with the substructure (and which model the elasto-plastic behaviour) are treated in the normal way as described in Chapter 7.

This approach can result in considerable computational economies, particularly if the mesh subdivision within the substructure is a fine one. It can be argued that a fine mesh subdivision is not warranted for regions where elastic behaviour is anticipated, but for structures which are to be subjected to more than one type of loading such an optimal mesh grading may not be possible. For example, with reference to Fig. 12.10, two stparate loadings may cause plastic yielding in substructures II and III respectively and consequently a fine mesh grading within each of these regions cannot be avoided.

An extension of the above process is afforded by the use of the *boundary integral method*.<sup>(113-115)</sup> The boundary integral procedure requires trial functions which satisfy the governing equations directly and then attempt to satisfy the boundary conditions by a collocation, least-squares or Galerkin
procedure. In order to find trial functions which satisfy the governing equations we are, at present, generally confined to linear elastic situations. Thus for the solution of elasto-plastic problems a coupled approach can be employed<sup>(113,115)</sup> with the elastic region of the structure being modelled by boundary elements and conventional finite elements employed to treat the elasto-plastic zones. Such direct coupling leads to nonsymmetric matrices which is acceptable if the equation set is dominated by the boundary integral equations.

This approach promises efficient numerical solutions particularly for cases of limited yielding in three-dimensional solids where the surface area/volume ratio is relatively small. The process can also be used to advantage in infinite domain structures such as rock mass problems or soil/structure interaction problems with boundary elements being employed to model the exterior domain.

#### 12.6.2 Interactive computing

The solution of elasto-plastic problems inevitably requires some degree of insight into the structural behaviour before choice of solution parameters, such as load increment sizes, can be made. Even then it is difficult, if not impossible, to specify the most suitable values of load increments, tolerance factors for each load case and also choice of the optimal solution process (e.g. initial stiffness, tangential stiffness or some combined algorithm) is equally difficult to arrive at.

To this end, the developments which are currently taking place in interactive computing will become increasingly important. Here we envisage the situation where the results for a particular load increment are held in core while the solution is scrutinized. Depending on the convergence characteristics, etc., the load increment size and convergence tolerance factor are then input and solution continued for a further increment. If required the nonlinear solution process can be redefined at this stage changing, for example, from a tangential stiffness to an initial stiffness algorithm if collapse conditions are being approached. Furthermore if the numerical process did not converge in the previous increment, the calculations could be repeated for a smaller load increment size or a different solution algorithm.

#### 12.6.3 Computational techniques

Many new and improved programming strategies are developing in connection with finite element software and the interested reader is directed to the work of Schrem<sup>(116,117)</sup> and others<sup>(118)</sup> who are active in this area.

#### 12.7 Concluding remarks

Throughout this text we have described numerical techniques and computer codes for a variety of engineering applications. Treatment has been limited to situations where the finite element method can be used to provide nonlinear solutions with a measure of confidence. In this final chapter we have attempted to indicate some areas of further study and here the applicability to design problems is not so clear. For example, for soils and concrete some divergence of opinion still exists as to selection of an appropriate material model. Indeed at the present time it is true to say that numerical solution capabilities are in advance of the knowledge of fundamental material behaviour. This is particularly true for dynamic problems where there is a scarcity of information on material response under transient conditions. In this respect it would appear that nonlinear finite element methods offer the possibility of conducting 'numerical experiments' to provide insight on material behaviour which could not be obtained by experiment alone.

#### 12.8 References

- 1. NAYLOR, D. J., Stress-strain laws for soil, In: Developments in Soil Mechanics, Ed. C. R. Scott, Applied Science Publishers (1978).
- 2. SCHOFIELD, A. N. and WROTH, C. P., Critical State Soil Mechanics, McGraw-Hill, New York (1968).
- 3. ATKINSON, J. H. and BRANSBY, P., *Mechanics of Soil*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1979).
- 4. NILSSON, L., Impact loading on concrete structures, Publication 79: 1, Dept. of Structural Mechanics, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden (1979).
- 5. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., OWEN, D. R. J., PHILLIPS, D. V. and NAYAK, G. C., Finite element methods in the analysis of reactor vessels, *Nuclear Engng. and Design*, 20, 507-542 (1972).
- 6. MOHRAZ, B., SCHNOBRICH, W. C. and GOMEZ, A. E., Crack development in a prestressed concrete reactor vessel as determined by a lumped parameter method, *Nuclear Engng. and Design*, 11, 286 (1970).
- 7. VALANIS, K. C., A theory of viscoplasticity without a yield surface, Archiwum Mechaniki Stossowanej, 23, 4, 517–533 (Warsaw, 1971).
- 8. VALANIS, K. C., On the foundations of the endochronic theory of viscoplasticity, Archiwum Mechaniki Stossowanej, 27, 5-6, 857-869 (Warsaw, 1975).
- 9. BAZANT, Z. P., A new approach to inelasticity and failure of concrete, sand and rock: Endochronic theory, *Proc. Soc. of Engng. Science, Eleventh Annual Meeting*, Ed. G. J. Dvorak, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina (1974).
- BAZANT, Z. P., BHAT, P. D. and SHIEH, C. L., Endochronic theory for inelasticity and failure analysis of concrete structures, Struct. Engng. Report No. 1976-12/259, Department of Civil Engineering, Northwestern University (1976).
- 11. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., The Finite Element Method, McGraw-Hill, London (1977).
- 12. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., Viscoplasticity, plasticity, creep and viscoplastic flow, Proc. Int. Conf. on Computational Methods in Nonlinear Mechanics, University of Texas, Austin (1974).
- 13. PRICE, J. W. H. and ALEXANDER, J. M., The finite element analysis of two high temperature metal deformation processes, *Proc. Second Int. Symp. on Finite Element Methods in Flow Problems*, Santa Margharita Ligure, Rappelo, Italy (June 1976).
- HINTON, E., SALONEN, E. M. and BICANIC, N., A study of locking phenomena in isoparametric elements, In: *The Mathematics of Finite Elements and Applications III*, 437–447, Ed. J. R. Whiteman, Academic Press, London (1979).

- 15. SKELLAND, A. N. P., Non-Newtonian Flow and Heat Transfer, John Wiley, New York (1967).
- 16. BIRD, R. B., STEWART, W. E. and LIGHTFOOT, E. N., Transport Phenomena, John Wiley, New York (1960).
- 17. LYNESS, J. F., OWEN, D. R. J. and ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., The finite element analysis of engineering systems governed by a nonlinear quasi-harmonic equation, *Computers and Structures*, 5, 65-79 (1975).
- 18. LAIRD, W. M., Slurry and suspension transport, Ind. Engng. Chem. 49, 1, 138-141 (1957).
- 19. Journal of Strain Analysis (Special Issue), Vol. 10, No. 4 (October, 1975).
- 20. KNOTT, J. F., Fundamentals of Fracture Mechanics, Butterworths, London (1973).
- 21. RICE, J. R., A path independent integral and the approximate analysis of strain concentration by notches and cracks, J. Appl. Mechanics, 379-386 (1968).
- 22. HUTCHINSON, J. W., Singular behaviour at the end of a tensile crack in a hardening material, J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 16, 13-31 (1968).
- 23. RICE, J. R. and ROSENGRUN, G. F., Plane strain deformation near a crack tip in a power-law hardening material, J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 16, 1-12 (1968).
- 24. MCCLINTOCK, F., Fracture, An Advanced Treatise, Chapter 2, Ed. H. Liebowitz, Academic Press, New York (1971).
- 25. HILL, R., The Mathematical Theory of Plasticity, Oxford University Press (1950).
- 26. LEVY, N., MARCAL, P. V., OSTERGREN, W. J. and RICE, J. R., Small scale yielding near a crack in plane strain: a finite element analysis, *Int. J. Fract. Mech.* 9, 98-100 (1973).
- 27. RICE, J. R. and TRACEY, D. M., Computational fracture mechanics, In: Numerical and Computer Methods in Structural Mechanics, Eds. S. J. Fenves et al., Academic Press (1973).
- 28. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., Finite elements in the time domain, Contribution for 'State-of-Art' Survey by the Committee in Computing in Applied Mechanics of ASME (to be published).
- 29. PARK, K. C., Partitional transient analysis procedures for coupled field problems, Report No. LMSC-D633955, Lockheed Palo Alto Laboratory (1979).
- PARK, K. C., FELLIPA, C. A. and DERUNTZ, J. A., Stabilization of staggered solution procedures for fluid-structure interaction analysis, In: *Computer Methods for Fluid-Structure Interaction Problems—AMD*, Vol. 26, Eds. T. Belytschko and T. L. Geers (1977).
- 31. HUGHES, T. J. R., Recent developments in computer methods for structural analysis, Division M Principal Lecture, Fifth SMIRT Conference, Berlin (to appear in *Nuclear Engng. and Design*).
- ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., CHANG, C. T., HINTON, E. and LEUNG, K. H., Effective stress dynamic modelling for soil structures including drainage and liquefaction, In: *Proc. of Int. Symp. on Soils Under Cyclic and Transient Loading*, Eds. G. N. Pande and O. C. Zienkiewicz, 551-554, A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam (1980).
- 33. FREDRIKSSON, B. and MACKERLE, J., *Finite Element Review*, Publication No. AEC-L-003, AEC, Box 3944, S-58903, Linköping, Sweden.
- 34. ASHWELL, D. G. and GALLAGHER, R. H. (Eds.), Finite Elements for Thin Shells and Curved Members, Wiley, London (1976).
- 35. ARMEN, H., PIFKO, A., and LEVINE, H. S., A finite element method for the plastic bending analysis of structures, *Proc. Second Conf. on Matrix Methods*

in Structural Mechanics, DOC.AFFDL-TR-69-150, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1969.

- 36. BUSHNELL, D., Buckling of elastic-plastic complex shells of revolution including large deflections and creep, *Computers and Structures*, 6, 221-239 (1976).
- 37. WEGMULLER, A. W. and KOSTEM, C. N., Finite element analysis of elastoplastic plates and eccentrically stiffened plates, Report No. 378A.4, Fritz Engg. Laboratory, Lehigh University (1973).
- 38. BERGAN, P. G., Application of finite element method to nonlinear problems, Finite Element Congress, Baden-Baden, FRG, 18-19 Nov. (1974).
- 39. CRISFIELD, M. A., Large deflection elasto-plastic buckling analysis of plates using finite elements, TRRL Report LR 593, Crowthorne (1973).
- 40. CRISFIELD, M. A., Some approximations in the nonlinear analysis of rectangular plates using finite elements, TRRL Suppl. Report 51 UC, Crowthorne (1974).
- 41. CRISFIELD, M. A., On an approximate yield criterion for thin steel shells, TRRL Report 658, Crowthorne (1974).
- 42. CRISFIELD, M. A., Large deflection elasto-plastic buckling analysis of eccentrically stiffened plates using finite elements, TRRL Report 725, Crowthorne (1976).
- 43. CRISFIELD, M. A. and PUTALI, R. S., Approximations in the nonlinear analysis of thin plated structures, *Int. Conf. Finite Elements in Nonlinear Solid and Structural Mechanics*, Geilo, Norway (1977).
- 44. CRISFIELD, M. A., Ivanov's yield criterion for thin plates and shells using finite elements, TRRL Lab. Report 919, Crowthorne (1979).
- 45. BERGAN, P. G., Nonlinear analysis of plates considering geometric and material effects, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, SESM Report No. 71-7 (1971).
- 46. HORRIGMOE, G. and BERGAN, P. G., Incremental variational principles and finite element models for nonlinear problems, *Comp. Meths. Appl. Mech. and Engng.* 7, 201-217 (1976).
- 47. HORRIGMOE, G., Large displacement analysis of shells by a hybrid stress finite element method, *IASS World Congress on Space Enclosures (NCOSE-*76, Montreal, 489–499 (1976).
- 48. HORRIGMOE, G., Finite element instability analysis of free-form shells, Report No. 77-2, The Norwegian Inst. Techn., University of Trondheim (1977).
- 49. WOOD, R. D., The application of finite element methods to geometrically nonlinear structural analysis, Ph.D. Thesis, University College of Swansea (1973).
- 50. PICA, A., Geometrically nonlinear analysis of Mindlin plates by finite element method, M.Sc. Thesis, University College of Swansea (1978).
- 51. PICA, A., WOOD, R. D. and HINTON, E., Finite element analysis of geometrically nonlinear plate behaviour using a Mindlin formulation, *Computers and Structures*, 11, 203–215 (1980).
- GALLAGHER, R. H., Geometrically nonlinear shell analysis, In: Advances in Computational Methods in Structural Mechanics and Design, Eds. J. T. Oden, R. W. Clough and Y. Yamamoto, Univ. Alabama Press, 641-678 (Proc. Second US-Japan Seminar) (1972).
- 53. FREY, F. and CESCOTTO, S., Some new aspects of the incremental total Lagrangian description in nonlinear analysis, *Proc. Int. Conf. Finite Elements in Nonlinear Solid Mechanics*, Geilo, Norway, Paper CO5 (1977).
- 54. BATOZ, J. L., CATTOPADHYAY, A. and DHATT, G., Finite element large deflection analysis of shallow shells, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 10, 39-58 (1976).

- 55. ARGYRIS, J. H. and DUNNE, P. C., Non-linear and post-buckling analysis of structures, In: Formulations and Computational Algorithms in Finite Element Analysis, Eds. K. J. Bathe, J. T. Oden and W. Wunderlich, 525-571, MIT Press, Massachusetts (1977).
- 56. AHMAD, S., IRONS, B. M. and ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., Curved thick shell and membrane elements with particular reference to axisymmetric problems, *Proc. Second Conf. Matrix Method Struct. Mech.*, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio (1968).
- 57. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., TAYLOR, R. L. and TOO, J. M., Reduced integration technique in general analysis of plates and shells, *Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng.* 3, 275-290 (1971).
- 58. HINTON, E., RAZZAQUE, A., ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C. and DAVIES, J. D., A simple finite element solution for plates of homogeneous, sandwich and cellular construction, *Proc. Inst. Civ. Engrs. Part* 2, 59, 43-65 (1975).
- 59. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C. and HINTON, E., Reduced integration, function smoothing and non-conformity in finite element analysis (with special reference to thick plates), J. of the Franklin Inst., 302, 443-461 (1976).
- 60. HINTON, E., OWEN, D. R. J. and SHANTARAM, D., Dynamic transient nonlinear behaviour of thick and thin plates, In: *The Mathematics of Finite Elements and Applications II MAFELAP*, Ed. J. R. Whiteman, 423-438, Academic Press, London (1977).
- 61. HINTON, E. and PUGH, E. D. L., Some quadrilateral isoparametric finite elements based on Mindlin plate theory, *Proc. of Symp. on Applications of Computer Methods in Engineering*, Univ. of S. California, Vol. II, 851-858 (1977).
- 62. BICANIC, N. and HINTON, E., Spurious modes in two-dimensional isoparametric elements, Int. J. Num. Meths. Engng. 14, 1545-1557 (1979).
- 63. HINTON, E. and BICANIC, N., A comparison of Lagrangian and Serendipity Mindlin plate elements for free vibration analysis, *Computers and Structures*, 10, 483-493 (1979).
- 64. HUGHES, T. J. R., COHEN, M. and HAROUN, M., Reduced and selective integration techniques in the finite element analysis of plates, *Nuclear Engng*. *Design*, 46, 203-222 (1978).
- 65. HUGHES, T. J. R. and COHEN, M., The 'Heterosis' finite element for plate bending, *Computers and Structures*, 9, 445-450 (1978).
- 66. MALKUS, D. and HUGHES, T. J. R., Mixed finite element methods—reduced selective integration techniques: a unification of concept, *Comp. Appl. Mech. Engng.* 15, 63-81 (1978).
- 67. RAMM, E., A plate/shell element for large deflection and rotations, In: Formulations and Computational Algorithms in Finite Element Analysis, Eds. K. J. Bathe, J. T. Oden and W. Wunderlich, 264-293, MIT Press, Massachusetts (1977).
- 68. KRAKELAND, B., Large displacement analysis of shells considering elastoplastic and elasto-viscoplastic materials, Report No. 776, The Norwegian Institute of Technology, The University of Trondheim, Norway (1977).
- 69. BATHE, K. J. and BOLOURCHI, S., A geometric and material nonlinear plate and shell element (to be published).
- 70. LARSEN, P. K. and POPOV, E. P., Large displacement analysis of viscoelastic shells of revolution, Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engng. 3, 237-253 (1974).
- 71. NOOR, A. K. and HARLEY, S. J., Nonlinear shell analysis via mixed isoparametric elements, *Computers and Structures*, 7, 615-626 (1977).

- 72. FREY, F., L'analyse statique non linéaire des structures par la méthode des éléments finis et son application à la construction metallique, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Liège, Belgium (1978).
- 73. BOLOURCHI, S., On finite element nonlinear analysis of general shell structures, Ph.D. Thesis, Mechanical Engineering Department, MIT (1979).
- 74. MARTINS, R. A. F. and OWEN, D. R. J., Elastoplastic and geometrically nonlinear thin shell analysis by the Semiloof element, *Computers and Structures* (to be published).
- 75. DINIS, L. M. S., MARTINS, R. A. F. and OWEN, D. R. J., Analysis of material and geometrically nonlinear thin plates and arbitrary shells, *Proc. Int. Conf. Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Problems*, Swansea, 425-442, Pineridge Press, Swansea, U.K. (1980).
- 76. OWEN, D. R. J. and DINIS, L. M. S., Transient dynamic analysis of thin shells including viscoplastic and large displacement effect, In: *The Mathematics of Finite Elements and Applications III*, Ed. J. R. Whiteman, Academic Press (1978).
- 77. WEGNER, R., Finite element models for reinforced concrete, In: Formulation and Computational Algorithms in Finite Element Analysis, Eds. K. J. Bathe, J. T. Oden and W. Wunderlich, 393-439, MIT Press, Massachusetts (1977).
- 78. JOFREIT, J. C. and MCNIECE, G. M., Finite element analysis of reinforced concrete slabs, J. Struct. Div. ASCE, 97, 785-806 (1971).
- 79. HAND, F. R., PENCOLD, D. A. and SCHONBRICH, W. C., Non-linear layered analysis of reinforced concrete plates and shells, Univ. Illinois, Struct. Research Report No. 389 (1972).
- 80. LIN, C. S., Nonlinear analysis of reinforced concrete slabs and shells, Report UC SESM 73-7, Univ. of California, Berkeley (1973).
- 81. COPE, R. J. and RAO, P. U., Non-linear finite element analysis of concrete slab structures, *Proc. Inst. Civ. Engrs. Part* 2, 63, 149–179 (1977).
- 82. SCHNOBRICH, W. C., Behaviour of reinforced concrete structures predicted by the finite element method, *Computers and Structures*, 7, 365-376 (1977).
- 83. BASHUR, F. K. and DARWIN, D., Non-linear model for reinforced concrete slabs, J. Struct. Divn., ASCE, 104, 157-170 (1978).
- 84. GILBERT, R. F. and WARNER, R. F., Tension stiffening in reinforced concrete slabs, J. Struct. Divn. ASCE, 104, 1885-1900 (1978).,
- 85. DUNCAN, W. and JOHNARRY, T., Further studies on the constant stiffness method of non-linear analysis of concrete structures, *Proc. Inst. Civ. Engrs.*. *Part* 2, 67, 951–969 (1979).
- 86. CORMEAU, I. C., Viscoplasticity and plasticity in the finite element method, Ph.D. Thesis, University College of Swansea (1976).
- 87. DINIS, L. M. S., Finite element viscoplastic analyses of plates, M.Sc. Thesis, University College of Swansea (1975).
- 88. NAYAK, G. C., Plasticity and large deformation problems by finite element method, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wales, Swansea (1971).
- 89. BASU, A. K., Letter to the Editor, 'New light on the Nayak alpha technique', Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 6, 152 (1973).
- 90. IRONS, B. M. and AHMAD, S., Techniques of Finite Elements, Ellis Horwood, Chichester (1980).
- 91. MATTHIES, H. and STRANG, G., The solution of nonlinear finite element equations, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 14, 1613-1626 (1979).
- 92. GERADIN, M. and HOGGE, M. A., Quasi-Newton iteration in non-linear structural dynamics, Paper M7/1, Trans. Fifth Int. Conf. on SMIRT, Berlin, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1979).

- 93. CRISFIELD, M. A., Iterative solution procedure for linear and non-linear structural analysis, TRRL Lab. Report 900, Transport and Road Research Laboratory, Crowthorne, Berkshire, U.K. (1979).
- 94. HESTENES, M. and STIEFEL, E., Method of conjugate gradients for solving linear systems, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards, 49, 409-436 (1952).
- 95. FLETCHER, R. and REEVES, C. M., Function minimisation by conjugate gradients, *The Computer Journal*, 7, 149-154 (1964).
- 96. POLAK, E., Computational methods in optimisation: a unified approach, Academic Press, London (1971).
- 97. JENNINGS, A. and MALIK, G. M., The solution of sparse linear equations by the conjugate gradient method, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 12, 141-158 (1978).
- 98. FRIED, I. and METZLER, J., SOR v. conjugate gradients in a finite element discretization, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 12, 1329-1342 (1978).
- 99. KERSHAW, D. S., The incomplete Cholesky-conjugate gradient method for the iterative solution of systems of linear equations, J. Comp. Phys., 26, 43-65 (1978).
- 100. IRONS, B. M. and ELSAWAF, A. F., The conjugate Newton algorithm for solving finite element equations, In: Formulations and Computational Algorithms in Finite Element Analysis, Eds. K. J. Bathe, J. T. Oden and W. Wunderlich, MIT Press, 655-672 (1977).
- 101. BREW, J. S. and BROTTON, D. M., Non-linear structural analysis by dynamic relaxation, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 3, 463-483 (1971).
- 102. PICA, A. and HINTON, E., Transient and pseudo-transient analyses of Mindlin plates: Theory and applications, *Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng.* 15, 189–208 (1980).
- 103. UNDERWOOD, P., An adaptive dynamic relaxation technique for nonlinear structural analysis, Draft Report, Lockheed Palo Alto Research Laboratory.
- 104. BERGAN, P. G. and SOREIDE, T., Solution of large displacement and instability problems using current stiffness parameter, *Proc. Conf. on Finite Elements in Nonlinear Solid and Structural Mechanics*, Geilo, Norway (1977).
- 105. MOTE, C. D. J., Global-local finite element, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng. 3, 565-574 (1971).
- 106. CRISFIELD, M. A., A combined Rayleigh-Ritz/finite element method for the non-linear analysis of stiffened plate structures, *Computers and Structures*, 8, 679-689 (1978).
- 107. WILSON, E. L., Special numerical and computer techniques for the analysis of finite element systems, *Proc. US-German Symposium on Formulations and Algorithms in Finite Element Analysis*, Eds. K. J. Bathe, T. J. Oden and W. Wunderlich, 3-25, MIT Press, Boston (1977).
- WACHSPRESS, E. L., Two-level finite element computation, Proc. US-German Symposium on Formulations and Algorithms in Finite Element Analysis, Eds. K. J. Bathe, T. J. Oden and W. Wunderlich, 877-913, MIT Press, Boston (1977).
- 109. BRANDT, A., Multi-level adaptive solutions to boundary-value problems, Math. Comptr., 31, 333-390 (1977).
- 110. FELIPPA, C. A., Procedures for computer analysis of large nonlinear structural analysis, *Int. Symp. on Large Engineering Systems*, The University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada, 1976, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1977).
- 111. PEANO, A. M., FANELLI, M., RICCIONI, R. and SANDELLA, L., Self-adaptive convergence at the crack tip of a dam buttress, *Proc. of First Int. Conf. on Numerical Methods in Fracture Mechanics.* Eds. A. R. Luxmoore and D. R. J. Owen, 268-280, Pineridge Press, Swansea, U.K. (1978).

- 112. Row, D. G. and Powell, G. H., A substructure technique for nonlinear static and dynamic analysis, Report No. UCB/EER/C-78/15, University of California, Berkeley (1978).
- 113. ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., KELLY, D. W. and BETTESS, P., 'Marriage à la mode', Finite elements and boundary integrals, *Proc. Conf. Innovative Numerical* Analysis in Engineering Science, CETIM, Paris (1977).
- 114. BANERJEE, P. K., CATHIE, D. N. and DAVIES, T. G., Two- and three-dimensional problems of elastoplasticity, In: *Developments in Boundary Integral Methods*, Chapter 4, Eds. P. K. Banerjee and R. Butterfield, Applied Science Publishers (1979).
- 115. KELLY, D.W., MUSTOE, G. G. W. and ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., Coupling boundary element methods with other numerical methods, In: *Developments in Boundary Integral Methods*, Chapter 10, Eds. P. K. Banerjee and R. Butterfield, Applied Science Publishers (1979).
- 116. SCHREM, E., Trends and aspects of the development of large finite element software systems, *Computers and Structures*, 10, 419-425 (1979).
- 117. SCHREM, E., From program systems to programming systems for finite element analysis, In: Formulations and Computational Algorithms in Finite Element Analysis, Eds. K. J. Bathe, J. T. Oden and W. Wunderlich, MIT Press, 163-189 (1977).
- 118. ZAVE, P. and RHEINBOLDT, W. C., Design of an adaptive, parallel finiteelement system, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, 5, No. 1, 1-17 (1979).

## Appendix I

### Instructions for preparing input data for one-dimensional problems

In Part I of this text computer codes have been presented for the nonlinear analysis of several classes of one-dimensional problems. In Chapter 3 the data structure for the following applications was discussed:

- Direct iteration solution of nonlinear quasiharmonic problems.
- Use of the Newton-Raphson process for the solution of nonlinear quasiharmonic problems.
- Nonlinear elastic applications.
- Elasto-plastic material behaviour.

In Chapter 4 the time transient phenomenon of one-dimensional viscoplasticity was discussed. In Chapter 5 solution techniques were presented for elasto-plastic beam bending problems. In this appendix user instructions for preparing input data for each of these applications are provided.

# A.1.1 Program QUITER for the solution of nonlinear one-dimensional quasiharmonic problems by direct iteration

CARD SET 1 TITLE CARD (12A6)-One card

Cols. 1-72 Title of the problem-limited to 72 alphanumeric characters.

### CARD SET 2 CONTROL CARD (915)—One card

Cols. 1–5	NPOIN	Total number of nodal points.
6-10	NELEM	Total number of elements.
11–15	NBOUN	Total number of restrained boundary points—nodes at which the value of the unknown (e.g. temperature) is prescribed.
16-20	NMATS	Total number of different materials.
21–25	NPROP	Number of independent properties per material $(= 1)$ .
26-30	NNODE	Number of nodes per element $(= 2)$ .
31-35	NINCS	Number of increments in which the total 'loading' is to be applied.

36-40	NALGO	Nonlinear	solution	process	indicator
		(=1,  for  s)	olution by	direct ite	ration).
41-45	NDOFN	Number of	degrees of	f freedom	per node
		(= 1).			

CARD SET 3 MATERIAL CARDS (15, F15.5)—One card for each different material. Total of NMATS cards (See Card Set 2).

Cols.	1–5	JMATS	Material identification number.
	620	PROPS(JMATS,1)	The material coefficient, $K_0$ in (2.27).

CARD SET 4 ELEMENT CARDS (415)—One card for each element. Total of NELEM cards (See Card Set 2).

Cols.	1–5	JELEM	Element number.
	6–10	LNODS(JELEM,1)	1st nodal connection number.
	11–15	LNODS(JELEM,2)	2nd nodal connection number.
	16–20	MATNO(JELEM)	Material property number.

NOTE: The two nodal connection numbers for an element can be taken in any order.

CARD SET 5 NODAL COORDINATE CARDS (110,F15.5)—One card for each node. Total of NPOIN cards (See Card Set 2).

Cols. 1–10	JPOIN	Node number.
11–25	COORD(JPOIN)	The x coordinate of the node

Note: The origin of the coordinate system may be arbitrarily located.

CARD SET 6 RESTRAINED NODE CARDS (I10,I5,F10.5)—One card for each restrained node. Total of NBOUN cards (See Card Set 2).

Cols. 1–10 NODFX	Restrained node number.
11-15 ICODE(1)	Condition of restraint( $= 1$ ).
16-25 PRESC(1)	The prescribed value of the nodal
	variable.

CARD SET 7 APPLIED 'LOAD' CARDS (I10,2F15.5)—One card for each loaded element.

Cols. 1–10	IELEM	The element number.
11–25	RLOAD(IELEM,1)	The applied load at the 1st node of the
		element.
26-40	RLOAD(IELEM,2)	The applied load at the 2nd node of the
		element.

- Notes: 1) The 1st and 2nd nodes must be taken in the order listed in Card Set 4.
  - 2) This card set must terminate with data for the highest numbered element whether it is loaded or not.

#### APPENDIX I

CARD SET 8 LOAD INCREMENT CONTROL CARDS (215,2F15.5)— One card for each load increment. Total of NINCS cards (See Card Set 2).

Cols.	1–5	NITER	Maximum number of iterations allowed
			for the 'load' increment.
	6–10	NOUTP	Output control parameter:
			1-Results output only after the first iteration and after convergence,
			2—Results output after each iteration.
	11-25	FACTO	Applied 'load' factor for the increment-
			specified as a factor of the loading input
			in Card Set 7.
	26-40	TOLER	Convergence tolerance factorThe term
			TOLER in (3.21).

Note: The applied loading factors are accumulative. If FACTO is specified as 0.6, 0.3, 0.3 for the first three 'load' increments, then the total loading acting during the third increment is 1.2 times that specified in Card Set 7.

If the form of the material nonlinearity is to be changed, then FUNCTION VARIA must be modified in accordance with the process described in Section 3.9.1.

### A.1.2 Program QUNEWT for the solution of nonlinear one-dimensional quasiharmonic problems by the Newton-Raphson process

Data input for this application is identical to that described in Section A.1.1 above with the following exceptions:

### CARD SET 2 CONTROL CARD

Cols. 21–25	NPROP	Number of independent properties per material $(= 2)$ .
36–40	NALGO	Nonlinear solution process parameter (= 2, for Newton-Raphson solution technique).

CARD SET 3 MATERIAL CARDS (15,2F15.5)—One card for each different material.

Cols.	1–5	JMATS	Material identification number.
	6–20	PROPS(JMATS,1)	The material coefficient $K_0$ in (2.27).
	21–35	PROPS(JMATS,2)	The term $b$ in (2.27).

# A.1.3 Program NONLAS for the solution of one-dimensional nonlinear elastic problems

The input data for this application is again identical to that described in Section A.1.1 with the following exceptions. The basic nodal variable is now the axial displacement.

### CARD SET 2 CONTROL CARD

Cols. 21–25	NPROP	Numbe materia	r of independent $l(=2)$ .	properties per	r
36–40	NALGO	Nonline	ear solution process	s indicator :	
		1 or 2 7 e. fo	<i>Cangential stiffness</i> lement stiffnesses a or each iteration process.	algorithm. The are recalculated of the solution	e 1 1
		3 <i>I</i> n n n	nitial stiffness met esses are calculate ing of the solution naintained constan	thod. The stiff of at the begin on process and on thereafter.	- -
		4 ( 7 p	<i>Combined algorithr</i> The element stiffne buted for the <i>first</i> it bad increment.	n (Version I) sses are recom teration of each	- h
		5 ( T	<i>Combined algorithm</i> The element stiffner outed for the seco	n (Version II) sses are recom nd iteration o	- f

CARD SET 3 MATERIAL CARDS (I5,2F15,5)—One card for each different material.

each load increment.

Cols.	1–10	JMATS	Material identification number.
	620	PROPS(JMATS,1)	Elastic modulus, E.
	21-35	PROPS(JMATS,2)	Cross-sectional area, A.

# A.1.4 Program ELPLAS for the solution of one-dimensional elastoplastic problems

The input data for this application is again identical to that described in Section A.1.1 with the following exceptions. The basic nodal variable is the axial displacement.

### CARD SET 2 CONTROL CARD (915)

Cols. 21–25 NPROP	Number of independent properties per
	material $(= 4)$ .
36–40 NALGO	Nonlinear solution process indicator:
	1 or 2 Tangential stiffness algorithm.

506

- 3 Initial stiffness method.
- 4 Combined algorithm with stiffnesses recomputed for the 1st iteration.
- 5 Combined algorithm with stiffnesses recomputed for the 2nd iteration.

CARD SET 3 MATERIAL CARDS (15,4F15.5)—One card for each different material.

Cols. 1–5	JMATS	Material identification number.
6–20	PROPS(JMATS,1)	Elastic modulus, E.
21–35	PROPS(JMATS,2)	Cross-sectional area, A.
36–50	PROPS(JMATS,3)	Uniaxial yield stress, $\sigma_Y$ .
5165	PROPS(JMATS,4)	Linear strain-hardening parameter, $H'$ .

### A.1.5 Program UNVIS for the solution of one-dimensional elastoviscoplastic problems

The input data for this application is once again identical to that described in Section A.1.1 with the following exceptions. The basic nodal variable is the axial displacement.

### CARD SET 2 CONTROL CARD

Cols. 21–25	NPROP	Number of	of inde	pendei	nt prope	erties	per
		material (	= 5).				
36-40	NALGO	Nonlinear	solut	ion p	process	indica	ator
		(= 1, for	Euler	time s	stepping	scher	ne).

CARD SET 3 MATERIAL CARDS (15,5F15.5)—One card for each different material.

er, H'.
¢

CARD SET 8 TIMESTEPPING PARAMETER CARD (3F15.5)—One card.

Cols. 1–15 TAUFT	The factor $\tau$ employed to limit the time-
	step length according to (4.38).
16-30 DTINT	The initial time step length (required to
	initiate the time stepping process.
31–45 FTIME	The factor $k$ in (4.39).

### CARD SET 9 LOAD INCREMENT CONTROL CARDS

This card set is identical to Card Set 8, Section A.1.1 where the term 'iteration' is now replaced by 'timestep'.

### A.1.6 Program TIMOSH for the nonlayered elasto-plastic analysis of Timoshenko beams

The input data for this application is identical to that described in Section A.1.1 with the following exceptions.

### CARD SET 2 CONTROL CARD (915)

Cols.	21–25	NPROP	Number of independent properties per material (=4)
	36–40	NALGO	<ul> <li>Nonlinear solution process indicator:</li> <li>1 or 2 Tangential stiffness algorithm.</li> <li>3 Initial stiffness method.</li> </ul>
			4 Combined algorithm with stiffnesses recomputed for the 1st iteration.
			5 Combined algorithm with stiffnesses recomputed for the 2nd iteration.
	41–45	NDOFN	Number of degrees of freedom per node $(=2)$ .

CARD SET 3 MATERIAL CARDS (15, 4F15.5)—One card for each different material.

Cols.	620	PROPS(JMATS, 1)	Flexural rigidity, EI.
	21–35	PROPS(JMATS,2)	Shear constant, $GA/1.5$ .
	36–50	PROPS(JMATS, 3)	Yield moment, $M_0$ .
	51–65	PROPS(JMATS, 4)	Strain hardening parameter, $H'$ .

CARD SET 6 RESTRAINED NODE CARDS (I10, 2(I5, F10.5))—One card for each restrained node. Total of NBOUN cards.

Cols.	11–15	ICODE(1)	Condition of restraint on nodal displace-
			ment, w.
			∫0—No displacement restraint.
			∫ 1—Nodal displacement restrained.
	16–25	VALUE(1)	The prescribed value of nodal displace-
			ment, w.
	26–30	ICODE(2)	Condition of restraint on nodal rotation, $\theta$ .
			$\int 0$ —No rotation restraint.
			∫ 1—Nodal rotation restrained.
	31–40	VALUE(2)	The prescribed value of nodal rotation, $\theta$ .

CARD SET 7 APPLIED LOAD CARDS (110, 4FI5.5)—One card for each loaded element.

Cols.1-10JELEMElement number.11-25RLOAD(JELEM,1) Transverse load applied at the first node.26-40RLOAD(JELEM,2) Couple applied at the first node.41-55RLOAD(JELEM,3) Transverse load applied at the second node.56-70RLOAD(JELEM,4) Couple applied at the second node.

Note: The last card should be that for the highest numbered element whether it is loaded or not.

### A.1.7 Program TIMLAY for the layered elasto-plastic analysis of Timoshenko beams

The input data for this application is identical to that described in Section A.1.6 with the following exceptions.

### CARD SET 2 CONTROL CARD (1015)

Cols. 21–25	NPROP	Number of independent properties per ma-
		terial (= $4+2 \times \text{Total number of layers}$ ).
46–50	NLAYR	Total number of layers.

### CARD SET 3 MATERIAL CARDS

1st Card (I5, 4F15.5)

Cols.	1–5	NUMAT	Material identification number.
	6–20	PROPS(NUMAT,1)	)Young's modulus, E.
	21-35	PROPS(NUMAT,2)	) Modified shear modulus, $G/1.5$ .
	36–50	PROPS(NUMAT,3)	)Yield stress, $\sigma_Y$ .
	51–65	PROPS(NUMAT,4)	Strain hardening parameter, H'.

2nd and subsequent cards (4F15.5)

Cols.	1–15	BRDTH(1)	Breadth of the 1st layer.
	16-30	THICK(1)	Thickness of the 1st layer.
	31–45	BRDTH(2)	Breadth of the 2nd layer.
	•	•	
	•	•	
	•	•	
	•	BRDTH(NLAYR)	Breadth of the last layer.
	•	THICK(NLAYR)	Thickness of the last layer.

### Appendix II

### Instructions for preparing input data for plane, axisymmetric and plate bending problems

In this appendix user instructions are provided for the computer programs developed in Part II of this text. Chapter 7 dealt with elasto-plastic problems in two dimensions and in Chapter 8 the corresponding time-dependent situation of elasto-viscoplasticity was discussed. The elasto-plastic behaviour of plates in bending was considered in Chapter 9.

# A.2.1 Program PLANET for the elasto-plastic analysis of plane and axisymmetric solids

CARD SET 1 TITLE CARD (12A6)—One card.

Cols. 1-72 Title of the problem—limited to 72 alphanumeric characters.

#### CARD SET 2 CONTROL CARD (1115)—One card.

Cols. 1–5	NPOIN	Total number of nodal points.
6–10	NELEM	Total number of elements.
11–15	NVF1X	Total number of restrained boundary
		points-where one or more degrees of
		freedom are restrained.
16-20	NTYPE	Problem type parameter:
		1-Plane stress,
		2-Plane strain,
		3—Axial symmetry.
21–25	NNODE	Number of nodes per element:
		4-Linear quadrilateral element,
		8-Quadratic Serendipity element,
		9-Quadratic Lagrangian element.
26-30	NMATS	Total number of different materials.
31–35	NGAUS	Order of integration formula for numeri-
		cal integration:
		2-Two point Gauss quadrature rule,
		3—Three point Gauss quadrature rule.

36-40 NALGO	<ul> <li>Nonlinear solution parameter:</li> <ol> <li>Initial stiffness method. The element stiffnesses are calculated at the beginning of the solution process and remain unchanged thereafter.</li> <li>Tangential stiffness method. The element stiffnesses are recalculated for every iteration of each load increment.</li> <li>Combined algorithm (Version I). The element stiffnesses are recalculated for the first iteration of each load increment only.</li> <li>Combined algorithm (Version II). The element stiffnesses are recalculated for the first iteration of each load increment only.</li> </ol></ul>	
41–45 NCRIT 46–50 NINCS 51–55 NSTRE	<ul> <li>Yield criterion parameter:</li> <li>1—Tresca,</li> <li>2—Von Mises,</li> <li>3—Mohr-Coulomb,</li> <li>4—Drucker-Prager.</li> <li>Number of increments in which the total loading is to be applied.</li> <li>Number of stress components at a point:</li> <li>3—Plane stress or plane strain,</li> <li>4—Axial symmetry.</li> </ul>	

CARD SET 3 ELEMENT CARDS (1115)—One card for each element. Total of NELEM cards (See Card Set 2).

Cols.	1–5	NUMEL	Element number.
	6-10	MATNO(NUMEL)	Material property number.
	11–15	LNODS(NUMEL,1)	1st Nodal connection number.
	16–20	LNODS(NUMEL,2)	2nd Nodal connection number.
			•

•

51-55 LNODS(NUMEL,9) 9th Nodal connection number.

•

Notes: 1) Columns 31-55 remain blank for linear 4-noded elements.

- 2) Columns 51-55 remain blank for 8-noded elements.
- 3) The nodal connection numbers must be listed in an anti-clockwise sequence, starting from any corner node.

CARD SET 4 NODE CARDS (15,2F10.5)—One card for each node whose coordinates are to be input.

- Cols. 1-5 IPOIN Nodal point number.
  - 6-15 COORD(IPOIN,1) x (or r) coordinate of the node.
  - 16-25 COORD(IPOIN,2) y (or z) coordinate of the node.
- Notes: 1) The total number of cards in this set will generally differ from NPOIN (see Card Set 2) since for quadratic elements whose sides are linear, it is only necessary to specify data for corner nodes, intermediate nodal coordinates being automatically interpolated if on a straight line.
  - 2) For Lagrangian elements the coordinates of the 9th (central) node are never input.
  - 3) The coordinates of the highest numbered node must be input regardless of whether it is a midside node or not.

CARD SET 5 RESTRAINED NODE CARDS (1X,14,5X,15,5X,2F10.5)— One card for each restrained node. Total of NVFIX cards (See Card Set 2).

Cols. 2–5	NOFIX(IVFIX) IFPRE	Restrained node number. Restraint code:
		01 Nodal displacement restrained in the x (or r) direction,
		10 Nodal displacement restrained in the y (or z) direction,
		11 Nodal displacement restrained in both coordinate directions.
21–30	PRESC(IVFIX,1)	The prescribed value of the $x$ (or $r$ ) component of nodal displacement.
31–40	PRESC(IVFIX,2)	The prescribed value of the $y$ (or $z$ ) component of nodal displacement.

### CARD SET 6 MATERIAL CARDS

6(a) CONTROL CARD (15)—One card.

Cols. 1-5 NUMAT Material identification number.

6(b) PROPERTIES CARDS (7F10.5)—One card for each different material.

- Cols. 1-10 PROPS(NUMAT, I) Elastic modulus, E.
  - 11-20 PROPS(NUMAT,2) Poisson's ratio, v.
  - 21-30 PROPS(NUMAT,3) Material thickness, t (leave blank for plane strain and axisymmetric problems).
  - 31–40 PROPS(NUMAT,4) Mass density,  $\rho$ .
  - 41-50 PROPS(NUMAT,5) Uniaxial yield stress,  $\sigma_Y$  (or cohesion c for Mohr-Coulomb or Drucker-Prager materials).
  - 51-60 PROPS(NUMAT,6) Strain hardening parameter, H'.

61-70 PROPS(NUMAT,7) Friction angle  $\phi$  (measured in degrees) for Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager materials only).

Note: This card set to be repeated for each different material. Total of NMATS card sets (See Card Set 2).

CARD SET 7 LOAD CASE TITLE CARD (12A6)—One card.

- Cols. 1–72 TITLE Title of the load case—limited to 72 alphanumeric characters.
- CARD SET 8 LOAD CONTROL CARD (315)—One card.

Cols. 1-5 IPLOD	Applied point load control parameter:
	0 No applied nodal loads to be input,
	1 Applied nodal loads to be input.
610 IGRAV	Gravity loading control parameter:
	0 No gravity loads to be considered,
	1 Gravity loading to be considered.
11-15 IEDGE	Distributed edge load control parameter:
	0 No distributed edge loads to be input,
	1 Distributed edge loads to be input.

CARD SET 9 APPLIED LOAD CARDS (15,2F10.3)—One card for each loaded nodal point.

Cols.	15	LODPT	Node number.
٠	6-15	POINT(1)	Load component in $x$ (or $r$ ) direction.
	16–25	POINT(2)	Load component in $y$ (or $z$ ) direction.

- Notes: 1) The last card should be that for the highest numbered node whether it is loaded or not.
  - 2) For axisymmetric problems, the loads input should be the *total* loading on the circumferential ring passing through the nodal point concerned.
  - 3) If IPLOD = 0 in Card Set 8, omit this set.

### CARD SET 10 GRAVITY LOADING CARD (2F10.3)—One card.

Cols. 1–10	THETA	Angle of gravity axis measured from the positive $y$ axis (see Fig. 6.7).
11–20	GRAVY	Gravity constant—specified as a multiple of the gravitational acceleration, $g$ .

Note: If IGRAV = 0 in Card Set 8, omit this set.

CARD SET 11 DISTRIBUTED EDGE LOAD CARDS 11(a) CONTROL CARD (15)—One card.

Cols. 1-5 NEDGE Number of element edges on which distributed loads are to be applied.

514

#### APPENDIX II

### 11(b) ELEMENT FACE TOPOLOGY CARD (415)

Cols.	1–5	NEASS	The	element	number	with	which	the
			elem	ent edge i	s associat	ted.		
	6–10	NOPRS(1)	) List	of nodal	points, ir	n an ai	nticlock	wise
1	1-15	NOPRS(2)	≻ sequ	ence, of	the no	des fo	orming	the
1	6-20	NOPRS(3)	elem) elem) elem	ent face o	n which t	he dist	ributed	load

Note: For linear 4-noded elements, Cols. 16–20 remain blank.

### 11(c) DISTRIBUTED LOAD CARDS (6F10.3)

<b>Cols.</b> 1–10	PRESS(1,1)	Value of normal component of distributed load at node NOPRS(1).
11–20	PRESS(1,2)	Value of tangential component of distributed load at node NOPRS(1).
21–30	PRESS(2,1)	Value of normal component of distributed load at node NOPRS(2).
31-40	PRESS(2,2)	Value of tangential component of distributed load at node NOPRS(2).
41–50	PRESS(3,1)	Value of normal component of distributed load at node NOPRS(3).
51–60	PRESS(3,2)	Value of tangential component of distributed load at node NOPRS(3).

- Notes: 1) For linear 4-noded elements, Cols. 41-60 remain blank.
  - 2) Subsets 11(b) and 11(c) must be repeated in turn for every element edge on which a distributed load acts. The element edges can be considered in any order.
    - 3) If IEDGE = 0 in Card Set 8, omit this card set.

**CARD SET** 12 LOAD INCREMENT CONTROL CARDS (2F10.5,315)— One card for each load increment. Total of NINCS cards (see Card Set 2).

<b>Cols.</b> 1–10	FACTO	Applied load factor for this increment—
		specified as a factor of the loading input
		in Card Sets 8 to 11.
11-20	TOLER	Convergence tolerance factor.—The term
		TOLER in (3.27).
21-25	MITER	Maximum number of iterations allowed
		for the load increment.
26-30	NOUTP(1)	Parameter controlling output of results
		after 1st iteration:
		0—No output,
		1-Output displacements,
		2-Output displacements and reactions,

3-Output displacements, reactions and stresses.

# 31-35 NOUTP(2) Parameter controlling output of the converged results:

0-No output,

1—Output displacements,

- 2-Output displacements and reactions,
- 3-Output displacements, reactions and stresses.
- Note: The applied loading factors are accumulative. If FACTO is specified as 0.6, 0.3, 0.2 for the first three load increments, then the total loading acting during the third increment is 1.1 times that specified in Card Sets 8 to 11.

### A.2.2 Program VISCOUNT for the elasto-viscoplastic analysis of plane and axisymmetric solids

The input data for this application is identical to that described in Section A.2.1, for elasto-plastic problems, with the following exceptions.

CARD SET 2 CONTROL CARD (1115)

Cols. 36–40 NALGO Equation solution parameter:

- 1 Explicit time stepping scheme (i.e. TIMEX = 0—See Card Set 12),
- 2 Implicit or Semi-implicit schemes  $(TIMEX \neq 0)$ .

CARD SET 6(b) PROPERTIES CARDS (8F10.5)—Two cards for each different material.

1st Card

Cols. 1-70Identical to Card Set 6(b), Section A.2.1.71-80PROPS(NUMAT,8)Fluidity parameter, γ.

2nd Card

- Cols. 1-10 PROPS(NUMAT,9) The constant M in (8.8) or constant N in (8.9).
  - 11-20 PROPS(NUMAT,10)Parameter controlling choice of the flow function:
    - 0 Expression (8.8) to be used,
    - 1 Expression (8.9) to be used.

CARD SET 12 TIMESTEPPING PARAMETER CARD (4F10.3)-One card.

Cols.	1–10	TIMEX	Timestepping	algorithm	parameter,	Θ	in
			(8.10).				

11–20	TAUFT	The factor $\tau$ employed to limit the time
		step length according to (8.29).
21-30	DTINT	The initial time step length (required to
		initiate the time stepping process).
31-40	FTIME	The factor $k$ in (8.32).

### CARD SET 13 LOAD INCREMENT CONTROL CARDS

This card set is identical to Card Set 12, Section A.2.1 where the term 'iteration' is now replaced by 'timestep'.

# A.2.3 Programs MINDLIN and MINDLAY for the nonlayered and layered elasto-plastic analysis of Mindlin plates

The input data for this application is identical to that described in Section A.2.1, for elasto-plastic plane and axisymmetric solids, with the following exceptions.

CARD	SET 2	(1115)One card

Cols.16-20	NTYPE	Problem type parameter:
		5-for Heterosis element,
		0-for 4- or 8-node elements.
21–25	NNODE	Number of nodes per element:
		4—Linear 4-node quadrilateral element.
		8—Quadratic 8-node Serendipity element.
		9—Quadratic 9-node Lagrangian element
		or Heterosis element.
31–35	NGAUS	2 for 4-node element,
		3 for 8-, 9-node and Heterosis element.
		(N.B. This is the integration rule to evalu-
		ate the flexural contribution to the element
		stiffness matrix. Since selective integration
		is adopted a (NGAUS-1) integration is
		automatically used to evaluate the trans-
		verse shear contribution to the element
		stiffness matrix.)
41-45	NCRIT	Yield criterion parameter:
		1—Tresca,
		2-Von-Mises.
		(Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager
		yield criteria are not included.)
51-55	NLAPS	Total number of layers.
		(for program MINDLAY only—in pro-
		gram MINDLIN leave blank.)

٠

CARD SET 5 RESTRAINED NODE CARDS (1X, I4, 5X, I5, 5X, 3F10.5) One card for each restrained node. Total of NVFIX cards.

Cols.11–15	IFPRE	Restraint code:
		100 Lateral displacement w restrained.
		010 Rotation $\theta_x$ restrained.
		001 Rotation $\theta_y$ restrained.
		110 Lateral displacement w and rotation $\theta_{\pi}$ restrained etc.
2130	PRESC(IVFIX,1)	The prescribed value of the lateral nodal displacement $w$ .
31-40	PRESC(IVFIX,2)	The prescribed value of the nodal rotation $\theta_x$ .
41–50	PRESC(IVFIX.3)	The prescribed value of the nodal rotation $\theta_y$ .

### CARD SET 6 MATERIAL CARDS

6(b) PROPERTIES CARDS (7F10.5)—One card for each different material.

- Cols.31-40 PROPS(NUMAT,4) Uniform distributed loading value.
  - 41-50 PROPS(NUMAT,5) Blank.
  - 51–60 **PROPS**(NUMAT,6) Uniaxial yield stress,  $\sigma_0$ .
  - 61-70 PROPS(NUMAT,7) Strain hardening parameter H'.

### CARD SET 6X CONVERGENCE CHECK CARDS

### 6X(a) DISPLACEMENT CHECK CARD (511)—One card.

Cols.	1	IFDIS	1	The displacement check is to be employed.
	2	NCDIS(1)	1	Check based on norm involving w.
	3	NCDIS(2)	1	Check based on norm involving $\theta_x$ .
	4	NCDIS(3)	1	Check based on norm involving $\theta_y$ .
	5	NCDIS(4)	1	Check based on w, $\theta_x$ and $\theta_y$ .
6X(b)	RESI	DUAL FORCE CHE	CK	CARD (511)-One card.
Cols.	1	IFRES	1	The residual force check is to be employed.
	2	NCRES(1)	1	Check based on norm involving re- sidual forces associated with w.
	3	NCRES(2)	1	Check based on norm involving residual forces associated with $\theta_x$ .
	4	NCRES(3)	1	Check based on norm involving re- sidual forces associated with $\theta_y$ .
	5	NCRES(4)	1	Check based on norm involving re- sidual forces associated with $w$ , $\theta_x$ and $\theta_y$ .

Note: A zero value for any item implies that the check is not being used.

CARD SET 8 LOAD CONTROL CARD (I5)-One card.

Cols. 1–5	IPLOD	Applied point load control parameter:
		0 No applied nodal loads to be input.
		1 Applied nodal loads to be input.
6–15		Blank.

CARD SET 9 APPLIED LOAD CARDS (15, 3F10.3)—One card for each loaded nodal point.

Cols. 1–5	LODPT	Node number.
6–15	POINT(1)	Lateral nodal load.
1625	POINT(2)	Nodal couple in xz plane.
26–35	POINT(3)	Nodal couple in yz plane.

Omit CARD SETS 10, 11(a), 11(b) and 11(c).

### Appendix III

### Instructions for preparing input data for dynamic transient problems

The program DYNPAK has been described in Section 10.6 and MIXDYN in Section 11.5. These programs perform large displacement or viscoplastic or elasto-plastic, transient dynamic analysis of plane stress/strain or axisymmetric problems respectively. The format of the input data is identical for both programs. In this appendix user instructions for preparing input data are provided.

CARD SET 1 DYNAMIC DIMENSIONING (415)—One card.

Cols.	1–5	NPOIN	Total number of nodal points.
	6–10	NELEM	Total number of elements.
	11–15	NDOFN	Number of degrees of freedom per node
			(= 2).
	16–20	NMATS	Number of different material sets.
CAR	D SET	2 TITLE CARD (10	)A4)—One card.
Cols.	1–40		Title of the problem—limited to 40 alphanumeric characters.
CAR	d set	3 CONTROL CAR	D (1315)—One card.
Cols.	1–5	NVFIX	Total number of nodal points with fixed
			degrees of freedom.
	6–10	NTYPE	Type of problem:
			= 1, Plane stress,
			= 2, Plane strain,
			= 3, Axisymmetric problem.
	11-15	NNODE	Number of nodes per element.
	16–20	NPROP	Number of material properties $(= 11)$ .
	21–25	NGAUS	Integration rule for stiffness matrix.
	26–30	NDIME	Number of coordinate dimensions $(=2)$ .
	31–35	NSTRE	Number of stress components $(= 3 \text{ for }$
			plane stress/strain, $= 4$ for axisymmetric).

3640	NCRIT	Yield criterion:
		= 1 - Tresca,
		= 2 — Von Mises,
		= 3 - Mohr-Coulomb,
		= 4 — Drucker-Prager.
41-45	NPREV	Indicator for the previous state to be
		read (= 1 for previous state, otherwise, $= 0$ ).
46–50	NCONM	Number of concentrated masses ( $\geq 1$ if
		concentrated mass present, otherwise, $= 0$ ).
51–55	NLAPS	Indicator for large displacement analysis: = $0$ —Elastic analysis,
		= 1-Elasto-plastic small displacement analysis,
		= 2—Elastic large displacement analysis,
56–60	NGAUM	Integration rule for mass matrix.
61–65	NRADS	= 0, Read $(r, z)$ coordinates for nodes,
		= 1, Read $(R, \Theta)$ coordinates for nodes
		for axisymmetric analysis.

CARD SET 4 ELEMENT CARDS (1115)—One card for each element, total of NELEM cards. The node numbers are read in anticlockwise sequence. The number of nodes depends upon the type of element. For four and eight noded elements read only four and eight nodes respectively.

Cols.	1–5	IELEM	Element number.
	6-10	MATNO	Material identification number.
	11–15	LNODS(IELEM,1)	
	16–20	LNODS(IELEM,2)	
	21–25	LNODS(IELEM,3)	
	26–30	LNODS(IELEM,4)	
	31–35	LNODS(IELEM,5)	Nodal connection numbers.
	36–40	LNODS(IELEM,6)	
	41-45	LNODS(IELEM,7)	
	46-50	LNODS(IELEM,8)	
	51-55	LNODS(IELEM,9)	

CARD SET 5 NODAL COORDINATE CARDS (15,2F10.5)—One card for each node. Last nodal point (IPOIN = NPOIN) must be read at the end. Only corner and central nodes need to be specified. Midside nodes are interpolated if not specified. For axisymmetric cases,  $(R, \Theta)$  values are read for NRADS = 1, and (r, z) coordinates are calculated in the program.

Cols.	1–5	IPOIN	Current nodal point.
	6–15	COORD(IPOIN,1)	x-coordinate.*
	16–25	COORD(IPOIN,2)	y-coordinate.

CARD SET 6 RESTRAINED NODE CARDS (1X, I4, 3X, 211)—One card for each restrained node. Total of NVF1X cards.

Cols. 2–5	IPOIN	Restrained node number.
9	IFPRE(IVFIX,1)	Fixity in x-direction $(= 0, Free; = 1,$
		Fixed).
10	IFPRE(IVFIX,2)	Fixity in y-direction $(= 0, \text{ Free}; = 1, \text{ Fixed})$ .

CARD SET 7 MATERIAL CARDS—Three cards for each different material, a total of NMATS\*3 cards.

1st Card MATERIAL IDENTIFICATION CARD (I5)

Cols. 1–5 NUMAT Material identification number.

2nd Card MATERIAL PROPERTIES CARD-(a) (8E10.4)

Cols. 1-10 PROPS(NUMAT,1) Young's Modulus, E.

- 11-20 PROPS(NUMAT,2) Poisson's ratio, v.
  - 21-30 PROPS(NUMAT,3) Thickness for plane stress problem, t.
- 31–40 **PROPS(NUMAT,4)** Mass density per unit volume,  $\rho$ .
  - 41–50 PROPS(NUMAT,5) Temperature coefficient,  $a_t$ .
  - 51-60 PROPS(NUMAT,6) Reference yield value ' $F_0$ ':

$F_0 = \sigma_Y,$
$F_0 = \sigma_Y,$
$F_0 = c \cos \phi,$
$F_0 = 6c  \cos \phi /$
$(\sqrt{3}(3-\sin\phi)).$

61-70 PROPS(NUMAT,7) Hardening parameter, H':

$$H'=\frac{E_T}{1-E_T/E},$$

where  $E_T$  is the hardening tangent modu-

lus,

E is the tangent modulus,

 $\sigma_Y$  is the yield stress,

c is the cohesion,

 $\phi$  is the friction angle.

71-80 PROPS(NUMAT,8) Friction angle ' $\phi$ '.

\* For axisymmetric problems x and y are replaced by r and z respectively (or R and  $\Theta$  if NRADS = 1).

### 3rd Card MATERIAL PROPERTIES CARD-(b) (3E10.4)

### Cols. 1–10 PROPS(NUMAT,9) Fluidity parameter, $\gamma$ .

- 11-20 PROPS(NUMAT,10) Exponent,  $\delta$ .
- 21-30 PROPS(NUMAT,11) NFLOW code

(NFLOW = 1—Power law, NFLOW  $\neq$  1—Exponential law).

CARD SET 8 TIME INTEGRATION CONTROL CARD (1115)—One card.

Cols. 1–5	NSTEP	Total number of time steps.
6–10	NOUTD	Writes displacement and stress history of
		required points on tapes 10 and 11
		respectively at NOUTD timesteps.
11–15	NOUTP	Output for displacements and stresses at
		every NOUTP step (NOUTP $\leq$ 500).
16–20	NREQD	Number of nodes for selective output of
		displacements at NOUTD steps.
21–25	NREQS	Number of integration points for selective
		output of stresses at every NOUTP step.
26-30	NACCE	Number of acceleration ordinates (If
		IFUNC $\neq$ 0, NACCE is not used, then
		leave blank).
31–35	IFUNC	Time function code:
		IFUNC = 0 Acceleration time history,
		IFUNC = 1 Heaviside function, $f(t) =$
		1.0,
		IFUNC = 2 Harmonic excitation, $f(t)$
		$=a_0+b_0\sin\omega t.$
36-40	IFIXD	Indicator for excitation:
		IFIXD $= 0$ , Horizontal acceleration read
		from tape 7,
		Vertical acceleration read
		from tape 12.
		IFIXD = 1, Vertical acceleration read
		from tape 12,
		IFIXD $= 2$ , Horizontal acceleration read
		from tape 7. (If IFUNC $\neq 0$
		IFIXD is not used, then
		leave blank.)
41–45	MITER	Maximum number of iterations. This
		variable is not used in DYNPAK, so
		leave blank.

46–50	KSTEP	Number of steps after which the stiffness matrix is reformed. Not used in DYN-
		PAK, leave blank.
51-55	IPRED	= 1 Standard algorithm,
		= 2 Modified algorithm.

CARD SET 9 TIME INTEGRATION PARAMETERS CARD (8F10.3)— Two cards.

Ist Card

• •

Cols. 1–10	DTIME	Time step length.
11–20	DTEND	Time at the end of the excitation force.
21–30	DTREC	Time step of acceleration records.
31-40	AALFA	$\alpha$ = Damping parameter, $C = \alpha M$ ,
41–50	BEETA	$\alpha = 2\xi_i \omega_i.$ $\beta = \text{Damping parameter, } C = \beta K.$ $(\alpha + \beta \omega_i^2 = 2\omega_i \xi_i, \text{ not used in DYNPAK})$
51-60	DELTA	Newmark's integration parameter $(\delta = 0.25 (\gamma + 0.5)^2$ , not used in DYN-
61–70	GAAMA	PAK). Newmark's integration parameter ( $\gamma \ge 0.5$ for stable solution, not used in DYN-
71–80	AZERO	РАК).
2nd Card	}	Constants for harmonic excitation
1–10 11–20	BZERO OMEGA	$f(t) = a_0 + b_0 \sin \omega t.$
21–30	TOLER	Specified tolerance (Not used in DYN-PAK).
		-

CARD SET 10 CARD FOR NODAL POINTS FOR WHICH DIS-PLACEMENT HISTORY IS REQUIRED (1615)—Total of NREQD nodes.

Cols.	1–5	NPRQD(1)	First nodal point at which displacement
			history is required.
	6–10	NPRQD(2)	Second nodal point at which displacement
			history is required.
	11–15	•	
	•	•	
	•	•	

CARD SET 11 CARD FOR INTEGRATION POINTS FOR WHICH STRESS HISTORY IS REQUIRED (1615)—Total of NREQS integration points.

Cols.	1–5	NGRQS(1)	First integration point at which stress history is required.
	610	NGRQS(2)	Second integration point at which stress history is required.
	11–15		
	•		
	•	•	

CARD SET 12 IMPLICIT-EXPLICIT ELEMENT INDICATOR CARDS (1615). Number of cards depends on number of elements. For each 16 elements one card is needed. In DYNPAK, INTGR(IELEM) is 2 for every element.

INTGR(IELEM) = 1, Implicit element. INTGR(IELEM) = 2, Explicit element.

CARD SET 13 INITIAL DISPLACEMENT CARDS (15,2F10.5)—One card for each node. If all displacements are zero, read data for last node.

Cols. 1–5	NGASH	Nodal point.
6-15	XGASH	Initial x-displacement.
16–25	YGASH	Initial y-displacement.

CARD SET 14 INITIAL VELOCITY CARDS (15,2F10.5)—One card for each node. If all velocities are zero, read data for last node.

Cols. 1–5	NGASH	Nodal point.
6–15	XGASH	Initial x-velocity.
16–25	YGASH	Initial y-velocity.

CARD SET 15 PREVIOUS LOAD STATE CARDS (15,2F10.3)—One card for one node, a total of NNODE cards. Data for the last nodal point should always be read even when it is not loaded. If NPREV = 0 then omit this set of data.

Cols.	l5	NGASH	Nodal point.
(	5-15	XGASH	Equivalent nodal load in x direction.
10	5-25	YGASH	Equivalent nodal load in y direction.

CARD SET 16 PREVIOUS STRESS STATE CARD (15,4F10.3)—One card for one integration point. Total of (NELEM\*NGAUS\*NGAUS) cards. If NPREV = 0 omit this set of data.

Cols. 1-5	5 KGAUS	Integration point.
6–1	5 STRESS(1)	Initial stress, $\sigma_x$ or $\sigma_r$ .
16-2	25 STRESS(2)	Initial stress, $\sigma_y$ or $\sigma_z$ .
26-3	5 STRESS(3)	Initial stress, $\gamma_{xy}$ or $\gamma_{rz}$ .
36-4	5 STRESS(4)	Initial stress, $\sigma_z$ or $\sigma_{\theta}$ .

CARD SET 17 LOAD TITLE CARD (10A4)—One card.

Cols. 1-40 Title of load applied-limited to 40 alphanumeric characters.

CARD SET 18 LOAD INDICATOR CARD (415)—One card.

Cols.	1–5	IPLOD	Point load indicator.
	6-10	IGRAV	Gravity load indicator.
	11–15	IEDGE	Edge load indicator.
-	16–20	ITEMP	Temperature load indicator.

CARD SET 19 POINT LOAD CARD (I5,2F10.3)—One card for each node. Data for the last node must be specified at the end. If IPLOD = 0 then omit this set of data.

Cols.	1–5	LODPT	Node number.
	6-15	POINT(1)	Load in x-direction.
	16–25	POINT(2)	Load in y-direction.

CARD SET 20 GRAVITY LOAD CARD (2F10.3)—One card only. If IGRAV = 0 then omit this set of data.

Cols. 1–10 THETA	Angle of gravity axis to the positive y
	axis.
11–20 GRAVY	Gravity constant.

CARD SET 21 NUMBER OF PRESSURE EDGE CARD (15)—One card. If IEDGE = 0, then omit card sets 21 and 22.

Cols. 1–5 NEDGE Number of loaded edges.

CARD SET 22 PRESSURE CARDS—Two cards for each pressure loaded edge.

*1st Card* PRESSURE NODES CARD (415)—One card for each edge. Total of NEDGE cards.

Cols. 1–5 NEASS		Element number with edge load.
Cols. $6-10$ NOPRS(1)	)	
11–15 NOPRS(2)	>	Edge nodes read in anticlock wise sequence.
16-20 NOPRS(3)	J	

2nd Card PRESSURE CARD (6F10.3)—One card for each edge. Total of NEDGE cards. A pressure normal to a face is assumed to be positive if it acts in a direction into the element. A tangential load is assumed to be positive if it acts in an anticlockwise direction with respect to the loauedWW positive if it acts in an anticlockwise direction with respect to the loaded element.

Cols.	1–10 11–20 21–30	PRESS(1,1) PRESS(2,1) PRESS(3,1)		Normal component of edge load for each node.					
	31-40	PRESS(1,2)		Tangential component of edge load for					
	41–50	PRESS(2,2)		each node					
	51–60	PRESS(3,2)	J	each node.					

CARD SET 24 TEMPERATURE CARDS (15, F10.3)—One card for each node. The last card must be for the highest numbered node. If ITEMP = 0, omit this set of data.

Cols.	15	NODPT	Node number.
	6-15	TEMPE	Nodal temperature.

CARD SET 25 CONCENTRATED MASSES (15,2F10.3)—One card for each node. Total of NCONM cards. If NCONM = 0, omit this set of data.

Cols. 1-5	IPOIN	Current nodal point with concentrated
6–15	XCMAS	Concentrated mass associated with the
16–25	YCMAS	Concentrated mass associated with the y-direction.

### Appendix IV

# Sample input data and line printer output for one – and two-dimensional applications

In this appendix input data and line printer output are provided for a selection of the numerical examples presented in the text. This information will be of assistance to readers who wish to implement the programs contained in the book on their own computer. For economy of space, presentation is limited to one example from each area of application. Also in some cases the line printer output is edited for the same reason.

# A.4.1 Solution of one-dimensional quasiharmonic problem by direct iteration. Example of Section 3.9.3, Fig. 3.3

Input data

11	1-D QU 10	ASIHAR 2		EXAM 1	PLE 2	,	SECTI 1	ION 1	3.9	).3 I	,	FIG.	3.3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1123456789012345678901	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 0 0 0 0 7 8 9 0 1 0 0 0 7 8 9 0 1 0 0 0 0 7 8 9 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1										
20	1 11 10 1	1	0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0			1	0.0 0.5						

Line printer output

NBOTH	1-D QU	JASIHARMONIC E	XAMPL	E, SEC	TION	3.9	.3 , FIG.	3.3			
NPOIN	=	1 NELEM =	10	NEOUN	=	2	NMAIS = NALGO =	1			
NDOFN	=	1	2	,	-	•					
1	MATER:	IAL PROPERTIES									
	1	10.00000									
1 1		DES MAT.									
2	2	2 1									
3	3	4 1									
4	ų,	5 1									
5	5	61									
6	6	7 1									
) 8	ן פ	0 1									
ğ	q	10 1									
10	1Ó	11 1									
	NODE	COORD.									
	1	0.00000									
	2	1.00000									
	<u></u> З	2.00000									
	5	4.00000									
	6	5.00000									
	7	6.00000									
	8	7.00000									
	9 10	9.00000									
	11	10.00000									
RES.	NODE	CODE PRES.V.	ALUES								
	1	1 0.	00000								
নার	II ME'NIT		00000	9							
تابيانا	нын 1	0.0000		0.00	0000						
	2	0.00000		0.00	0000						
	3	0.00000		0.00	000						
	4	0.00000		0.00	0000						
	2 6	0.00000			1000						
	7	0.0000		0.00	0000						
	8	0.00000	)	· 0.00	0000						
	9	0.00000	)	0.00	0000					,	
	10	0.00000	י חי	0.00	0000		1 54/	<b></b>	0 100000E 01		0 500000F 00
	TINCS	E I NILL RGENCE CODE -	.rt = 1	NORM (	NE RE:	= 11178	AL SUM RA	10 =	0.100000E 01	IULER =	0.9000001 00
	NODE	DISPL.	•	REACT	TONS			1120 -	010000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	1	0.000000E 00		-0.1000	000E (	01					
	2	0.100000E 00		0.0000	000E (	00					
	3	0.200000E 00		0.0000	)00E (	00					
	4 5	0.400000E 00		0.0000	00E (	00					
	6	0.500000E 00		0.0000	000E	00					
	7	0.600000E 00		0.000	000E (	00					
	8	0.700000E 00		0.0000	)00E (	00					
	9 10	0.800000E 00		0.0000	NOUL (	00					
	11	0.90000E 00		0.1000	DODE (	00					
ELE	MENT	STRESSES	PL	.STRAIN	1	- •					
	1	0.000000E 00	0.00	0000E (	00						
	2	0.000000E 00	0.00	0000E (	)() )()						
	ン 4	0.000000E 00	0.00	0000E (	Ň						
	5	0.000000E 00	0.00	0000E 0	00						

•.
6	0.000000E 00	0.00000E 00
7	0.000000E 00	0.000000E 00
8	0.000000E 00	0.00000E 00
9	0.000000E 00	0.00000E 00
10	0.000000E 00	0.00000E 00
CONVE	RGENCE CODE =	1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.706275E 02
CONVE	RGENCE CODE =	1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.393376E 02
CONVE	RGENCE CODE =	1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.983804E 01
CONVE	RGENCE CODE =	1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.801219E 01
CONVE	RGENCE CODE =	1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.472308E 01
CONVE	RGENCE CODE =	1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.127390E 01
CONVE	RGENCE CODE =	1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.974302E OC
CONVE	RGENCE CODE =	1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.574815E OC
CONVE	RGENCE CODE =	0 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.153335E 00
NODE	DISPL.	REACTIONS
1	0.000000E 00	-0.600000E 01
2	0.260555E 00	0.000000E 00
3	0.399999E 00	0.000000 00
4	0.508276E 00	0.000000E 00
5	0.599999E 00	0.000000E 00
6	0.681025E 00	0.000000E 00
1	0.754400E 00	
8	0.821954E 00	0.000000E 00
	0.004000E 00	
10	0.9440312 00	
	U. HUUUUUE UI	
CLEMENI 1	0 000000 00	C COCOCOF CO
2	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
2	0.000000E 00	
<u>כ</u> ו	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
ד ב	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
6	0 0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
7	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
Ŕ	0.000000E 00	0.000000E 00
ă	0.000000E 00	0.00000F 00
10	0.000000E 00	0.000000E 00

# A.4.2 Solution of one-dimensional elasto-plastic problem. Example of Section 3.12.3, Fig. 3.9

### Input data

	1-D EL	ASTO-	PLASTI	C EX/	MPLE	, SE	CTION	3.12.3	,FIG.	3.9	
11	10	2	2	4	2	16	3	1			
	1	100	00.00			1.0		5.	.0		1000.0
	2	100	0.00			2.0		7.	.5		2000.0
1	1	2	1								
2	2	3	1								
3	3	4	1								
4	4	5	1								
5	5	6	1								
6	6	7	2								
7	7	8	2								
8	8	9	2								
9	9	10	2								
10	10	11	2								
	1		0.0								
	2		1.0								
	3		2.0								
	4		3.0								

30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3	56789011115022222222222222222	4.0 5.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 0.0 1.2555555555555555555555555555555555555	10.0 0.5 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0
30 30 30 30 30	2222	-0.25 -0.25 -0.25 -0.25 -0.25	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5

### Line printer output

	1-D	ELASI	ro-pl	.AS	FIC I	EXAMPI	ĿE,	SECTI	ON 3	.12	.3 ,F	ΊG.	3.9	
NPOIN	=	11	NEL	EM	=	10	NBO	UN =	2	N	MATS	=	2	
NPROP	=	4	NNC	DE	Ξ	2	NIN	CS =	16	N	ALGO	=	3	
NDOFN	=	1				_			-				-	
	MATE	RIAL	PROP	PER?	TIES									
	1	<b>·</b>	10000	0.00	0000		1	. 00000	I		5.00	000		1000.00000
	2	, ·	10000	0.0	0000		ż	.00000	I		7.50	000		2000.00000
EL.	Ň	ODES	MA	Τ.			-				1.2.			
1	1		·	1										
2	Ż	, -	- -	1										
3	1	ī	ű.	1										
4	มี	, L 6	5	i										
5	5	Ē	ś	í										
6	é		7	2										
7	7	,	a i	2										
8	ģ	ι <b>ι</b>	0 0	2										
ă	ă	í 1ċ	í	2										
10	10	1	1	2										
	NODE			ъ.										
•	1		0000	īα	0000									
	2	,	1	0	0000									
	3		2		1000									
	ũ	ļ	7	1.0	0000									
	5		<u>л</u>	0	1000									
	Ĩ		5	. ñ	1000									
	7	,	1	í. n	1000									
	à	l I	2	0	0000									
	Ğ	, i	2	$\hat{\alpha}$	1000									
	10	, 1	1	0	0000									
	11		O	0.0	0000									
RES_	NODF	COT	DE	PR	S.V	ALLIES								
	1		1		0.0	00000								
	11		1		0.0	00000								

ELEMENT	NODAL	LOADS						
1	0.00000	0.	.00000					
2	0.00000	υ.	00000					
3	0.00000	0.	.00000					
4 5	0.00000	10	00000					
5	0.00000	10.	00000					
7	0.00000	0.	00000					
Ŕ	0.00000	0.	00000					
ğ	0.00000	0.	00000					
10	0,00000	õ.	.00000					
IINCS	s = 1 NITE	R = 30 <sup>°°</sup>	NOUTP =	2	FACTO =	0.125000E 01	TOLER =	0.500000E 00
ITERA	TION NUMBER =	1		-				01,000000000000000
CONVE	RGENCE CODE =	O NORM	OF RESID	UAL SUI	M RATIO =	: 0.629197E-08		
NODE	DISPL.	REA	CTIONS					
1	0.000000E 00	-0.41	16667E 01					
2	0.416667E-03	0.00	00000E 00					
3	0.833333E-03	0.00	00000E 00					
4	0.125000E-02	0.00	0000E 00					
5	0.166667E-02	0.00	10000E 00					
б	0.208333L-02	0.00	00 300000					
7	0.166667E <b>-</b> 02	0.00	00000E 00					
8	0.125000E-02	0.00	00 30000C					
9	0.833333E-03	0.00	00000E 00					
10	0.416667E-03	0.00	DOOUDE 00					
11	0.000000E 00	-0.8	33333E 01					
ELEMENT	STRESSES	PL.SIR	ATN 2 00					
1	0.410007E UI		5 00					
2	0.4100072 01	0.000000	2 00					
<u>د</u>	0.410007E 01		5 00					
	0.4166675 01	0.000000	- 00 - 00					
6	0.416667E 01	0.000000	- 00 7 00					
7	0.416667E 01	0.000000	7 00					
8	0.416667E 01	0.000000	- 00 - 00					
ğ	0.416667E 01	0.000000	5 00					
10	0.416667E 01	0.0000001	2 00					
		•	•		•			
	•	•	•		•			
	•	•	•		•			
IINCS	= 3 NITER	= 30	NOUTP =	2	FACTO =	0.250000E 00	TOLER =	0.500000E 00
ITERA:	FION NUMBER =	1						
CONVE	RGENCE CODE =	1 NORM	OF RESIDU	JAL SUM	RATIO =	0.490863E 01		
NODE	DISPL.	REA	CTIONS					
1	0.000000E 00	-0.58	3333E 01					
2	0.583333E-03	0.00	0000E 00					
ک	0.116667E-02	0.00	0000E 00					
4	0.175000E-02	0.00	0000E 00					
5	U.23333E-U2	0.00	0000E 00					
7	0.29100/E-02		0000E 00					
ן ג	0,233335°-02 0,1750005,02	0.00	0000E 00					
0 0	0.116667F 02	0.00	00006 00					
7 10	0.583333F_02	0.00 0.00	0000E 00					
11	0.000000F 00	-0.11	6667F 02					
ELEMENT	STRESSES	PL.STRA	IN					
1	0.507576E 01	0.757576E	-04					
2	0.507576E 01	0.757576E	-04					
3	0.507576E 01	0.757576E	-04					
$\overline{4}$	0.507576E 01	0.757576E	-04					

6 7 8 9 10 ITERA CONVE	0.507576E 01 0.583333E 01 0.583333E 01 0.583333E 01 0.583333E 01 0.583333E 01 0.583333E 01 TION NUMBER = RGENCE CODE =	0.757576E-04 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 2 1 NORM OF RESIDUAL	SUM RATIO =	0.147757E 01
NODE	DISPL.	REACTIONS		
2	0.608586E-03	0.00000E 00		
3	0.121717E-02	0.000000E 00		
4	0.182576E-02	0.00000E 00		
5	0.243434E-02	0.00000E 00		
0 7	0.243434E-02	0.000000E 00		
ģ	0.182576E-02	0.000000E 00		
. 9	0.121717E-02	0.00000E 00		
10	0.000586E-03	0.000000E 00 0.121717E 02		
ELEMENT	STRESSES	PL_STRAIN		
1	0.509871E 01	0.987144E-04		
2	0.509871E 01	0.987144E-04		
З Ц	0.509871E 01	0.987144E-04 0.987184F_04		
5	0.509871E 01	0.987144E-04		
6	0.608586E 01	0.000000E 00		
7	0.608586E 01	0.000000E 00		
ð Q	0.6085865.01	0.000000£ 00 0.000000£ 00		
10	0.608586E 01	0.000000E 00		
ITERA	TION NUMBER =	3		
CONVE	RGENCE CODE =	0 NORM OF RESIDUAL	SUM RATTO -	0.446758E 00
	הדכחו	DEACTTONS		•••••••••••••
NUDE 1	DISPL. 0.000000E 00	REACTIONS		
1 2	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
יעטא 1 2 3 4	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.184871E-02	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.184871E-02 0.246495E-02 0.308119E-02	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.184871E-02 0.246495E-02 0.308119E-02 0.246495E-02	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.184871E-02 0.246495E-02 0.308119E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.184871E-02	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.184871E-02 0.246495E-02 0.308119E-02 0.246495E-02 0.184871E-02 0.184871E-02 0.123248E-02	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.184871E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.184871E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.184871E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.184871E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.184871E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3 4	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.123248E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3 4 5	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.123248E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.123248E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.00000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.123248E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.123248E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.123248E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.123248E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.00000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.123248E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.123248E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.616238E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.123248E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01 0.616238E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 -	DISPL. 0.000000E 00 0.616238E-03 0.123248E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.246495E-02 0.123248E-02 0.123248E-02 0.616238E-03 0.000000E 00 STRESSES 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.510567E 01 0.616238E 01	REACTIONS -0.517524E 01 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123248E 02 PL.STRAIN 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.105671E-03 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00		

#### APPENDIX IV

### A.4.3 Solution of one-dimensional elasto-viscoplastic problem. Example of Section 4.12, Fig. 4.6

Input data

1-D ELASTO VISCO-PLASTIC EXAMPLE , SECTION 4.12 , FIG. 4.6 1 1 1 5 2 1 3 1 2 0.001 10.0 5000.0 10000.0 1.0 1 1 1 2 1 0.0 1 2 10.0 0.0 1 1 1 0.0 15.0 0.05 0.025 1.5 1.0 0.1 90 2

Line printer output

1-D ELASTO VISCO-PLASTIC EXAMPLE , SECTION 4.12 , FIG. 4.6 NPOIN = 2 NELEM = 1 NBOUN = 1 NMATS = 1 NPROP = 5 NNODE = 2 NINCS = 1 NALGO = 3 NDOFN = 1 MATERIAL PROPERTIES 10000.00000 1.00000 10.00000 5000.00000 0.00100 1 EL NODES MAT. 2 1 1 1 COORD. NODE 1 0.00000 10.00000 2 RES.NODE CODE PRES. VALUES 1 1 0.00000 ELEMENT NODAL LOADS 15.00000 1 0.00000 TAUFT = 0.500000E-01DTINT = 0.250000E-01FTIME = 0.150000E 01 TOLER = 0.100000E 00 IINCS = NSTEP = NOUTP = FACTO = 0.100000E 011 90 2 TOTAL TIME = 0.000000E 00 CONVERGENCE CODE = 999 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.100000E 03 NODE DISPL. REACTIONS 1 0.000000E 00 -0.150000E 02 2 0.150000E-01 0.000000E 00 ELEMENT STRESSES PL.STRAIN 1 0.150000E 02 0.000000E 00 TOTAL TIME = 0.250000E-01 CONVERGENCE CODE = 1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.650000E 02 NODE DISPL. REACTIONS -0.150000E 02 1 0.000000E 00 2 0.000000E 00 0.162500E-01 ELEMENT STRESSES PL.STRAIN 0.150000E 02 0.125000E-03 1 TOTAL TIME = 0.435714E-01 CONVERGENCE CODE = 999 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.682500E 02 NODE DISPL. REACTIONS 1 0.000000E 00 -0.150000E 02 2 0.170625E-01 0.000000E 00 ELEMENT STRESSES PL.STRAIN 1 0.150000E 02 0.206250E-03 TOTAL TIME = 0.650675E-01 CONVERGENCE CODE = 999 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.716625E 02 NODE DISPL. REACTIONS -0.150000E 02 1 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 2 0.179156E-01

```
ELEMENT
                         STRESSES
                                                         PL.STRAIN
            1 0.150000E 02 0.291562E-03
      TOTAL TIME = 0.903564E-01
CONVERGENCE CODE = 999 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.752456E 02
NODE DISPL. REACTIONS

        DISPL.
        REACTIONS

        1
        0.000000E
        00
        -0.150000E
        02

        2
        0.188114E-01
        0.000000E
        00

        ENT
        STRESSES
        PL.STRAIN

        1
        0.150000E
        02
        0.38114E-03

ELEMENT
       TOTAL TIME = 0.120753E 00
       CONVERGENCE CODE = 999 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.790079E 02

        DE
        DISPL.
        REACTIONS

        1
        0.000000E
        00
        -0.150000E
        02

        2
        0.197520E-01
        0.000000E
        00

        NT
        STRESSES
        PL.STRAIN

       NODE
ELEMENT
             1 0.150000E 02 0.475198E-03
       TOTAL TIME = 0.158390E 00
       CONVERGENCE CODE = 999NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.829583E 02NODEDISPL.REACTIONS10.0000000E 00-0.150000E 0220.207396E-010.000000E 00EMENTSTRESSESPL.STRAIN
ELEMENT
       1 0.150000E 02 0.573958E-03
TOTAL TIME = 0.207070E 00
       CONVERGENCE CODE = 999 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.871062E 02

        NODE
        DISPL.
        REACTIONS

        1
        0.000000E
        00
        -0.150000E
        02

        2
        0.217766E-01
        0.000000E
        00

        MENT
        STRESSES
        PL.STRAIN

ELEMENT
              1 0.150000E 02 0.677655E-03
       TOTAL TIME = 0.274627E 00
       CONVERGENCE CODE = 1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.865247E 02

        NODE
        DISPL.
        REACTIONS

        1
        0.000000E
        00
        -0.150000E
        02

        2
        0.228654E-01
        0.000000E
        00

        ELEMENT
        STRESSES
        PL.STRAIN

       1 0.150000E 02 0.786538E-03
TOTAL TIME = 0.375962E 00

      TOTAL TIME =
      0.3759022 00

      CONVERGENCE CODE =
      1

      NODE
      DISPL.

      REACTIONS

      1
      0.000000E 00

      2
      0.239469E-01

      0.000000E 00

      EMENT
      STRESSES

      PL.STRAIN

ELEMENT
              1 0.150000E 02 0.894694E-03
       TOTAL TIME = 0.527964E 00
       CONVERGENCE CODE = 1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.230485E 02
NODE DISPL. REACTIONS

        DDE
        DISPL.
        REACTIONS

        1
        0.000000E
        00
        -0.150000E
        02

        2
        0.247473E-01
        0.000000E
        00

        INT
        STRESSES
        PL.STRAIN

ELEMENT
              1 0.150000E 02 0.974728E-03
       TOTAL TIME = 0.755969E 00
CONVERGENCE CODE = 0 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.000000E 00
NODE DISPL. REACTIONS
1 0.000000E 00 -0.150000E 02
2 0.250354E-01 0.000000E 00
ELEMENT STRESSES PL.STRAIN
             1 0.150000E 02 0.100354E-02
```

#### APPENDIX IV

# A.4.4 Solution of elasto-plastic layered Timoshenko beam. Example of Section 5.5.6, Fig. 5.11

#### Input data

1–D 11	EP TII 10	MOSH 2	ENKO LAYE 1 17	RED BE	AM EXAMPLI 14 2	E, SE 2	CTION 5.5.6 6	, FIG. 5.11
ł	210 200 40 40 20	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	53	.8444 200.0 10.0 10.0	0.2	25000 20.0 40.0 40.0	1 1 20	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>1</b> 0	1234567890123456789011	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	11123456789022222222222222222		68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 0.30 0.20 0.10 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.0	0.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.0$		0.0 0.0 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000 68.85000	0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000

Line printer output

1-D EP TIMOSHENKO LAYERED BEAM EXAMPLE , SECTION 5.5.6 , FIG. 5.11 NPOIN = 11 NELÉM = 10 NBOUN = 2 NMATS = 1 NPROP = 17 NNODE = 2 NINCS = 14 NALGO =2 NDOFN = 2 NLAYR = 6 MATERIAL PROPERTIES 1 53,84440 210.00000 0.25000 0.00000 200.00000 200.00000 20.00000 10.00000 40.00000 10.00000 40.00000 10.00000 40.00000 10.00000 40.00000 200.00000 20.00000 NODES EL MAT. 2 1 1 1 2 2 3 1 345678 3 4 1 Ŭ, 5 1 5 6 1 6 7 1 7 8 8 1 9 1 9 9 10 1 10 10 11 1 NODE COORD. 0.00000 1 2 300.0000 3 600.00000 4 900.00000 5 1200.00000 6 1500.00000 7 1800.00000 8 2100.00000 9 2400.00000 10 2700.00000 11 3000.00000 RES.NODE CODE PRES.VALUES CODE PRES.VALUES 1 1 0.00000 1 0.00000 1 0.00000 11 1 0,00000 ELEMENT NODAL LOADS 0.00000 1 68.85000 68.85000 0.00000 2 68.85000 0.00000 68.85000 0.00000 3 68.85000 0.00000 68.85000 0.00000 4 68.85000 0.00000 68.85000 0.00000 5 68.85000 68.85000 0,00000 0.00000 6 68.85000 0.00000 68,85000 0.00000 7 68.85000 68.85000 0.00000 0.00000 68.85000 8 68.85000 0.00000 0.00000 9 68.85000 0.00000 68.85000 0.00000 68.85000 10 0.00000 68,85000 0.00000 TOLER = 0.500000E 00 IINCS = 1 NITER = 100 NOUTP = FACTO = 0.300000E 002 ITERATION NUMBER = 1 CONVERGENCE CODE = 0 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.113611E-07 NODE DISPLACEMENTS REACTIONS 1 0.000000E 00 -0.206550E 03 0.000000E 00 -0.102242E 06 2 0.342210E 00 0.000000E 00 0.156214E-02 0.000000E 00 0.972874E 00 3 0.000000E 00 0.208286E-02 0.000000E 00 ũ 0.161862E 01 0.000000E 00 0.182250E-02 0.000000E 00 5 0.208417E 01 0.000000E 00 0.104143E-02 0.000000E 00

6 7 8 9 10 11 ELEMENT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	0.225237E 0.208417E 0.161862E 0.972874E 0.342210E 0.000000E -0.743580E 0.123930E 0.371790E 0.495720E 0.495720E 0.495720E 0.371790E 0.371790E 0.247860E 0.123930E 0.247860E 0.123930E 0.123930E	01 0. 01 0. 01 0. 00 0. 00 -0. STRESSES 05 0.18589 05 0.18589 05 0.10327 05 0.61965 05 0.20655 05 -0.20655 05 -0.20655 05 -0.20655 05 -0.10327 05 -0.10327 05 -0.13589	000000E 00 000000E 00 000000E 00 000000E 00 206550E 03 25E 03 25E 03 25E 03 25E 03 25E 03 25E 03 25E 03 25E 03 25E 02 20E 02 25E 03 25E 03 25E 03 25E 03 25E 03 25E 03 25E 03	-0.255 -0.104 -0.182 -0.208 -0.156 0.0000	548E-12 143E-02 250E-02 286E-02 214E-02 000E 00	0.000000E 0.000000E 0.000000E 0.000000E 0.102242E	00 00 00 00 00 06	
				•				
	•		•	•				
	•	•		•				
		•		•				
IINCS ITER/ CONVE	S = 6 N ATION NUMBER ERGENCE CODE	ITER = 100 = 1 = 1 NO	D NOUTP =	2 DUAL SU	FACTO = M RATIO =	0.500000E-01	TOLER =	0.500000E 00
NODE	DISP	LACEMENTS		0.000	REACT	IONS		
1	0.000000E	00 -0 00 0	.550800E 03	0.000	000E 00 571E-02	-0,2/2040E 0.000000E	. 06 . 00	
3	0.259433E	01 0	.000000E 00	0.555	429E-02	0.000000E	: 00	
4	0.431631E	01 0	.000000E 00	0.486	000E-02	0.00000E	00	
6	0.600632E	01 0	.000000E 00	-0.645	258E-13	0.000000	00	
7	0.555778E	01 0	.000000E 00	-0.277	714E-02	0.00000E	00	
o g	0.259433E	01 0	.000000E 00	-0.400	429E-02	0.000000	2 00	
10	0.912561E	00 0	.000000E 00	-0.416	571E-02	0.000000	00	
11 FI EMENT	0.00000E	00 -0 STRESSES	.550800E 03	0,000	000E 00	0.2726465	06	
1	-0.189331E	06 0.4957	20E 03					
2	-0.660960E	05 0.38550	50E 03					
2 4	0.991440E	05 0.1652	40E 03					
5	0.132192E	06 0.5508	DOE 02					
б 7	0.132192E 0.991440E	00 - 0.55000 05 - 0.16520	JUE 02 40E 03					
8	0.330480E	05 -0.2754	DOE 03					
9	-0.660960E	05 -0.38551	50E 03					
ITER	ATION NUMBER	l = 2						
CONVI	ERGENCE CODE	$L = 0 N_{0}$	ORM OF RESI	DUAL SU	M RATIO =	0.210144E-08	}	
1	0.000000E	00 -0	.550800E 03	0.000	000E 00	-0.2651088	06	
2	0.100758E	01 0	.000000E 00	0.479	915E-02	0.000000	00	
3 4	0.205502E	01 0 01 0	.000000E 00	0.602	930e-02 672e-02	0.000001	E 00 E 00	
5	0.600911E	01 0	.000000E 00	0.293	550E-02	0.000000	00	
6 7	0.648140E	01 0	.000000E 00 .000000E 00	) -0.118 ) -0.203	097E-12 550E-02	0.000000	2 00 7 00	
8	0.469637E	01 0	.000000E 00	0.517	67 <b>25-</b> 02	0.000000	E 00	
9	0.285562E	01 0	.000000E 00	-0.602	936E-02	0.000008	E 00	

10 11	0.100758E 0.000000E	01 00 STP	0.000000E -0.550800E	00 03	-0.479915E-02 0.000000E 00	2 0.000000E 0.265108E	00 06
ELEMENT 1 2	-0.190750E -0.585581E	06 05	0.495720E 03 0.385560E 03				
3	0.405859E	05	0.275400E 03				
45	0.139730E	06	0.550800E 02				
6	0.139730E	06	-0.550800E 02				
8	0.405859E	05	-0.275400E 03				
9	-0.585581E	05	-0.385560E 03				
10	-0.190/50E	00	-0.495/20E 03				
	•	•					
		•	•		•		
		•	•		•		
	•	•	•		•		
TTNO	o 14 1	1	D 100 NOUT	<b>`</b>	2 54070	0 2000005 01	
ITER	S = II MATION NUMBER	אדו⊊ אדו⊊	R = 100  NOUT	=	2 FACIO	= 0.20000E-01	10LER = 0.00000E 00
CONVI	ERGENCE CODE	E =	1 NORM OF RE	ESII	DUAL SUM RATIC	0 = 0.149229E 01	
NODE 1	0.000000E	-LAC 00	-0.660960E	03	0.000000E 00	-0.287981E	06
2	0.486620E	01	0.000000E	00	0.301397E-01	0.000000E	00
3	0.143031E	02	0.00000E	00	0.309826E-01	0.000000E	00
4	0.235411E	02	0.000000E	00	0.293260E-01	0.000000E	00
2	0.3195505	02	0.000000E	00	0.2000328-01		00
7	0.319556E	02	0.00000E	00	-0.260032E-01	0.00000E	00
8	0.235411E	02	0.000000E	00	-0.293260E-01	0.000000E	00
9	0.143031E	02	0,00000E	00	-0.309826E-01	0.000000E	00
10	0.486620E	01	0.000000E	00	-0.301397E-01	0.00000E	00
11 EL ENCIT	0.000000E	00	-0.660960E	03	0.000000E 00	0.287981E	06
ELEMENI		06	5018672 US				
2	_0.401209E	05	0.462672F 03				
2	0.788519E	05	0.330480E 03				
ű.	0.158167E	06	0.198288E 03				
5	0.196000E	06	0.660960E 02				
6	0.196000E	06	-0.660960E 02				
7	0.158167E	06	-0.198288E 03				
8	0.788519E	05	-0.330480E 03				
	-0.401209E	05	-0.462672E 03				
IU TTER	-U. 190000E	00	-0.594004E U3				
CONVI	FRGENCE CODE	\ = } =	999 NORM OF RE	сsп	UAL SUM RATTO	$= 0.562938E_{10}$	
NODE	DISF		EMENTS	~~~	REA	CTIONS	
1	0.000000E	00	-0.656460E	03	0.000000E 00	-0.284525E	06
2	-0.227149E	80	0.00000E	00	-0.151432E 06	0.000000E	00
3	-0.681446E	08	0.00000E	00	-0.151432E 06	0.000000E	00
4	-0.113574E	09	0.00000E	00	-0.151432E 06	0.00000E	00
5	-0.159004E	09	0.00000E	00	-0.151432E 06	5 0.000000E	00
6	-0.163102E	09	0.000000E	00	0.124115E 06	0.000C00E	00
7	-0.126424E	09	0.000000E	00	0.120404E 06	0.000000E	00
8	-0.903028E	08	0.000000E	00	0.120404E 06	0.000000E	00
9	-U.54101/E	00 00	0.000000E	00	0.1204048 06	0.000000E	00
10	0.00000E			00	0.1204048 00 0.00000F 00	0.000000	06
ELEMENT	O LOUDOUE	STR	ESSES	νJ		0.204010E	
1	0.719122E	13	0.589934E 03				
2	-0.390314E	05	0.457742E 03				

3 0.784888E 05 0.327522E 03 4 0.156624E 06 0.197302E 03 -0.131161E 14 0.684992E 02 5 0.196000E 06 -0.616594E 02 6 7 0.156896E 06 -0.197302E 03 8 0.787157E 05 -0.325057E 03 9 -0.388044E 05 -0.458235E 03 10 0.573122E 13 -0.590427E 03 ITERATION NUMBER = -3 CONVERGENCE CODE = 999NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.247769E 12 NODE DISPLACEMENTS REACTIONS 0.131941E 14 0.000000E 00 0.386547E 11 0.000000E 00 1 2 -0.256689E 18 0.000000E 00 -0.171126E 16 0.000000E 00 3 -0.770066E 18 0.000000E 00 -0.171126E 16 0.000000E 00 4 -0.128344E 19 0.000000E 00 -0.171126E 16 0.000000E 00 5 -0.179682E 19 0.000000E 00 -0.171126E 16 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.897579E 16 0.000000E 00 6 -0.707142E 18 0.559323E 18 0.000000E 00 -0.532688E 15 7 0.000000E 00 8 0.399516E 18 0.000000E 00 -0.532688E 15 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 9 0.239710E 18 0.000000E 00 -0.532688E 15 10 0.799033E 17 0.000000E 00 -0.532688E 15 0.000000E 00 11 0.000000E 00 0.316249E 09 0.000000E 00 -0.594731E 13 STRESSES ELEMENT 0.719122E 13 -0.381105E 11 1 2 -0.195980E 06 -0.169380E 11 3 -0.195887E 06 -0.846899E 10 4 -0.195820E 06 0.197302E 03 5 -0.131161E 14 0.684992E 02 0.196000E 06 -0.616594E 02 6 0.196011E 06 0.148207E 11 7 0.195954E 06 0.211725E 10 8 0.195971E 06 0.635174E 10 9 10 -0.253560E 23 0.211725E 10 ITERATION NUMBER = 4 CONVERGENCE CODE = 999NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.576146E 14 NODE DISPLACEMENTS REACTIONS 0.000000E 00 0.386547E 11 1 0.000000E 00 0.131941E 14 0.000000E 00 0.538876E 25 2 0.808314E 27 0.000000E 00 0.808244E 27 0.000000E 00 -0.538923E 25 3 0.000000E 00 4 -0.940584E 28 0.000000E 00 -0.627047E 26 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 0.125402E 27 0.000000E 00 5 -0.116832E 25 0.000000E 00 -0.125349E 27 0.000000E 00 6 0.679753E 25 0.000000E 00 0.125040E 27 7 -0.395493E 26 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.123243E 27 0.000000E 00 8 0.230105E 27 9 -0.133880E 28 0.000000E 00 0.112783E 27 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.519290E 26 10 0.778935E 28 0.000000E 00 0.000000E 00 -0.198094E 21 0.000000E 00 11 0.507119E 23 ELEMENT STRESSES 1 -0.255902E 33 -0.381105E 11 2 -0.195980E 06 0.241990E 18 3 -0.195887E 06 -0.290992E 21 4 -0.195820E 06 0.197302E 03 5 0.119358E 35 -0.124894E 21 6 -0.119186E 35 -0.254618E 21 7 0.196011E 06 -0.109122E 21 8 0.195954E 06 0.109122E 21 9 0.195971E 06 0.145496E 21 10 -0.253560E 23 0.211725E 10

# A.4.5 Solution of two-dimensional elasto-plastic problem. Example of Section 7.9, Fig. 7.12

### Input data

2-D	) ELASTO-P	LASTIC	EXAM	PLE ,	SECT	ION 7.9	Э,	FIG 7.12
51	12 18	2	8	1	2	2	2	1 3
1	1 1	8	12	13	14	9	3	2
2	1 3	9	14	15	16	10	5	4
3	1 5			17	10	11	1	D 10
4	1 12	19	23	24	25	20	14	13
5	1 14	20	25	20	21	21	10	15
0	1 10	21	21	20	29	22	10	11~
1	1 23	<u>3</u> U 21	34	30	30	31	27	24
0	1 27	30	28	30	20	22	20	20
10	1 2/1	52 JI1	50 115	35 46	-10 117	22	29	20
11	1 36	. 41 ШЭ	ч) 117	<u>40</u> ДЯ	-т Ца		28	37
12	1 38	47	49	50	51	44	40	30 .
1	100.0	0.0	.,	20	27	70.0		121,243
2	96.592	25.8	32		28	36.23	4	135.230
3	86.602	50.0			29	0.0	•	140.0
<u>4</u>	70.710	70.7	10		30	155.0		0.0
5	50.0	86.6	02		31	134.23	4	77.5
ō	25.882	96.5	92		32	77.5		134.234
7	0.0	100.0			33	0.0		155.0
8	110.0	0.0			34	170.0		0.0
9	95.263	55.0			35	164.20	7	43.999
10	55.0	95.20	53		36	147.22	4	85.0
11	0.0	110.0			37	120.20	8	120.208
12	120.0	0.0			38	85.0		147.224
13	115.911	31.0	58		39	43.99	9	164.207
14	103.923	60.0			40	0.0		170.0
15	04.053	04.0	22		41	105.0	~	0.0
10-		103.97	-3		42	100.21	5	92.5
18	51.050	120.0	•		43 80	92.5		195 0
10	120.0	0.0			개역	200 0		105.0
20	112 582	65.0			45	103 18	5	51 764
21	65.0	112.5	<del>1</del> 2		47	173.20	5	100.0
22	0.0	130.0			48	141.42	1	141.421
27	140.0	0.0			· 49	100.0	•	173.205
24	135.230	36.2	₹4		50	51.76	4	193.185
25	121.243	70.0			51	0.0	-	200.0
26	98.995	98.99	<del>3</del> 5		-			
1	01		0.0		0.0			
7	10		0.0		0.0			
8	01		0.0		0.0			
11	10		0.0		0.0			
12	01		0.0		0.0			
18	10		0.0		0.0			
19	01		0.0		0.0			
22	10		0.0		0.0			
23	01		0.0		0.0			
29	10		0.0		0.0			
<u>5</u> 0	10		0.0		0.0			
22	10		0.0		0.0			
40	10		0.0		0.0			
41	10		0.0		0.0			
44	10		0.0		0.0			
45	01		0.0		0.0	:		
51	10		0.0		0.0			

` <b>1</b>										
21000.0		0.3		0.0	}	0.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	-0-0
INIERNA	L_FF	IESSORE	~							
_0_	0	1								
3. ^	/*_`-	÷								
1	3	2	1							
20.0	-	0.0		20.0		0.0	20.0	0.0		
2	5	<u>Ц</u>	3			•••	2010	010		
			2	20.0		0.0	20.0	<u> </u>		
20.0	-	0.0	~	20.0		0.0	20.0	0.0		
5	7	6	5							
20.0		0.0		20.0		0.0	20.0	0.0/		
0.7		1.0		<b>530</b>	3	3				
					-	-				

### Line printer output

2-D EL NPOIN = NMATS = NCRIT = ELEMENT	ASTO-PLAS 51 N 1 N 2 N PROPERT	TIC EXAMPL ELEM = 12 GAUS = 2 INCS = 1 Y NOD	E, ENU	SECTIO NVFIX NEVAB NSTRE IMBERS	N 7. = = =	9 , FI 18 16 3	G 7.1 NTYP NALG	2 E = O =	2   2	NNODE =	8
1	1	1	8	12	13	14	9	3	2		
2	1	3	9	14	15	16	10	5	4		
3	1	5	10	10	17	10	20	1 1 h	0		
4	1	12	19	25	24	20	20	14	15		
2 6	1	14	20	25	20	29	22	18	17		
7	1	23	30	34	35	36	31	25	24		
8	1	25	31	36	37	38	32	27	26		
9	1	27	32	38	39	40	33	29	28		
10	1	34	41	45	46	47	42	36	35		
11	1	36	42	47	48	49	43	38	37		
12	1	38	43	49	50	51	44	40	39		
NODE	X	1 000 I				27	70	000	101 043	<b>,</b>	
2	06 502	25 882				28	36	271	121.24	י ו	
3	86,602	50,000				29	0.	000	140.000	)	
4	70.710	70.710				30	155.	000	0.000	).	
5	50.000	86.602				31	134.	234	77.500	)	
6	25.882	96.592				32	77.	500	134.234	ł	
7	0.000	100.000				33	0.	000	155.000	)	
8	110.000	0.000				34	170.	000			
9 10	95.203 55.000	05 263				20	104.	201 2211	40-993 85 000	\$ \	
11	0.000	110.000				30	120.	208	120.208	3	
12	120.000	0.000				38	85.	000	147.224	ļ	
13	115.911	31.058				39	43.	999	164.207	7	
14	103.923	60.000				40	0.	000	170.000	)	
15	84.853	84.853				41	185.	000	0.000	)	
10	60.000	103.923		-		42	160.	215	92.500	)	
18	31.050	120 000				43 101	92.0	500	185 000	) \	
10	130,000	0.000				44	200.1	000	000.00	)	
20	112.583	65.000				46	193.	185	51.764	ļ	
21	65.000	112.583				47	173.	205	100.000	)	
22	0.000	130.000				48	141.	421	141.421	l	
23	140.000	0.000				49	100.	000	173.205	5	
24	135.230	30-234				50 151	51.	704 000	193.185	) \	
25	98.995	98.995				וני	v.,		200.000	,	

NODE	CODE	FIXED VALUE	LS											
1	1	0.000000	0.000000											
7	10	0.000000	0.000000											
8	1	0.000000	0.000000											
11	10	0.00000	0.000000											
12	1	0.00000	0.000000											
18	10	0.00000	0.000000											
19	1	0.00000	0.000000											
22	10	0.00000	0.000000											
23	1	0.00000	0.000000											
29	10	0.00000	0.00000											
30	1	0.000000	0.000000											
33	10	0.000000	0.000000											
34	1	0.000000	0.000000											
40	10	0.00000	0.000000											
41	1	0.00000	0.00000											
44	10	0.00000	0.000000											
45	1	0.00000	0.000000											
51	10	0.00000	0.000000											
NUMBER	ELEMENT	PROPERTIES												
1	0.210000E	05 0.300000	DE 00 0.000	000E	00 0.0	00000	E 00 0	.2400	000E 02	0.00	00000E 00	) ()	.000000E	00
MAXIMUM F	RONTWIDTH	ENCOUNTERED	= 24											
INTERNAL	PRESSURE													
0 0	1													
NO.	OF LOADED	EDGES = 3	3											
LIST	OF LOADED	EDGES AND A	APPLIED LOAD	S										
. 1	3	2 1												
20.000	0.000	20.000	0.000	20.0	000	0.000	)							
2	5	5 4 3												
20.000	0.000	20.000	0.000	20.0	000	0.000	)							
3	7	65												
20.000	0.000	20.000	0.000	20.	000	0.000	)							
TOT	AL NODAL F	ORCES FOR E	ACH ELEMENT											
1	0.1784E	03 0.7800E	00 0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00
	0.0000E	00 0.0000E	00 0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.1549E	03	0.8854E	02	0.6667E	03	0.1786E	03
2	0.1541E	03 0.8989E	02 0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00
	0.0000E	00 0.0000E	00 0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.8989E	02	0.1541E	03	0.4880E	03	0.4880E	03
3	0.8854E	02 0.1549E	03 0.0000E	00	0.00008	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00
	0.0000E	00 0.0000E	00 0.0000E	00	0.00008	00	0.7800E	00	0.1784E	03	0.1786E	03	0.6667E	03
4	0.0000E	00 0.0000E	00 0.0000E	00	0.00008	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00	0.0000E	00

	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
5	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
6	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
7	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
8	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
9	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
10	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
11	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
12	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
INCRE	MENT NUMBER	1						
LOAD	FACTOR = 0	.70000	CONVERGENCE	TOLERANCE =	1.00000	MAX. NO. O	F ITERATIONS	= 30
INITIAL OU	TPUT PARAMETE	ER = 3	FINAL OUTF	PUT PARAMETE	R = 3			
CONVERG	ENCE CODE =	1 NORM OI	F RESIDUAL S	SUM RATIO =	0.336960E 02	MAXIMUM R	ESIDUAL = O	.155988E 03
DISPL	ACEMENTS							
NODE	X-DISP.	Y-DI	SP.				_	
1	0.127198E 00	0.000000E	00	18	0.000000E 00	0.110185E 0	0	
2	0.122734E 00	0.328877E	-01	19	0.103925E 00	0.000000E 0	0	
3	0.110156E 00	) 0.636002E	-01	20	0.900022E-01	0.519632E-0	1	
4	0.898486E-01	0.898486E	-01	21	0.519632E-01	0.900022E-0	1	
5	0.030002E-01	0.110156E	00	22	0.000000E 00	0.103925E 0		
o 7	0.3288/7E-01	0.122734E	00	23	0.987474E-01	0.000000E 0	0	
(	0.000000E 00	) 0.12/198E	00	24	0.9533035-01	0.255449E-0	1	
0	0.1177958 00		00	25	0.0551002-01	0.493(45E-0	1	
10		J 0.500904E-	-01	20	0.09/9158-01	0.09/9158-0	1	
10	0.0000000	0.1020145	00	21	0.493/435-01	0.0531006-0	1	
12	0.000000E 00		00	20	0.2004496-01		•	
12	0 106206F 00		00	29	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.9014/45-0		
ر i ۲	0.100390E 00	/ U.2000/E.	-01	3U 21	0.924/306-01	0.000000E 0	1	
14	0.9042020-01	0.0004210-	-01	21	0.00002E-01	0.4023196-0	1	
10	0 778876F A1	I <u>07788755</u>	.01	20 1	0 N62270F 01	0 8008625 0		
14	0.778875E-01	0.778875E-	-01	32	0.462379E-01	0.800863E-0	1	
16	0.778875E-01 0.550931E-01	0.778875E- 0.954232E-	-01 -01	32 33	0.462379E-01 0.000000E 00 0.876##55.01	0.800863E-0 0.924750E-0	1 1 2	

	35       0.846176E         36       0.759029E         37       0.619449E         38       0.438226E         39       0.226732E         40       0.000000E         41       0.838477E         42       0.726148E         43       0.419240E         44       0.000000E         45       0.808966E         46       0.781269E         47       0.700591E         48       0.571933E         49       0.404483E         50       0.209340E         51       0.000000E	2-01         0.226732           2-01         0.438226           2-01         0.619449           2-01         0.759029           2-01         0.846176           2-01         0.876445           2-01         0.000000           2-01         0.419240           2-01         0.726148           2-01         0.209340           2-01         0.209340           2-01         0.209340           2-01         0.70591           2-01         0.70591           2-01         0.70591           2-01         0.70591           2-01         0.808966	E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01 E=01	REACTIONS NODE 1 0.000 7 -0.761 8 0.000 11 -0.269 12 0.000 18 -0.116 19 0.000 22 -0.210 23 0.000 29 -0.117 30 0.000 33 -0.250 34 0.000 40 -0.110 41 0.000 44 -0.203 45 0.000	X-REAC. 0000E 00 -0.767 1999E 02 0.000 0000E 00 -0.269 9921E 03 0.000 0000E 00 -0.116 5327E 03 0.000 0000E 00 -0.210 0000E 00 -0.210 0000E 00 -0.117 156E 03 0.000 0000E 00 -0.250 0153E 03 0.000 0000E 00 -0.250 0153E 03 0.000 0000E 00 -0.250 0153E 03 0.000 0000E 00 -0.250 0153E 03 0.000 0000E 00 -0.203 3189E 03 0.000 0000E 00 -0.203 0000E 0	Y-REAC. 1999E 02 0000E 00 9921E 03 0000E 00 5327E 03 0000E 00 0260E 03 0000E 00 7156E 03 0000E 00 0153E 03 0000E 00 0283E 03 0000E 00 0283E 03 0000E 00 0189E 03 0000E 00 0189E 03 0000E 00 0189E 03 0000E 00 0000E 0		
G.P.	. XX-STRESS	YY-STRESS	XY-STRESS	ZZ-STRESS	MAX P.S.	MIN P.S.	ANGLE	E.P.S.
	ELEMENT NO. =	1						
1	-0.893805E 01	0.180284E 02	-0.307422E 01	0.304329E 01	0.183744E 02	-0.928408E 01	6.422	0.240602E-03
2	-0.485865E 01	0.139487E 02	-0.101400E 02	0.304318E 01	0.183743E 02	-0.928420E 01	23.579	0.240580E-03
5	-0.880961E 01	0.181337E 02	-0.3061255 01	0.280970E 01	0.184771E 02	-0.915305E 01	0.401	0.770100E-05
4	-U.4(2510E UI	0.1404076 02	-0.101302E U2	0.2009536 01	U. 104100E UZ	-0.9153346 01	23-099	0.7704302-05
1	$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	C 8622055 01	0 1221205 02	0 20/12005 01	0 1827205 02	0 028/615 01	26 1122	0 2105685-02
2	0.862395E 01	0.465341E 00	-0.132139E 02	0.304290E 01	0.183739E 02	-0.928461E 01	-36.422	0.240568E-03
3	0.5771078 00	0 8746455 01	_0 131074F 02	0 2800525 01	0 18U760F 02	_0 915330F 01	36 401	0 768210E-05
	0.874645E 01	0.577107E 00	-0.131974E 02	0.280952E 01	0.184769E 02	-0.915330E 01	-36.401	0.768219E-05
•	ELEMENT NO. =	3	0110101	012009922 01	01101,000	000,000,000		011002194 09
1	0.139487E 02	-0.485865E 01	-0.101400E 02	0.304318E 01	0.183743E 02	-0.928420E 01	-23.579	0.240580E-03
2	0.180284E 02	-0.893805E 01	-0.307422E 01	0.304329E 01	0.183744E 02	-0.928408E 01	-6.422	0.240602E-03
3	0.140487E 02	-0.472518E 01	-0.101362E 02	0.280953E 01	0.184768E 02	-0.915334E 01	-23.599	0.770431E-05
4	0.181337E 02	-0.880961E 01	-0.306125E 01	0.280970E 01	0.184771E 02	-0.915305E 01	-6.401	0.770100E-05
	ELEMENT NO. =	4						
1	-0.713097E 01	0.164644E 02	-0.267828E 01	0.280004E 01	0.167646E 02	-0.743116E 01	6.395	0.000000E 00
2	-0.355180E 01	0.128851E 02	-0.887785E 01	0.280000E 01	0.167646E 02	-0.743124E 01	23.604	0.000000E 00
3	-0.520488E 01	0.1453838 02	-0.224680E 01	0.280002E 01	0.147907E 02	-0.545735E 01	5.411	0.00000E 00

.

.

4	-0.221523E 01	0.115483E 02	-0.742551E 01	0.279991E 01	0.147906E 02 -0.545755E 01 23.588	0.000000E 00
	ELEMENT NO. =	5				
1	0.108723E 01	0.824570E 01	-0.115562E 02	0.279988E 01	0.167643E 02 -0.743133E 01 36.395	0.000000E 00
2	0.824570E 01	0.108723E 01	-0.115562E 02	0.279988E 01	0.167643E 02 -0.743133E 01 -36.395	0.000000E 00
3	0.167670E 01	0.765648E 01	-0.967249E 01	0.279995E 01	0.147906E 02 -0.545747E 01 36.411	0.000000E 00
4	0.765648E 01	0.167670E 01	-0.967249E 01	0.279995E 01	0.147906E 02 -0.545747E 01 -36.411	0.000000E 00
	ELEMENT NO. =	6				
1	0.128851E 02	-0.355180E 01	-0.887785E 01	0.280000E 01	0.167646E 02 -0.743124E 01 -23.604	0.000000E 00
2	0.164644E 02	-0.713097E 01	-0.267828E 01	0.280004E 01	0.167646E 02 -0.743116E 01 -6.395	0.000000E 00
3	0.115483E 02	-0.221523E 01	-0.742551E 01	0.279991E 01	0.147906E 02 -0.545755E 01 -23.588	0.000000E 00
4	0.145383E 02	-0.520488E 01	-0.224680E 01	0.280002E 01	0.147907E 02 -0.545735E 01 -6.411	0.000000E 00
	ELEMENT NO. =	7				
1	-0.383616E 01	0.131694E 02	-0.193148E 01	0.279998E 01	0.133861E 02 -0.405278E 01 6.399	0.000000E 00
2	-0.125760E 01	0.105909E 02	-0.639778E 01	0.279999E 01	0.133861E 02 -0.405277E 01 23.600	0.000000E 00
3	-0.212632E 01	0.114596E 02	-0.154577E 01	0.279997E 01	0.116332E 02 -0.229997E 01 6.410	0.000000E 00
-ŭ	-0.686952E-01	0.940184E 01	-0.510990E 01	0.279994E 01	0.116332E 02 -0.230005E 01 23.590	0.000000E 00
	ELEMENT NO. =	8				
1	0.208787E 01	0.724522E 01	-0.832942E 01	0.279993E 01	0.133860E 02 -0.405291E 01 36.399	0.000000E 00
2	0.724522E 01	0.208787E 01	-0.832942E 01	0.279993E 01	0.133860E 02 -0.405291E 01 -36.399	0.000000E 00
3	0.260888E 01	0.672438E 01	-0.665579E 01	0.279998E 01	0.116333E 02 -0.229999E 01 36.410	0.000000E 00
Ĩ	0.672438E 01	0.260888E 01	-0.665579E 01	0.279998E 01	0.116333E 02 -0.229999E 01 -36.410	0.000000E 00
•	ELEMENT NO -	я я				
1	0.105909E 02	-0.125760E 01	-0.639778E 01	0.279999E 01	0.133861E 02 -0.405277E 01 -23.600	0.000000E 00
Ż	0.131694E 02	-0.383616E 01	-0.193148E 01	0.279998E 01	0.133861E 02 -0.405278E 01 -6.399	0.000000E 00
3	0.940184E 01	-0.686952E-01	-0.510990E 01	0.279994E 01	0.116332E 02 -0.230005E 01 -23.590	0.000000E 00
ŭ	0.114596E 02	-0.212632E 01	-0.154577E 01	0.279997E 01	0.116332E 02 -0.229997E 01 -6.410	0.000000E 00
·	ELEMENT NO. =	10		,		
1	_0.118841E_01	0.105216E 02	-0.132981E 01	0.279995E 01	0.106707E 02 -0.133753E 01 6.398	0.000000E 00
ż	0.587478E 00	0.874580E 01	-0.4405648 01	0.279998E 01	0.106707E 02 -0.133746E 01 23.602	0.000000E 00
3	-0.186150E 00	0.951929E 01	-0.110110E 01	0.279994E 01	0.964264E 01 -0.309504E 00 6.392	0.000000E 00
4	0.128661E 01	0.804648E 01	-0.365206E 01	0.279993E 01	0.964263E 01 -0.309548E 00 23.608	0.00000E 00
•	ELEMENT NO. =	11				
1	0.289070E 01	0.644254E 01	-0.573552E 01	0.279997E 01	0.106708E 02 -0.133755E 01 36.398	0.000000E 00
2	0.644254E 01	0.289070E 01	-0.573552E 01	0.279997E 01	0.106708E 02 -0.133755E 01 -36.398	0.00000E 00
3	0.319390E 01	0.613950E 01	-0.475323E 01	0.280002E 01	0.964288E 01 -0.309476E 00 36.392	0.000000E 00
ŭ	0.613950E 01	0.319390E 01	-0.475323E 01	0.280002E 01	0.964288E 01 -0.309476E 00 -36.392	0.000000E 00
•	FLEMENT NO	12		01200022 01		
1	0.874580F 01	0.587478F 00	-0.440564E 01	0.279998E 01	0.106707E 02 -0.133746E 01 -23-602	0.00000E 00
2	0 105216E 02	-0.118841E 01	0 132981E 01	0.279995E 01	0.106707E 02 -0.133753E 01 -6.398	0.000000E 00
২	0.8046485 01	0.128661F 01	-0.365206E 01	0.279993E 01	0.964263E 01 -0.309548E 00 -23.608	0.00000E 00
-						

CONVERGENCE CODE =1NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO =0.118830E02MAXIMUM RESIDUAL =0.416687E02CONVERGENCE CODE =1NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO =0.556571E01MAXIMUM RESIDUAL =0.222848E02CONVERGENCE CODE =1NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO =0.297375E01MAXIMUM RESIDUAL =0.127533E02CONVERGENCE CODE =1NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO =0.165985E01MAXIMUM RESIDUAL =0.728396E01	
CONVERGENCE CODE =1NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO =0.556571E 01MAXIMUM RESIDUAL =0.222848E 02CONVERGENCE CODE =1NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO =0.297375E 01MAXIMUM RESIDUAL =0.127533E 02CONVERGENCE CODE =1NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO =0.165985E 01MAXIMUM RESIDUAL =0.728396E 01	
CONVERGENCE CODE = 1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.297375E 01 MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.127533E 02 CONVERGENCE CODE = 1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.165985E 01 MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.728396E 01	
CONVERGENCE CODE = 1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.165985E 01 MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.728396E 01	
CONVERCENCE CODE - O NORM OF RESTAULAL SUM RATIO - O Q3Q223E OO MAXIMUM RESIDUAL - O 415713E O1	
DISPLACEMENTS	
2 0 134201F 00 0 350600F 01 38 0 $470796F 01 0 815401F 01$	
3 0 120482F 00 0 695626F 01 39 0 243581F 01 0 900056F 01	
$\mu = 0.082 \mu_{2} R_{-01} = 0.082 \mu_{2} R_{-01} = 0.0000 \mu_{01} = 0.00000 \mu_{01} = 0.00000 \mu_{01} = 0.00000 \mu_{01} = 0.00000 \mu_{01} = 0.000000 \mu_{01} = 0.000000 \mu_{01} = 0.000000 \mu_{01} = 0.000000 \mu_{01} = 0.0000000 \mu_{01} = 0.0000000 \mu_{01} = 0.0000000 \mu_{01} = 0.00000000 \mu_{01} = 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	
4 0.9024202-01 0.9024202-01 $5 0.695626F_01 0.120482F 00 41 0.900786F_01 0.000000F 00$	
$6 0.359609F_01 0.134201F_00 $ 42 0.780114E_01 0.450397F_01	
7 0.000000F 00 0.139121E 00 43 0.450397E-01 0.780114E-01	
8 0.127126E 00 0.000000E 00 44 0.000000E 00 0.900786E_01	
9 0.110094E 00 0.635643E-01 45 0.869080E-01 0.000000E 00	
10 0.635643E_01 0.110094E 00 46 0.839328E_01 0.224896E_01	
11 0.000000E 00 0.127126E 00 47 0.752657E-01 0.434542E-01	
12 0.118379E 00 0.000000E 00 48 0.614439E-01 0.614439E-01	
13 0.114299E 00 0.306268E-01 49 0.434542E-01 0.752657E-01	
14 0.102520E 00 0.591908E-01 50 0.224896E-01 0.839328E-01	
15 0.836738E-01 0.836738E-01 51 0.000000E 00 0.869080E-01	
16 0.591908E-01 0.102520E 00 REACTIONS	
17 0.306268E-01 0.114299E 00 NODE X-REAC. Y-REAC.	
18 0.000000E 00 0.118379E 00 1 0.000000E 00 -0.464276E 02	
19 0.111650E 00 0.000000E 00 7 -0.464276E 02 0.000000E 00	
20 0.966928E-01 0.558264E-01 8 0.000000E 00 -0.220459E 03	
21 0.558264E-01 0.966928E-01 11 -0.220459E 03 0.000000E 00	
22 0.000000E 00 0.111650E 00 12 0.000000E 00 -0.125854E 03	
23 0.106084E 00 0.000000E 00 18 -0.125854E 03 0.000000E 00	
24 0.102421E 00 0.274436E-01 19 0.000000E 00 -0.225928E 03	
25 0.918730E-01 0.530435E-01 22 -0.225928E 03 0.000000E 00	
26 0.749788E-01 0.749788E-01 23 0.000000E 00 -0.125859E 03	
27 0.530435E-01 0.918730E-01 29 -0.125859E 03 0.000000E 00	
28 0.274436E-01 0.102421E 00 30 0.000000E 00 -0.268735E 03	
29' 0.000000E 00 0.106084E 00 33 -0.268735E 03 0.000000E 00	
30 0.993465E-01 0.000000E 00 34 0.000000E 00 -0.118479E 03	
31 0.860377E-01 0.496741E-01 40 -0.118479E 03 0.000000E 00	
32 0.496741E-01 0.860377E-01 41 0.000000E 00 -0.218290E 03	

	33 0.000000E	00 0.9934651	E-01	44 -0.	218290E 03 0	.000000E 00		
	34 0.941578E	-01 0.000000I	E 00	45 0.	000000E 00 -0.	499673E 02		
	35 0.909056E	-01 0.2435811	E-01	51 -0.	499673E 02 0.	000000E 00		
	36 0.815441E	-01 0.4707961	E-01					
G.P.	XX-STRESS	YY_STRESS	S XY-STRESS	ZZ-STRESS	MAX P.S.	MIN P.S.	ANGLE	E.P.S.
	ELEMENT NO. =	1						
1	-0.123717E 02	0.146473E 02	2 -0.308107E 01	0.117112E 01	0.149941E 02	-0.127186E 02	6.424	0.451304E-03
2	-0.828491E 01	0.105605E 02	2 -0.101593E 02	0.117110E 01	0.149942E 02	-0.127186E 02	23.577	0.451255E-03
3	-0.948121E 01	0.174939E 02	2 -0.306568E 01	0.257060E 01	0.178380E 02	-0.982523E 01	6.403	0.108534E-03
4	-0.539247E 01	0.134047E 02	2 -0.101479E 02	0.257044E 01	0.178377E 02	-0.982547E 01	23.598	0.108528E-03
	ELEMENT NO. =	2						
1	-0.294888E 01	0.522409E 01	-0.132401E 02	0.117090E 01	0.149940E 02	-0.127188E 02	36.424	0.451200E-03
2	0.522409E 01	-0.294888E 01	-0.132401E 02	0.117090E 01	0.149940E 02	-0.127188E 02	-36.424	0.451200E-03
3	-0.825393E-01	0.809511E 01	-0.132134E 02	0.257046E 01	0.178379E 02	-0.982530E 01	36.403	0.108473E-03
4	0.809511E 01	-0.825394E-01	-0.132134E 02	0.257046E 01	0.178379E 02	-0.982530E 01	-36.403	0.108473E-03
	ELEMENT NO. =	3	-					
1	0.105605E 02	-0.828491E 01	-0.101593E 02	0.117110E 01	0.149942E 02	-0.127186E 02	-23.577	0.451255E-03
2	0.146473E 02	-0.123717E 02	-0.308107E 01	0.117112E 01	0.149941E 02	-0.127186E 02	-6.424	0.451304E-03
3	0.134047E 02	-0.539247E 01	-0.101479E 02	0.257044E 01	0.178377E 02	-0.982547E 01	-23.598	0.108528E-03
4	0.174939E 02	-0.948121E 01	-0 306568E 01	0.257060E 01	0.178380E 02	-0.982523E 01	-6.403	0.108534E-03
	ELEMENT NO =	4		<del>-</del> ·			-	
1	-0.766058E 01	0.176878E 02	-0.287878E 01	0.300817E 01	0.180106E 02	-0.798341E 01	6.398	0.000000E 00
ž	-0.381672E 01	0.138438E 02	-0.953667E 01	0.300813E 01	0.180105E 02	-0.798344E 01	23.601	0.000000E 00
3	-0.559170E 01	0.156189E 02	-0.241350E 01	0.300815E 01	0.158900E 02	-0.586286E 01	6.410	0.000000E 00
4	-0.237967F 01	0.124063E 02	-0 797755F 01	0.300798E 01	0.158898E 02	-0.586315E 01	23,589	0.000000E 00
	ELEMENT NO	5.12-00JB 0E		01,000,002,01	01.900902 02			
1	0.116933E 01	0.885683E 01	-0.124153E 02	0.300785E 01	0.180098E 02	-0.798366E 01	36.399	0.000000E 00
2	0.885683E 01	0.116933E 01	-0.124153E 02	0.300785E 01	0.180098E 02	-0.798366E 01	-36.399	0.000000E 00
7	0.1800985 01	0.822568F 01	_0 103912F 02	0.300800F 01	0.158897E 02	-0.586305E 01	36.411	0.000000E 00
ŭ	0.8225685 01	0.180098F 01	_0 103912F 02	0.300800E 01	0.158897E 02	-0.586305E 01	-36.411	0.000000E 00
	FLEMENT NO	6		0.3000002 0.		0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	3	
1	0.1384385 02	_0 381672F 01	-0 953667F 01	0.300813E 01	0.180105E 02	-0.798344E 01	-23,601	0.00000E 00
2	0.176878F 02	_0 766058F 01	-0.287878E 01	0.300817E 01	0.180106E 02	-0.798341E 01	-6.398	0.000000E 00
3	0 1240635 02	-0.700050E 01	_0 707755F 01	0 300708F 01	0 1588985 02	_0 586316F 01	-23 580	0 0000005 00
ц	0 156189F 02	-0.550170F 01	-0.211350F 01	0.300815E 01	0.158000F 02	_0 586286F 01	-6 410	0.000000E 00
	FIFMENT NO -	7			011909000 02	-0,002002 01	-0.410	0.000001 00
1		- 10101825 02	0 207/1785 01	0 2008005 01	A 112800F 02	-0 JI252025 01	6 208	0 0000005 00
5	-0.41250885 01	0.1414028 02 0.1137788 02	-0.20/4/06 01	0.300009E 01	0.1430096 02 0.143800F 02	-0.4353636 01	22 601	
2		0.1021105 02			0.1730096 02 0.1310776 03		6 JH10	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
5	-0.2204312 U1	0,123112E U2		0.3000002 01	0,1249/10 UZ	-U.241000E UI	0.410	0.0000002 00
4	-0.1300306-01	0.1010008 02		0.3000028 01	U.1249//E U2	-U.24(UYOL UI	23.009	0.000005 00

	ELEMENI NU. =	8				
1	0.224272E 01	0.778385E 01	-0.894834E 01	0.300797E 01	0.143807E 02 -0.435415E 01 36.398	3 0.000000E 00
2	0.778385E 01	0.224272E 01	-0.894834E 01	0.300797E 01	0.143807E 02 -0.435415E 01 -36.398	3 0.000000E 00
3	0.280277E 01	0.722406E 01	-0.715043E 01	0.300805E 01	0.124978E 02 -0.247095E 01 36.410	0.000000E 00
-4	0.722406E 01	0.280277E 01	-0.715043E 01	0.300805E 01	0.124978E 02 -0.247095E 01 -36.410	0.000000E 00
	ELEMENT NO. =	9				
1	0.113778E 02	-0.135088E 01	-0.687337E 01	0.300808E 01	0.143809E 02 -0.435393E 01 -23.601	0.000000E 00
2	0.141482E 02	-0.412127E 01	-0.207478E 01	0.300809E 01	0.143809E 02 -0.435393E 01 -6.398	3 0.000000E 00
3	0.101006E 02	-0.738630E-01	-0.548958E 01	0.300802E 01	0.124977E 02 -0.247098E 01 -23.589	9 0.000000E 00
-4	0.123112E 02	-0.228431E 01	-0.166069E 01	0.300806E 01	0.124977E 02 -0.247088E 01 -6.410	0.000000E 00
	ELEMENT NO. =	10				
1	-0.127671E 01	0.113035E 02	-0.142867E 01	0.300803E 01	0.114637E 02 -0.143691E 01 6.398	3 0.000000E 00
2	0.631079E 00	0.939580E 01	-0.473299E 01	0.300806E 01	0.114637E 02 -0.143686E 01 23.601	0.000000E 00
3	-0.199987E 00	0.102267E 02	-0.118290E 01	0.300800E 01	0.103592E 02 -0.332502E 00 6.392	2 0.000000E 00
-4	0.138223E 01	0.864445E 01	-0.392346E 01	0.300800E 01	0.103592E 02 -0.332548E 00 23.608	3 0.000000E 00
	ELEMENT NO. =	11				
1	0.310555E 01	0.692130E 01	-0.616178E 01	0.300805E 01	0.114638E 02 -0.143697E 01 36.398	3 0.000000E 00
2	0.692130E 01	0.310555E 01	-0.616178E 01	0.300805E 01	0.114638E 02 -0.143697E 01 -36.398	3 0.000000E 00
3	0.343125E 01	0.659581E 01	-0.510649E 01	0.300812E 01	0.103595E 02 -0.332480E 00 36.392	2 0.000000E 00
4	0.659581E 01	0.343125E 01	-0.510649E 01	0.300812E 01	0.103595E 02 -0.332480E 00 -36.392	2 0.000000E 00
	ELEMENT NO. =	12				
1	0.939580E 01	0.631079E 00	-0.473299E 01	0.300806E 01	0.114637E 02 -0.143686E 01 -23.601	0.000000E 00
2	0.113035E 02	-0.127671E 01	-0.142867E 01	0.300803E 01	0.114637E 02 -0.143691E 01 -6.398	3 0.000000E 00
3	0.864445E 01	0.138223E 01	-0.392346E 01	0.300800E 01	0.103592E 02 -0.332548E 00 -23.608	3 0.000000E 00
4	0.102267E 02	-0.199987E 00	-0.118290E 01	0.300800E 01	0.103592E 02 -0.332502E 00 -6.392	2 0.000000E 00

# A.4.6 Solution of two-dimensional elasto-viscoplastic problem. Example of Section 8.16, Fig. 8.10

#### Input data

^

567890112123456789011234557890112345587890122222256	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ $	14         16         225         34         36         37         10         11         36         11         36         11         36         11         36         11         37         11         37	20 21 30 31 32 42 43 0.0 5.882 0.710 6.592 0.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 1.058 0.0 1.058 0.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	25 27 36 38 47 49	26 28 35 37 39 48 50	279688079178901233456789012434567890155	21 22 31 32 33 42 43 44 70.0 36.2 0.0 155.0 134.2 77.5 0.0 155.0 134.2 77.5 0.0 164.2 170.0 164.2 120.2 85.0 0.0 165.0 164.2 170.0 200.0 185.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 164.2 100.0 105.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	16 18 27 36 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ 17\\ 24\\ 26\\ 28\\ 35\\ 37\\ 39\\ 121.243\\ 135.230\\ 140.0\\ 0.0\\ 77.5\\ 134.234\\ 155.0\\ 0.0\\ 43.999\\ 85.0\\ 120.208\\ 147.224\\ 164.207\\ 170.0\\ 0.0\\ 92.5\\ 160.215\\ 185.0\\ 0.0\\ 51.764\\ 100.0\\ 141.421\\ 173.205\\ 193.185\\ 200.0\\ \end{array}$
24 25 26	135.230 121.243 98.995	3 7 9	6.234 0.0 8.995			50 51	51.7 0.0	/64 )	193.185 200.0
1 1 1	1 7 8 1 2 8	01 10 01 10 01 10		0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	D D O O O O		

	0.001				Ø
	0.0				8.10 NNODE =
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.16 * FIG. TYPE = FIG. MLG0 = 2 9 3 2 2 14 5 6 4 2 16 14 3 16 15 16 14 18 13 18 14 18 14 18 14 18 14 18 14 18 14 18 14 18 14 18 14 18 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14
	24.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	SECTION 3 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 1.5	EXAMPLE , NVFIX = , NVFIX = , NETRE = , 12 13 12 13 12 13 12 13 24 13 25 26 27 28 27 28
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.0	20.0	20.0	20.0 0.1 50 ì0	SCOPLASTIC S = 12 S = 2 NODE N 12 12 19 16 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
252525252525	0.3 1.0 RESSURE	20.0 1005	n u 0°0	0.0 0.05 0.1	er output LASTO - VIS 1 NELEN 2 NINCS PROPERTY
ల్ల బ్రబ్ జల్లు జాంది బాంది	21000.0 1.0 INTERNAL P	и м 50-0 50-1 п	50.0 20.0	20.0 0.0	Line print 2-D E NPOIN = 5 NMATS = 5 NMATS = 5 NMATS = 5 1 2 4 4 5 5 6

#### FINITE ELEMENTS IN PLASTICITY

7	1	23 3	0 34	35	36	31	25	24
Ö Ö	1	25 3	1 36	37	38	32	27	26
9 10	1	21 3	2 30 1 //E	39 116	40 117	55	29	20
11	1	36 11	т <del>1</del> 0 2 Ш7	40 ДВ	47 110	42	20 28	32 27
12	1	38 4	3 49	50	51	44	40	20
NODE	Х	Ŷ		2.	-	• •		
1	100.000	0.000		27	70,	.000	121	.243
2	96.592	25.882		28	36	234	135	.230
3	86.602	50.000		29	0,	000	140.	.000
4	70.710 50.000	70.710		30	155.	.000	0. 77	.000
6	25 882	Q6 502		32	77	500	134	234
7	0.000	100 000		33	ο.	.000	155	.000
8	110.000	0.000		34	170	000	0	.000
9	95.263	55.000		35	164.	207	43	999
10	55.000	95.263		36	147.	.224	85.	.000
11	0.000	110.000		37	120.	.208	120.	.208
12	120.000	0.000		30	05. UD	000	147.	.224
13	115.911	31.058		39 40	45. 0	999	104-	.207
15	811 852	81 852		41	185	.000	0,1	.000
- 16	60.000	103 023		42	160	215	92	500
17	31.058	115.911		43	92.	500	160	215
18	0.000	120.000		44	0.	000	185.	.000
19	130.000	0.000		45	200	.000	_0	.000
20	112.583	65.000		40	193.	185	51	.764
21	65.000	112.583		47 119	1/1	205	100	.000
22	1/10 000	130.000		40	100	- 42 I	173	205
24	135.230	36.234		50	51	.764	193.	. 185
25	121.243	70,000		51	0	000	200	.000
26	98.995	98.995						
NODE	CODE	FIXED VALU	ES					
1	1	0.000000	0.0000	000				
7	10	0.000000	0.0000	000				
0 11	10	0.000000	0.0000	000				
12	10			000				
18	10	0.000000	0.0000	200				

APPENDIX IV

	0.10000E-02												
	. 000000E 00					0.0000E 00 0.1786E 03	0.0000E 00 0.4880E 03	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00 0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	000006 00 0					0.0000E 00 0.6667E 03	0.0000E 00 0.4880E 03	0.0000E 00	0 0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	000E 02 0.C					0.0000E 00 0.8854E 02	0.0000E 00 0.1541E 03	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	0E 00 0.240		0	0	o	0.0000E 00 0.1549E 03	0.0000E 00 0.8989E 02	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00 0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	00 0,0000		00.0	00-0 000	00.0	0.0000E 00 0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00 0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
	00E	ŝ	20.02	20.0	20.0	88	88	88	888	88	88	88	8
	00 0.000 01 24	LIED LOAD:	0.000	0.000	0.000 Element	0,0000E	0.0000E 0.0000E	0.0000E	0,0000	0.0000	0.0000E	0.0000E	0.0000E
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.300006 0 0.1000006 0 0UNTERED =	ES = 3 BES AND APPI 2 1	20.000	6 000 50.000 5	ZO.000 ES FOR EACH	0.7800E 00 0.0000E 00	0.8989E 02 0.0000E 00	0.1549E 03	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00 0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00	0.0000E 00
300000000000000 1 H	01 ENC		0	no ~	0 FORCI	88	88	88	888	88	88	8	8
E 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	D. 210000E D. 100000E AONTWIDTH PRESSURE	DF LOADED OF LOADE	0.0	0.00	VL NODAL	0.1784E 0.0000E	0.1541E 0.0000E	0.8854E	0.0000	0.0000E	0.0000E	0,0000E	0.0000E
5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 ( MAXIMUM F1 INTERNAL 0 0	NO. ( LIST	20.000	20.000 3	20.000 TOT/	<del>-</del> (	N	m	4	ъ.	9		7

0.0000E 00 8 0.0000E 00 9 0.0000E 00 0,0000E 00 0.0000E 00 10 0.0000E 00 11 0.0000E 00 12 0.0000E 00 TIME STEP STABILITY FACTOR = 0.05000 TIME STEPPING PARAMETER = 0.000 TIME STEP INCREMENT PARAMETER = 1.50000 INITIAL TIME STEP LENGTH = 0.10000 INCREMENT NUMBER 1 LOAD FACTOR = 0.70000CONVERGENCE TOLERANCE = 0.10000 MAX. NO. OF ITERATIONS = 50 10 INITIAL OUTPUT PARAMETER = 10 FINAL OUTPUT PARAMETER = 0.000000E 00 TOTAL TIME = CONVERGENCE CODE = 1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.100000E 03 MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.000000E 00 0.100000E 00 TOTAL TIME = CONVERGENCE CODE = 999 MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.000000E 00 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.148250E 03 0.250000E 00 TOTAL TIME = NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.207778E 03 CONVERGENCE CODE = 999MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.000000E 00 TOTAL TIME = 0.475000E 00 CONVERGENCE CODE = 999 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.280997E 03 MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.000000E 00 TOTAL TIME = 0.812500E 00 MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.000000E 00 CONVERGENCE CODE = 999 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.313019E 03 TOTAL TIME = 0.125353E 01 MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.000000E 00CONVERGENCE CODE = 999 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.340506E 03 0.184786E 01 TOTAL TIME -MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.000000E 00 CONVERGENCE CODE = 999 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.377261E 03 0.273772E 01 TOTAL TIME = CONVERGENCE CODE = 1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.345160E 03 MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.000000E 00 TOTAL TIME -0.407250E 01 CONVERGENCE CODE = 1 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.213414E 03 MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.000000E 00 0.607467E 01 TOTAL TIME = CONVERGENCE CODE = 0 NORM OF RESIDUAL SUM RATIO = 0.000000E 00 MAXIMUM RESIDUAL = 0.000000E 00DISPLACEMENTS NODE X-DISP. Y-DISP. 3 0.120888E 00 0.697974E-01 1 0.139590E 00 0.000000E 00 4 0.985748E-01 0.985748E-01 2 0.134655E 00 0.360826E-01

555

APPENDIX

5	0.697974E-01	0.120888E 00	39	0.244471E-01	0.912376E-01		
6	0.360826E-01	0.134655E 00	40	0.000000E 00	0.945016E-01		
Ž	0.000000E 00	0.139590E 00	41	0.904075E-01	0.000000E 00		
8	0.127595E 00	0.000000E 00	42	0.782963E-01	0.452042E-01		
9	0.110501E 00	0.637993E-01	43	0.452042E-01	0.782963E-01		
10	0.637993E-01	0.110501E 00	44	0.000000E 00	0.904075E-01		
11	0.000000E 00	0.127595E 00	45	0.872253E-01	0.000000E 00		
12	0.118811E 00	0.000000E 00	46	0.842393E-01	0.225717E-01		
13	0.114717E 00	0.307387E-01	47	0.755406E-01	0.430128E-01		
14	0.102894E 00	0.594071E-01	48	0.616684E-01	0.010084E-01		
15	0.839794E-01	0.839794E-01	49	0.4301208-01	0. (004005-01		
16	0.594071E-01	0.102894E 00	50	0.225/1/2-01	0.0423935-01		
17	0.307387E-01	0.114717E 00	ント 201	ONS OUT OF	0.0[2255E-0]		
18	0.00000E 00	0.118811E 00	NODE	Y_REAC	Y_REAC		
19	0.112058E 00	0.00000E 00	1	O DODDODE DO	_0 456968F 02		
20	0.970459E-01	0.560303E-01		-0.456968E 02	0.000000E 00		
21	0.500303E-01	0.970459E-01	8	0.000000E 00	-0.217851E 03		
22	0.000000E 00	0.1120302 00	11 -	0.217851E 03	0.000000E 00		
23	0.1004/2E 00	0.000000E 00	12	0.00000E 00	-0.125513E 03		
24	0.022085F_01	0.279430E=01 0.532372E=01	18 -	-0.125513E 03	0.000000E 00		
26	0.752527F_01	$0.752527F_01$	19	0.000000E 00	-0.226754E 03		
27	0.532372F_01	0.022085E_01	22 -	-0.226754E 03	0.000000E 00		
28	0.275438E-01	0.102796E 00	23	0.000000E 00	-0.126319E 03		
29	0.00000E 00	0.106472E 00	29 -	-0.126319E 03	0.000000E 00		
30	0.997092E-01	0-00000E 00	30	0.000000E 00	-0.269717E 03		
31	0.863519E-01	0.498555E-01	33 -	-0.269717E 03	0.000000E 00		
32	0.498555E-01	0.863519E-01	34	0.000000E 00	-0.118912E 03		
33	0.000000E 00	0.997092E-01	40 -	-0.118912E 03	0.000000E 00		
34	0.945016E-01	0.00000E 00	41	0.000000E 00	-0.21908/E 03		
35	0.912376E-01	0.244471E-01	44	-0.219087E 03	0.00000E 00		
36	0.818419E-01	0.472516E-01	45	0.00000E 00	-0.501497£ 02		
37	0.667916E-01	0.667916E-01	51	-0.5014978 02	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		
38	0.472516E-01	0.818419E-01	77 OMDCOO		MTN D S	ANCI E	F D 9
G.P.	XX-STRESS	YY-STRESS XY-STRESS	ZZ-STRESS	MAA P.S.		ANOLL	E.F.O.
ELEMEN	T NO. = 1		A 6484038 AA		0 100H00E 00	6 Jioh	0 1520015 02
1 -0.1	25015E 02 0.1	45585E 02 -0.308575E 01	0.017103E UD	0.149059E 00	2 -0,120409E U2 0 0 128/188E 02	22 577	0.452852F_03
2 -0.8	400435 01 0.14	U4050E U2 -U.IUI(4(E U2)	0.011405 00	0.1490000 0/	2 _0 003866F 01	6 403	0.112244E_03
3 -0.9	59430E 01 0.1	14053E UZ -U.300054E UT	0.234329E 01	0.1771045 0	2 -0.993000E 01	23 508	0.112237F_03
4 -0.5	DOIALF OL O'L	33124E U2 -0.1015/0E U2	V.2343146 UI	0.1114946 0		23.230	0.1125715-03

	ELEMENT NO. =	2				
1	-0.306428E 01	0.512105E	01	-0.132601E 02	0.617031E 00	0.149057E 02 -0.128490E 02 36.424 0.452796E-03
2	0.512105E 01	-0.306428E	01	-0.132601E 02	0.617031E 00	0.149057E 02 -0.128490E 02 -36.424 0.452796E-03
3	-0.187011E 00	0.799786E	01	-0.132254E 02	0.234325E 01	0.177495E 02 -0.993866E 01 36.403 0.112182E-03
4	0.799786E 01	-0.187011E	00	-0.132254E 02	0.234325E 01	0.177495E 02 -0.993866E 01 -36.403 0.112182E-03
	ELEMENT NO. =	3			_	
1	0.104656E 02	-0.840843E	01	-0.101747E 02	0.617146E 00	0.149060E 02 -0.128488E 02 -23.577 0.452852E-03
2	0.145585E 02	-0.125015E	02	-0.308575E 01	0.617103E 00	0.149059E 02 -0.128489E 02 -6.424 0.452901E-03
3	0.133124E 02	-0.550191E	01	-0.101570E 02	0.234314E 01	0.177494E 02 -0.993889E 01 -23.598 0.112237E-03
4	0.174053E 02	-0.959430E	01	-0.306854E 01	0.234329E 01	0.177496E 02 -0.993866E 01 -6.403 0.112244E-03
	ELEMENT NO. =	4			_	
1	-0.768855E 01	0.177524E	02	-0.288931E 01	0.301916E 01	0.180764E 02 -0.801257E 01 6.398 0.000000E 00
2	-0.383066E 01	0.138944E	02	-0.957149E 01	0.301912E 01	0.180763E 02 -0.801259E 01 23.601 0.000000E 00
- 3	-0.561211E 01	0.156759E	02	-0.242231E 01	0.301914E 01	0.159481E 02 -0.588426E 01 6.410 0.000000E 00
4	_0.238836E 01	0.124516E	02	-0.800669E 01	0.301897E 01	0.159478E 02 -0.588457E 01 23.589 0.000000E 00
	ELEMENT NO. =	5	~ •		0 2010905 01	0 1907F65 00 0 9010925 01 26 200 0 0000005 00
1	0.117360E 01	0.888913E	01	-0.124607E 02	0.301862E 01	0.180756E 02 = 0.001283E 01 36.399 0.000000E 00
2	0.888913E 01	0.117360E	01	-0.124607E 02	0.301882E 01	0.1501750E 02 = 0.001203E 01 = 30.399 0.000000E 00 = 0.150177E 02 = 0.5888446E 01 36 411 0.000000E 00
3	0.100/55E UI	0.0255/26	01	-0.104291E 02	0.3010905 01	0.159477E 02 = 0.500440E 01 = 36.411 0.000000E 00
4	ULOZODIZE UI	0.100/55E	UI	-0.1042916 02	0.2010305 01	
1	128000000000000000000000000000000000000	_0_383066F	01	_0 0571005 01	0.301912E-01	0.180763E 02 -0.801259E 01 -23.601 0.000000E 00
	0.1305446 02	0.7688555	01	_0 288031F 01	0 301016E 01	0.180764E 02 -0.801257E 01 -6.398 0.000000E 00
2	0 1245165 02	-0.2288365	01	_0 800669F 01	D. 301897E 01	0.159478E 02 -0.588457E 01 -23.589 0.000000E 00
ц	0.156759E 02	-0.561211E	ŏi	-0.242231E 01	0.301914E 01	0.159481E 02 -0.588426E 01 -6.410 0.000000E 00
	ELEMENT NO	7	•.	••• ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··		
1	-0.413632E 01	0.141999E	02	-0.208235E 01	0.301908E 01	0.144334E 02 -0.436983E 01 6.398 0.000000E 00
ż	-0.135581E 01	0.114194E	02	-0.689847E 01	0.301907E 01	0.144334E 02 -0.436983E 01 23.601 0.000000E 00
3	-0.229264E 01	0.123561E	02	-0.166675E 01	0.301905E 01	0.125434E 02 -0.247990E 01 6.410 0.000000E 00
4	-0.741370E-01	0.101375E	02	-0.550962E 01	0.301901E 01	0.125434E 02 -0.248000E 01 23.589 0.000000E 00
	ELEMENT NO. =	8				
1	0.225090E 01	0.781227E	01	-0.898102E 01	0.301895E 01	0.144332E 02 -0.437006E 01 36.398 0.000000E 00
2	0.781227E 01	0.225090E	01	-0.898102E 01	0.301895E 01	0.144332E 02 -0.437006E 01 -36.398 0.000000E 00
3	0.281300E 01	0.725044E	01	-0.717655E 01	0.301903E 01	0.125434E 02 -0.247998E 01 30.410 0.000000E 00
4	0.725044E 01	0.281300E	01	-0.717655E 01	0.301903E 01	0.125434E 02 -0.247998E 01 -36.410 0.000000E 00
4	LLEMENT NO. =	9	~ 4	0 4000UZE 01	0 2010075 01	0 1000000 00 0 106082E 01 02 601 0 000000E 00
1	0.1141948 02	-0.135581E		-0.00904/E 01	0.3019018 01	0.144334E 02 -0.430903E 01 -23.001 0.000000E 00
2	0.141999E 02	-0,413632E	01	-0.208235E 01	0.3019002 01	0.144334E 02 -0.430903E 01 -0.390 0.000000E 00
<u>ک</u>	0,1013(55 02	: -U.(413(UE	∪I	-0.550902E UT		$\Delta 125 \mu_2 \mu_5 \Omega_2 = 0.240000 01 - 25.509 0.0000000 00$
- 4	0.123501E 02	-U.229204E	ΨI	-0.1000/55 01	0.2013026 01	V.1294546 V2 40.24/9906 01 40.410 0.000006 00

ELEMENT NO. = 10 0.113448E 02 -0.143389E 01 0.115056E 02 -0.144216E 01 6.398 0.000000E 00 0.301902E 01 -0.128137E 01 1 23.601 0.000000E 00 0.115056E 02 -0.144210E 01 0.301905E 01 0.633380E 00 0.943011E 01 -0.475028E 01 2 0.000000E 00 6.392 0.103970E 02 -0.333716E 00 0.301899E 01 З 0.102640E 02 -0.118721E 01 -0.200717E 00 23.608 0.000000E 00 0.301899E 01 0.103971E 02 -0.333762E 00 ā. 0.867602E 01 -0.393779E 01 0.138728E 01 ELEMENT NO. = 11 0.000000E 00 0.115057E 02 -0.144222E 01 36.398 0.311689E 01 0.694658E 01 -0.618428E 01 0.301904E 01 0.115057E 02 -0.144222E 01 -36.398 0.000000E 00 0.301904E 01 2 0.694658E 01 0.311689E 01 -0.618428E 01 0.103974E 02 -0.333695E 00 36.392 0.00000E 00 0.301911E 01 3 0.344379E 01 0.661991E 01 -0.512514E 01 0.103974E 02 -0.333695E 00 -36.392 0.000000E 00 0.301911E 01 Ш 0.661991E 01 0.344379E 01 -0.512514E 01 ELEMENT NO. = 12 0.115056E 02 -0.144210E 01 -23.601 0.000000E 00 0.943011E 01 0.633380E 00 -0.475028E 01 0.301905E 01 1 0.115056E 02 -0.144216E 01 -6.398 0.00000E 00 0.113448E 02 -0.128137E 01 -0.143389E 01 0.301902E 01 2 0.103971E 02 -0.333762E 00 -23.608 0.000000E 00 0.867602E 01 0.138728E 01 -0.393779E 01 0.301899E 01 3 0.103970E 02 -0.333716E 00 -6.392 0.000000E 00 0.102640E 02 -0.200717E 00 -0.118721E 01 0.301899E 01 4

### A.4.7 Solution of a non-layered elasto-plastic Mindlin plate. Example of Section 9.7, Fig. 9.6

Input data

	NON	-LAYER	ED E	XAMPL	E, SE		9.7,	_FI	G. 9.6	0
25	4	4	2	У <sub>2</sub>	ا و	3	12	ן 11	59	7
1	1	1	2	<u> </u>	0	21	12	11	0	{
2	1	3	4	- 5	-10	15	14	13	8	- 9
3	1	11	12	13	18	23	22	21	16	17
4	1	13	14	15	20	25	24	23	18	19
1		0.Õ		0.0						
3		0.25		0.0						
5		0.5		0.0						
11		0.0	i	0.25						
13		0.25		0.25						
15		0.50		0.25						
21		0 0								
21		0.0								
23	•	0.25		0.50						
25		0.50		0.50						
1	111									
2	110									
-										

		09 Q	3.0	86	33	33	36	38	86	38	<u>8</u> 6	88	33	88	38
7		0.0		00		00			00		0.1				
0.0	O.OILB/SQ INCH	0.005	0.02	0,002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0,002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0,002	0.002
1.0	INTENSITY -	Ś	וייקר	നന	ነጠ		» ش ا	സ	რ ი	٦m	m بر	កា	m	<b>77 (7</b>	ı س
0.01	DING	00	0	00	0	00	00	00	00	00	00	0	00	) C	0
	D LOA	88	<u>,</u> 80	99 99	60	88	8ç	383	86	88	09 09	809	99	200	38
0*3	ISTRIBUTE	0.0		00		00	00				00	0.1	0.1		0.1
1111 1111 1111	UNIFORMLY D	0.5	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	200-0 200-0	0.005
	10.92 0.3 0.01 1.0 0.04 1111 1111	10.92 0.3 0.01 1.0 0.04 1111 UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOADING INTENSITY -0.01LB/SQ INCH	10.92 0.3 0.01 1.0 0.04 1111 UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOADING INTENSITY -0.01LB/SQ INCH 0 0.05 0.1 60 0 3 0.065 0.1 60 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10.92 0.3 0.01 1.0 0.04 1111 1111 UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOADING INTENSITY -0.01LB/SQ INCH 0 0.0.2 0.1 60 0 3 0.002 0.1 60 0.1 6	10.92 0.3 0.01 1.0 0.04 1111 1111 UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOADING INTENSITY -0.01LB/SQ INCH 0 0.05 0.1 60 0 3 0.002 0.1 60 0 0 0.002 0.1 60	10.92 0.3 0.01 1.0 0.04 1111 1111 UNLFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOADING INTENSITY -0.01LB/SQ INCH 0 0.5 0.1 60 0 3 0.002 0.1 60 0.02 0.1 60 0.02 0.1 60 0.02 0.1 60 0.02 0.1 60 0.02 0.1 60 0.02 0.1 60 0.002 0	10.92         0.3         0.01         1.0         0.04           1111         1111         0.01         1.0         0.04           1111         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         3         0.012         0.1         60           0         0         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         3         0.002         0.1         60         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         3         0.002         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1         60         0.1 <t< td=""><td>10.92         0.3         0.01         1.0         0.04           1111         1111         1111         0.01         0.01         0.01           1111         0.1         60         0         0         0         0           0         0.5         0.1         60         0         0         0         0           0         0.2         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0         0.2         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0         0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0</td></t<> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td>10.92         0.3         0.01         1.0         0.04           1111         0         0         0         0         0         0           1111         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0</td> <td></td> <td>10.92         0.3         0.01         1.0         0.04           1111         UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOADING INTENSITY -0.01LB/SQ INCH         0.04         0.04           0.1         60         0         0.002         0.1         60         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         0.002         0.1         60         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60</td> <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td>10.92         0.3         0.01         1.0         0.04           1111         UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOADING INTENSITY -0.01LB/SQ INCH         0.04         0.04           0.1         60         0         0         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         0         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.</td> <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td>	10.92         0.3         0.01         1.0         0.04           1111         1111         1111         0.01         0.01         0.01           1111         0.1         60         0         0         0         0           0         0.5         0.1         60         0         0         0         0           0         0.2         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0         0.2         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0         0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.92         0.3         0.01         1.0         0.04           1111         0         0         0         0         0         0           1111         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0		10.92         0.3         0.01         1.0         0.04           1111         UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOADING INTENSITY -0.01LB/SQ INCH         0.04         0.04           0.1         60         0         0.002         0.1         60         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         0.002         0.1         60         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.11         60           0.02         0.1         60	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.92         0.3         0.01         1.0         0.04           1111         UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOADING INTENSITY -0.01LB/SQ INCH         0.04         0.04           0.1         60         0         0         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         0         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.002         0.1         60           0.02         0.1         60         0         3         0.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

### Line printer output

MINDLI	N NON-LAYERE	ED EXAMPLE,	SECTION 9.	7, FIG. 9	9.6			
NPOIN	= 25 NE	ELEM = 4	NVFIX =	16 N	TYPE =	5	NNODE =	9
NMATS	= 1 NC	GAUS = 3	NEVAB =	27 N/	ALGO =	2		
NCRIT	= 1 N]	INCS = 39	NLAPS =	0 NS	SWIT =	0		
ELEMEN	T PROPERTY	NODE N	UMBERS					
1	1	1 2	38	13 12	2 11	6	7	
2	1	34	5 10	15 <b>1</b> 4	+ 13	8	9	
3	1	11 12	13 18	23 22	2 21	16	17	
4	1	13 14	15 20	25 24	+ 23	18	19	
NODE	Х	Y						
1	0.0000	0.00000						
2	.12500	0.00000						
3	.25000	0.00000						
4	.37500	0.00000						
5	.50000	0.00000						
6	0.00000	.12500						
7	0.00000	0.00000						
8 Q	.25000	.12500						
9	0.00000	0.00000						
10	.50000	.12500						
11	0.00000	.25000						
12	.12500	.25000						
13	.25000	.25000						
14	.37500	.25000						
15	.50000	.25000						
10	0.00000	.37500 ~						
10	0.00000	0.00000						
10	·20000	131300						
20	50000	27500						
20	00000	-21200						
22	12500	50000						
22	25000	50000						
21	37500	50000						
25	50000	50000						
NODE	CODF	FIXED VALUE	FS					
1	111	0.000000	0.000000	0,00000	)			
2	110	0.00000	0.000000	0.000000	•			
7	110	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	)			
ر ر		0.000000	0.00000	0.000000	•			

4 5 10 11 15 16 20 21	110 101 101 101 101 101 101 101	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	000000 000000 000000 000000 000000 00000		0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000			
22	1	0.0	000000	0,00	0000	0.0000	00		
24	1	0.0	000000	0.00	0000	0.0000	00		
25	11	0.0	000000	0.00	0000	0.0000	00		
NUMB	ER ELEMENT	PROPER:	TIES						_
3456789	.4472 .4472 .3750 .3750 .4718 .4718 .4718	.0528 .1972 .1250 .2218 .0282 .1250 .2218	.14846 .20658 .93182 .16282 .32303 .10243 .16015	E-01 E-02 E-02 E-01 E-02 E-01 E-01	.148 .216 .107 .191 .515 .116 .178	12E-01 96E-02 03E-01 51E-01 52E-02 71E-01 15E-01	679 517 454 372 173 530 102	909E-02 738E-02 173E-02 263E-02 322E-02 322E-02 073E-03 205E-02	0 0 0 0 0
1	ELEMENI NU. =	5 8006	ловел	F_02	2/12	165-02	_ 830	າ8ຣຣ	0
່ວ	.0528	. 4472	.21696	E-02	.206	58E-02	517	738E-02	0.
3	.1972	3028	51552	E-02	323	03E-02	- 17	322E-02	Ō.
4	.1972	.4472	.94492	E-02	.830	78E-02	858	398E-02	0.
5	.1250	.3750	.10703	E-01	.931	82E-02	454	473E-02	0.
6	.1250	.4718	.11671	E-01	.102	43E-01	530	)73E-03	0.
7	.2218	.2782	.14812	E-01	.148	46E-01	079	909E-02	0.
0	-2210	1718	17815	E-UI E 01	160	028-01 155 01	5/4	203E-02	0.
7	ELEMENT NO	.4/10 L	. 17015	E-01	. 100	196-01	÷, 102	:09E-02	0.
1	.3028	.3028	. 17182	E-01	. 17 1	82E-01	458	305E-02	0.
2	.3028	.4472	18488	E-01	180	23E-01	330	)76E-02	0.
3	.4472	.3028	.21135	E-01	.192	67E-01	131	109E-02	0.
4	.4472	.4472	.18023	E-01	.184	88E-01	330	)76E-02	0.
5	.3750	.3750	.20733	E-01	.207	33E-01	178	380E-02	0.
7	·3/30 1/718	-4/10 2782	.220U/ 10267	⊑~UI ⊑_01	•22( 211	0/12-01	230	1446-03	υ. Λ
Ŕ	.4718	3750	22787	E-01	228	075_01	- 226	7772-05 035-05	0. 0
ğ	.4718	.4718	.23695	E-01	.236	95E-01	178	333E-03	ŏ.
-									

٠

.

.

•

•

.

.

.

APPENDIX IV

INCREMENT NUMBER 30 .85600 CONVERGENCE TOLERANCE = .10000 LOAD FACTOR = INITIAL OUTPUT PARAMETER = FINAL OUTPUT PARAMETER = 3 0 IN CONVER **ITERATION NUMBER 1** DISPLACEMENT CHANGE NORM .280E+00 .282E+00 .280E+00 TOTAL -.281E+00 RESIDUAL NORM .896E-06 .182E-10 .896E-06 TOTAL -.605E-07 CONVERGENCE CODE 1 IN CONVER ITERATION NUMBER 2 DISPLACEMENT CHANGE NORM .293E-06 .294E-06 .294E-06 TOTAL -.294E-06 RESIDUAL NORM .183E-11 .266E-11 .245E-11 TOTAL -.183E-11 CONVERGENCE CODE 0 DISPLACEMENTS NODE DISP. XZ-ROT. YZ-ROT. Ο. 0. 1 0. 2 0. 0. .455052E+04 .879322E+04 3 0. 0. .106738E+05 4 0. 0. .118879E+05 0. 5 0. 6 .455052E+04 9. 0. 7 .410180E+03 .410180E+03 Ο. 8 .289215E+04 .742347E+04 .101997E+04 .984409E+02 .623582E+03 9 0. .139568E+04 10 .102627E+05 0. 11 .879322E+04 0. 0. 12 .101997E+04 .742347E+04 .289215E+04 .557560E+04 13 .183493E+04 .557560E+04 -688004E+04 14 .235881E+04 .275674E+04 .252626E+04 0. .772635E+04 15

MAX. NO. OF ITERATIONS = 60

	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	0. 0. .235 0. .325 0. .139 .252 .325 .325	881E+04 803E+04 568E+04 626E+04 803E+04 631E+04	.106738E+05 .623582E+03 .688004E+04 .230744E+03 0. .118879E+05 .102627E+05 .772635E+04 .389260E+04 0.	0. .984409E .275674E .230744E .389260E 0. 0. 0. 0.	+02 +04 +03 +04	
	NODE	TONP		(7_MOMENT	YZ_MOMENT		
	1	254	174E-01	- 405413E-03	405413E	-03	
	2	704	030E-01	- 474595E-02	0.	-2	
	3	. 489	298E-01	861086E-03	0.		
	4	130	462E+00	178824E-02	0.		
	5	.322	264E-01	228435E-02	0.		
	6	704	030E-01	0.	474595E	-02	
	10	0.		368943E-02	0.		
	11	.489	298E-01	0.	861086E	-03	
	15	0.		181699E-02	0.	~~	
	16	130	462E+00	0.	178824E	-02	
	20	0.	0/100 04	~.720662E-02	U	~~	
	21	, 322	264E-01	0. •	228435E	-02	
	22	0.		0.	3009435	-02	
	23 2#	0.		0.	101099E	-02	
	24	0.		122208F_02	- 122208E	-02	
	STRES	272				-02	
G P	X_CO	ORD.	Y-COORD	X-MOMENT	Y-MOMENT	XY-MOMENT	EFF. PL. STRAIN
	ELEME	NT NO.	= 1	11 110112111	1 10/12/11		
1		0528	.0528	99908E-03	99908E-03	23087E-01	.57698E+04
2	.(	0528	.1972	.51873E-03	.14760E-02	23082E-01	.26193E+04
3	•	1972	.0528	.80061E-02	.59482E-02	20218E-01	0.
4	•	1972	.1972	.14760E-02	.51873E-03	23082E-01	.26193E+04
5	•	1250	.1250	.86235E-02	.86235E-02	20786E-01	0.
6	•	1250	.2218	.15459E-01	.16648E-01	16501E-01	0.
7	•	2218	.0282	.59482E-02	.80061E-02	20218E-01	0.
8	•	2218	.1250	.16648E-01	.15459E-01	16501E-01	0.
9		2218	.2218	_21010E-01	.21010E-01	14518E-01	0.

	ELEMENT NO. =	: 2								
1	.3028	.0528	.43677E-02	.77768E-02	,14262E-01	0.				
2	.3028	.1972	.14580E-01	.16625E-01 -	.14808E-01	0.				
3	.4472	.0528	.25755E-01	.25762E-01 -	.11744E-01	0.				
4	.4472	.1972	.36231E-02	.39462E-02 -	.88176E-02	0.				
5	.3750	.1250	.16121E-01	.18645E-01 -	.78343E-02	0.				
6	.3750	.2218	.28118E-01	.33194E-01 -	.64873E-02	0.				
7	.4718	.0282	.55108E-02	.88899E-02 -	.29126E-02	0.				
B	.4718	.1250	.17512E-01	.20166E-01 -	91283E-03	0.				
9	.4718	.2218	27549E-01	.30853E-01 -	17952E-02	0.				
-	ELEMENT NO. =	3								
1	.0528	.3028	.77768E-02	.43677E-02 -	.14262E-01	0.				
2	.0528	.4472	.39462E-02	.36231E-02 -	.88176E-02	0.				
3	.1972	.3028	.88899E-02	.55108E-02 -	.29126E-02	0.				
<u>4</u>	.1972	.4472	.16625E-01	.14580E-01 -	.14808E-01	0.				
5	.1250	.3750	.18645E-01	.16121E-01 -	.78343E-02	0.				
6	.1250	.4718	.20166E-01	.17512E-01 -	.91283E-03	0.				
7	.2218	.2782	.25762E-01	.25755E-01 -	.11744E-01	0.				
8	.2218	.3750	.33194E-01	.28118E-01 -	.64873E-02	0.				
9	.2218	.4718	.30853E-01	.27549E-01 -	.17952E-02	0.				
	ELEMENT NO. =	4								
1	.3028	.3028	.29634E-01	.29634E-01 -	.79751E-02	0.				
2	.3028	.4472	.31762E-01	.31040E-01 -	.57935E-02	0.				
3	.4472	.3028	.36223E-01	.33145E-01 -	.23092E-02	0.				
- Ū	.4472	.4472	.31040E-01	.31762E-01 -	.57935E-02	0.				
5	.3750	.3750	.35776E-01	.35776E-01 -	.31804E-02	0.				
6	.3750	.4718	.39413E-01	.39460E-01 -	.46572E-03	0.				
7	.4718	.2782	.33145E-01	.36223E-01 -	.23092E-02	0.				
8	.4718	.3750	.39460E-01	.39413E-01 -	.46572E-03	0.				
9	.4718	.4718	.39997E-01	.39997E-01	.26371E-03	.19186E+(	04			
1	.109200E	E+02 .	300000E+00	.100000E-01	.100000E	+01 0.	- <u>1</u>	400000E-01	0.	Ο.
CONVE	RGENCE PARAME	ETERS	-							
IFDIS	S = 1 ,ŃCE	DIS =111	0							
IFRES	5 = 1 /NCF	RES =111	0							
UNIF	ORMLY DISTRIE	BUTED LO	ADING INTENS	ITY -0.01LB/S	Q INCH					
0				-						
	TOTAL NODAL	FORCES	FOR EACH ELI	MENT						
1	5208E	E-02 0.	. 0.	.2	083E-01 0	-	0.	5208E-02	2 0.	
	0.	•	2083E-01 0	0.	-	.5208E-02	0.	0.	.2083E-01	
	0.	0.	. –	5208E-02 0.	0	•	.2083E-01	0.	0.	

.2778E-01 0. 0. .2083E-01 0. 0. 0. -.5208E-02 0. 2 -.5208E-02 0. -.5208E-02 0. 0. 0. .2083E-01 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. .2083E-01 -.5208E-02 0. 0. .2083E-01 0. 0. .2778E-01 0. 0. -.5208E-02 0. .2083E-01 0. 3 0. 0. -.5208E-02 0. 0. .2083E-01 0. -.5208E-02 0. 0. .2083E-01 0. 0. -.5208E-02 0. 0. .2083E-01 0. 0. 0. .2778E-01 0. 0. -.5208E-02 0. .2083E-01 0. Ц -.5208E-02 0. 0. 0. 0. .2083E-01 0. 0. -.5208E-02 0. 0. 0. 0. .2083E-01 -.5208E-02 0. .2083E-01 0. 0. Ο. .2778E-01 0. ٥. INCREMENT NUMBER 1 LOAD FACTOR = .50000 CONVERGENCE TOLERANCE = .10000 MAX. NO. OF ITERATIONS = 60 INITIAL OUTPUT PARAMETER = 0 FINAL OUTPUT PARAMETER = 3 ITERATION NUMBER 1 IN CONVER DISPLACEMENT CHANGE NORM .100E+03 .100E+03 .100E+03 TOTAL -.100E+03 RESIDUAL NORM .845E-08 .662E-08 .628E-08 TOTAL -.845E-08 CONVERGENCE CODE 1 IN CONVER ITERATION NUMBER 2 DISPLACEMENT CHANGE NORM •918E-08 .908E-08 .897E-08 TOTAL -.903E-08 RESIDUAL NORM .265E-11 .200E-11 295E-11 TOTAL -.265E-11 CONVERGENCE CODE 0 DISPLACEMENTS XZ-ROT. NODE DISP. YZ-ROT. 1 0. 0. 0. 2 0. 0. .261614E+04

3	0.	0.	.505686E+04															
4	0.	0.	.615962E+04															
5	0.	0.	.687815E+04															
6	0.	.261614E+04	0.															
7	0.	.230157E+03	.230157E+03															
Š	.587914E+03	.167781E+04	.428957E+04															
9	0	.639453E+02	.362274E+03															
10	807234E+03	0.	•593553E+04															
11	0.	.505686E+04	0.															
12	.587914E+03	.428957E+04	.167781E+04															
13	.105976E+04	.323511E+04	.323511E+04															
14	.136395E+04	.160213E+04	.398710E+04															
15	.146134E+04	0.	.447417E+04															
16	0.	.615962E+04	0.															
17	0.	.362274E+03	.639453E+02															
18	.136395E+04	.398710E+04	.160213E+04															
19	0.	.132888E+03	.132888E+03															
20	.188400E+04	0.	.224070E+04															
21	0.	.687815E+04	0.															
22	.807234E+03	.593553E+04	0.															
23	.146134E+04	.447417E+04	0.															
24	.188400E+04	.224070E+04	0.															
25	.202089E+04	0.	0.															
REACT	IONS																	
NODE	FORCE	XZ-MOMENT	YZ-MOMENT															
1	.124667E-01	357597E-03	357597E-03															
2	399935E-01	292695E-02	0.															
3	.280665E-01	-,486232E-03	0.															
4	754754E-01	103874E-02	0.															
5	.186691E-01	132162E-02	0.															
6	399935E-01	0.	292695E-02															
10	0.	215061E-02	0.															
11	.280665E-01	0.	<b>486232E~03</b>															
15	0.	105925E-02	0.															
16	754754E-01	0.	<b>103874E-02</b>															
20	0.	417385E-02	Q.															
21	.186691E-01	0.	132162E-02															
22	0.	0.	215061E-02															
23	0.	0.	105925E-02															
24	0.	0.	417385E-02															
05	0	783842E_03	783842E-03															
	PL STRAIN																	
----------	-----------	------------	-----------	------------	------------	------------	-------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	-------------	------------	--------------	--	---	---	---
	EE	c	5	•			•	0	•	o	ċ		o'	•				
	XY-MOMENT	101011		14197E-01	11677E-01	14197E-01	12011E-01	95831E-02	11677E-01	95831E-02	84458E-02	•	83085E-02	85898E-02				
	Y-MOMENT			.86852E-03	.33436E-02	.27063E-03	.48794E-02	.94485E-02	.44517E-02	.87584E-02	.11999E-01		.42854E-02	.94492E-02		•	•	
	X-MOMENT		61926E-03	.27063E-03	.44517E-02	,86852E-03	. 48794E-02	.87584E-02	.33436E-02	.94485E-02	.11999E-01		.24316E-02	. 8307 8E-02				
	Y-COORD.	- [	.0528	. 1972	.0528	.1972	.1250	.2218	.0282	. 1250	.2218	دی ۱۱	.0528	.1972		•	•	•
STRESSES	X-COORD.	ON INTWITT	.0528	.0528	1972	1972	1250	.1250	.2218	.2218	,2218	ELEMENT NO.	.3028	.3028		•	٠	•
	с С		-	N	~	ন	ഹ	9	-	0	5	•	•	€				

etc.

A.4.8 Solution of dynamic transient elasto-plastic problem by explicit time integration. Example of Section 10.7.2, Fig. 10.3

Input data

	-														
10.3	m														
FIG.	N	,										.0020	.6690	.3360	0030
. 2.	0	ຸ່	~	<u>1</u>	1	있	5	R	37	42	47	16	18	5	5
10.7	0	m	ω	μ	18	ស	58	R	80 M	43	48	.475	475	.475	.475
CLION	2	ഹ	9	ц Г	g	ស្ល	ဓ	35	ş	<del>ا</del> ئ	Ŋ	22	22	ิง	2 2
SE	ন	ω	<u>ъ</u>	18	53	28	ñ	38	43 1	48	ß	32 M	37	42	47
NY NPAK	~	r	12	17	22	21	32 M	37	42	μŢ	25				
се .	ึง	9	:-	<u>1</u> 6	Ņ	26	ţ.	36	1 T	46	5	0000	3335	5670	005
1 EXAMF	1	⇒	ი	14	19	77	29	Зц	39	τt	6 <del>1</del>	0	-	2°C	)• न
CAP	ക	-	9	=	16	2	8	٣	36	11	917	5	نع	21	5
10 RICAL	m	-		-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	8 R	ผ	ଷ	2
53 SPHEI	9	-	N	ന	4	Ś	9	2	മ	თ	2	-	ŧ	Q	σ

11 14 16 19 12 26 29 13 36 39 14 46 49 12 712 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 55 3	22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27 22.27	5.3 6.0 9.3 10. 12. 13. 14. 16. 17. 18. 20. 21. 22. 24. 25. 26. 00. 2. 5. 8. 10. 13.	3340 5675 50010 3345 6680 0015 3350 6685 0020 3355 6695 03365 0025 3360 03365 6095 3360 03365 6090 3365 0000 6670 3340 6680 3350			52 3 5 8 10 13 15 8 20 22 28 30 33 58 40 34 45 80 53 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	<u> </u>	2.475 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68	26.6700 00.0000 1.3335 2.6670 4.0005 5.3340 6.6675 8.0010 9.3345 10.6680 12.0015 13.3350 14.6685 16.0020 17.3355 18.6690 20.0025 21.3360 22.6695 24.0030 25.3365 26.6700		
105000 10000	00.00.3	;	0.0		0.00	00245	0.0	)	24000.0	214285.71	0.0
500 0.0000 0.0	10 2 004 0.0 0.0	50 01	1 0.0 0.0	1	1 0.0	1	0.0 -	0	0 1 0.0	0.0	0.0
1 2 53 53	2 0 0	2 .0 .0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		

DIS	TRIBUTED S	STEP PRESSURE	P=600LB/IN	ġ	
ဝဠ	0	0			
-	8	~			
0	600.0	600.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	13 10	8			
°.	600.0	600.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ო	18 15	<del>1</del>			 
o,	600.0	600.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
≠	23 20	18		•	•
•	600.0	600.0	0.0	0-0	0-0
ഹ	28 25	53	•	•	
0	600.0	600.0	0.0	0-0	0,0
Q	33 30	28	1	1	) 1
0	600.0	600.0	0-0	0-0	C C
-،	38 35	33			
0	600.0	600.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
æ	43 40	38	•	2	}
0	600.0	600.0	0.0	0.0	0-0
თ	48 45	43	1 1	1	
o,	600.0	600.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	53 50	81		1	
°.	600.0	600.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				•	

Line printer output

,FIG. 10.3	NVFIX =	NDOFN =	NGAUS =	NCRIT =	NLAPS =	
10.7.2	10	œ	1	4	0	-
,DYNPAK ,SECTION	NELEM =	NNODE =	NPROP =	NSTRE =	NCONM =	NRADS =
NETERS	ß	m	-	2	0	m
SPHERICAL CAP CONTROL PARA	NPOIN =	= TAITN	NMATS =	NDIME =	NPREV =	NGAUM =

5050

ņ

ELEMENT	PROPERTY	NOI	DE NUN	<b>IBERS</b>						
1	1	1	4	6	7	8	5	3	2	
2	1	6	9	11	12	13	10	8	7	
3	1	11	14	16	17	18	15	13	12	
4	1	16	19	21	22	23	20	18	17	
5	1	21	24	26	27	28	25	23	22	
6	1	26	29	31	32	33	3Ō	28	27	
7	1	31	34	36	37	38	35	33	32	
8	1	36	39	41	42	43	40	38	37	
9	1	<b>4</b> 1	44	46	47	48	45	43	42	
10	1	46	49	51	52	53	50	48	47	
1	22.270	0.000			Ĩ.,	NODE		Х		Y
4	22.270	1.334				1	0.	,000	22.	.270
6	22.270	2.667				່ 2	0.	.000	22.	.475
9	22.270	4.001				3	0.	000	22.	680
11	22.270	5.334				4		.518	22.	.264
14	22.270	6.668				5	•	.528	22.	674
10	22.270	8.001				6	1.	.036	22.	.246
19	22.270	9.335				7	1.	.046	- 22	451
21	22.270	10.000				8	1.	.055	22	055
24	22.210	12.002				.9	1.	554	- 22	210
20	22.270	12+332				10	1.	.502	22	171
27	22.210	14.009				10	2.	010	22	279
וב דו	22.270	17 336				12	2.	108	22	-3(0 580
36	22.270	18.669				12	2	586	22	110
20	22.270	20.003				15	2	633	22	527
<b>4</b> 1	22.270	21.336				16	2	100	22	.053
44	22.270	22.670				17	ר א	. 128	22	.256
46	22.270	2 <sup>1/</sup> .003				18	ຈັ	157	22	459
49	22.270	25.337				19	3	612	21	975
51	22.270	26.670				20	3	679	22	380
2	22.475	0.000				21	4	123	21	. 885
7	22.475	2.667				22	4.	. 161	22	.087
12	22.475	5.334			-	23	4.	198	22	.288
17 <sup>*</sup>	22.475	8.001				24	4,	.631	21	783
22	22.475	10.668				25	4.	716	22	.184
27	22.475	13.335				26	5	.136	21	.670
32	22.475	16.002				27	5	. 184	21	.869
37	22,475	18.669				28	5.	.231	22	.069

42 47	22.475 22.475	21.336 24.003		29 30	5.639 5.743	21.544			
24	22.417	20.010		21	6 106	21.40(			
2	22.000	1 220		54	6 050	21.004			
2	22.000	1.334		33	0.292	21.001			
8	22.680	2.007		34	6.636	21.258			
10	22.000	4.001		35	6.758	21.650			
15	22.000	2.334		50	7.129	21.098			
10	22.000	0.000		31	(.194	21.292			
20	22.000	0.225		30 20	1.200	21 407			
20	22.000	10 668		27	7 758	20.921			
25	22.680	12 002		10	8 102	21.312			
28	22.680	13,335		42	8 177	20 035			
30	22.680	14.669		43	8,252	21,126			
33	22.680	16.002		44	8,583	20.549			
35	22.680	17.336		45	8.741	20.928			
38	22.680	18.669		46	9.059	20.344			
40	22.680	20,003		47	9.142	20.531			
43	22.680	21.336		48	9.226	20.719			
.45	22.680	22.670		49	9.530	20.128			
48	22.680	24.003		50	9.706	20.498			
50	22.680	25.337		51	9.996	19,901			
53	22.680	26,670		52	10.088	20.084			
				53	10.180	20.267			
NODE	CODE								
1	10	13	00	25	00		37	00	
2	10	14	00	26	00		38	00	
3	10	15	00	27	00		39	00	
4	00	16	00	20	00		40	00	
5	00	17	00	29	00		41 JD	00	
Þ	00	10	00	<u>)</u> ( 21	00		4 <u>2</u> J10	00	
7	00	19	00	22	00		<del>ч</del> э ЛЛ	00	
8	00	20	00	33	00		44 45	00	
10	00	21	00	27	00		46	00	
10	00	22	00	35	00		<u>ц</u> 7	00	
11	00	2⊃ الات	00	36	00		48	00	
12	vu	24	00		20			••	

MATERIAL PROPERTIES MATERIAL NO 1 YOUNG MODULUS .1050E+08 POISSON RATIO .3000 THICKNESS 0. MASS DENSITY .2450E-03 ALPHA TEMPR 0. REFERENCE FO .2400E+05 HARDENING PAR .2143E+06 FRICT ANGLE 0. FLUIDITY PAR .1000E+05 EXP DELTA 1.000 NFLOW CODE 1.000 TIME STEPPING PARAMETERS NOUTP= 250 NSTEP= 500 NOUTD= 10 NACCE= NREQS= NREQD= 1 1 1 MITER= IFIXD= 0 IFUNC= 1 0 KSTEP= IPRED= 1 0 DTIME= 4000E-06 DTEND= -1000E-02 DTREC= 0. AALFA= 0. BEETA= 0. DELTA= 0. GAAMA= 0. AZERO= 0. BZERO= 0. OMEGA= 0. TOLER= 0. SELECTIVE OUTPUT REQUESTED FOR FOLLOWING NODES 1 G.P. 1 TYPE OF ELEMENT, IMPLICIT=1, EXPLICIT=2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 INITIAL X-DISP. INITIAL Y-DISP. NODE 53 0. 0. NODE INITIAL X-VELO. INITIAL Y-VELO. 53 0. 0. LOAD CASE TITLE - DISTRIBUTED STEP PRESSURE P=600LB/IN LOAD INPUT PARAMETERS POINT LOADS 0 GRAVITY 0 EDGE LOAD 1 TEMPERATURE 0 NO. OF LOADED EDGES = 10LIST OF LOADED EDGES AND APPLIED LOADS 8 5 1 3

											4 5 .10000E+31 6 .91129E-0	4 11 .54175E-04 12 .54175E-0 1 17 31E06E-03 18 31E06E-0			3 29 .36603£-03 30 .30603£-( 3 35 .16404£-03 36 .16404E-(	3 41 .21553E-03 42 .21553E-(	3 47 .64368E-03 48 .64368E-0	(3 53 .14410E-02 54 .14410E-0	13 59 .79830b-u3 bu .79030b-u	2 65 .32488E-03 60 .32488E-03	3 77 105805-03 78 105895-03 78	2 R2 22722F_02 RU 22732F_6	2 89 .12150E-02 90 .12150E-0	12 95 .47940E-03 96 .47940E-1	12 101 .10000E+31 102 .10000E+ 1		Y-DISP
											.36354E-0	-73365E-0			.35941E-0	.51133E-0	.21816E-0	-26853E-0	.7838/ 1-0	.17224E-0	. 93934E-0		.11931E-0	-25415E-0	.13491E-0 .10000E+3	00000E-03	DE X-DISP
	g	8	8	8	g	Q	8	g		8	7	64	28	2	ਲ਼ੑੑੑਜ਼	Ş	<b>9</b> 1	ដ្ឋ	n n	10 10 10	24	28	88	₹	<u>5</u> 5	100000	NNC
	00.0	00.00	00.00	000 000	00.0	0.00	00.0	00.0		000 0.00	.10000E+31	-73365E-04	.74030E-U4	. 10023E-03	.35941E-03 .86965E-03	.51133E-03	-21816E-03	.26853E-03	.78387E-03	.17224E-02	.93934E-03 37724E-03		.11931E-02	.254156-02	.13491E-02 .10000E+31	TIME	Y-DISP
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			m	مړ	<u>0</u> 5	N	568	62	Ъ.	5	2	66	0 7 7	52	- <u>6</u>	6	8.0 8.0	250	(-DISP
	000.0	0,000	000.0	000.0	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000		000 0	0632E-05	2039E-04	90/2E-03	1 <u> </u>	0956E-03 6206E-03	0209E-03	1566E-02	5553E-03	7182E-03	2096E-03	2230E-03	07 81 F 02	2879E-03	7361E-03	3247E-02 0000E+31	EP	NNODE >
2	600.000 15 13	600 <b>.</b> 000 20 18	60.00 25 23	600.000	30 28 600.000	35 600.000	600.000	45 43 600.000	50 48	600.000 SES	2	8. 8.		20 27	%%	38 •5	1 · 17	20	56	85 262	80 11 2.0		- <del>-</del> -		98 104	AT TIME ST	Y-DISP
2	600.000 18	600,000 23	600.000 28	600.000	800 <b>.</b> 000	38 600-000	600.000	48 600.000	ŝ	600.000 LUMPED MAS	10000E+31	72039E-04	2400/2E-U3	Z1994E=U3	10956E-03 16206E-03	50209E-03	11566E-02	65553E-03	<i>21</i> 182E-03	32096E-03	92230E-U3	107815 00	42879E-03	47361E-03	13247E-02 10000E+31	LACEMENTS	(-DISP
V	600.000 3	600.000	600.000 5	600.000	600.000	7 600.009	600.000	600.009	10	600.000 NODAL	•	٠.	<u>n</u> ;	<u>ب</u>	মন্দ	31	<u>ب</u>	\$- ₽¦	کا	61			ۍ۳ ۲۳	5	ب م	DISP	NNODE X

	180217E-362	24592E-01 2	.16169E-37	24444E-01	3 .80603E-36	24278E-01		
Ŀ	I48654E-032	24378E-01 5	47049E-03	24082E-01	6 10271E-02	24452E-01		
7	795811E-032	24277E-01 8	89235E-03	24136E-01	916057E-02	24657E-01		
10	)12997E-022	24370E-01 11	21111E-02	25054E-01	12 19625E-02	24907E-01		
13	318173E-022	24753E-01 14	24674E-02	25202E-01	1524494E-02	-,24886E-01		
16	527549E-022	24939E-01 17	29046E-02	24767E-01	1830484E-02	24575E-01		
19	931689E-022	24317E-01 20	34286E-02	23956E-01	2138241E-02	- 23993E-01		
22	237338E-022	23818E-01 23	36581E-02	23704E-01	24 46693E-02	24321E-01		
25	539541E-022	24145E-01 26	55750E-02	25293E-01	2750270E-02	25239E-01		
28	344904E-022	25223E-01 29	63674E-02	26563E-01	3053025E-02	26494E-01		
31	168354E-022	7549E-01 32	65796E-02	27490E-01	3363050E-02	27373E-01		
34	408148E-022	27616E-01 35	72371E-02	27120E-01	3661813E-02	26275E-01		
51	70445E-022	25897E-01 38	78704E-02	25402E-01	3949243E-02	23242E-01		
40, 113	J = .78642E = 02 = .2	21832E-01 41	31809E-02	18638E-01	4251813E-02	17807E-01		
43 114	) =,(1312E=02 -,1 15050E 00 - 5	100/4E-01 44	13257E-02	13014E-01	45 - 55830E-02	10958E-01		
40	) •10000E-03( ) 715455 00 -	2532E-02 4/	16481E-02	03000E-02	4835144E-02	55039E-02		
45	ダー・(1040 <u>に=03</u> ー・2 ) カウロルロロ つつ ロ	20453E-02 50	14109E-02	13718E-02	51 .17196E-33	01722E-33		
2		12000E=22 22	400436-33	203516-33	<u>94 U.</u>	0.		
G.P.	RR_STRESS	77_979599	D7 970599	TT OTDECC	MAY D C	MTN D C	ANCLE	ъ¢
	ELEMENT NO. =	1	UT-01 UF00	II-SIRESS	MAA P.J.	MIN F.S.	ANGLE	r.s.
1	142403E+05	105408E+04	-188251F+04	- 141343F+05	- 700586F+03	- 1450385-05	-7 068	0
2	- 137476E+05	200006	1757905.00	12821115.05		1207/65.05	7 257	0.
<b>`</b>					- 1426116404			
5	- 147863E+05	306845E+03	.360377E+03	144528E+05	132011E+03 297881E+03	139/402+05	-1.425	ŏ.
5 4	147863E+05 130724E+05	306845E+03 794640E+03	.360377E+03	144528E+05	132011E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03	139/40E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05	-1.425	ŏ. o.
3 4	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. =	359550E+03 306845E+03 794640E+03 2	.360377E+03 .369614E+03	134549E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03	139746E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05	-1.425 -1.723	0. 0.
3 4 1	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05	306845E+03 794640E+03 2 476674E+03	. 112943E+04 . 369614E+03	144528E+05 134549E+05 149803E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03	139740E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05 153633E+05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339	ŏ. o.
3 4 1 2	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05 123991E+05	306845E+03 794640E+03 2 476674E+03 419294E+03	.360377E+03 .369614E+03 .112943E+04 .854064E+03	144528E+05 134549E+05 134549E+05 129006E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03 358713E+03	139746E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05 153633E+05 124596E+05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339 -4.057	0. 0. 0.
3 4 1 2 3	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05 123991E+05 139979E+05	306845E+03 794640E+03 2 476674E+03 419294E+03 424122E+03	.112943E+04 .369614E+03 .112943E+04 .854064E+03 .544093E+03	144528E+05 134549E+05 134549E+05 129006E+05 146986E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03 358713E+03 402347E+03	139746E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05 124596E+05 140197E+05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339 -4.057 -2.292	0. 0. 0. 0.
3 4 1 2 3 4	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05 123991E+05 139979E+05 137154E+05	306845E+03 794640E+03 2 476674E+03 419294E+03 424122E+03 101627E+03	.112943E+04 .369614E+03 .112943E+04 .854064E+03 .544093E+03 .580061E+03	144528E+05 134549E+05 134549E+05 129006E+05 146986E+05 133725E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03 358713E+03 402347E+03 769561E+02	139746E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05 124596E+05 140197E+05 137401E+05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339 -4.057 -2.292 -2.435	0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
3 4 1 2 3 4	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05 123991E+05 139979E+05 137154E+05 ELEMENT NO. =	306845E+03 794640E+03 2 476674E+03 419294E+03 424122E+03 101627E+03 3	.112943E+04 .369614E+03 .112943E+04 .854064E+03 .544093E+03 .580061E+03	144528E+05 134549E+05 134549E+05 129006E+05 146986E+05 133725E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03 358713E+03 402347E+03 769561E+02	139746E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05 153633E+05 124596E+05 124596E+05 137401E+05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339 -4.057 -2.292 -2.435	0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
34 1234 12	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05 123991E+05 139979E+05 137154E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 120725E+05 155576E.05	309506+03 306845E+03 2 476674E+03 419294E+03 424122E+03 101627E+03 3 .859252E+02	.112943E+04 .360377E+03 .369614E+03 .112943E+04 .854064E+03 .544093E+03 .580061E+03 .104211E+04	144528E+05 134549E+05 134549E+05 129006E+05 146986E+05 133725E+05 137897E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03 358713E+03 402347E+03 769561E+02 .174599E+03	139746E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05 124596E+05 124596E+05 137401E+05 121612E+05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339 -4.057 -2.292 -2.435 -4.864	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
34 1234 123	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05 123991E+05 139979E+05 137154E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 120725E+05 155576E+05 155576E+05	3095002+03 306845E+03 794640E+03 2 476674E+03 419294E+03 424122E+03 101627E+03 3 .859252E+02 672336E+03 754333E.00	.112943E+04 .360377E+03 .369614E+03 .112943E+04 .854064E+03 .544093E+03 .580061E+03 .104211E+04 .121601E+04	144528E+05 134549E+05 134549E+05 129006E+05 146986E+05 133725E+05 137897E+05 144822E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03 358713E+03 402347E+03 769561E+02 .174599E+03 573651E+03	139746E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05 124596E+05 124596E+05 137401E+05 121612E+05 156563E+05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339 -4.057 -2.292 -2.435 -4.864 -4.640	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
34 1234 123,	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05 123991E+05 139979E+05 137154E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 120725E+05 155576E+05 115157E+05	3095002+03 306845E+03 794640E+03 2 476674E+03 419294E+03 424122E+03 101627E+03 3 .859252E+02 672336E+03 754333E+02	.112943E+04 .360377E+03 .369614E+03 .112943E+04 .854064E+03 .544093E+03 .580061E+03 .104211E+04 .121601E+04 .151423E+04	144528E+05 134549E+05 134549E+05 129006E+05 146986E+05 133725E+05 137897E+05 131835E+05 131835E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03 358713E+03 402347E+03 769561E+02 .174599E+03 573651E+03 .121596E+03	139746E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05 124596E+05 124596E+05 140197E+05 137401E+05 121612E+05 156563E+05 156563E+05 117127E+05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339 -4.057 -2.292 -2.435 -4.864 -4.640 -7.414	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
34 1234 1234 1234	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05 123991E+05 139979E+05 137154E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 120725E+05 155576E+05 155157E+05 158138E+05 ELEMENT NO	309506+03 306845E+03 794640E+03 2 476674E+03 419294E+03 424122E+03 101627E+03 3 .859252E+02 672336E+03 754333E+02 995668E+03	.112943E+04 .360377E+03 .369614E+03 .54064E+03 .544093E+03 .580061E+03 .104211E+04 .121601E+04 .151423E+04 .202746E+04	134549E+05 134549E+05 134549E+05 129006E+05 146986E+05 133725E+05 137897E+05 131835E+05 131835E+05 149737E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03 358713E+03 402347E+03 769561E+02 .174599E+03 573651E+03 .121596E+03 723272E+03	139746E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05 124596E+05 124596E+05 140197E+05 137401E+05 121612E+05 156563E+05 117127E+05 160862E+05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339 -4.057 -2.292 -2.435 -4.864 -4.640 -7.414 -7.652	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
34 1234 1234 1234 1	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05 123991E+05 139979E+05 137154E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 120725E+05 155576E+05 155576E+05 158138E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 133486E+05	309506+03 306845E+03 2 476674E+03 419294E+03 424122E+03 101627E+03 3 .859252E+02 672336E+03 754333E+02 995668E+03 4 746264E+03	.112943E+04 .360377E+03 .369614E+03 .112943E+04 .854064E+03 .544093E+03 .580061E+03 .104211E+04 .121601E+04 .151423E+04 .202746E+04	134549E+05 134549E+05 134549E+05 129006E+05 146986E+05 133725E+05 133725E+05 131835E+05 131835E+05 149737E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03 358713E+03 402347E+03 769561E+02 .174599E+03 573651E+03 .121596E+03 723272E+03	139746E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05 124596E+05 124596E+05 140197E+05 137401E+05 121612E+05 156563E+05 117127E+05 160862E+05 120661E:05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339 -4.057 -2.292 -2.435 -4.864 -4.640 -7.414 -7.652	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
34 1234 1234 1234 12	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05 123991E+05 139979E+05 137154E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 120725E+05 155576E+05 155576E+05 158138E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 133486E+05 133564E+05	3095002+03 306845E+03 794640E+03 2 476674E+03 419294E+03 424122E+03 101627E+03 3 .859252E+02 672336E+03 754333E+02 995668E+03 4 746264E+03 736688E+03	.112943E+04 .369614E+03 .112943E+04 .854064E+03 .544093E+03 .580061E+03 .104211E+04 .121601E+04 .121601E+04 .202746E+04 .285467E+04	144528E+05 134549E+05 134549E+05 129006E+05 146986E+05 133725E+05 137897E+05 144822E+05 131835E+05 149737E+05 149737E+05 135909E+05 135909E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03 358713E+03 402347E+03 769561E+02 .174599E+03 573651E+03 .121596E+03 723272E+03 129786E+03	139746E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05 124596E+05 124596E+05 140197E+05 137401E+05 121612E+05 156563E+05 117127E+05 160862E+05 139651E+05 120603E+05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339 -4.057 -2.292 -2.435 -4.864 -4.640 -7.414 -7.652 -12.186	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
34 1234 1234 1234 123	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05 123991E+05 139979E+05 137154E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 120725E+05 155576E+05 155576E+05 158138E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 133486E+05 133564E+05 161873E+05	3095002+03 306845E+03 794640E+03 2 476674E+03 419294E+03 424122E+03 101627E+03 3 .859252E+02 672336E+03 754333E+02 995668E+03 4 746264E+03 736688E+03 716272E+03	.112943E+04 .369614E+03 .112943E+04 .854064E+03 .544093E+03 .580061E+03 .104211E+04 .121601E+04 .151423E+04 .202746E+04 .285467E+04 .285467E+04	134549E+05 134549E+05 134549E+05 129006E+05 146986E+05 133725E+05 137897E+05 131835E+05 131835E+05 149737E+05 149737E+05 149737E+05 140809E+05 140809E+05 140809E+05 145098E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03 358713E+03 402347E+03 769561E+02 .174599E+03 573651E+03 .121596E+03 723272E+03 129786E+03 123832E+03 698999E+02	1397462+05 1479522+05 1308352+05 1245962+05 1245962+05 1401972+05 1374012+05 13765632+05 1565632+05 1608622+05 13969212+05 1396922+05 1396922+05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339 -4.057 -2.292 -2.435 -4.864 -4.640 -7.414 -7.652 -12.186 -12.145 -11 324	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
34 1234 1234 1234 1234	147863E+05 130724E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 152776E+05 123991E+05 139979E+05 137154E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 120725E+05 155576E+05 155576E+05 158138E+05 ELEMENT NO. = 133486E+05 133564E+05 161873E+05 105957E+05	309506+03 306845E+03 794640E+03 2 476674E+03 419294E+03 424122E+03 101627E+03 3 .859252E+02 672336E+03 754333E+02 995668E+03 4 746264E+03 736688E+03 716272E+03 716272E+03 963920E+03	.112943E+04 .369614E+03 .112943E+04 .854064E+03 .544093E+03 .580061E+03 .104211E+04 .121601E+04 .151423E+04 .202746E+04 .285467E+04 .322767E+04	144528E+05 134549E+05 134549E+05 129006E+05 146986E+05 133725E+05 137897E+05 137897E+05 144822E+05 149737E+05 149737E+05 149737E+05 14909E+05 145098E+05 149019E+05	132611E+03 297881E+03 783523E+03 390986E+03 358713E+03 402347E+03 769561E+02 .174599E+03 573651E+03 .121596E+03 723272E+03 129786E+03 123832E+03 698999E+02 525664E+03	139746E+05 147952E+05 130835E+05 124596E+05 124596E+05 140197E+05 137401E+05 121612E+05 156563E+05 156563E+05 160862E+05 139651E+05 139692E+05 168337E+05 10330E+05	-1.425 -1.723 -4.339 -4.057 -2.292 -2.435 -4.864 -4.640 -7.414 -7.652 -12.186 -12.145 -11.324 -11.78µ	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.

	ELEMENT NO. =	5						
1	168527E+05	712967E+03	.355630E+04	151044E+05	.358997E+02	176015E+05 -11	.891	0.
2	965035E+04	718838E+03	.203275E+04	122643E+05	277959E+03	100912E+05 -12	2.237	0.
3	157929E+05	601834E+03	.309818E+04	154933E+05	.573147E+01	164005E+05 -11	1.095	0.
4	109494E+05	556352E+03	.189021E+04	127634E+05	223251E+03	112825E+05 -9	9.994	0.
	ELEMENT NO. =	6						
1	136993E+05	430264E+03	.276735E+04	153917E+05	.123755E+03	142533E+05 -1	1.321	0.
2	130603E+05	829663E+03	.258448E+04	139319E+05	305957E+03	135840E+05 -11	.455	0.
3	100891E+05	428639E+03	.185225E+04	146315E+05	856752E+02	104321E+05 -10	0.490	0.
4	167170E+05	-106309E+04	.358040E+04	158653E+05	283044E+03	174970E+05 -12	2.291	0.
4	ELEMENT NU. =	7		1260805 05	1606605.00	77007617.00 11		~
ا م	(401906+04	154120E+03	.155017 6+04	13000000405	. 1020536403	{ { 90 { 02+04 -1	000	0.
4	- 100530L+05	1(1930E+04	.502502E+04	1/2140E+05	354022E+03		2.199 2.276	0.
נ	- 2110225.05	203243E+03	- 1230025+04 5000805.04	1812010-05	628225F-02			Å.
-		0 	•399900E+04	1013246+03	0302296+05	2200136+05 -10	1+34V	0.
1	- 421590F+04	0 	1678205-04	- 101071F+05	2026985+03		<u>417</u>	0
ź	203671E+05	292740E+04	.739486E+04	175571E+05	213976E+03	230805E+05 -20	), 150	0.
-	=.579043E+04	- 101705F-04	2608485+04	- 8502565+01	- 605623E+02	- 710276F+0/L - 26	5 707	Δ.
Ĩ	= .179717F+05	- 280583F+04	7164477404	- 151334FLAS	13/13/15-02	$= 2082105 \pm 05 \pm 20$	1 687	о. Л
	FLEMENT NO -	0	*/ (013) <u>5703</u>		TOTUTOE		1.001	0.
1	808480E+04	179792E+04	.441523E+04	705104E+04	.478552E+03	103613E+05 -2	7.275	۵.
2	138434E+05	337445E+04	.706677E+04	122206E+05	185344E+03	= .174031E+05 = 26	5.736	ő.
1	126711E+05	- 270604F+04	.796913F+04	- 613001F+04	164120F+04	= 171083F = 05 = 20	2 100	٥. ٥
4	825151E+04	490425E+04	337893E+04	- 813863E+04	- 280717E+04	$-103486E+05$ $-3^{\circ}$	1 825	ŏ.
	ELEMENT NO. =	10						
1	175308E+05	460688E+04	.983514E+04	674090E+04	.699203E+03	228369E+05 -28	3.347	0.
2	149784E+04	237914E+04	.298633E+04	325566E+04	.108018E+04	495715E+04 40	0.803	Ō.
3	253662E+05	151577E+05	.109573E+05	118829E+05	<b>817417E+0</b> 4	323498E+05 -32	2.511	0.
4	.721668E+04	.453440E+04	.661719E+03	-320558E+04	.737105E+04	.438003E+04 13	3.131	0.

· · · · · · · · · ·

etc.

## A.4.9 Solution of dynamic transient elasto-plastic problem by implicit/explicit approach. Example of Section 11.6.1, Fig. 11.4

1

Input data

53	10	2	1								
SPH	ERICAL	CAP	EXAMP	'LE ,I	MIXDYN	, SE	CTION	11.6	.1 ,FI	G. 11	.4
6	3	8	11	2	2	4	2	0-	Ò	2	3
1	1	1	4	6	7	8	5	3	2		
2	1	6	9	11	12	13	10	8	7		
3	1	11	14	16	17	18	15	13	12		
4	1	16	19	21	22	23	20	18	17		
5	1	21	24	26	27	28	25	23	22		
6	1	26	29	31	32	33	30	28	27		
7	1	31	34	36	37	38	35	33	32		
8	1	36	39	41	42	43	40	38	37		
.9	1	41	44	46	47	48	45	43	42		
10	1	45	49	51	52	53	50	48	47		
		~~				~ ~					
1 11	22	•21	0.0	0000		20	22	-21	13.3		
4	22	•2(	1.5	335		29 24	22	•≤( 27	14.00	200	
õ	22	•<{	2.0	010		21	22	•21	17 22		
11	22	• 2 (	4.0	005		34	22	27	18 66	222	
11 11	22	•21	2.3	340 475		30	22	27	20.00	125	
16	22	•<  27	. 0.0	C17		ンフ 月1日	22	27	20.00	260	
10	22	• 21	0.0			<u>цп</u>	22	27	22.66	300 395	
21	22	• <i>∠ (</i> ∕ 27	10 6	545		46	22	.27	24.00	130	
21	22	14 17	10.0	000		10	22	27	25 21	265	
27	č.č	• < 1	12.0	015		51	22	.27	26.67	700	

2 7 12 17 22 37 42 37 42 47 5 8 10 13	22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.475 22.68 22.68 22.68	00. 2. 5. 8. 10. 13. 16. 18. 21. 24. 26. 00. 1. 2. 4. 5. 4. 5. 4. 5. 6. 13. 14. 24. 5. 6. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19	0000 6670 3340 0010 6680 3350 0020 6690 3360 0030 6700 0000 3335 6670 0005 3340			158 223 258 33358 4358 455 53	222 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	.68 .68 .68 .68 .68 .68 .68 .68 .68 .68	6.6675 8.0010 9.3345 10.6680 12.0015 13.3350 14.6685 16.0020 17.3355 18.6690 20.0025 21.3360 22.6695 24.0030 25.3365 26.6700		
1 2 51 52 53 1	10 10 10 11 11 11										
105000			0.0		0.00	0245	0.0		24000.0	214285.71	0.0
200	1 1	20	1	1	1	1	0	5	201 2		
0.0000	0.0	01	0.0	01000	0.0	•	0.0	-	0.2500	0.50	0.0
1											
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
53	0	.0									
DIS	STRIBUTE	D STE	P PRES	SURE	P=600	LBZ TN	1.50.				
ō	0	1	0				~~~				
10	-		_								
1	8	5	3	-			• -				
0.000	12 500	.0	_600.I	0	0.0		0.0		0.0		
2	13	10	Ø								

om	600.0 18 15	600.0 13	0.0	0.0	0.0
	23 20 23 20	600.0 18	0.0	0.0	0.0
	600.0 28 25	600.0 23	0,0	0.0	0.0
	600 <b>.</b> 0 33 30	600.0 28	0.0	0.0	0"0
	600.0 38 35	600.0 33	0.0	0.0	0.0
	600.0 43 40	600.0 38	0.0	0.0	0.0
	600.0 15	(600.0	0'0	0.0	0.0
	600.0 53 50	_600.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	600.0	600.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

# Line printer output

									N	~	업	17	ß	5	ы М	37	42	17 17
11.4			0	4	п	a			m	ω	μ Ω	18	ñ	28	ŝ	<u>8</u>	43 1	8 <del>1</del>
FIG.		NVFIX	NDOFN	NGAUS	NCRIT	<b>NLAPS</b>			ŋ	6	<del>1</del> 5	2	አን	<u>ө</u>	32	ş	<del>5</del>	ß
6.1		-	-	_					æ	13	18	ຕູ	28	£	38	<del>4</del> 3	왉	ß
N 11.		10	œ	F	⇒	0			~	12	17	22	5	R	37	Ч Ч	47	25
SECTIC								<b>HBERS</b>	Q	11	16	<u>7</u>	8	щ	8	41	<del>1</del> 6	5
NXN .	•	॥ ह्न	॥ 因	Р =	н Э	= МV	s S S	DE NUI	ㅋ	თ	14	<del>1</del> 9	5	23	л М	68	11 1	ţ
MIX		NEL	IONN	NPRC	ILSN	NCO	NRAI	ION	-	9		16	2	26	ы Б	36	41	4Q
CAP EXAMPLE,	ARAMETERS	ß	m	-	2	0	m	PROPERTY	•	-	, -	1	· Sara	-	•	-	-	•
SPHERICAL	CONTROL PJ	= NIOAN	NTYPE =	NMATS =	NDIME =	NPREV =	NGAUM =	ELEMENT	-	N	m	4	ŝ	Q	7	∞	თ	₽

ииии

1	22.270	0.000	3	2	22.475	16.002
, Á	22.270	1.334		7	22.475	18.669
6	22.270	2.667	4	2	22,475	21.336
ģ	22.270	4.001	4	7	22.475	24,003
11	22.270	5.334	5	2	22.475	26.670
14	22.270	6.668	2	3	22.680	0.000
16	22.270	8.001		ร์	22.680	1.334
19	22.270	9.335		8	22.680	2.667
21	22.270	10.668	1	ŏ	22.680	4.001
24	22.270	12,002	1	à.	22.680	5.334
26	22.270	13.335	1	5	22.680	6.668
29	22.270	14.669	1	8	22.680	8.001
31	22.270	16.002	2	0	22.680	9.335
34	22.270	17.336	2	3	22.680	10.668
36	22.270	18.669	2	5	22.680	12,002
39	22.270	20.003	2	8	22.680	13.335
41	22.270	21.336	3	0	22.680	14.669
44	22.270	22.670	3	3	22.680	16.002
46	22.270	24.003	3	5	22.680	17.336
49	22.270	25.337	3	8	22.680	18.669
51	22.270	26.670	4	0	22.680	20.003
2	22.475	0.000	4	3	22.680	21.336
7	22.475	2.667	4	5	22.680	22.670
12	22.475	5.334	4	8	22.680	24.003
17	22.475	8.001	5	0	22.680	25.337
22	22.475	10.668	5	3	22.680	26,670
27	22.475	13.335				
NODE	Х	Y			_	
1	0.000	22.270	1	3	2.108	22.582
2	0.000	22,475	1	4	2.586	22.119
3	0.000	22.680	1	5	2.633	22.52(
4	.518	22.264	1	6	3,100	22.053
5	.528	22.674	1	Ţ	3.128	22.256
0	1.036	22.240	1	8	3.157	22.459
1	1.046	22.451	1	9	3.012	21.975
ŏ	1.055	22.055	2	U	3.079	22.300
10	1,554	22,210	2	() ()	4.123	21.005
10	1.502	22.025	2	2	4,101	22.00(
10	2.0/0	22.174	2	5	4.190	22.200
12	2.009	22.510	2	4	4.031	21.703

25 26 27 28 29 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 37 38 9	4.716 5.136 5.231 5.639 5.743 6.139 6.196 6.252 6.636 6.758 7.129 7.194 7.260 7.618	22.184 21.670 21.869 22.069 21.544 21.941 21.407 21.604 21.604 21.258 21.650 21.098 21.292 21.487 20.927			40 41 42 43 45 47 49 51 52 53	7.758 8.103 8.177 8.252 8.583 8.741 9.059 9.142 9.226 9.530 9.706 9.996 10.088 10.180	21 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	.312 .744 .935 .126 .549 .928 .344 .531 .719 .531 .719 .531 .719 .267
NODE	CODE 10		19	00			37	00
2	10		20	00			20	00
5	10		21	00			אנ	
4	00		22	00			чо //1	00
2	00		20	00			12	00
7	00		25	00			43	00
8	00		26	00			44	00
ğ	00		27	00			45	00
10	00		28	00			46	00
11	00		29	00			47	00
12	00		30	00			48	00
13	00		31	00			49	00
14	00		32	00			50	00
15	00		33	00			51	11
10	00		34	00			52	11
1/			25	00			22	11
10	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		20	00				
		T00						

.

MATERIAL PROPERTIESMATERIAL NO1YOUNG MODULUS.105POISSON RATIO.300THICKNESS0. 1 . 1050E+08 . 3000

MASS DENSITY .2450E-03 ALPHA TEMPR 0. REFERENCE FO .2400E+05 HARDENING PAR .2143E+06 FRICT ANGLE ٥. FLUIDITY PAR .1000E+05 EXP DELTA 1.000 NFLOW CODE 1.000 TIME STEPPING PARAMETERS NSTEP= 200 NOUTP= NOUTD= 20 1 NREQD= NREQS= NACCE= 1 1 1 5 IFUNC= IFIXD= 1 0 MITER= KSTEP= 201 IPRED= 2 DTIME= .5000E-05 DTEND= .1000E-02 DTREC= 0. AALFA= 0. BEETA= 0. DELTA= .2500 BZERO= 0. GAAMA = .5000 AZERO= 0. OMEGA= 0. TOLER= .1000E-03 SELECTIVE OUTPUT REQUESTED FOR FOLLOWING NODES 1 G.P. 1 TYPE OF ELEMENT, IMPLICIT=1, EXPLICIT=2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 NODE INITIAL X-DISP. INITIAL Y-DISP. 53 0. 0. INITIAL Y-VELO. INITIAL X-VELO. NODE 0. 53 0. LOAD CASE TITLE -DISTRIBUTED STEP PRESSURE P=600LB/IN LOAD INPUT PARAMETERS POINT LOADS 0 GRAVITY 0 EDGE LOAD 1 TEMPERATURE 0 NO. OF LOADED EDGES = 10 LIST OF LOADED EDGES AND APPLIED LOADS 8 5 1 3 600.000 600.000 600.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 13 8 2 10 600.000 0.000 600.000 600.000 0.000 0.000 3 18 15 13 600.000 600.000 600.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 4 23 20 18

600.000	600	.000	600	000.0	C	.000	C	.000	0	.000										
5		28	25	23																
600.000	600	.000	600	000.0	C	.000	C	.000	0	.000										
6		33	30	28																
600.000	600	.000	600	.000	0	.000	C	.000	0	.000										
7	_	38	35	33																
600.000	600	.000	600	000.0	0	.000	C	.000	0	.000										
8	<i></i>	43	40	38	-				-											
600.000	600	000	600	000.0	0	.000	C	.000	0	.000										
9		48	45	43	-		_													
600.000	600	.000	600	000	0	.000	C	.000	0	.000										
10		53	50	48	_	~~~	-													
600.000	600	.000	600	.000	- 0	-000		0.000	0	.000										
NEQNS=	97		NWMTL	.= 104	5	NWKI	L= 10	45	112	- 1	677	-			4.00			100	4110	
160	175	101	207	01.0	202	22	29	- 51	40	50	07	79	92	99	107	110	126	157	149	
102		191	207 1107	214	222	231	241	252	204	211	291	500	322	329	351	540	350	301	3/9	
572 622	400	421 651	437	444 67.1	472	401	701	402	494 704	501 727	221 7⊑1	230	552 780	222	50( 707	5/0	500	271	820	
852	866	881	807	001	002	021	021	012	124	151	081	006	1012	1010	1027	1026	1016	021	039	
<u>حر</u> ن 1	2000	100	7	904	912	921	20	942 27	904 JIG	907	901	990	02	1019	1027	1050	1040	127	10	
162	176	101	207	21/1	222	221	27 201	252	26/1	277	201	206	200	220	227	2/16	256	267	270	
302	406	121	127	217 1111	162	7161	とう1 月71	180	204	507	521	500	562	550	567	576	550	507	500	
622	676	651	667	67 L	682	401 601	701	712	7211	727	751	766	780	780	707	806	200 816	297	820	
852	866	881	807	001-	012	021	031	012	051	067	081	006	1012	1010	1027	1026	1016	02(	039	
INITIAL	CCELE	RATIC	N N	, 00	J.C	) <b>L</b> 1	<i></i>	772	)),	501	101	<u> </u>	IVIL	1019	1021	1050	1040			
18236E+	08 .	91173	E+07	541	33E+0	83	5754E	+06 -	. 1824	7E+08	13	920F-	.07 -	54061	80131	930	)18F±0	6 - 1	82285-08	11611325+06
.91132E+	07	23578	E+07	540	47E+0	8 - 1	2424E	+07 -	1820	5E+08	38	320F4	-07	53043	2F+08	- 171	1435-0	7 _ 1	8168F+08	.87161E+06
.90830E+	07	49321	E+07	538	67E+0	8 - 2	1006 E	+07 -	.1812	8E+08	63	175E-	-07	53711	E+08	- 257	'52E+0	7 _ 1	8076E+08	12872E+07
.90371E+	07 -	74684	E+07	535	81E+0	8 - 2	9436E	+07 -	.1800	3E+08	87	955E-	-07	53354	E+08	- 339	945E+0	7 – 1	7917E+08	16969E+07
.89579E+	07	99591	E+07	531	51E+0	83	7934E	+07 -	.1786	9E+08	11	275E-	- 80-	52913	3E+08	- 425	61E+0	71	7812E+08	.21275E+07
.89049E+	07	12464	E+08	526	92E+0	84	5797E	+07 -	.1759	0E+08	13	681E4	-08	52254	E+08	496	519E+0	71	7373E+08	.24809E+07
.86861E+	07	14781	E+08	518	05E+0	85	5366E	+07 -	.1763	2E+08	16	247E-	-08	51858	3E+08	620	)11E+0	71	7977E+08	.30987E+07
.89849E+	07	17535	E+08	517	41E+0	85	8186E	+07 –	<b>.</b> 1631 i	8E+08	18	180E-	-08	50157	′E+08	573	372E+0	71	5178E+08	.28705E+07
.75929E+	07	18229	E+08	475	44E+0	88	2556E	+07 -	<b>.</b> 1928;	3E+08	22	510E+	-08	53199	)E+08	122	268E+0	82	6298E+08	.61105E+07
.13102E+	08	23821	E+08	530	89E+0	82	0703E	+07 -	.5075	2E+07	- 19	969E+	- 80+	42545	5E+08					
26655	55	544	44	434	44	434			_											
DISPL	ACEME.	NTS A	T TIM	E STE	P	-	20	TIM	E	.1000	00000	000E-	-03							
NNODE X-	DISP		Y-DIS	P	NNODE	Х-	DISP		Y-DIS	P	NNODE	Х-	DISP		Y-DIS	SP				

INODE	X-DISP	I-DISP	NNODE	X-DISP	I-DISP	NNODE	X-DISP	Y-DISP
1	0.	24848E-01	2	0.	24695E-01	3	0.	-,24531E-01
4	49085E-03	24866E-01	5	47375E-03	324549E-01	6	10248E-0	0224900E-01

.

796448E-0324721E-01 1013198E-0224815E-01 1318734E-0225137E-01 1626710E-0224905E-01 1931289E-0224240E-01 2237049E-0223738E-01 2539278E-0224100E-01 2844634E-0225182E-01 3168507E-0227577E-01 3468161E-0227664E-01 3770370E-0225898E-01 4078646E-0221836E-01 4371196E-0216855E-01 455487E-0372526E-02 49 .71525E-0326446E-02 52 0. 0. STRESSES	890720E-03 1120699E-02 1423709E-02 1728704E-02 2033998E-02 2336218E-02 2655678E-02 2963749E-02 3265811E-02 3378691E-02 3878691E-02 4131688E-02 4413242E-02 4413242E-02 5014080E-02 50 0.	24585E-01 25440E-01 25414E-01 24721E-01 23877E-01 23626E-01 25249E-01 26559E-01 27518E-01 27518E-01 25398E-01 18623E-01 13015E-01 62975E-02 13752E-02 0.	916044E-02 1219725E-02 1525289E-02 1830683E-02 2137999E-02 2446539E-02 2750092E-02 3052828E-02 3362954E-02 3661665E-02 3949158E-02 4251687E-02 4555782E-02 4835098E-02 51 0. 54 0.	25115E-01 25296E-01 25071E-01 24530E-01 23915E-01 24268E-01 25194E-01 26501E-01 26501E-01 26284E-01 23247E-01 17788E-01 10963E-01 54896E-02 0.		
G.P. RR-STRESS ZZ-STRES	S RZ-STRESS	5 TT-STRESS	MAX P.S.	MIN P.S.	ANGLE	P.S.
1140401E+05297607E+0	3 .102996E+02	139518E+05	297599E+03	140401E+05	043	0.
2 137677E+05 163963E+0	3597302E+01	138441E+05	- 163961E+03	137677E+05	.025	0.
3 - 144323E+05 442655E+0	3 738033E+03	141613E+05	479184E+03	144688E+05	-2.834	<b>0</b> .
4 = 13330F+05 = 036908F+0	3 .632685E+03	- 136731E+05	- 004700F+03	- 133652E+05	-2.914	Ő.
ELEMENT NO. $\leq 2$				-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-2.914	0.
1 - 152286E+05 - 445944E+0	2 .890663E+03	148846E+05	.747159E+01	152807E+05	-3-346	0.
2 - 125683E+05 - 504156E+0	3 703159E+03	130704E+05	- 463311F+03	- 126091F+05	-3.324	0.
3 = 135150E+05 = 291803E+0	3 500085E+03	144796E+05	272918F+03	- 135339E+05	-2.163	0.
4 = 143944F+05 = 155896F+0	3 _601210E+03	137405F+05	- 130555F+03	- 144197E+05	-2.414	Ő.
ELEMENT NO. $=$ 3					-61-11-	••
1114077E+05 .321093E+0	3 _ 890750E+03	133350E+05	.388356E+03	114749E+05	-4.318	0.
2 = .163302E+05 = .869776E+0	3 .130850E+04	- 149798E+05	- 759812E+03	164402E+05	-4-804	<u>0</u> .
3 = .119282F+05 = .302176F+0	202031E+04	- 130545E+05	303478F+03	- 122619E+05	-9.379	ñ.
4 = 153434F+05 = 105963F+0	231258F_04	- 140313F-05	- 60121185703	- 157084F+05	-8.971	0.
ELEMENT NO. = 4					-0.7(1	••
$1 = 140507F_{\pm}05 = 63568\mu F_{\pm}0$	272355FLOU	- 136079F+05	- 103820F+03	- 1 <u>45826F+05</u>	-11.050	0.
$2 = 129503F_{+}05 = 771685F_{+}0$	251263F±04	- 139120F+05	273660F+03	134483E+05	_11.211	0_
$3 = 162765F_{-}05 = 524428F_{-}0$	331560F_04	1UU035F05	135305F103	- 169463F-05	_11,421	<u>0</u> .
$\mu = 105661F_{1}05 = 107081F_{1}0$	217283F±0/	- 128311F-05	- 507218F+02	_ 110307F+05	-12 206	<u>.</u>
$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	* ******	· 1203176403	· • J J I E   • L • U J	-,	-121270	••
			<b>SECO(19 00</b>			_

2	985701E+04	929878E+03	.189549E+04	123230E+05	544081E+03	102428E+05 -11.504	0.
3	158004E+05	584241E+03	.320362E+04	154607E+05	.627411E+02	164474E+05 -11.418	0.
-Ã	109975E+05	917806E+03	.197734E+04	128391E+05	543789E+03	113715E+05 -10.711	0.
	ELEMENT NO. =	6					
1	137487E+05	310136E+03	.270211E+04	153590E+05	.212832E+03	142716E+05 -10.954	0.
2	131377E+05	977521E+03	.241519E+04	139613E+05	515392E+03	135998E+05 -10.832	0.
ि	100168E+05	385414E+03	.191198E+04	146078E+05	197402E+02	103824E+05 -10.827	0.
4	167785E+05	117197E+04	.363810E+04	159001E+05	365543E+03	175849E+05 -12.498	0.
-	ELEMENT NO. =	7			• • • •		
1	- 722734E+04	.111484E+03	.143221E+04	134652E+05	.381084E+03	749694E+04 -10.661	0.
2	- 192763E+05	178155E+04	.492010E+04	173694E+05	492791E+03	205651E+05 -14.678	0.
3	478449E+04	226073E+03	.140219E+04	117250E+05	.170709E+03	518127E+04 -15.800	Ο.
4	209663E+05	- 258450E+04	.619192E+04	- 181578E+05	- 693317F+03	- 228575F+05 -16 084	Ω
•	ELEMENT NO. =	8		11010100		-12203132403 -101304	· ·
1	- 437572E+04	157141E+03	- 160307 E+04	101811E+05	.382897E+03	491576F+04 -18-618	٥.
2	- 205255E+05	290008E+04	724227E+04	175949E+05	- 306030E+03	231196F+05 -19.707	Ő.
3	569987E+04	196356E+04	272300E+04	856768E+04	529486E+03	713394E+04 -27.774	<b>0</b> .
ŭ	178667E+05	303932E+04	-725350E+04	151639E+05	811257F+02	208249F+05 -22.187	n.
•	ELEMENT NO. =	9		1000000		-12002492409 -221101	•••
1	- 820383E+04	- 168373E+04	-434615E+04	- 704395E+04	489173E+03	103767F+05 26.563	٥.
2	- 140072E+05	338917E+04	.689124E+04	- 122639E+05	.945125E+00	$= 173973F + 05 = 26 \cdot 195$	0.
3	124091E+05	260385E+04	807719E+04	598991E+04	194216F+04	$= .169551F \pm 05 = .29.372$	Ő.
ų	832578E+04	512213E+04	347770E+04	822056E+04	289508E+04	= 105528F+05 = 32.635	Ő.
	ELEMENT NO. =	10	19111102101	10220302101	-120))000104		
1	- 175228E+05		-989584E+04	667527E+04	002688E+03	228376F+05 -28.239	٥.
2	- 153824E+04	- 224010E+04	286990F+04	- 322046E+04	100211E+04	-478044E+04 41.514	Ő.
3	- 253810E+05	- 152556E+05	.109737E+05	119169E+05	823306E+04	324035F+05 -32.617	ō.
ŭ	.708117E+04	431688E+04	-684627E+03	310099E+04	7241445+04		<u> </u>
•		1.310000104		19100992004	161110404	11,001,0404 (311)	•••

FINITE ELEMENTS IN PLASTICITY

584

.

.

...

•

.

.

.

.

.

.

÷

.

٠

.

### **AUTHOR INDEX**

Abel, J. F., 11 Ahmad, S., 214, 429, 499, 500 Alexander, J. M., 496 Ang, A. H. L., 373 Argyris, J. H., 31, 499 Armen, H., 268, 373, 497 Atkinson, J. H., 496 Backlund, J., 373 Banerjee, P. K., 502 Baron, M. L., 94 Barrer, R. M., 94 Bashur, F. K., 500 Basu, A. K., 500 Bathe, K. J., 214, 429, 463, 499 Batoz, J. L., 498 Bhaumik, A. K., 373 Bazant, Z. P., 496 Belytschko, T., 462 Berg, C. A., 269 Bergan, P. G., 498, 501 Bettess, P., 502 Bhat, P. D., 496 Bicanic, N., 429, 496, 499 Bird, R. B., 497 Bishop, A. W., 268 Bland, D. R., 268 Bolourchi, S., 499, 500 Booth, A. D., 31 Brandt, A., 501 Bransby, P., 496 Brew, J. S., 429, 501 Bridgman, P. W., 268 Brotton, D. M., 429, 501 Bushnell, D., 498 Cathie, D. N., 502 Cattopadhyay, A., 498 Cescotto, S., 498 Chang, C. T., 497

Chang, T. S., 268 Cohen, M., 214, 373, 499 Cope, R. J., 500 Cormeau, I., 119, 317, 318, 500 Cowper, G. R., 153 Crisfield, M. A., 498, 501 Darwin, D., 500 Davies, J. D., 499 Davies, T. G., 502 Davis, E. M., 268 Deruntz, J. A., 463, 497 Desai, C. S., 11 Dhatt, G., 498 Dinis, L. M. S., 318, 500 Duncan, W., 500 Dunne, P. C., 499 Duwez, P., 318 Dym, C. L., 153 Elsawaf, A. F., 501 Epstein, H. I., 429 Fanelli, M., 501 Felippa, C. A., 463, 497, 501 Fletcher, R., 501 Frederick, D., 268 Fredriksson, B., 497 Frey, F., 498, 500 Fried, I., 501 Fröier, M., 269 Gallagher, R. H., 11, 498 Geradin, M., 500 Gilbert, R. F., 500 Gomez, A. E., 496 Goodier, J. N., 214 Haisler, W., 318 Hant', F. R., 500

Hanley, J. T., 373 Harley, S. J., 499 Haroun, M., 214, 499 Hestenes, M., 501 Hill, R., 31, 94, 119, 214, 268, 497 Hinton, E., 11, 31, 94, 152, 153, 214, 268, 373, 429, 430, 463, 496, 497, 498, 499, 501 Hodge, P. G., 268 Hoffman, O., 268 Hogge, M. A., 500 Horrigmoe, G., 498 Hughes, T. J. R., 153, 214, 318, 373, 462, 463, 497, 499 Hutchinson, J. W., 497 Irons, B. M., 214, 429, 499, 500, 501 Jennings, A., 94, 501 Jofreit, J. C., 500 Johnarry, T., 500 Johnson, G. R., 429 Kanchi, M. B., 318 Kanoknukulchai, S., 153 Kelly, D. W., 502 Kemp, K. O., 373 Kershaw, D. S., 501 King, I. P., 31, 268 Knott, J. F., 497 Koiter, W. T., 268 Kostem, C. N., 373, 498 Krakeland, B., 499 Kramer, J. M., 269 Krieg, D. B., 269 Krieg, R. D., 269 Kulak, R. F., 269 Laird, W. M., 497 Larsen, P. K., 499 Leung, K. H., 497 Levine, H. S., 268, 373, 497 Levy, N., 497 Lightfoot, E. N., 497 Lin, C. S., 500 Lin, T. H., 429 Liu, S. C., 429 Liu, W. K., 462 Lopez, L. A., 373 Lyness, J. F., 31, 497 Mackerle, J., 497 Malik, G. M., 501

Malkus, D., 499 Marcal, P. V., 497 Martins, R. A. F., 500 Matthies, H., 500 McClintock, F., 497 McNiece, G. M., 373, 500 Metzler, J., 501 Mohraz, B., 496 Mote, C. D. J., 501 Mullen, R., 462 Mustoe, G. G. W., 502 Nagarajan, S., 429, 463 Nayak, G. C., 268, 318, 429, 496, 500 Naylor, D. J., 496 Nickell, R. E., 429 Nilsson, L., 496 Noor, A. K., 499 Oden, J. T., 11, 31 Olszak, W., 317 Ostergren, W. J., 497 Owen, D. R. J., 11, 31, 94, 152, 153, 214, 268, 317, 318, 373, 429, 430, 496, 497, 499, 500 Ozdemir, H., 429, 463 Pande, G. N., 318 Park, K. C., 463, 497 Paul, D. K., 463 Peano, A. M., 501 Pencold, D. A., 500 Perzyna, P., 317 Phillips, D. V., 496 Pica, A., 429, 498, 501 Pifko, A., 268, 373, 497 Pister, K. S., 463 Polak, E., 501 Popov, E. P., 429, 463, 499 Powell, G. H., 502 Prager, W., 268 Prakash, A., 94, 318 Price, J. W. H., 496 Pugh, E. D. L., 499 Putali, R. S., 498 Raghavan, K. S., 430 Ralston, A., 94 Ramm, E., 499 Rao, P. U., 500 Rao, S. S., 430 Razzaque, A., 499 Reeves, C. M., 501

Reisemann, W., 318 Rheinboldt, W. C., 502 Riccioni, R., 501 Rice, J. R., 497 Rock, T. A., 429 Rosengrun, G. F., 497 Row, D. G., 502 Sachs, G., 268 Sakurai, T., 268 Salonen, E. M., 496 Salvadori, M. G., 94 Samuelsson, A., 269 Sandella, L., 501 Scharpf, D. W., 31 Schnobrich, W. C., 496, 500 Schofield, A. N., 496 Schrem, E., 502 Schreyer, H. L., 269 Seegerlind, L. J., 31 Shames, I. H., 153 Shantaram, D., 430, 499 Shieh, C. L., 496 Skelland, A. N. P., 497 Soreide, T., 501 Stewart, W. E., 497 Stiefel, E., 501 Strang, G., 500 Stricklin, J. A., 318

Taylor, R. L., 153, 318, 463, 499 Timoshenko, S. P., 214 Too, J. M., 499 Tracey, D. M., 497 Turvey, G., 373 Underwood, P., 501 Valanis, K. C., 496 Valliappan, S., 31, 268 Wachspress, E. L., 501 Warner, R. F., 500 Wegmuller, A. W., 373, 498 Wegner, R., 500 White, G. N., 268 Wilson, E. L., 214, 429, 463, 501 Wood, R. D., 498 Wroth, C. P., 496 Yamada, Y., 268 Yen, H. J., 462 Yoshimura, N., 268 Zave, P., 502 Zienkiewicz, O. C., 11, 31, 94, 268, 317, 318, 429, 496, 497, 499, 502

#### SUBJECT INDEX

Accelerogram, 399, 425, 427 Ahmad elements, 489 Alternative form of the yield criterion, 229 Alternative material models, 465 Array initialisation, 238, 297 Associated plasticity, 224, 273 Axisymmetric Mindlin plates, 372 Axisymmetric problems, one dimension, 92

Axisymmetric solids, elastic expressions, 165

Back substitution, 45, 48 Backward difference method, 274 Banded equations, 45, 58 Bauschinger effect, 90, 222, 309 Beams on elastic foundations, 151 Berg yield criterion, 265 BFGS procedures, 491 Bingham plastic, 483 Body forces, 164 Boundary data, 38, 206 Boundary element methods, 493 Boundary tractions, 164 Buffer area, 195

Central difference time stepping scheme, 388 Circular plate, elasto-plastic, 264 Cohesion, 219 Combined initial/tangential algorithm, 21, 41, 206 Computational techniques, 495 Concrete nonlinearity, 477 Conditional stability, 276, 302, 391, 437 Conjugate gradient method, 492 Consistent load vector, 173, 183, 188, 214

Constitutive matrix, D: Dynamic applications, 413 Elastic, 163, 165, 167, 169, 192, 193, 232, 233 Elasto-plastic, 227, 244 Elasto-plastic Mindlin plates, 326 Visco-plastic, 274, 286 Convergence, 14, 21, 65, 72, 109, 212, 267, 297, 336, 451 Coupled-field problems, 487 Crack tip elements in plasticity, 487 Creep buckling, 317 Critical state model, 476 Cylinder: Elasto-plastic, 262 Elasto-viscoplastic, 310 Damping forces, 379, 390 Deformation Jacobian matrix, 382, 404 Diagonal mass matrix, 389, 392, 410 Distortional strain energy, 219, 265 Distributed edge loading, 184

- Drucker-Prager yield criterion, 220, 230
- Dynamic dimensioning, 174, 238, 396
- Dynamic equilibrium equations, 378
- Dynamic relaxation, 493

Dynamic transient analysis; 377 Discretisation by isoparametric elements, 379 Equilibrium equations, 378 Geometric nonlinearity, 382 Modelling of nonlinearities, 381

Effective, generalised or equivalent plastic strain, 223 Effective, generalised or equivalent stress, 218

Effective stiffness matrix, implicit dynamic, 435

Effective stress level, 239

- Elasto-plastic general solution process, 235 Elasto-plastic one-dimensional problems, 26 Elasto-plastic stress/strain relation, 224 Elasto-plasticity, matrix formulation, 227 Elasto-plasticity, two-dimensional, 232 Element, one-dimensional, 24, 25, 100 Element shape functions, 24, 158, 159, 160, 179 Endochronic theory, 479 Equation assembly and solution, 42, 194 Equation reduction or elimination, 45 Equation resolution, 21, 57, 194 Equation solution, numerical example, 43 Equilibrium correction, 101, 107, 276, 289 Equilibrium equations, 13, 236, 275, 321 Error diagnostics, 200, 202, 214, 360 Euler-Bernoulli beam theory, 121 Euler's rule, 99, 273 Explicit time stepping, 273, 302, 377, 378, 431
- Failure criterion, 223
- Flow problems, 480
- Flow rule, 224
- Flow vector, 227, 233, 241, 338, 419
- Fluidity parameter, 97, 273
- Forward difference method, 273
- Fracture mechanics, 484
- Friction slider, 95
- Frontal equation solution, 194 Further applications, 465
- Galerkin process, 23, 29 Gas diffusion, 22, 68 Gaussian direct elimination, 45 Gaussian quadrature data, 179 Geometric data, 36, 206 Geometric nonlinearity, 274, 316, 382 Global shape functions, 23 Gravity dam, seismic example, 424 Gravity loading, 183 Green strains, 383 Groundwater flow problems, 90
- Heat conduction, 22, 29, 66 Heterosis element, 319, 325, 370 Hierarchical formulation, 325 Hyperelastic problems, 25

- Implicit/explicit time stepping, 377, 431, 434
- Implicit time stepping, 274, 302, 377, 431
- Implicit trapezoidal time stepping scheme, 274, 302
- Improved numerical techniques, 466, 490
- Incrementation of load, 60, 110, 210
- Inertia forces, 379
- Initial stiffness method, 20, 29, 41, 206
- In-plane deformation in plates, 372
- Input data, 35, 205, 281, 399
- Instructions for preparing input data for dynamic transient problems: Programs DYNPAK and MIXDYN, 521
- Instructions for preparing input data for one-dimensional problems:
  - Program ELPLAS, 506
  - Program NONLAS, 506
  - Program QUITER, 503
  - Program QUNEWT, 505
  - Program TIMLAY, 509
  - Program TIMOSH, 508
  - Program UNVIS, 507
- Instructions for preparing input data for plane, axisymmetric and plate bending problems:
  - Programs MINDLIN and MIND-LAY, 517
    - Program PLANET, 511
  - Program VISCOUNT, 516
- Interactive computing, 495
- Internal friction angle, 219
- Isoparametric elements:
- Lagrangian 9-node, 5, 157
- Linear 4-node, 5, 157
- Serendipity, 8-node, 5, 157
- Isoparametric finite element representation, 169
- Isotropic hardening, 222

J contour integral, 485 Jacobian matrix, 17, 24, 171, 181

Kinematic hardening, 222, 309 Kirchhoff thin plate theory, 319

Lagrangian description, 382 List of computer programs, 466 List of subroutines: One-dimensional applications, 467 Two-dimensional applications, 469

Load factor, 60, 210 Load vector, 13, 24, 183, 188, 405 Locking material, 30, 92 Lumped mass matrix, 389, 392, 410 Material properties, 37, 207, 281 Mathematical theory of plasticity, 215 Matrix inversion, 288 Maxwell model, 117, 302, 305 Mechanical sublayer method, 304 Metal forming problems, 482 Method of direct iteration, 14, 24, 40, 63 Method of successive approximations, 14 Midside nodal coordinate generation, 178, 341, 413 Mindlin plates: Elastic expressions, 167 Mindlin plates, elasto-plastic; 319 Discretisation, 324 Equilibrium equations, 321 Mindlin plates, elasto-plastic layered; 326 Nonlinear equilibrium equations, 327 Program structure, 355 Mindlin plates, elasto-plastic nonlayered; 327 Nonlinear equilibrium equations, 329 Program structure, 331 Mohr-Coulomb yield criterion, 219, 230, 234 Newmark time stepping scheme, 432 Newton-Raphson method, 15, 24, 40, 68 No-tension model, 477 Non-associated flow rule, 476 Nonlinear elastic problems, 25, 74 Non-Newtonian fluid flow, 482 Normality condition, 224 Notched bend specimen, 5 Numerical integration, 174 Octahedral shear stress, 218 One-dimensional FORTRAN programs; 33 Direct iteration of quasiharmonic problems, 63 Elasto-plastic problems, 78 Elasto-viscoplastic problems, 104 Newton-Raphson solution of quasiharmonic problems, 68 Nonlinear elastic problems, 74

One-dimensional nonlinear problems, 13 Output of results, 58, 211, 258, 342, 363, 414 Overlay method, 90, 304, 316 Overlay simulation of: Four parameter viscous model, 309 Three element viscous model, 309 Visco-elastic model, 308 Visco-elastic-plastic four parameter model, 309 Pi plane, 217 Piecewise linear strain hardening representation, 266 Piola-Kirchhoff stresses, 386 Plane Strain, elastic expressions, 164 Plane Stress, elastic expressions, 162 Plastic multiplier, 224 Plastic potential, 224, 273 Power law pseudoplastic, 483 Prandtl-Reuss equations, 225 Predictor-corrector algorithm, 434, 436 Prescribed displacements in equation solution, 46 Principal stress evaluation, 258 Profile equation solver, 436, 440 Program structure, 8, 34, 104, 134, 235, 281, 331, 355, 392, 440 Programming notation, 10 Pseudo-loads, viscoplastic, 100, 275 Quasi-harmonic equation, 22, 63, 68 Quasi-Newton method, 491 Rayleigh damping, 391 Residual forces, 15, 71, 76, 81, 102, 236, 249, 344, 364 Sample input data and line printer output: Dynamic transient elasto-plastic explicit time integration example, 567 Dynamic transient elasto-plastic implicit/explicit example, 578 Elasto-plastic layered Timoshenko beam, 537 Non-layered elasto-plastic Mindlin plate problem, 558 One-dimensional direct iteration quasi harmonic example, 529 One-dimensional elasto-plastic problem, 531

Sample input data--contd. One-dimensional elasto-viscoplastic problem, 535 Two-dimensional elasto-plastic problem, 542 Two-dimensional elasto-viscoplastic problem, 550 Seismic analysis, 377, 399, 424 Selective integration, 128, 325, 482 Shape function derivatives: Cartesian, 171, 182 Local, 171 Shape function evaluation, 179, 346 Shells, elasto-plastic and geometrically nonlinear, 488 Singular points on the yield surface, 234 Space diagonal, 217 Sphere: Elasto-plastic, 267 Elasto-viscoplastic, 315 Spherical shell, dynamic example, 421, 458 Starting algorithm for central difference scheme, 390 Steady state conditions, 104, 109, 279, 297 Stiffness matrix, 13, 24, 28, 100, 127, 142, 173, 244, 283, 348, 367, 439, 447 Strain energy function, 25 Strain hardening, 26, 222, 223 Strain matrix, **B**, 172, 191 Strain matrix, geometric nonlinear, 382, 395 Strain softening, 223 Stress intensity factor, 485 Stress invariants, 216, 233 Stress space, 217 Subroutines, elasto-plastic (additional): **DIMEN**, 238 **FLOWPL**, 243 **INVAR**, 239 **LINEAR**, 247 Master segment, 260 OUTPUT, 258 RESIDU, 249 STIFFP, 244 YIELDF, 241 **ZERO**, 238 Subroutines, elasto-plastic layered Mindlin plates (additional): CHECK1, 360 **DEPMPA**, 360

Subroutines-contd. FEAM, 355 LAYMPA, 360 **MDMPA**, 362 **OUTMPA**, 363 RESMPA, 364 STIMPA, 367 **STRMPA**, 369 Subroutines, elasto-plastic nonlayered Mindlin plates: CONVMP, 336 **DIMMP**, 338 FEMP, 334 FLOWMP, 338 GRADMP, 340 INVMP, 340 MINDPB, 341 NODEXY, 341 **OUTMP**, 342 RESMP, 344 SFR2, 346 STIFMP, 348 **STRMP**, 353 **SUBMP**, 354 VZERO, 354 ZEROMP, 354 Subroutines, elasto-plastic (standard): **ALGOR**, 209 CONVER, 212 INCREM, 210 **INPUT**, 205 Subroutines, elasto-viscoplastic (additional): FLOWVP, 294 INVERT, 288 Master segment, 299 STEADY, 297 **STEPVP**, 289 **STIFVP**, 283 STRESS, 295 TANGVP, 286 **ZERO**, 297 Subroutines, elasto-viscoplastic transient dynamic analysis: BLARGE, 395 CONTOL, 396 DYNPAK, 392 EXPLIT, 396 **FIXITY**, 397 **FLOWVP**, 398 FUNCTA, 399 FUNCTS, 399 **INPUTD**, 399

Subroutines—contd. INTIME, 401 **INVAR**, 403 JACOBD, 404 LINGNL, 404 LOADPL, 405 LUMASS, 410 **MODPS**, 413 NODXYR, 413 **OUTDYN, 414** PREVOS, 416 RESVPL, 417 YIELDF, 419 Subroutines, implicit/explicit transient dynamic analysis (additional): ADDBAN, 444 ADDRES, 444 COLMHT, 445 DECOMP, 445 DINTOB, 446 GEOMST, 446 GSTIFF, 447 IMPEXP, 449 ITRATE, 451 LINKIN, 452 MIXDYN, 442 MULTPY, 454 REDBAK, 455 RESEPL, 456 Subroutines, one-dimensional: ASSEMB, 49 **ASTIF1**, 70 BAKSUB, 54 CONUND, 72 **CONVP**, 109 **DATA**, 35 GREDUC, 51 INCLOD, 60, 110 **INCVP**, 107 INITAL, 59 Master segment, 61 Master segment (viscoplasticity), 111 MONITR, 65 NONAL, 40 **REFOR1, 71 REFOR2**, 76 **REFOR3**, 81 RESOLV, 57 RESULT, 58 STIFF1, 63 **STIFF2**, 75 STIFF3, 78 **STUNVP**, 106

Subroutines, Timoshenko beam analysis: **BEAM**, 135 **BEAML**, 144 LAYER, 147 **REFORB**, 137 RFORBL, 146 STIFBL, 145 **STIFFB**, 136 Subroutines, two-dimensional (elastic): BMATPB, 191 **BMATPS**, 191 CHECK1, 200 CHECK2, 202 DBE, 194 ECHO, 201 **FRONT**, 194 **GAUSSQ**, 179 **JACOB2**, 181 LOADPB, 188 LOADPS, 183 **MODPB**, 193 MODPS, 192 NODEXY, 178 SFR2, 179 Substructuring, 493 Subterranean cavity, viscoplastic, 314 Tangent modulus, 26, 225 Tangential stiffness, 20, 26, 28, 236, 275, 327, 329 Tangential stiffness method, 20, 40, 206 Theorem of minimum total potential energy, 44 Time-step limitations: Dynamic transient, 391, 426, 437 Elasto-viscoplastic, 102, 276 Timoshenko beam analysis; 121 Basic assumptions, 122 Element stress resultants, 128 Finite element idealisation, 125 Formulation of the stiffness matrix, 127, 142 Layered approach, 122, 141 Non-layered approach, 121, 122 Solution of nonlinear equations, 132, 143 Timoshenko layered beam program, TIMLAY, 144 Timoshenko non-layered beam program, TIMOSH, 135 Telerance value, 65, 72, 298 Tresca yield criterion, 217, 230, 234

#### SUBJECT INDEX

Unconditional stability, 276, 302, 437 Uniaxial yield stress, 26, 219 Uniaxial tension test, 26, 225

Virtual work, 124, 162 Viscoelastic behaviour, 305, 308 Viscoplastic: Strain increment, 273 Stress increment, 274, 295 Viscoplastic computational procedure: One dimension, 103 Two dimensions, 278 Viscoplastic element stiffness formulation, 283 Viscoplastic flow function, 273, 286 Viscoplastic strain rate, 100, 272, 294, 398

Viscoplastic strain rate derivative matrix, 274, 279

Viscoplasticity, basic theoretical response:
Dynamic application, 381
One dimension, 98
Two dimensions, 272
Viscoplasticity in two dimensions, 271
Viscoplasticity in one dimension, 95
Viscosity coefficient, 97
Volume, elemental, 172
Von Mises yield criterion, 218, 230
Weighting functions, 23
Winkler foundation, 151, 372
Work hardening, 222, 223, 228

Yield criterion, 26, 216, 272, 326, 328 Yield function constants, 231, 234, 235 Yield moment, 129 Yield surface, 217